



Miami-Dade County Board of County Commissioners

Office of the Commission Auditor

Board of County Commissioners Meeting

March 7, 2017
9:30 A.M.
Commission Chamber

Research Division

Office of the Commission Auditor
111 NW First Street, Suite 1030
Miami, Florida 33128
305-375-4354

**Board of County Commissioners
March 7, 2017 Meeting
Research Notes**

Item No.	Research Notes
4A 170416	ORDINANCE AMENDING SECTIONS 33-196 AND 33-199 OF THE CODE OF MIAMI-DADE COUNTY, FLORIDA; ALLOWING FOR GOVERNMENT-OWNED OR - OPERATED PARKS AND RECREATION FACILITIES IN GU, INTERIM ZONING DISTRICT, AND RU-1, SINGLE-FAMILY RESIDENTIAL ZONING DISTRICT; CLARIFYING PROCESS FOR DETERMINING ZONING REGULATIONS APPLICABLE TO GU-ZONED PROPERTIES; PROVIDING SEVERABILITY, INCLUSION IN THE CODE, AND AN EFFECTIVE DATE
Notes	<p>The proposed ordinance amends Sections 33-196 and 33-199 of the Miami-Dade County Code and provides for the following:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Allows for government-owned or operated parks and recreation facilities in GU, Interim Zoning District, and RU-1 Single Family Residential Zoning District; and • Clarifies the process for determining zoning regulations applicable to GU-Zoned properties.
4B 170455	ORDINANCE RELATING TO BOUNDARY CHANGES AND INCORPORATIONS; AMENDING SECTIONS 20-3.1, 20-7, AND 20-23 OF THE CODE OF MIAMI-DADE COUNTY; MODIFYING THE DEFINITION OF AN ENCLAVE TO PROVIDE THAT ANY UNINCORPORATED AREA THAT HAS BEEN DESIGNATED BY THE COUNTY COMMISSION AS AN AREA OF COUNTYWIDE SIGNIFICANCE OR A TERMINAL IS NOT AN ENCLAVE; REQUIRING THE COUNTY COMMISSION, IN EVALUATING THE APPROPRIATENESS OF A BOUNDARY CHANGE OR INCORPORATION PROPOSAL, TO CONSIDER ADDITIONAL GUIDELINES RELATING TO IRREGULAR BOUNDARIES AND ADJACENT UNINCORPORATED AREAS; REQUIRING APPROVAL BY A SUPERMAJORITY OF THE COUNTY COMMISSION TO MAKE CERTAIN ANNEXATION OR INCORPORATION DECISIONS WHEN CERTAIN PROPOSED ANNEXATIONS OR INCORPORATIONS DO NOT INCLUDE ADJACENT REVENUE-NEUTRAL AREAS; PROVIDING SEVERABILITY, INCLUSION IN THE CODE, AND AN EFFECTIVE DATE
4C 170456	ORDINANCE RELATED TO BOUNDARY CHANGE AND INCORPORATION PROCEDURES; AMENDING ARTICLES I AND II OF THE CODE OF MIAMI-DADE COUNTY, FLORIDA; REQUIRING ANY MUNICIPALITY SEEKING TO ANNEX AN AREA CONTAINING A COMMUNITY REDEVELOPMENT AREA TO ACKNOWLEDGE THE CONTINUING EXISTENCE OF THE COMMUNITY REDEVELOPMENT AGENCY AND AGREE TO PAY THE REQUIRED TAX INCREMENT FROM MUNICIPAL AD VALOREM TAX REVENUES AS A CONDITION OF ANNEXATION; REQUIRING ANY NEW MUNICIPALITY SEEKING TO INCORPORATE AND WHICH CONTAINS A COMMUNITY REDEVELOPMENT AREA TO ACKNOWLEDGE THE CONTINUING EXISTENCE OF THE COMMUNITY REDEVELOPMENT AGENCY AND AGREE TO PAY THE REQUIRED TAX INCREMENT FROM MUNICIPAL REVENUES, AS A PRE-AGREED CONDITION OF INCORPORATION SET FORTH IN THE MUNICIPAL CHARTER; PROVIDING FOR FUTURE GOVERNANCE OF THE COMMUNITY REDEVELOPMENT AGENCY; PROVIDING FOR SEVERABILITY, INCLUSION IN THE CODE, AND AN EFFECTIVE DATE
Notes	<p><u>4B – 170455</u> The proposed ordinance relating to boundary changes and incorporations provides for the following:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Amends Sections 20-3.1, 20-7 and 20-23 of the Miami-Dade County Code; • Modifies the definition of an enclave to provide that any unincorporated area that has been designated by the BCC as an area of countywide significance or a terminal is not an enclave; • Requires the BCC, in evaluating the appropriateness of a boundary change or incorporation proposal, to consider additional guidelines relating to irregular boundaries and adjacent unincorporated areas; and • Requires approval by supermajority of the BCC to make certain annexation or incorporation decisions when certain proposed annexations or incorporations do not include adjacent revenue-neutral areas. <p><u>4C – 170456</u> The proposed ordinance relating to boundary changes and incorporation procedures provides for the following:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Amends articles I and II of the Miami-Dade County Code; • Requires any municipality seeking to annex an area containing a Community Redevelopment Area to acknowledge the continuing existence of the Community Redevelopment Agency (CRA) and agree to pay the required tax increment from municipal ad valorem tax revenues as a condition of annexation;

**Board of County Commissioners
March 7, 2017 Meeting
Research Notes**

Item No.	Research Notes				
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Requires any new municipality seeking to incorporate and which contains a Community Redevelopment Area to acknowledge the continuing existence of the CRA and agree to pay the required tax increment from municipal revenues, as a pre-agreed condition of incorporation set forth in the municipal charter; and Provides for future governance of the CRA. <table border="1" data-bbox="279 432 1479 1827"> <thead> <tr> <th colspan="2" data-bbox="279 432 483 470">Additional Information on Relevant Legislation</th></tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td data-bbox="279 470 483 1827"> R-983-12 11/20/2012 </td><td data-bbox="483 470 1479 1827"> <p>Established an incorporation task force composed of thirteen members, with each County Commissioner appointing one member to the task force who was to either be a former or current member of a Community Zoning Appeals Board, have a background in urban planning, or is a community or business leader. The Incorporation and Annexation Task Force was convened to review pending incorporation proposals and make recommendations on how the County should proceed to address the remainder of the unincorporated communities in Miami-Dade County and was to exist for one year.</p> <p>The Incorporation and Annexation Task Force made the following recommendations¹:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Recommendation 1 - That the Miami-Dade County Code of Ordinances (Code) be amended allowing a Municipal Advisory Committee (MAC) to be sponsored by the Mayor or a majority of the County Commissioners should the Commissioner of the MAC area decline to sponsor the creation of the MAC. Recommendation 2 – That the Code be amended to remove the PAB Incorporation and Annexation Committee review requirement. Recommendation 3 – That the Code be amended so that any newly annexed areas receive the revenue from Utility Taxes and Franchise Fees of the area provided any outstanding debt secured by these revenues has been retired, reflecting the current process for incorporations. Recommendation 4 – Allow annexations and incorporations outside the Urban Development Boundary (UDB). County should retain control of zoning and land use authority for areas outside the UDB, and movement of the UDB. In the event the UDB is moved, any land use and zoning change would require dual approval of the adjacent municipality and the County. Recommendation 5 – That the County Charter and Code be amended to allow areas with over 15,000 people to obtain a lower number of petitions for incorporations based on a sliding scale to be determined. Recommendation 6 – Retain the current process for annexations of fewer than 250 electors. Recommendation 7 – Amend the County Code to remove the provisions of mitigation on annexations of non-revenue neutral areas. Recommendation 8 – Amend the Code to remove the provisions of mitigation on incorporations for newly incorporated municipalities. Recommendation 9 – The BCC should adopt a policy prohibiting a single Commissioner from vetoing any incorporation or annexation application. Recommendation 10 – Recommended that annexation and incorporation boundaries be contiguous, logical, and compact, while seeking natural boundaries and allowing a case by case review process for non-conforming areas. Additionally, requests for annexations or incorporations will not create enclaves. Recommendation 11 – That the Commission waive the petition process for previously formed MACs if there is intent (to proceed), also recommending that the MACs not create enclaves along commission district boundaries. Recommendation 12 – That the County Code be amended to remove the requirement that municipalities pay for specialized police services. </td></tr> </tbody> </table>	Additional Information on Relevant Legislation		R-983-12 11/20/2012	<p>Established an incorporation task force composed of thirteen members, with each County Commissioner appointing one member to the task force who was to either be a former or current member of a Community Zoning Appeals Board, have a background in urban planning, or is a community or business leader. The Incorporation and Annexation Task Force was convened to review pending incorporation proposals and make recommendations on how the County should proceed to address the remainder of the unincorporated communities in Miami-Dade County and was to exist for one year.</p> <p>The Incorporation and Annexation Task Force made the following recommendations¹:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Recommendation 1 - That the Miami-Dade County Code of Ordinances (Code) be amended allowing a Municipal Advisory Committee (MAC) to be sponsored by the Mayor or a majority of the County Commissioners should the Commissioner of the MAC area decline to sponsor the creation of the MAC. Recommendation 2 – That the Code be amended to remove the PAB Incorporation and Annexation Committee review requirement. Recommendation 3 – That the Code be amended so that any newly annexed areas receive the revenue from Utility Taxes and Franchise Fees of the area provided any outstanding debt secured by these revenues has been retired, reflecting the current process for incorporations. Recommendation 4 – Allow annexations and incorporations outside the Urban Development Boundary (UDB). County should retain control of zoning and land use authority for areas outside the UDB, and movement of the UDB. In the event the UDB is moved, any land use and zoning change would require dual approval of the adjacent municipality and the County. Recommendation 5 – That the County Charter and Code be amended to allow areas with over 15,000 people to obtain a lower number of petitions for incorporations based on a sliding scale to be determined. Recommendation 6 – Retain the current process for annexations of fewer than 250 electors. Recommendation 7 – Amend the County Code to remove the provisions of mitigation on annexations of non-revenue neutral areas. Recommendation 8 – Amend the Code to remove the provisions of mitigation on incorporations for newly incorporated municipalities. Recommendation 9 – The BCC should adopt a policy prohibiting a single Commissioner from vetoing any incorporation or annexation application. Recommendation 10 – Recommended that annexation and incorporation boundaries be contiguous, logical, and compact, while seeking natural boundaries and allowing a case by case review process for non-conforming areas. Additionally, requests for annexations or incorporations will not create enclaves. Recommendation 11 – That the Commission waive the petition process for previously formed MACs if there is intent (to proceed), also recommending that the MACs not create enclaves along commission district boundaries. Recommendation 12 – That the County Code be amended to remove the requirement that municipalities pay for specialized police services.
Additional Information on Relevant Legislation					
R-983-12 11/20/2012	<p>Established an incorporation task force composed of thirteen members, with each County Commissioner appointing one member to the task force who was to either be a former or current member of a Community Zoning Appeals Board, have a background in urban planning, or is a community or business leader. The Incorporation and Annexation Task Force was convened to review pending incorporation proposals and make recommendations on how the County should proceed to address the remainder of the unincorporated communities in Miami-Dade County and was to exist for one year.</p> <p>The Incorporation and Annexation Task Force made the following recommendations¹:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Recommendation 1 - That the Miami-Dade County Code of Ordinances (Code) be amended allowing a Municipal Advisory Committee (MAC) to be sponsored by the Mayor or a majority of the County Commissioners should the Commissioner of the MAC area decline to sponsor the creation of the MAC. Recommendation 2 – That the Code be amended to remove the PAB Incorporation and Annexation Committee review requirement. Recommendation 3 – That the Code be amended so that any newly annexed areas receive the revenue from Utility Taxes and Franchise Fees of the area provided any outstanding debt secured by these revenues has been retired, reflecting the current process for incorporations. Recommendation 4 – Allow annexations and incorporations outside the Urban Development Boundary (UDB). County should retain control of zoning and land use authority for areas outside the UDB, and movement of the UDB. In the event the UDB is moved, any land use and zoning change would require dual approval of the adjacent municipality and the County. Recommendation 5 – That the County Charter and Code be amended to allow areas with over 15,000 people to obtain a lower number of petitions for incorporations based on a sliding scale to be determined. Recommendation 6 – Retain the current process for annexations of fewer than 250 electors. Recommendation 7 – Amend the County Code to remove the provisions of mitigation on annexations of non-revenue neutral areas. Recommendation 8 – Amend the Code to remove the provisions of mitigation on incorporations for newly incorporated municipalities. Recommendation 9 – The BCC should adopt a policy prohibiting a single Commissioner from vetoing any incorporation or annexation application. Recommendation 10 – Recommended that annexation and incorporation boundaries be contiguous, logical, and compact, while seeking natural boundaries and allowing a case by case review process for non-conforming areas. Additionally, requests for annexations or incorporations will not create enclaves. Recommendation 11 – That the Commission waive the petition process for previously formed MACs if there is intent (to proceed), also recommending that the MACs not create enclaves along commission district boundaries. Recommendation 12 – That the County Code be amended to remove the requirement that municipalities pay for specialized police services. 				

¹ <http://www.miamidade.gov/incorporationandannexation/library/reports/2013-annexation-incorporation-task-force.pdf>

**Board of County Commissioners
March 7, 2017 Meeting
Research Notes**

Item No.	Research Notes								
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Recommendation 13 – That the BCC enact legislation defining the criteria and procedure for an adjacent municipality to raise concern regarding an annexation request, recommending a proposed charter modification if necessary. • Recommendation 14 – That the County encourage annexations and incorporations of unincorporated areas to get out of the municipal services business and focus on regional service. • Recommendation 15 – That every municipal charter include provisions for pension and salaries of elected officials. • Recommendation 16 – Create an advisory panel to analyze UMSA and create a long term plan for improvement and development in which the planning intended is to improve all areas where incorporation seems feasible; divide UMSA into distinct geographic and recognized community areas; analyze each are; create a long range plan for each area and that these recommendations be followed as part of the County’s goal on focusing on regional issues and allowing incorporations. • Recommendation 17 – Miami-Dade County to provide a report to the public, a comprehensive accounting of areas in UMSA including population that are not currently included in any MAC or annexation study, within 60 days. • Recommendation 18 – That the BCC adopt an ordinance enabling areas that can’t be served by the County efficiently and effectively and were contiguous to an active proposed incorporation or annexation area, have an opportunity to opt in upon 20 percent petition by the residents of the area and approval of the majority of the BCC to a current MAC or annexation effort, prior to the PAB meeting. • Recommendation 19 – Miami-Dade County to maintain an updated electronic incorporation and annexation web portal site to include frequently asked questions and principles, pamphlets describing how to incorporate and annex provides what the process is, a list of active incorporations and annexations, and a list of enclave areas. • Recommendation 20 – That the Code be amended to allow 180 days to gather petitions for incorporations, making the Code consistent with the Charter. • Recommendation 21 – That the BCC obtain a consultant to make a recommendation on UMSA. Recommended that the annexation and incorporation boundaries be contiguous, logical, and compact, while seeking natural boundaries and include an economic component. <table border="1" style="width: 100%; border-collapse: collapse;"> <tr> <td style="width: 20%;">R-379-13 <i>5/7/2013</i></td><td>Extended the Annexation and Incorporation Task Force for an additional 180 days from April 3, 2013 to make its recommendation to the BCC.</td></tr> <tr> <td>R-1005-13 <i>12/3/2013</i></td><td>Directed the Mayor or designee to prepare a report to be made available to the public that provides a comprehensive accounting of the unincorporated areas that are not included within the boundaries of a Municipal Advisory Committee or a proposed incorporation or annexation. The report was to describe the population of such area and any other information that would be pertinent to considering the question of annexation or incorporation. The report was to be submitted to the members of the BCC within thirty (30) days of the effective date of this resolution.</td></tr> <tr> <td>R-1006-13 <i>12/3/2013</i></td><td>Directed the Mayor or designee to identify one or more universities or a professional consultant to contract with the County to analyze and make recommendations concerning future incorporations and annexations within the unincorporated area.</td></tr> <tr> <td>R-186-14 <i>2/27/2014</i></td><td> Directed the Mayor or designee to prepare a report and recommendations on several issues related to annexation and incorporation. Specifically, the report and recommendations was to include: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Whether additional County areas or facilities of countywide significance should be considered regional assets that should remain under the regulatory control of the county; </td></tr> </table>	R-379-13 <i>5/7/2013</i>	Extended the Annexation and Incorporation Task Force for an additional 180 days from April 3, 2013 to make its recommendation to the BCC.	R-1005-13 <i>12/3/2013</i>	Directed the Mayor or designee to prepare a report to be made available to the public that provides a comprehensive accounting of the unincorporated areas that are not included within the boundaries of a Municipal Advisory Committee or a proposed incorporation or annexation. The report was to describe the population of such area and any other information that would be pertinent to considering the question of annexation or incorporation. The report was to be submitted to the members of the BCC within thirty (30) days of the effective date of this resolution.	R-1006-13 <i>12/3/2013</i>	Directed the Mayor or designee to identify one or more universities or a professional consultant to contract with the County to analyze and make recommendations concerning future incorporations and annexations within the unincorporated area.	R-186-14 <i>2/27/2014</i>	Directed the Mayor or designee to prepare a report and recommendations on several issues related to annexation and incorporation. Specifically, the report and recommendations was to include: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Whether additional County areas or facilities of countywide significance should be considered regional assets that should remain under the regulatory control of the county;
R-379-13 <i>5/7/2013</i>	Extended the Annexation and Incorporation Task Force for an additional 180 days from April 3, 2013 to make its recommendation to the BCC.								
R-1005-13 <i>12/3/2013</i>	Directed the Mayor or designee to prepare a report to be made available to the public that provides a comprehensive accounting of the unincorporated areas that are not included within the boundaries of a Municipal Advisory Committee or a proposed incorporation or annexation. The report was to describe the population of such area and any other information that would be pertinent to considering the question of annexation or incorporation. The report was to be submitted to the members of the BCC within thirty (30) days of the effective date of this resolution.								
R-1006-13 <i>12/3/2013</i>	Directed the Mayor or designee to identify one or more universities or a professional consultant to contract with the County to analyze and make recommendations concerning future incorporations and annexations within the unincorporated area.								
R-186-14 <i>2/27/2014</i>	Directed the Mayor or designee to prepare a report and recommendations on several issues related to annexation and incorporation. Specifically, the report and recommendations was to include: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Whether additional County areas or facilities of countywide significance should be considered regional assets that should remain under the regulatory control of the county; 								

**Board of County Commissioners
March 7, 2017 Meeting
Research Notes**

Item No.	Research Notes
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Whether the area that lies outside of the Urban Development Boundary should be defined as a regional asset that should remain under the regulatory control of the county; • Whether the BCC should further strengthen existing policies that prefer annexation of areas into existing municipalities over creating new municipalities through incorporation; • Whether Police and Fire services should be considered regional in nature and remain at the County level when new municipalities incorporate; and • Whether there is any outstanding County debt that would be affected by additional annexation and incorporation.
R-187-14 2/27/2014	Directed the Mayor or designee to develop and regularly update a web portal site to include frequently asked questions and answers, principles, and pamphlets relating to the incorporation and annexation process, as well as a listing of active incorporations, annexations, and unincorporated areas of Miami-Dade County.
O-14-18 2/27/2014	Amended Sections 20-3 and 20-4 of the Miami-Dade County Code to provide that petitions for boundary changes require consent of twenty percent (20%) of registered electors in the area proposed to be annexed. <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • <i>On July 7, 2005, the BCC adopted Ordinance No. 05-140 increasing the percentage of electors required to consent to a petition for incorporation from ten percent (10%) to twenty five percent (25%).</i>
O-14-19 2/27/2014	Amended Sections 20-6 and 20-22 of the Miami-Dade County Code to delete the requirement for review and recommendation by a committee of the Planning Advisory Board for purposes of making a committee recommendation to the full Planning Advisory Board on proposed annexations and incorporations.
R-972-14 11/5/2014	<p>Authorized the Mayor or designee to enter into an agreement with PMG Associates, Inc. (PMG) in the amount of \$200,000 to perform an analysis and carry out the recommendations pursuant to Resolution No. R-1006-13.</p> <p>Specifically, PMG was to:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Conduct a study analyzing proposed annexations and incorporations; • Develop a plan addressing the remaining unincorporated areas; • Perform a review of the economic, social and environmental makeup of unincorporated areas to determine whether the County should pursue future annexations and incorporations within the unincorporated areas; • Evaluate possible alternative governmental structures; and • Prepare a report summarizing its analyses, proffering recommendations and legislative language required to effectuate the recommended changes. <p>During the BCC meeting on November 5, 2014, Resolution No. R-972-14 was discussed as follows:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • <i>The Commission explained that the intent of the study was to provide a review of annexations, incorporations, and existing MACs, and present recommendations based on an overlay of the processes, which was critical information when BCC for the decision-making process on determining how to move forward with future incorporation and annexation petitions.</i> • <i>The Assistant County Attorney advised that the existing MACs would still move forward and that there was nothing in the agenda item that reflected these would be held pending a study. He also advised that the study would move forward and the other MACs would be at the agreed rate with no impact from the study.</i> • <i>The Commission expressed concerns for the proposed plan to address the remaining unincorporated areas; and questioned whether those areas would be considered part of this plan without their consent and whether the study group will be making decisions for the BCC with regard to governmental structure.</i>

**Board of County Commissioners
March 7, 2017 Meeting
Research Notes**

Item No.	Research Notes		
	<div data-bbox="540 247 1468 989"> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • <i>The Principal Planner for the Miami Dade County Planning Division, appeared before the BCC and noted the scope was written based on County Resolution No. R-1006-13, which included consideration of other possibilities for incorporation of municipalities or the remaining unincorporated areas.</i> • <i>The Commission asked about the process in selecting PMG that was followed by the Administration as well as their background.</i> • <i>Discussion ensued regarding the process in selecting PMG as the consultant to conduct the study and whether they met the criterion, such as performance capacity, and the level of expertise to provide a thorough comprehensive look at this issue.</i> • <i>The Director of the Internal Services Department (ISD) noted the process included an Evaluation Committee that reviewed the proposals and they had the choice to reject those candidates and to start the process over. He also noted there was a consensus statement as part of the agenda item that would require every Selection Evaluation Committee to sign off on the recommendations.</i> • <i>The ISD Director pointed out that based on the scores both firms met the qualifications to perform this work.</i> • <i>The Commission noted that approval of the study would not make decisions that would interfere with the process and explained that the original purpose of this proposal to resolve issues of “cherry picking” when considering incorporation and annexation and leaving the remaining undesirable areas for Miami-Dade County.</i> • <i>The Commission reiterated that this proposal did not interfere or will stop any MACs process, or dictate a particular direction, but would provide information and a complete plan from which the BCC could made educated decisions.</i> </div> <div data-bbox="280 993 1468 1665"> <table border="1"> <tr> <td data-bbox="280 993 479 1115"> PMG Associates, Inc. Report <i>October 2015</i> </td> <td data-bbox="479 993 1468 1665"> <p>PMG Associates, Inc. submitted a report to the BCC dated October 2015 entitled “Analysis of Incorporation and Annexation Within the Unincorporated Areas” and, among other things, the report recommended that annexing municipalities should be required to “offset the annexation of a high-income area with the annexation of a low income area, if possible,” and that incorporations “cannot exclude any low-income area that is adjacent to its boundaries,” and “no enclaves can be permitted with incorporation or annexation, particularly where the area is a low-income community.”</p> <p>The report defined irregular boundaries and proposed that annexations should be prohibited where it “creates an enclave or forms irregular boundaries.” The report further recommended that the Code of Miami-Dade County should be modified to clarify that Areas of Countywide Significance or terminals designated by the Comprehensive Development Master Plan, which would include railways and surrounding properties within one-half mile of a terminal, are excluded from the definition of an enclave.</p> <p>Additionally, the report recommended that the BCC adopt a policy to continue County operation of community redevelopment agencies (CRAs) in unincorporated areas which are subsequently annexed or incorporated. Although the inclusion of a CRA within a municipality by incorporation or annexation has no legal effect on the existence of a CRA, it is advisable that the potential new governing body of the area be aware of and agree to be bound by its obligations with respect to the CRA and that the CRA not be hindered in carrying out the purpose for which it was created.</p> </td> </tr> </table> </div> <div data-bbox="269 1696 1487 1848"> <p><u>Additional Information on Areas Awaiting Annexation²</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Davis Ponce - In 2003, the annexation application submitted by the City of Coral Gables was adopted by the BCC. • Doral - Section 6 - In 2016, the City of Doral submitted an annexation application for consideration by Miami-Dade County and the BCC. </div>	PMG Associates, Inc. Report <i>October 2015</i>	<p>PMG Associates, Inc. submitted a report to the BCC dated October 2015 entitled “Analysis of Incorporation and Annexation Within the Unincorporated Areas” and, among other things, the report recommended that annexing municipalities should be required to “offset the annexation of a high-income area with the annexation of a low income area, if possible,” and that incorporations “cannot exclude any low-income area that is adjacent to its boundaries,” and “no enclaves can be permitted with incorporation or annexation, particularly where the area is a low-income community.”</p> <p>The report defined irregular boundaries and proposed that annexations should be prohibited where it “creates an enclave or forms irregular boundaries.” The report further recommended that the Code of Miami-Dade County should be modified to clarify that Areas of Countywide Significance or terminals designated by the Comprehensive Development Master Plan, which would include railways and surrounding properties within one-half mile of a terminal, are excluded from the definition of an enclave.</p> <p>Additionally, the report recommended that the BCC adopt a policy to continue County operation of community redevelopment agencies (CRAs) in unincorporated areas which are subsequently annexed or incorporated. Although the inclusion of a CRA within a municipality by incorporation or annexation has no legal effect on the existence of a CRA, it is advisable that the potential new governing body of the area be aware of and agree to be bound by its obligations with respect to the CRA and that the CRA not be hindered in carrying out the purpose for which it was created.</p>
PMG Associates, Inc. Report <i>October 2015</i>	<p>PMG Associates, Inc. submitted a report to the BCC dated October 2015 entitled “Analysis of Incorporation and Annexation Within the Unincorporated Areas” and, among other things, the report recommended that annexing municipalities should be required to “offset the annexation of a high-income area with the annexation of a low income area, if possible,” and that incorporations “cannot exclude any low-income area that is adjacent to its boundaries,” and “no enclaves can be permitted with incorporation or annexation, particularly where the area is a low-income community.”</p> <p>The report defined irregular boundaries and proposed that annexations should be prohibited where it “creates an enclave or forms irregular boundaries.” The report further recommended that the Code of Miami-Dade County should be modified to clarify that Areas of Countywide Significance or terminals designated by the Comprehensive Development Master Plan, which would include railways and surrounding properties within one-half mile of a terminal, are excluded from the definition of an enclave.</p> <p>Additionally, the report recommended that the BCC adopt a policy to continue County operation of community redevelopment agencies (CRAs) in unincorporated areas which are subsequently annexed or incorporated. Although the inclusion of a CRA within a municipality by incorporation or annexation has no legal effect on the existence of a CRA, it is advisable that the potential new governing body of the area be aware of and agree to be bound by its obligations with respect to the CRA and that the CRA not be hindered in carrying out the purpose for which it was created.</p>		

² <http://www.miamidade.gov/incorporationandannexation/annexations.asp>

**Board of County Commissioners
March 7, 2017 Meeting
Research Notes**

Item No.	Research Notes
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Doral - Section 15 - In 2016, the City of Doral submitted an annexation application for consideration by Miami-Dade County and the BCC. • Doral - Section 16 - In 2016, the City of Doral submitted an annexation application for consideration by Miami-Dade County and the BCC. • Florida City (Area D) - In 2005, the Florida City Commission adopted a resolution requesting the Miami-Dade County BCC approve the annexation of "Area D" into the municipal boundaries of the City of Florida City. • Florida City (Area H) - In 2011, the Florida City Commission adopted a resolution approving the submittal of an application to Miami-Dade County for the annexation of an 812-acre unincorporated area on the City's southwestern limits. • Hialeah - In 2013, the City of Hialeah applied to annex approximately 1 mile of vacant property to the northwest corner of its boundary. • Miami Shores - In 2015, Miami Shores Village submitted an application for annexation which was referred by the BCC to the Planning Advisory Board. • North Miami - In July 2013, The City of North Miami submitted an annexation application for the Sunkist Grove Area. In October 2013, the City of North Miami submitted annexation applications for Biscayne Corridor East, Gratigny-Dixie and 149th Street. • North Miami Beach - In 2005, The City of North Miami Beach annexation application was accepted. • Opa-locka - In 2013, the City of Opa-locka submitted the application requesting the annexation of two unincorporated areas within the city's jurisdiction. • Sweetwater - In 2012, the City of Sweetwater submitted an application for annexation.
5B 170351	RESOLUTION DECLARING AND FINDING, PURSUANT TO SECTION 163.355, FLORIDA STATUTES, AND AFTER A PUBLIC HEARING, CERTAIN GEOGRAPHIC AREA IN THE CITY OF MIAMI GARDENS, FLORIDA WITHIN COUNTY COMMISSION DISTRICT 1 WHICH AREA IS GENERALLY DESCRIBED AS BOUNDED ON THE NORTH BY NW 215 STREET; ON THE SOUTH BY NW 167 STREET; ON THE EAST BY NW 17 AVENUE; AND ON THE WEST BY NW 47 AVENUE TO BE A SLUM OR BLIGHTED AREA; DECLARING AND FINDING THE REBUILDING, REHABILITATION, CONSERVATION AND REDEVELOPMENT OF THE AREA TO BE IN THE INTEREST OF THE PUBLIC HEALTH, SAFETY, MORALS AND WELFARE OF RESIDENTS OF MIAMI GARDENS, AND MIAMI-DADE COUNTY, FLORIDA; FINDING NEED FOR CREATION OF COMMUNITY REDEVELOPMENT AGENCY; AND DELEGATING CERTAIN COMMUNITY REDEVELOPMENT POWERS TO THE CITY OF MIAMI GARDENS
5B Supp. 170361	SUPPLEMENT TO RESOLUTION DECLARING AN AREA IN THE CITY OF MIAMI GARDENS TO BE A SLUM OR BLIGHTED, AND ACCEPTING THE FINDING OF NECESSITY STUDY – LEGISTAR 170351
Notes	<p>The proposed resolution provides for the following:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Accepts the Finding of Necessity Study (Study), declaring and finding a geographic area in the City of Miami Gardens (City) located in the northwest quadrant of Miami-Dade County (County), generally bounded on the north by NW 215 Street, on the west by NW 47 Avenue, on the south by NW 167 Street and on the east by NW 17 Avenue, which is specifically described in the Study (Area), and is referred to as the Miami Gardens Community Redevelopment Area to be a slum and blighted area, pursuant to Chapter 163, Part III, Florida Statutes (Act); • Declares and finds that the rebuilding, rehabilitation, conservation, redevelopment, or a combination thereof, of the Area is necessary in the interest of the public health, safety, morals or welfare of the residents of the City and the County as a whole; • Declares and finds that there is a need for a community redevelopment agency to function and carry out the community redevelopment purposes of the Act; • Delegates certain redevelopment powers to the City to create the Miami Gardens Community Redevelopment Agency (Agency) and prepare a community redevelopment plan to present to the BCC after approval, notice and public hearing, but reserves the right to delegate further powers to the Agency, pursuant to an interlocal cooperation agreement.

**Board of County Commissioners
March 7, 2017 Meeting
Research Notes**

Item No.	Research Notes
	<p><i>The Miami Gardens Community Redevelopment Agency will cease to exist within 12 months from the adoption of this resolution if: (1) the City has not approved a redevelopment plan and interlocal agreement acceptable to the County; and (2) the County has not approved a redevelopment plan and interlocal agreement.</i></p> <p>The approval of this item will not create the trust fund for the Agency nor obligates the County and other taxing authorities to contribute funding. Should this item be approved, a legislative item will be presented to the BCC at a later date, along with a redevelopment plan and interlocal agreement with the City.</p> <p>The Study, prepared by Keith and Schnars, is the June 2016 addendum to the original study the City provided. The City's original study included three areas, but the City is only pursuing only one area. The Study examined the conditions in the proposed redevelopment area and concluded that the area meets conditions of blight as follows: predominance of inadequate street layout, parking facilities, roadways, bridges or public transportation facilities; faulty lot layout in relation to size, adequacy, accessibility, or usefulness; unsanitary and unsafe conditions; and deterioration of site or other improvements.</p> <p>The interlocal agreement will also include certain conditions required by the BCC, including, but not limited to, the designation of a member of the BCC or designee as a member of the community redevelopment agency and the requirement that the Agency cannot spend funds without prior approval of the community redevelopment agency's budget by the BCC.</p> <p>The Tax Increment Financing and Coordinating Committee first reviewed the City's Study on May 5, 2016, at which time the Committee recommended that the Study be updated to reflect current Census data. On September 28, 2016 the Study including new data was presented to the Tax Increment and Financing Committee, which reviewed and recommended approval by the BCC.</p> <p>If the BCC ultimately creates a community redevelopment agency, the proper safeguards must be in place that will allow the County to step in and take corrective action should the agency lose direction. The BCC has adopted several policies through several resolutions (R-1382-09, R-871-11, R-611-15, R-499-16) that require a newly formed community redevelopment agency interlocal agreements, among other things, to include a BCC member on the agency board, prior BCC approval of budgets and several other requirements as to the use of funding. These requirements will help the BCC in the oversight of the agencies. However limiting the life for an initial period of five or 10 years and requiring the agency to fund transformative brick and mortar projects or placement of benchmarks in interlocal agreements, will require the community redevelopment agency to maintain focus on the BCC's priorities for the area.</p> <p><u>Fiscal Impact</u></p> <p>The County, through its budget forecasting, includes certain growth assumptions in the countywide roll that are necessary to continue fund current County services. The existing community redevelopment agencies in the County have surpassed overall property tax roll performance and averaged a nine percent growth in the last five years. Based on a nine percent growth and a 95 percent contribution, the first five years of the Agency would produce approximately \$2.55 million, which is \$970,000 above what the County has earmarked for future use.</p> <p>Should the Agency be created for 30 years, the County's contribution based on the additional funding above the current forecast would be \$19.4 million; the City's contribution during the same period would be \$116.8 million. During the negotiation of the interlocal agreement with the City, the County should negotiate financial terms that will not impact the County's future budgets, including potentially lower percentage contributions, returns or an overall cap on the amount of revenue the County will contribute into the Trust Fund.</p> <p><u>Background</u></p> <p>In 1969, the Florida Legislature enacted the Community Redevelopment Act of 1969, as it is presently contained in Part III of Chapter 163, Florida Statutes, as amended. The Act authorizes counties and municipalities in the State of Florida to create community redevelopment agencies, to prepare redevelopment plans for certain defined areas within their boundaries designed as community redevelopment areas, within which community redevelopment projects may be undertaken to eliminate and prevent the development and spread of slum and blighted areas through the use of creative financing mechanisms. Pursuant to the Act, the BCC is the governing</p>

**Board of County Commissioners
March 7, 2017 Meeting
Research Notes**

Item No.	Research Notes
	<p>body over all community redevelopment agencies in the County, but the BCC can delegate redevelopment powers at its discretion, after a finding has been made determining that slum or blight exists within a defined area. In order to implement the Act, the BCC must adopt a resolution finding that:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • One or more slum or blighted areas exists within the proposed Area; and • That rehabilitation, conservation, or redevelopment, or a combination thereof, of the redevelopment area is necessary in the interest of the public health, safety, morals or welfare of the residents of the County or City. <p><u>Additional Information</u> On March 1st, 2017, Representative Jake Raburn (R - Valrico) filed House Bill (HB) 13 pertaining to Community Redevelopment Agencies (CRAs). HB 13 would prohibit the creation of new CRAs effective July 1, 2017. HB 13 also would phase out existing CRAs by prohibiting existing CRAs from initiating new projects or issuing new debt after October 1, 2017 and terminating existing CRAs as of the expiration date of the CRA charter or September 30, 2037, whichever is earlier. HB 13 also imposes additional reporting requirements on CRAs, cities and counties, among other provisions.</p> <p><u>Additional Information- Community Redevelopment Areas within Miami-Dade County</u> The Community Redevelopment and Municipal Services Division (CRMSD) of the Office of Management and Budget is responsible for the oversight and management of Community Redevelopment Areas within Miami-Dade County. The BCC has approved the creation of 14 community redevelopment areas that are administered by CRMS and overseen by the County. Authority has been delegated to ten municipalities to operate Community Redevelopment Agencies. Four additional Community Redevelopment Agencies operate in unincorporated areas of Miami-Dade County.</p> <p>In the municipal redevelopment areas, representatives of the governing body of the municipality sit as the board of commissioners of the agency.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Florida City; Homestead; Miami Beach; Midtown; North Miami; North Miami Beach; Omni District; Opa-locka; Southeast Overtown / Park West; and South Miami. <p>Four community redevelopment agencies operate in the unincorporated areas of Miami-Dade County. The BCC has designated citizen's boards as governing bodies of three of the four agencies, and retain the role as governing body of the fourth.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Naranja Lakes; NW 7th Avenue Corridor; West Perrine; and NW 79th Street Corridor. <p><u>Proposed Community Redevelopment Areas</u> The BCC has accepted the Finding of Necessity for the following proposed Community Redevelopment Areas:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Goulds; City of Hialeah; Metrozoo / Richmond Heights; City of Miami Gardens; Richmond Heights; and West Dade.
5C 170230	RESOLUTION APPROVING SIGNIFICANT MODIFICATION OF BUILDING BETTER COMMUNITIES GENERAL OBLIGATION BOND PROGRAM PROJECT NO. 202- "DRIVING RANGE" TO REDUCE ITS ALLOCATION BY \$2,273,260.98 FOR A NEW TOTAL ALLOCATION OF \$46,739.02, AND ADDITION OF NEW PROJECT NO. 361- "POLICE TRAINING FACILITY ENHANCEMENTS" TO BE FUNDED WITH \$2,273,260.98 FROM PROJECT NO. 202, ALL AS IDENTIFIED IN APPENDIX A TO RESOLUTION NO. R-915-04, AFTER A PUBLIC HEARING; DECLARING \$2,273,260.98 SURPLUS FUNDS; AND WAIVING PROVISIONS OF IMPLEMENTING ORDER 3-47 REGARDING ADDING NEW PROJECTS TO BOND PROGRAM USING SURPLUS FUNDS
Notes	The proposed resolution approves the significant modification of Building Better Communities General Obligation Bond (BBC-GOB) Program Project 202 - "Driving Range" to reduce its allocation from \$2,320,000.00 to \$46,739.02, declaring \$2,273,260.98 from Project 202 as surplus funds in accordance with Implementing Order (IO) 3-47. Additionally, the proposed resolution waives the provisions of IO 3-47 regarding the addition of new projects to the BBC-GOB Program, and reallocates surplus funds for the addition of a new BBC-GOB Program Project 361 – "Police Training Facility Enhancements."

**Board of County Commissioners
March 7, 2017 Meeting
Research Notes**

Item No.	Research Notes
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <i>Implementing Order 3-47 dictates that in order to use surplus funds to add a new project, all Bond Program projects must have been completed. MDPD recommends that this provision of Implementing Order 3-47 be waived.</i> <p>The proposed resolution further recommends that the new BBC-GOB Program Project 361 – “Police Training Facility Enhancements” be given the following project description: to perform structural renovations and construction at the police training facility to enhance police training and response with an allocation of \$2,273,260.98.</p> <p><u>Fiscal Impact/Funding Source</u> There is no fiscal impact to the County. This item reallocates \$2,273,260.98 of existing BBC-GOB funds.</p> <p><u>Background</u> The BBC-GOB Program was approved by the voters in 2004. One of the ballot questions authorized expenditures to construct and improve public safety facilities and included as an appendix to Resolution R-915-04, were a number of projects for the MDPD to support the strategies of the leadership at the time. One of the projects, identified in Appendix A to Resolution R-915-04 was BBC-GOB Program Project Number 202 – “Unincorporated Municipal Service Area - Driving Range” with a project description that stated: “Construct a new driving course for Basic Law Enforcement classes and incorporate defensive driving tactics, officer safety, and driving skills courses, to be located in UMSA, and with an address of TBD.”</p> <p>It has been determined that Project Number 202 is not feasible to pursue at this time. The construction costs and cost of materials associated with the construction of a driving facility have nearly tripled since the original approval in 2004. At that time, the cost to build the driving facility was estimated at \$2,320,000.00, which was identified as the cost for this project in the BBC-GOB. As of July 2015, Internal Services Department’s Planning and Design team provided a new budget cost estimate of \$6,920,453. In an effort to reduce project costs to align with the project allocation, the MDPD reduced the scope of the project to meet the minimum criteria of the Florida Department of Law Enforcement for a driving range. These reductions in the project scope included the elimination of a small classroom building (estimated at \$743,115.00) and a 3-4 story observation tower (estimated at \$168,069.00). The reduction in the cost of the project resulted in savings of \$911,184.00, leaving the revised project cost at \$6,009,269.00. However, as no other funding sources were identified to cover the \$3.69 million difference between the revised and the original cost of \$2,320,000.00, the MDPD is not able to pursue this project at this time.</p> <p>The MDPD reviewed other allowable Department facility needs considering the availability of these surplus funds. Consistent with the Department’s commitment to the continued maintenance and improvement of police facilities, a new capital program has been developed and a request has been submitted to reallocate BBC-GOB Program funds to address current MDPD needs. Also, these needs align with the available surplus funds. It is recommended that the \$2,273,260.98 remaining in Project Number 202 be declared “surplus funds” and be reallocated to other high priority projects at the MDPD training facility, with the estimated amounts, such as:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> K9 Training Facility - \$550,000.00 Gymnasium Room - \$300,000.00 Perimeter Fence - \$700,000.00 Other High Priority Projects - \$723,260.98 Total - \$2,273,260.98 <p>These projects will have substantial impact on the MDPD’s ability to provide a safe work environment for its employees to more effectively train and develop its police personnel, and to serve and protect the citizens of Miami-Dade County.</p> <p>At its November 16, 2016 meeting, the BBC-GOB Program Citizens’ Advisory Committee voted to recommend the allocation of surplus funds and the creation of this new project.</p>
7A 162964	ORDINANCE RELATING TO WAGE THEFT; AMENDING SECTION 22-5 OF THE CODE OF MIAMI-DADE COUNTY, FLORIDA; ENHANCING PENALTIES FOR FAILURE TO COMPLY WITH WAGE

Board of County Commissioners
March 7, 2017 Meeting
Research Notes

Item No.	Research Notes															
	THEFT ORDERS; PROVIDING SEVERABILITY, INCLUSION IN THE CODE, AND AN EFFECTIVE DATE															
Notes	<p>The proposed ordinance relating to Wage Theft amends Section 22-5 of the Miami-Dade County Code to include a provision that will enhance penalties on employers that fail to satisfy administrative Wage Theft Orders.</p> <p>The provision will make employers that fail to satisfy the obligations of a wage theft order liable for the attorney’s fees and costs incurred by the claimant in trying to collect on such order. With the additional provision in the proposed ordinance, claimants will be eligible to recover the costs and fees associated with hiring an attorney to enforce an administrative final order if the employer fails to pay the claimant.</p> <table><tr><th colspan="3">Code Comparison Chart</th></tr><tr><th colspan="3">Section 22-5</th></tr><tr><th colspan="3">Miami-Dade County Code</th></tr><tr><th>Section</th><th>Current</th><th>Proposed</th></tr><tr><td>Sec. 225. <i>Enforcement of wage theft violations.</i></td><td><p>(1) Order Issued. At the conclusion of a hearing and upon a finding of a wage violation, the Hearing Examiner shall issue a written order as follows:</p><p style="padding-left: 40px;">(a) If the preponderance of the evidence demonstrates a wage theft violation, the Hearing Examiner shall order the employer to pay wage restitution to the affected employee in an amount equal to three times the amount of back wages that the respondent employer is found to have unlawfully failed to pay the complainant employee; this treble amount shall include the back wages in addition to liquidated damages as compensation for the economic losses suffered by reason of the employee not receiving their wage at the time it was due; and</p><p style="padding-left: 40px;">(b) The County shall order the employer to pay to the Board of County Commissioners an assessment of costs in an amount not to exceed actual administrative processing costs and costs of the hearing.</p><p>(2) Failure to Comply with Initial Order. If the County finds that any respondent employer has failed to comply with the Hearing Examiner's order within forty-five (45) days after written notice from the County, the County shall issue a further written order on the respondent employer as follows:</p><p style="padding-left: 40px;">(a) The County may, upon request of the respondent, grant the respondent an additional forty-five (45) days to comply with any portion of the order, unless such an extension has previously been granted; and</p></td><td><p>(1) Order Issued. At the conclusion of a hearing and upon a finding of a wage violation, the Hearing Examiner shall issue a written order as follows:</p><p style="padding-left: 40px;">(a) If the preponderance of the evidence demonstrates a wage theft violation, the Hearing Examiner shall order the employer to pay wage restitution to the affected employee in an amount equal to three times the amount of back wages that the respondent employer is found to have unlawfully failed to pay the complainant employee; this treble amount shall include the back wages in addition to liquidated damages as compensation for the economic losses suffered by reason of the employee not receiving their wage at the time it was due; and</p><p style="padding-left: 40px;">(b) The County shall order the employer to pay to the Board of County Commissioners an assessment of costs in an amount not to exceed actual administrative processing costs and costs of the hearing.</p><p>(2) Failure to Comply with Initial Order. If the County finds that any respondent employer has failed to comply with the Hearing Examiner's order within forty-five (45) days after written notice from the County, the County shall issue a further written order on the respondent employer as follows:</p><p style="padding-left: 40px;">(a) The County may, upon request of the respondent, grant the respondent an additional forty-five (45) days to comply with any portion of the</p></td></tr></table>	Code Comparison Chart			Section 22-5			Miami-Dade County Code			Section	Current	Proposed	Sec. 225. <i>Enforcement of wage theft violations.</i>	<p>(1) Order Issued. At the conclusion of a hearing and upon a finding of a wage violation, the Hearing Examiner shall issue a written order as follows:</p> <p style="padding-left: 40px;">(a) If the preponderance of the evidence demonstrates a wage theft violation, the Hearing Examiner shall order the employer to pay wage restitution to the affected employee in an amount equal to three times the amount of back wages that the respondent employer is found to have unlawfully failed to pay the complainant employee; this treble amount shall include the back wages in addition to liquidated damages as compensation for the economic losses suffered by reason of the employee not receiving their wage at the time it was due; and</p> <p style="padding-left: 40px;">(b) The County shall order the employer to pay to the Board of County Commissioners an assessment of costs in an amount not to exceed actual administrative processing costs and costs of the hearing.</p> <p>(2) Failure to Comply with Initial Order. If the County finds that any respondent employer has failed to comply with the Hearing Examiner's order within forty-five (45) days after written notice from the County, the County shall issue a further written order on the respondent employer as follows:</p> <p style="padding-left: 40px;">(a) The County may, upon request of the respondent, grant the respondent an additional forty-five (45) days to comply with any portion of the order, unless such an extension has previously been granted; and</p>	<p>(1) Order Issued. At the conclusion of a hearing and upon a finding of a wage violation, the Hearing Examiner shall issue a written order as follows:</p> <p style="padding-left: 40px;">(a) If the preponderance of the evidence demonstrates a wage theft violation, the Hearing Examiner shall order the employer to pay wage restitution to the affected employee in an amount equal to three times the amount of back wages that the respondent employer is found to have unlawfully failed to pay the complainant employee; this treble amount shall include the back wages in addition to liquidated damages as compensation for the economic losses suffered by reason of the employee not receiving their wage at the time it was due; and</p> <p style="padding-left: 40px;">(b) The County shall order the employer to pay to the Board of County Commissioners an assessment of costs in an amount not to exceed actual administrative processing costs and costs of the hearing.</p> <p>(2) Failure to Comply with Initial Order. If the County finds that any respondent employer has failed to comply with the Hearing Examiner's order within forty-five (45) days after written notice from the County, the County shall issue a further written order on the respondent employer as follows:</p> <p style="padding-left: 40px;">(a) The County may, upon request of the respondent, grant the respondent an additional forty-five (45) days to comply with any portion of the</p>
Code Comparison Chart																
Section 22-5																
Miami-Dade County Code																
Section	Current	Proposed														
Sec. 225. <i>Enforcement of wage theft violations.</i>	<p>(1) Order Issued. At the conclusion of a hearing and upon a finding of a wage violation, the Hearing Examiner shall issue a written order as follows:</p> <p style="padding-left: 40px;">(a) If the preponderance of the evidence demonstrates a wage theft violation, the Hearing Examiner shall order the employer to pay wage restitution to the affected employee in an amount equal to three times the amount of back wages that the respondent employer is found to have unlawfully failed to pay the complainant employee; this treble amount shall include the back wages in addition to liquidated damages as compensation for the economic losses suffered by reason of the employee not receiving their wage at the time it was due; and</p> <p style="padding-left: 40px;">(b) The County shall order the employer to pay to the Board of County Commissioners an assessment of costs in an amount not to exceed actual administrative processing costs and costs of the hearing.</p> <p>(2) Failure to Comply with Initial Order. If the County finds that any respondent employer has failed to comply with the Hearing Examiner's order within forty-five (45) days after written notice from the County, the County shall issue a further written order on the respondent employer as follows:</p> <p style="padding-left: 40px;">(a) The County may, upon request of the respondent, grant the respondent an additional forty-five (45) days to comply with any portion of the order, unless such an extension has previously been granted; and</p>	<p>(1) Order Issued. At the conclusion of a hearing and upon a finding of a wage violation, the Hearing Examiner shall issue a written order as follows:</p> <p style="padding-left: 40px;">(a) If the preponderance of the evidence demonstrates a wage theft violation, the Hearing Examiner shall order the employer to pay wage restitution to the affected employee in an amount equal to three times the amount of back wages that the respondent employer is found to have unlawfully failed to pay the complainant employee; this treble amount shall include the back wages in addition to liquidated damages as compensation for the economic losses suffered by reason of the employee not receiving their wage at the time it was due; and</p> <p style="padding-left: 40px;">(b) The County shall order the employer to pay to the Board of County Commissioners an assessment of costs in an amount not to exceed actual administrative processing costs and costs of the hearing.</p> <p>(2) Failure to Comply with Initial Order. If the County finds that any respondent employer has failed to comply with the Hearing Examiner's order within forty-five (45) days after written notice from the County, the County shall issue a further written order on the respondent employer as follows:</p> <p style="padding-left: 40px;">(a) The County may, upon request of the respondent, grant the respondent an additional forty-five (45) days to comply with any portion of the</p>														

**Board of County Commissioners
March 7, 2017 Meeting
Research Notes**

Item No.	Research Notes											
		<p>(b) The County shall order the employer, in addition to wage restitution ordered, to pay the prevailing complainant employee an amount equal to the applicable interest rate which accrues on the full amount of treble damages from the date upon which the finding of wage violation was made until the date upon which the amount is paid in full; and</p> <p>(c) The County shall order the employer, in addition to assessment of costs ordered, to pay to the Board of County Commissioners an amount equal to the applicable interest rate which accrues on the assessment of costs from the date upon which the Hearing Examiner's order is issued until the date upon which the amount is paid in full.</p>	<p>order, unless such an extension has previously been granted; and</p> <p>(b) The County shall order the employer, in addition to wage restitution ordered, to pay the prevailing complainant employee an amount equal to the applicable interest rate which accrues on the full amount of treble damages from the date upon which the finding of wage violation was made until the date upon which the amount is paid in full; and</p> <p>(c) The County shall order the employer, in addition to assessment of costs ordered, to pay to the Board of County Commissioners an amount equal to the applicable interest rate which accrues on the assessment of costs from the date upon which the Hearing Examiner's order is issued until the date upon which the amount is paid in full.</p> <p>(d) Respondents that fail to comply with and satisfy in full the obligations of an Initial Order within 45 days of its issuance or that fail to comply with and satisfy in full the obligations of any subsequent order, shall be liable for the assessment of reasonable attorney’s fees and costs incurred to collect any amounts under the Initial Order and any subsequent order upon a request for payment of such attorney’s fees and costs by a claimant pursuant to this Section.</p>									
<table><tr><th colspan="3">Additional Information and Legislative History</th></tr><tr><th>Legislation</th><th>Summary</th><th>Discussion</th></tr><tr><td>O-10-16 2/18/2010</td><td>Established Chapter 22 of the Miami-Dade County Code prohibiting wage theft and providing for administrative procedures and private cause of action for wage theft. Specifically, Ordinance No. 10-16 aimed to:<ul style="list-style-type: none">• Define wage theft violations;• Outline procedures for filing wage theft complaints;• Provide criteria for respondents;• Provide subpoena power for the Hearing Examiner;</td><td>During the BCC meeting on February 18, 2010, O-10-16 was discussed as follows:<ul style="list-style-type: none">• <i>In response to an inquiry regarding whether a complainant had the ability to seek further relief from a State or Federal court once an award was made at the County level, the Assistant County Attorney responded that a complainant would be barred from pursuing the same claim in a State or Federal Court.</i></td></tr></table>				Additional Information and Legislative History			Legislation	Summary	Discussion	O-10-16 2/18/2010	Established Chapter 22 of the Miami-Dade County Code prohibiting wage theft and providing for administrative procedures and private cause of action for wage theft. Specifically, Ordinance No. 10-16 aimed to: <ul style="list-style-type: none">• Define wage theft violations;• Outline procedures for filing wage theft complaints;• Provide criteria for respondents;• Provide subpoena power for the Hearing Examiner;	During the BCC meeting on February 18, 2010, O-10-16 was discussed as follows: <ul style="list-style-type: none">• <i>In response to an inquiry regarding whether a complainant had the ability to seek further relief from a State or Federal court once an award was made at the County level, the Assistant County Attorney responded that a complainant would be barred from pursuing the same claim in a State or Federal Court.</i>
Additional Information and Legislative History												
Legislation	Summary	Discussion										
O-10-16 2/18/2010	Established Chapter 22 of the Miami-Dade County Code prohibiting wage theft and providing for administrative procedures and private cause of action for wage theft. Specifically, Ordinance No. 10-16 aimed to: <ul style="list-style-type: none">• Define wage theft violations;• Outline procedures for filing wage theft complaints;• Provide criteria for respondents;• Provide subpoena power for the Hearing Examiner;	During the BCC meeting on February 18, 2010, O-10-16 was discussed as follows: <ul style="list-style-type: none">• <i>In response to an inquiry regarding whether a complainant had the ability to seek further relief from a State or Federal court once an award was made at the County level, the Assistant County Attorney responded that a complainant would be barred from pursuing the same claim in a State or Federal Court.</i>										

**Board of County Commissioners
March 7, 2017 Meeting
Research Notes**

Item No.	Research Notes		
		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Outline applicability of Florida Rules of Civil procedure; • Provide standards for resolving factual disputes; • Address conciliation; • Outline procedures for hearings before Hearing Examiner; • Allow for representation by a non-lawyer advocate; • Provide for enforcement by private persons or by the State of Florida; and • Outline procedures for written orders and failure to comply with initial order. <p>Provided that the wage theft ordinance was subject to sunset review by the BCC five years from its effective date and that a fiscal report regarding the administrative cost associated with the implementation of the Ordinance be submitted within six months of its effective date and one year after its effective date. Thereafter, the fiscal report was to be submitted annually to provide quarterly statistical data about the number of inquiries, number of petitions for hearings, number of hearings scheduled, the cost of the hearings, and the results of the hearings.</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • <i>The Commission noted concerns that the County would be shouldering the burden of the State and Federal government; but that a fiscal report would ensure the intent of the ordinance would be carried out.</i> • <i>Responding to an inquiry regarding the County's potential liability and recovery fees associated with this proposed legislation, the Assistant County Attorney advised that the County would not be liable in the event an employer prevailed in a lawsuit. He noted the Hearing Examiner would assess the cost of the hearing to the employer should he/she determine the employer was in violation of this proposed ordinance.</i>
	<p>O-10-37 6/3/2010</p>	<p>Amended Chapter 22 of the Miami-Dade County Code to clarify that the reasonable time for payment be no later than fourteen calendar days from the date on which the work is performed unless the employer has established, by policy or practice, a pay schedule whereby employees earn and are consistently paid wages according to regularly recurring pay periods.</p>	<p>During the Government Operations Committee meeting on May 11, 2010, O-10-37 was discussed as follows:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • <i>In response to an inquiry concerning whether this ordinance was more helpful to private businesses than the original ordinance, the Assistant County Attorney noted to the extent the employer had established a pay period, this would give some relief to the employer.</i> • <i>In response to an inquiry regarding how many complaints the County had received since this legislation was implemented, and how many complaints had gone to a hearing, the Director of Small Business Development (DSBD), noted DSBD had received nine (9) complaints, of which five (5) were for unpaid wages prior to adoption of the ordinance, and none had</i>

**Board of County Commissioners
March 7, 2017 Meeting
Research Notes**

Item No.	Research Notes		
			<p>gone to a hearing. She noted the implementing order still needed to be approved by the Commission.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • The Commission asked the Director to monitor and document complaints received regarding unpaid wages and to determine whether other legal means were available at the State and federal levels for individuals to voice their complaints. • The Director noted that this legislation would impact those businesses that typically did not fall under the Department of Labor's jurisdiction. • The Commission expressed an interest in knowing the impact of the first ordinance on the County; and if other avenues existed, he wanted the number of complaints to be tracked that had gone to the County, the federal government or Small Claims Court.
	<p>R-898-10 9/10/2010</p>	<p>Approved Implementing Order 3-54 relating to Miami-Dade County's Wage Theft Ordinance to provide uniform procedures for filing a wage theft complaint in accordance with Chapter 22 of the Miami-Dade County Code.</p>	<p>During the Budget, Planning and Sustainability Committee meeting on July 13, 2010, R-898-10 was discussed as follows:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • The Commission expressed concern that the State Department of Labor was improperly referring cases back to the County as a method to eliminate back log. • The Director explained that the employer would pay the \$3,500 for the hearing examiner if the employer lost the case, and that the County would pay all fees if the employer prevailed. She noted the County tried to reconcile the claims before a hearing was held. She pointed out that the County had returned 22 cases back to the State Department of Labor because they were under the State's jurisdiction.
	<p>O-15-05 2/3/2015</p>	<p>Amended Section 22-8 of the Miami-Dade County Code to extend the time for sunset review of the Wage Theft Ordinance from five years to ten years from its effective date.</p>	<p>During the BCC meeting on February 3, 2015, the following was discussed:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • The Commission inquired as to what amount had been collected, to date, as a result of this ordinance. • The Consumer Protection Division Director of the Regulatory and Economic Resources Division,

**Board of County Commissioners
March 7, 2017 Meeting
Research Notes**

Item No.	Research Notes				
			stated claims of over \$1 million had been collected and conciliated.		
Additional Information on the Annual Fiscal Report Relating to the Wage Theft Program					
In response to Ordinance No. 10-16, on May 17, 2016, the Mayor issued the Annual Fiscal Report relating to the Wage Theft Program. The report was provided for the period of January 1, 2015 through December 31, 2015 and covered the third year of operation since being transferred to the Department of Regulatory and Economic Resources (RER) Office of Consumer Protection.					
The following information was provided by the report:					
Wage Theft Annual Report for the period of January 1-December 31, 2015					
	First Quarter	Second Quarter	Third Quarter	Fourth Quarter	Annual Total
Number of complaints filed/opened or reopened	130	149	135	129	543
Number of complaints referred out, inquiry only or abandoned	66	64	62	36	228
Number of complaints qualified	64	85	73	93	315
Number of complaints unable to effect service	5	2	5	11	23
Number of complaints withdrawn or formal bankruptcy	5	20	14	5	44
Number of successful conciliations	32	44	26	32	134
Number of cases with finding of wage theft violation*	10	6	14	5	35
Number of cases with no finding of wage theft violation*	11	9	8	10	38
Cases remaining open from period	1	4	6	30	41
Value of unpaid wages alleged	\$153,310	\$215,395	\$137,809	\$326,062	\$832,576
Value of successful conciliations	\$57,042	\$52,507	\$22,930	\$54,899	\$187,378
Amount of unpaid wages awarded at hearing	\$12,147	\$19,817	\$19,969	\$17,294	\$69,227
Amount of penalties awarded at hearing	\$24,294	\$39,634	\$39,938	\$34,588	\$138,454
*Figures reflect outcome of a complaint received during the quarter although it may have gone to hearing in a later quarter.					
Additional Information on Previously Proposed State Legislation					

Board of County Commissioners
March 7, 2017 Meeting
Research Notes

Item No.	Research Notes																	
	HB 609/SB 862 (2012)	Wage Protection for Employees; Prohibiting a county, municipality, or political subdivision from adopting or maintaining in effect a law, ordinance, or rule that creates requirements, regulations, or processes for the purpose of addressing wage theft; preempting such activities to the state; defining the term “wage theft”, etc.	HB 609 - Died in Community Affairs SB 862 - Died in Judiciary Committee															
	HB 1125/SB 1216 (2013)	Employers and Employees; Providing jurisdiction of county courts over wage theft civil actions; providing a definition for the term “wage theft”; creating a civil cause of action for wage theft; providing the procedure for filing of a civil action for wage theft; requiring a claimant to notify the employer of the employee’s intention to initiate a civil action; authorizing a county, municipality, or political subdivision to establish a process by which a claim may be filed, etc.	HB 1125 - Died in Criminal Justice Committee SB 1216 - Died on Calendar															
	HB 957/SB 926 (2014)	Regarding Wage Theft; Expanding the original jurisdiction of county courts; describing the occurrence of a wage theft; authorizing an aggrieved employee to initiate a civil action for wage theft; granting county courts original and exclusive jurisdiction over actions involving wage theft; preempting regulation of wage theft to the state after a specified date; exempting certain counties, municipalities, and political subdivisions, etc.	HB 957 - Died in Local and Federal Affairs Committee SB 926 - Died on Calendar															
	HB 589/SB 1318 (2015)	Regarding State Minimum Wage; Prohibiting employer or any other party from knowingly procuring labor from any person with intent to defraud or deceive such person; provides penalty.	HB 589 - Died in Criminal Justice Subcommittee SB 1318 - Died in Appropriations Subcommittee on Criminal and Civil Justice															
	7B 162965	ORDINANCE RELATING TO A SURCHARGE IN CRIMINAL PROCEEDINGS; AMENDING SECTION 11-13 OF THE CODE OF MIAMI-DADE COUNTY, FLORIDA; CLARIFYING AN EXCEPTION FOR INDIGENT DEFENDANTS; PROVIDING SEVERABILITY, INCLUSION IN THE CODE AND AN EFFECTIVE DATE																
Notes	The proposed ordinance relating to a surcharge in criminal proceedings amends Section 11-13 of the Miami-Dade County Code to clarify an exemption for indigent defendants.																	
	<table><tr><th colspan="3">Code Comparison Chart</th></tr><tr><th colspan="3">Section 11-13</th></tr><tr><th colspan="3">Miami-Dade County Code</th></tr><tr><th>Section</th><th>Current</th><th>Proposed</th></tr><tr><td>Sec. 11-13. <i>Additional surcharge in criminal proceedings.</i></td><td>In addition to any other fine, penalty, or cost imposed by any other provision of law, an eighty-five dollar (\$85.00) surcharge is hereby imposed upon any conviction, plea of nolo contendere or finding of guilt regardless of whether adjudication is withheld, for each felony, misdemeanor, or criminal traffic offense. The court shall not waive this court cost. The Clerk of the Court shall collect, unless there has been a determination of</td><td>In addition to any other fine, penalty, or cost imposed by any other provision of law, an eighty-five dollar (\$85.00) surcharge is hereby imposed upon any conviction, plea of nolo contendere or finding of guilt regardless of whether adjudication is withheld, for each felony, misdemeanor, or criminal traffic offense. The court shall not waive this surcharge unless the court finds that the defendant is indigent, in which case the court shall not impose this surcharge on</td></tr></table>			Code Comparison Chart			Section 11-13			Miami-Dade County Code			Section	Current	Proposed	Sec. 11-13. <i>Additional surcharge in criminal proceedings.</i>	In addition to any other fine, penalty, or cost imposed by any other provision of law, an eighty-five dollar (\$85.00) surcharge is hereby imposed upon any conviction, plea of nolo contendere or finding of guilt regardless of whether adjudication is withheld, for each felony, misdemeanor, or criminal traffic offense. The court shall not waive this court cost. The Clerk of the Court shall collect, unless there has been a determination of	In addition to any other fine, penalty, or cost imposed by any other provision of law, an eighty-five dollar (\$85.00) surcharge is hereby imposed upon any conviction, plea of nolo contendere or finding of guilt regardless of whether adjudication is withheld, for each felony, misdemeanor, or criminal traffic offense. The court shall not waive this surcharge unless the court finds that the defendant is indigent, in which case the court shall not impose this surcharge on
Code Comparison Chart																		
Section 11-13																		
Miami-Dade County Code																		
Section	Current	Proposed																
Sec. 11-13. <i>Additional surcharge in criminal proceedings.</i>	In addition to any other fine, penalty, or cost imposed by any other provision of law, an eighty-five dollar (\$85.00) surcharge is hereby imposed upon any conviction, plea of nolo contendere or finding of guilt regardless of whether adjudication is withheld, for each felony, misdemeanor, or criminal traffic offense. The court shall not waive this court cost. The Clerk of the Court shall collect, unless there has been a determination of	In addition to any other fine, penalty, or cost imposed by any other provision of law, an eighty-five dollar (\$85.00) surcharge is hereby imposed upon any conviction, plea of nolo contendere or finding of guilt regardless of whether adjudication is withheld, for each felony, misdemeanor, or criminal traffic offense. The court shall not waive this surcharge unless the court finds that the defendant is indigent, in which case the court shall not impose this surcharge on																

**Board of County Commissioners
March 7, 2017 Meeting
Research Notes**

Item No.	Research Notes	
	indigency, the eighty-five dollar (\$85.00) surcharge established in this section and shall remit it to Miami-Dade County.	such indigent defendant. The Clerk of the Court shall collect the eighty-five dollar (\$85.00) surcharge established in this section and shall remit it to Miami-Dade County.
	<p><u>Additional Information on Previous Legislation</u></p> <p>On June 21, 2005, the BCC, through Ordinance No. 05-123, created Sections 11-13 of the Miami-Dade County Code to impose additional surcharges on certain crimes and traffic offenses and violations. Specifically, Section 11-13 provides that the Clerk of the Court collect an eighty-five dollar (\$85.00) surcharge upon any conviction, plea of nolo contendere or finding of guilt, regardless of whether adjudication is withheld, for each felony, misdemeanor, or criminal traffic offense.</p> <p>On September 1, 2009, the BCC, through Ordinance No. 09-72, amended Section 11-13 of the Miami-Dade County Code to provide for an exception for indigents related to the collection of court surcharges.</p> <p><u>Additional Information- National Public Radio (NPR) Investigation</u></p> <p>National Public Radio (NPR) is an American privately and publicly funded non-profit membership media organization that serves as a national syndicator to a network of 900 public radio stations in the United States.</p> <p>NPR's yearlong investigation³ included more than 150 interviews with lawyers, judges, offenders in and out of jail, government officials, advocates and other experts. It also included a nationwide survey — with help from NYU's Brennan Center for Justice and the National Center for State Courts — of which states are charging defendants and offenders fees. Findings of this investigation include:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none">• Defendants are charged for a long list of government services that were once free — including ones that are constitutionally required.• Impoverished people sometimes go to jail when they fall behind paying these fees.• Since 2010, 48 states have increased criminal and civil court fees.• Many courts are struggling to interpret a 1983 Supreme Court ruling protecting defendants from going to jail because they are too poor to pay their fines.• Technology, such as electronic monitors, aimed at helping defendants avoid jail time is available only to those who can afford to pay for it.	
7C 162523	ORDINANCE RELATING TO ANNEXATION PROCEDURES; AMENDING SECTIONS 20-3 AND 20-7 OF THE CODE OF MIAMI-DADE COUNTY, FLORIDA; REQUIRING A MUNICIPALITY TO PROVIDE A COMPARISON BETWEEN COUNTY AND MUNICIPAL LAND USE REGULATIONS PRIOR TO MUNICIPAL ANNEXATION OF UNINCORPORATED AREAS IN THE COUNTY; PROVIDING SEVERABILITY, INCLUSION IN THE CODE AND AN EFFECTIVE DATE	
Notes	<p>The proposed resolution relating to annexation procedures amends Sections 20-3 and 20-7 of the Miami-Dade County Code requiring a municipality to provide a comparison between County and Municipal Land Use Regulations prior to Municipal annexation of Unincorporated Areas in the County.</p> <p><u>Additional Information</u></p> <p>On December 3, 2013, the BCC adopted Resolution No. R-1006-13 directing the Mayor or designee to identify one or more universities or a professional consultant to contract with the County to analyze and make recommendations concerning future incorporations and annexations within the unincorporated area.</p> <p>On November 5, 2014, the BCC adopted Resolution No. R-972-14 authorizing the Mayor or designee to enter into an agreement with PMG Associates, Inc. (PMG) in the amount of \$200,000 to perform an analysis and carry out the recommendations pursuant to Resolution No. R-1006-13.</p> <p>Specifically, PMG was to:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none">• Conduct a study analyzing proposed annexations and incorporations;• Develop a plan addressing the remaining unincorporated areas;	

³ <http://www.npr.org/2014/05/19/312158516/increasing-court-fees-punish-the-poor>

**Board of County Commissioners
March 7, 2017 Meeting
Research Notes**

Item No.	Research Notes									
	<ul style="list-style-type: none">• Perform a review of the economic, social and environmental makeup of unincorporated areas to determine whether the County should pursue future annexations and incorporations within the unincorporated areas;• Evaluate possible alternative governmental structures; and• Prepare a report summarizing its analyses, proffering recommendations and legislative language required to effectuate the recommended changes. <p>During the BCC meeting on November 5, 2014, Resolution No. R-972-14 was discussed as follows:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none">• <i>The Commission explained that the intent of the study was to provide a review of annexations, incorporations, and existing MACs, and present recommendations based on an overlay of the processes, which was critical information when BCC for the decision-making process on determining how to move forward with future incorporation and annexation petitions.</i>• <i>The Assistant County Attorney advised that the existing MACs would still move forward and that there was nothing in the agenda item that reflected these would be held pending a study. He also advised that the study would move forward and the other MACs would be at the agreed rate with no impact from the study.</i>• <i>The Commission expressed concerns for the proposed plan to address the remaining unincorporated areas; and questioned whether those areas would be considered part of this plan without their consent and whether the study group will be making decisions for the BCC with regard to governmental structure.</i>• <i>The Principal Planner for the Miami Dade County Planning Division, appeared before the BCC and noted the scope was written based on County Resolution No. R-1006-13, which included consideration of other possibilities for incorporation of municipalities or the remaining unincorporated areas.</i>• <i>The Commission asked about the process in selecting PMG that was followed by the Administration as well as their background.</i>• <i>Discussion ensued regarding the process in selecting PMG as the consultant to conduct the study and whether they met the criterion, such as performance capacity, and the level of expertise to provide a thorough comprehensive look at this issue.</i>• <i>The Director of the Internal Services Department (ISD) noted the process included an Evaluation Committee that reviewed the proposals and they had the choice to reject those candidates and to start the process over. He also noted there was a consensus statement as part of the agenda item that would require every Selection Evaluation Committee to sign off on the recommendations.</i>• <i>The ISD Director pointed out that based on the scores both firms met the qualifications to perform this work.</i>• <i>The Commission noted that approval of the study would not make decisions that would interfere with the process and explained that the original purpose of this proposal to resolve issues of “cherry picking” when considering incorporation and annexation and leaving the remaining undesirable areas for Miami-Dade County.</i>• <i>The Commission reiterated that this proposal did not interfere or will stop any MACs process, or dictate a particular direction, but would provide information and a complete plan from which the BCC could made educated decisions.</i> <p>PMG Associates, Inc. submitted a report to the BCC dated October 27, 2015 entitled “Analysis of Incorporation and Annexation Within the Unincorporated Areas”. Among other things, the report recommended that existing zoning regulations and other restrictions, particularly those related to location and hours and days of sale for businesses, be compared to relevant municipal requirements at the time of annexation to ensure consistency.</p> <table><tr><th colspan="3">Code Comparison Chart Sections 20-3 and 20-7 of the Miami-Dade County Code</th></tr><tr><th>Section</th><th>Current</th><th>Proposed</th></tr><tr><td>Sec. 20-3. <i>Initiated by governing body of municipality.</i></td><td>Any proposed boundary change desired by the governing body of a municipality shall be initiated by resolution of such governing body adopted after a public hearing held pursuant to written notice mailed to all owners of property within the area and within six hundred (600)</td><td>Any proposed boundary change desired by the governing body of a municipality shall be initiated by resolution of such governing body adopted after a public hearing held pursuant to written notice mailed to all owners of property within the area and within six hundred (600) feet thereof in such proposed boundary changes.</td></tr></table>	Code Comparison Chart Sections 20-3 and 20-7 of the Miami-Dade County Code			Section	Current	Proposed	Sec. 20-3. <i>Initiated by governing body of municipality.</i>	Any proposed boundary change desired by the governing body of a municipality shall be initiated by resolution of such governing body adopted after a public hearing held pursuant to written notice mailed to all owners of property within the area and within six hundred (600)	Any proposed boundary change desired by the governing body of a municipality shall be initiated by resolution of such governing body adopted after a public hearing held pursuant to written notice mailed to all owners of property within the area and within six hundred (600) feet thereof in such proposed boundary changes.
Code Comparison Chart Sections 20-3 and 20-7 of the Miami-Dade County Code										
Section	Current	Proposed								
Sec. 20-3. <i>Initiated by governing body of municipality.</i>	Any proposed boundary change desired by the governing body of a municipality shall be initiated by resolution of such governing body adopted after a public hearing held pursuant to written notice mailed to all owners of property within the area and within six hundred (600)	Any proposed boundary change desired by the governing body of a municipality shall be initiated by resolution of such governing body adopted after a public hearing held pursuant to written notice mailed to all owners of property within the area and within six hundred (600) feet thereof in such proposed boundary changes.								

Board of County Commissioners
March 7, 2017 Meeting
Research Notes

Item No.	Research Notes		
		<p>feet thereof in such proposed boundary changes, according to the current tax assessment roll, and pursuant to published notice; provided, however, that no notice shall be required when all owners of property within the area and within six hundred (600) feet thereof shall consent in writing to the proposed boundary change. The cost of such notice shall be paid by the governing body of the municipality. Three (3) duly certified copies of such resolution requesting the proposed boundary changes, together with proof of compliance with the notice requirements aforesaid, shall be filed with the Clerk of the County Commission, and shall be accompanied by the following:</p> <p>(A) An accurate legal description of the lands or land area involved in such proposed boundary change.</p> <p>(F) In addition to the foregoing, there shall be filed with the Clerk of the County Commission the following information:</p> <p>(1) Land use plan and zoning. The municipality shall present a general land use plan and a map showing proposed zoning for the subject area which, if annexed, will be enacted by the municipality. This information shall be submitted regardless of size of area or state of existing development.</p>	<p>according to the current tax assessment roll, and pursuant to published notice; provided, however, that no notice shall be required when all owners of property within the area and within six hundred (600) feet thereof shall consent in writing to the proposed boundary change. The cost of such notice shall be paid by the governing body of the municipality. Three (3) duly certified copies of such resolution requesting the proposed boundary changes, together with proof of compliance with the notice requirements aforesaid, shall be filed with the Clerk of the County Commission, and shall be accompanied by the following:</p> <p>(A) An accurate legal description of the lands or land area involved in such proposed boundary change.</p> <p>(F) In addition to the foregoing, there shall be filed with the Clerk of the County Commission the following information:</p> <p>(1) Land use plan and zoning. The municipality shall present a general land use plan and a map showing proposed zoning for the subject area which, if annexed, will be enacted by the municipality. In addition, the municipality shall provide a comparison between existing County land use regulations governing the subject area and the relevant municipal land use regulations, including, but not limited to, any zoning restrictions pertaining to location of businesses and hours and days of sale for businesses, to identify how businesses may be impacted upon annexation. This information shall be submitted regardless of size of area or state of existing development.</p>
	<p>Sec. 20-7. <i>Public hearing.</i></p>	<p>The Clerk of the County Commission, upon receipt of the recommendations of the Planning Advisory Board, shall set the matter of such proposed boundary changes for public hearing at a regular meeting of the County Commission and cause notice of such public hearing to be published in a daily newspaper of general circulation in Miami-Dade County at least once not less than one (1) week prior to the date of such public hearing. Notice of such public hearing shall be furnished to a representative of the petitioner or the municipality initiating the proposed boundary change, to all property owners within the area and within six hundred (600) feet thereof</p>	<p>The Clerk of the County Commission, upon receipt of the recommendations of the Planning Advisory Board, shall set the matter of such proposed boundary changes for public hearing at a regular meeting of the County Commission and cause notice of such public hearing to be published in a daily newspaper of general circulation in Miami-Dade County at least once not less than one (1) week prior to the date of such public hearing. Notice of such public hearing shall be furnished to a representative of the petitioner or the municipality initiating the proposed boundary change, to all property owners within the area and within six hundred (600) feet thereof and any adjacent municipality. The cost of such notice shall be paid by the individual, group or municipality</p>

**Board of County Commissioners
March 7, 2017 Meeting
Research Notes**

Item No.	Research Notes
	<p>and any adjacent municipality. The cost of such notice shall be paid by the individual, group or municipality initiating the proposed change. At such public hearing, the County Commission shall review and consider the recommendations of the Planning Advisory Board, and shall afford to all interested persons an opportunity to be heard upon the merits and propriety of the proposed boundary changes.</p> <p>(A) At the conclusion of the public hearing the Board of County Commissioners, in evaluating the appropriateness of a petition for boundary change shall consider the following guidelines:</p> <p>(1) The suitability of the proposed boundaries, in conjunction with the existing municipality, provide for a municipal community of interest that is both cohesive and inclusive. The proposed annexation area should:</p> <p>(a) Not divide a U.S. Census Designated Place, to the extent feasible.</p> <p>(b) Include adjacent areas of ethnic minority and lower income residents in which a majority of those residents have so petitioned.</p> <p>(c) Have contiguity and not create any unincorporated enclave area(s). An unincorporated enclave area is defined as an area that would be 1) surrounded on more than eighty (80) percent of its boundary by one (1) or more municipalities and 2) of a size that could not be serviced efficiently or effectively.</p> <p>(d) Have natural or built barriers as boundaries, to the extent feasible, and</p> <p>initiating the proposed change. At such public hearing, the County Commission shall review and consider the recommendations of the Planning Advisory Board, and shall afford to all interested persons an opportunity to be heard upon the merits and propriety of the proposed boundary changes.</p> <p>(A) At the conclusion of the public hearing the Board of County Commissioners, in evaluating the appropriateness of a petition for boundary change shall consider the following guidelines:</p> <p>(1) The suitability of the proposed boundaries, in conjunction with the existing municipality, provide for a municipal community of interest that is both cohesive and inclusive. The proposed annexation area should:</p> <p>(a) Not divide a U.S. Census Designated Place, to the extent feasible.</p> <p>(b) Include adjacent areas of ethnic minority and lower income residents in which a majority of those residents have so petitioned.</p> <p>(c) Have contiguity and not create any unincorporated enclave area(s). An unincorporated enclave area is defined as an area that would be 1) surrounded on more than eighty (80) percent of its boundary by one (1) or more municipalities and 2) of a size that could not be serviced efficiently or effectively.</p> <p>(d) Have natural or built barriers as boundaries, to the extent feasible, and</p> <p>(10) How businesses may be impacted upon annexation, based on a comparison between existing County land use regulations governing the subject area and the relevant municipal land use regulations, including, but not limited to, any zoning restrictions pertaining to location of businesses and hours and days of sale for businesses.</p>
7D 170064	ORDINANCE RELATED TO THE AFFORDABLE HOUSING TRUST FUND; AMENDING SECTION 17-132 OF THE CODE OF MIAMI-DADE COUNTY, FLORIDA; INCREASING ADMINISTRATIVE COSTS CAP; PROVIDING SEVERABILITY, INCLUSION IN THE CODE, AND AN EFFECTIVE DATE
Notes	<p>The proposed ordinance, relating to the Affordable Housing Trust Fund, amends Section 17-132 of the Miami-Dade County Code by increasing the current administrative costs cap from five percent (5%) to ten percent (10%), consistent with the administrative costs cap for other affordable housing programs.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <i>These funds may be used to cover reasonable administrative expenses not reimbursed through processing fees, including reasonable consultant and legal expenses related to the establishment and/or administration of the Trust and reasonable expenses for administering the process of calculating, collecting and accounting for any deferred County fees authorized by this section of the Code.</i>

**Board of County Commissioners
March 7, 2017 Meeting
Research Notes**

Item No.	Research Notes														
	<p>The proposed ordinance will allow for eligible expenditures to be covered by the Trust rather than being absorbed by the County and Impacting funding sources that have been allocated for other activities. The Trust Fund will provide a permanent, renewable source of revenue.</p> <p><u>Background</u> On February 6, 2007, the BCC, through Ordinance No. 07-15, established the Affordable Housing Trust Fund of Miami-Dade County, Florida. The purpose of the Trust Fund is (1) to establish a permanent, renewable source of revenue to meet, in part, the housing needs of the residents of Miami-Dade County; (2) to foster a housing supply accessible to a range of incomes in developments assisted by the Trust Fund; and (3) to disperse affordable housing units throughout the County.</p> <p>The Code presently provides that “no more than five percent of the monies in the Trust Fund may be used to cover reasonable administrative expenses not reimbursed through processing fees, including reasonable consultant and legal expenses related to the establishment and/or administration of the Trust Fund and reasonable expenses for administering the process of calculating, collecting, and accounting for any deferred County fees authorized by this section.” The limitation on administrative cost is not consistent with other affordable housing programs that are administered by the Miami-Dade Public Housing and Community Development Department.</p>														
7E 162832	ORDINANCE REPEALING ORDINANCE NOS. 13-70, 13-71, 15-67 AND 15-68 RELATING TO THE WEST END MUNICIPAL ADVISORY COMMITTEE (SECTION ONE) AND WEST END MUNICIPAL ADVISORY COMMITTEE (SECTION THREE) CREATED TO STUDY THE POSSIBLE INCORPORATION OF PORTIONS OF THE WEST END AREA; PROVIDING SEVERABILITY, EXCLUSION FROM THE CODE AND AN EFFECTIVE DATE														
Notes	<p>The proposed resolution repeals Ordinance Nos. 13-70, 13-71, 15-67 and 15-68 relating to the West End Municipal Advisory Committee (Section One) and the West End Municipal Advisory Committee (Section Three) created to study the possible incorporation of portions of the West End Area.</p> <table border="1"> <thead> <tr> <th colspan="2">Legislative Timeline</th></tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>R-235-01 3/8/2001</td><td>Created and established the West Kendall Area Municipal Advisory Committee and directed staff to prepare a study of the possible creation of a new municipality in the area of West Kendall.</td></tr> <tr> <td>O-02-27 2/26/2002</td><td>Created and established the West Kendall Municipal Advisory Committee to prepare a study of the possible creation of a new municipality in the area of West Kendall.</td></tr> <tr> <td>O-03-11 5/6/2003</td><td>Repealed O-02-27 relating to the creation of the West Kendall Municipal Advisory Committee.</td></tr> <tr> <td>O-13-70 7/2/2013 <i>Repealing</i></td><td> <p>Created and established the West Kendall (Section One) Municipal Advisory Committee to study the possible creation of a new municipality in the West Kendall (Section One) area.</p> <p>Study area boundaries:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • North: Tamiami Trail • South: Bird Road • East: The Florida Turnpike • West: The Everglades </td></tr> <tr> <td>O-13-71 7/2/2013 <i>Repealing</i></td><td> <p>Created and established the West Kendall (Section Three) Municipal Advisory Committee to study the possible creation of a new municipality in the West Kendall (Section One) area.</p> <p>Study area boundaries:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • North: Kendall Drive • South: SW 152 Street • East: The Florida Turnpike (between SW 88 Street and SW 104 Street (generally known as the "Calusa" area) and SW 137 Avenue between SW 104 Street and SW 152 Street • West: The Everglades </td></tr> <tr> <td><i>4/23/2014</i></td><td>West Kendall (Section One) Municipal Advisory Committee was organized and began to meet.</td></tr> </tbody> </table>	Legislative Timeline		R-235-01 3/8/2001	Created and established the West Kendall Area Municipal Advisory Committee and directed staff to prepare a study of the possible creation of a new municipality in the area of West Kendall.	O-02-27 2/26/2002	Created and established the West Kendall Municipal Advisory Committee to prepare a study of the possible creation of a new municipality in the area of West Kendall.	O-03-11 5/6/2003	Repealed O-02-27 relating to the creation of the West Kendall Municipal Advisory Committee.	O-13-70 7/2/2013 <i>Repealing</i>	<p>Created and established the West Kendall (Section One) Municipal Advisory Committee to study the possible creation of a new municipality in the West Kendall (Section One) area.</p> <p>Study area boundaries:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • North: Tamiami Trail • South: Bird Road • East: The Florida Turnpike • West: The Everglades 	O-13-71 7/2/2013 <i>Repealing</i>	<p>Created and established the West Kendall (Section Three) Municipal Advisory Committee to study the possible creation of a new municipality in the West Kendall (Section One) area.</p> <p>Study area boundaries:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • North: Kendall Drive • South: SW 152 Street • East: The Florida Turnpike (between SW 88 Street and SW 104 Street (generally known as the "Calusa" area) and SW 137 Avenue between SW 104 Street and SW 152 Street • West: The Everglades 	<i>4/23/2014</i>	West Kendall (Section One) Municipal Advisory Committee was organized and began to meet.
Legislative Timeline															
R-235-01 3/8/2001	Created and established the West Kendall Area Municipal Advisory Committee and directed staff to prepare a study of the possible creation of a new municipality in the area of West Kendall.														
O-02-27 2/26/2002	Created and established the West Kendall Municipal Advisory Committee to prepare a study of the possible creation of a new municipality in the area of West Kendall.														
O-03-11 5/6/2003	Repealed O-02-27 relating to the creation of the West Kendall Municipal Advisory Committee.														
O-13-70 7/2/2013 <i>Repealing</i>	<p>Created and established the West Kendall (Section One) Municipal Advisory Committee to study the possible creation of a new municipality in the West Kendall (Section One) area.</p> <p>Study area boundaries:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • North: Tamiami Trail • South: Bird Road • East: The Florida Turnpike • West: The Everglades 														
O-13-71 7/2/2013 <i>Repealing</i>	<p>Created and established the West Kendall (Section Three) Municipal Advisory Committee to study the possible creation of a new municipality in the West Kendall (Section One) area.</p> <p>Study area boundaries:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • North: Kendall Drive • South: SW 152 Street • East: The Florida Turnpike (between SW 88 Street and SW 104 Street (generally known as the "Calusa" area) and SW 137 Avenue between SW 104 Street and SW 152 Street • West: The Everglades 														
<i>4/23/2014</i>	West Kendall (Section One) Municipal Advisory Committee was organized and began to meet.														

**Board of County Commissioners
March 7, 2017 Meeting
Research Notes**

Item No.	Research Notes
<p>O-15-67 7/14/2015</p> <p><i>Repealing</i></p>	<p>Created to study the possible incorporation of a municipality in the West Kendall (Section One) Area. Specifically:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Renamed the West Kendall (Section One) Municipal Advisory Committee (MAC) as the West End North MAC; • Provided that the West End North MAC remain in existence until the later of (i) the date that the BCC votes to defer, approve or deny a resolution submitting the incorporation question to the resident electors of the West End North area, or (ii) 15 months from the effective date of this ordinance; and • Provided that in no event would the West End North MAC exist for more than two years from the effective date of O-15-67.
<p>O-15-68 7/14/2015</p> <p><i>Repealing</i></p>	<p>Created to study the possible incorporation of a municipality in the West Kendall (Section Three) Area. Specifically:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Renamed the West Kendall (Section Three) Municipal Advisory Committee (MAC) as the West End South MAC; • Provided that the West End South MAC will remain in existence until the later of (i) the date that the BCC votes to defer, approve or deny a resolution submitting the incorporation question to the resident electors of the West End South area, or (ii) 15 months from the effective date of this ordinance; • Provided that in no event will the West End South MAC exist for more than two years from the effective date of O-15-68.
<p>3/17/2015</p>	<p>The West Kendall (Section Three) Municipal Advisory Committee was organized and began to meet.</p>
<p><u>Additional Information on Miami-Dade County Code Relating to Petition for Incorporation and Creation of a Municipal Advisory Committee⁴</u></p> <p><i>Sec. 20-20. - Petition for incorporation initiated by individual or group; Creation of Municipal Advisory Committee related to petition.</i></p> <p><i>(A) An individual or group of area residents may file a request for approval of the form of a petition and authorization to circulate such petition for incorporation with the Clerk of the Board of County Commissioners.</i></p> <p><i>(1) The petition form shall provide for the following information about the proposed municipality:</i></p> <p><i>(a) General description and map of area boundaries, and</i></p> <p><i>(b) Statement of the reason for seeking incorporation.</i></p> <p><i>(2) The Clerk of the Board of County Commissioners shall report to the Commission that a request to approve the form of a petition for incorporation and authorize the circulation of such petition has been received. The Board of County Commissioners may approve the form of the petition and may authorize the petitioners to circulate the petition for incorporation and obtain signatures of resident electors within the area.</i></p> <p><i>(3) In order for the submitted petition to be complete, the petition shall include consent from no less than twenty (20) percent of the electors in the area proposed for incorporation. Each circulator of the petition shall certify that the circulator has witnessed the signatures of all resident electors signing such petition. Where a circulator certifies that the circulator has witnessed the signatures, but has failed to do so, such failure shall constitute a violation of this Code and upon conviction shall be punished by a fine of not to exceed five hundred dollars (\$500.00) or imprisonment in the County Jail for not more than sixty (60) days, or by both such fine and imprisonment.</i></p>	

⁴ https://www.municode.com/library/fl/miami_dade_county/codes/code_of_ordinances?nodeId=PTIICOOR_CH20MU_ARTIINPR_S20-20PEINININGRCRMUADCOREPE

**Board of County Commissioners
March 7, 2017 Meeting
Research Notes**

Item No.	Research Notes
	<p><i>(4) Signed petitions shall be submitted to the Clerk of the Board within 180 days of the date the County Commission approves the form and authorizes the circulation of the petition in order for the petition to receive any further review or consideration by the County. Petitioners should submit any resolutions of support or opposition, if any exist, from the closest existing municipality within such 180 day period.</i></p> <p><i>(B) The Clerk of the Board of County Commissioners shall upon receipt of a petition for incorporation transmit a copy to the Office of Strategic Business Management for determination of completeness in accordance with the requirement of subsection (A)(1) and to the Department of Elections for certification as to the sufficiency of signatures on the petition. Upon determining that the petition is complete and that a sufficient number of valid signatures has been obtained, the Office of Strategic Business Management shall notify the Clerk of the Board of such occurrence.</i></p> <p><i>(C) The Clerk of the Board of County Commissioners shall notify the County Commission that the petition is complete and contains sufficient signatures. Upon notification of the completeness and sufficiency of the petition and upon sponsorship of the County Commissioner whose district comprises the majority of the area proposed to be incorporated by the petition, the Board of County Commissioners may create a Municipal Advisory Committee ("MAC"), which shall carry out the functions set forth in the resolution or ordinance creating the MAC and be subject to the requirements of Section 20-29 of the Code of Miami-Dade County (the "Code"), excluding the requirement of consent of resident electors. Notwithstanding the creation of a MAC, the procedures for consideration of a petition set forth in Section 20-20 et seq. of the Code shall apply. If the boundaries in the completed petition differ from the boundaries of the MAC study area, the boundaries of the MAC study area shall supplant and be substituted for the boundaries included in the petition; provided, however, 20% of the electors residing within the boundaries as revised to conform to the MAC study area shall have signed the completed petition indicating their interest in incorporating the area.</i></p> <p><i>(D) The requirement of filing a petition for incorporation pursuant to Section 20-20 of the Code of Miami-Dade County (the "Code") and the procedures for such filing contained in Section 20-21(A) of the Code shall not apply to incorporation proposals by the County Commission or County Manager. It is provided, however, that a Commission or Manager-initiated incorporation proposal shall be deemed a petition for proposed incorporation for purposes of Section 20-21; 20-22, and 20-23 and shall be required to comply therewith. Notwithstanding Section 20-23A herein, the Board of County Commissioners may hold a public hearing on a Commission initiated incorporation proposal during any regular or special meeting of the Board of County Commissioners.</i></p>
8A1 170213	<p>RESOLUTION APPROVING AWARD OF THE PROFESSIONAL SERVICES AGREEMENT TO ATKINS NORTH AMERICA, INC, FOR MIAMI INTERNATIONAL AIRPORT CENTRAL BASE APRON AND UTILITIES MODIFICATION AND EXPANSION, PROJECT NO. E16-MDAD-09; IN AN AMOUNT NOT TO EXCEED \$7,795,440.00 FOR A TERM OF SIX YEARS; AND AUTHORIZING THE COUNTY MAYOR OR COUNTY MAYOR'S DESIGNEE TO EXECUTE THE AGREEMENT AND TO EXERCISE THE PROVISIONS CONTAINED THEREIN</p>
Notes	<p>The proposed resolution approves the award of the Professional Services Agreement (PSA) for Miami International Airport (MIA) Central Base Apron and Utilities Modification and Expansion, E16-MDAD-09, with Atkins North America, Inc. in the amount of \$7,795,440.00, and authorizes the County Mayor or designee to execute the Agreement. The contract term is for six (6) years with no option-to-extend.</p> <p>The selected architectural and engineering (A/E) consultant will perform design and construction inspection services for the MIA Central Base Apron and Utilities Modification and Expansion. This project provides the paving, grading and drainage for the modification and expansion of the Central Base apron, taxilane and associated infrastructure. The scope of work consists of pavement and bridge demolition, construction of new rigid and flexible asphalt pavements, installation of a culvert to replace a portion of Canal 10A, stormwater improvements, lighting, signage and pavement markings. Phasing and maintenance of aircraft traffic will be key elements of the project.</p> <p>Fiscal Impact/Funding Source</p>

**Board of County Commissioners
March 7, 2017 Meeting
Research Notes**

Item No.	Research Notes
	<p>Commercial paper which will be converted into Aviation Revenue Bonds within three (3) years.</p> <p><u>Contract Measures</u> Disadvantaged Business Enterprise (DBE) Goal 21 percent (21%)</p> <p><u>Measures Achieved at Award</u> 22 percent (22%)- \$1,714,997.00 Botas Engineering, Inc. – Seven percent (7%)- \$545,680.80; Keith and Associates, Inc. – 15 percent (15%)- \$1,169,316.00</p> <p><u>Subconsultants</u> Parsons Brinckerhoff, Inc. Trade Name: PB Americas, Inc.; Terracon Consultants, Inc.; Botas Engineering, Inc.; and Keith and Associates, Inc.</p> <p><u>Background</u> On July 18, 2016, a Notice to Professional Consultants was issued under full and open competition. On September 15, 2016, the Clerk of the Board received five (5) proposals. In accordance with Chapter 287.055 of the Florida Statutes and Chapter 2-10.4 of the Code of Miami-Dade County, both of which govern certification, selection, and negotiation procedures, the Competitive Selection Committee held a first-tier meeting on October 17, 2016 to review all proposals. The Committee determined that the information provided was sufficient to determine qualifications, and waived the second-tier phase.</p> <p>The top-ranked firm, Atkins North America, Inc. was found by the Selection Committee to have met the qualification requirements. With the approval to move forward with the negotiation process, the Negotiation Committee successfully negotiated a PSA with Atkins North America, Inc. on October 31, 2016. The remaining four (4) teams will serve as alternates; <i>T.Y. Lin International; RS&H, Inc.; HDR Engineering, Inc.; and Burns & McDonnell Engineering Company, Inc.</i></p>
<p>8A2 170215</p>	<p>RESOLUTION WAIVING COMPETITIVE BIDDING BY TWO THIRDS VOTE OF THE MEMBERS PRESENT PURSUANT TO SECTION 5.03(D) OF THE HOME RULE CHARTER AND SECTION 2-8.1 OF THE COUNTY CODE; WAIVING THE PROVISIONS OF R-456-07 AND R-1587-72 AS BEING IN THE BEST INTERESTS OF MIAMI-DADE COUNTY; APPROVING AWARD OF A LEASE AND CONCESSION AGREEMENT FOR CHEF CREOLE AIRPORT LLC, AT MIAMI INTERNATIONAL AIRPORT, FOR PAYMENTS TO THE COUNTY OF THE GREATER OF 15 PERCENT OF GROSS REVENUES OR A MINIMUM ANNUAL GUARANTEE (MAG) PAYMENT OF \$100,800.00, AND FOR A TERM OF EIGHT (8) YEARS, WITH ONE (1) TWO YEAR EXTENSION AT THE MUTUAL CONSENT OF BOTH PARTIES; AND AUTHORIZING THE COUNTY MAYOR OR COUNTY MAYOR'S DESIGNEE TO EXECUTE SUCH AGREEMENT AND TO EXERCISE ALL PROVISIONS THEREIN, INCLUDING BUT NOT LIMITED TO TERMINATION AND EXTENSION PROVISIONS</p>
<p>Notes</p>	<p>The proposed resolution provides for the following:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Waives competitive bid procedures pursuant to Miami-Dade County Code Section 2-8.1 and Section 5.03D of the Home Rule Charter and Resolution No. R-1587-72; • Waives the provisions of Resolution No. R-456-07 prohibiting the use of trans fats; and • Approves the award of a Lease and Concession Agreement, for a term of eight (8) years with one (1) two-year option-to-renew, to Chef Creole Airport, LLC (Chef Creole) for an 825-square-foot location in Miami International Airport's (MIA) Central Terminal, Concourse E, Second Floor, to operate a creole cuisine restaurant. <p><u>Fiscal Impact/Funding Source</u> Chef Creole will pay MDAD the greater of 15 percent (15%) of gross revenues or a Minimum Annual Guarantee (MAG) payment of \$100,800.00, based on 15 percent (15%) of projected annual sales of \$672,000.00.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • <i>The projected annual sales number was derived from a combination of historical sales produced by a similar concept in close proximity, and projected sales numbers provided by Chef Creole Airport LLC. The store will be developed, financed, managed, and operated by Chef Creole.</i> <p><u>Background</u></p>

**Board of County Commissioners
March 7, 2017 Meeting
Research Notes**

Item No.	Research Notes
	<p>Miami-Dade County is composed of a vibrant mix of peoples and cultures, many of which cannot be found in other parts of the country. For travelers and tourists entering the County, their first taste of this diverse mix is MIA. MDAD is striving to create that sense of destination for its passengers by offering a unique blend of concessions showcasing local and unique businesses that create that “sense of destination.”</p> <p><u>Additional Information- Resolution No. R-137-16</u> On February 2, 2016, the BCC, through Resolution No. R-137-16, directed the County Mayor or designee to negotiate Concession Agreements with Jackson Soul Food and Chef Creole for locations, either existing or future, at Miami International Airport (MIA), and to present a written recommendation to the BCC as to whether the County should enter into such Concession Agreements within 120 days.</p> <p>During the January 14, 2016, Economic Prosperity Committee (EPC) Meeting, Resolution No. R-137-16 was discussed as follows:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • <i>In response to whether MDAD had an available location or if the BCC was selecting a specific company and instructing Mayor to enter into negotiations; the Assistant County Attorney responded that the item was directing the Mayor to negotiate with the two firms and bring a recommendation back to the BCC.</i> • <i>Committee members pointed out that during consideration of the Perry Ellis, Bongos, and Children’s Museum bid waiver items for MDAD concessions that were adopted, the BCC discussed that diversity needed to exist at MIA and because Miami-Dade County was such a diverse community, the vendors at MIA needed to be representative of the entire community.</i> • <i>It was stated that MDAD was already in discussions for these concessions.</i> <p><u>Additional Information- Resolution No. R-823-15</u> On October 6, 2015, the BCC, through Resolution No. R-823-15, did the following:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Waived competitive bid procedures pursuant to Miami-Dade County Code Section 2-8.1 and Section 5.03D of the Home Rule Charter and Resolution No. R-1587-72; • Approved the award of a Lease and Concession Agreement, for an eight (8) year term with one (1) two-year option to renew, to Bongos Cuban Café Miami, Inc. (Bongos) d/b/a Estefan Kitchen Express (EKE) for one (1) location in the Miami International Airport (MIA) North Terminal Marketplace to operate Estefan Kitchen Express, a rum bar serving light foods; and • Authorized the County Mayor or designee to execute the Agreement and exercise any renewal options and termination provisions; and Bongos will occupy 529 square feet of space in the MIA Marketplace and will pay MDAD the greater of 14 percent of gross revenues for food sales plus 19 percent of gross revenues for alcohol sales or a Minimum Annual Guarantee (MAG) payment of \$156,000.00, based on 19 percent of projected annual sales of \$821,000.00.
8F1 162895	<p>RESOLUTION APPROVING LEASE AGREEMENT BETWEEN MIAMI-DADE COUNTY AND BELL SOUTH TELECOMMUNICATIONS, LLC D/B/A AT&T FLORIDA, FOR THE PROPERTY LOCATED AT 7750 NW 186 STREET, MIAMI, FLORIDA, FOR A FIFTEEN YEAR TERM WITH A TOTAL FISCAL IMPACT TO THE COUNTY OF \$221,879.00; DECLARING SUCH PROPERTY SURPLUS AND AUTHORIZING THE COUNTY MAYOR, OR THE COUNTY MAYOR’S DESIGNEE, TO EXECUTE THE LEASE AGREEMENT, EXERCISE ANY AND ALL OTHER RIGHTS CONFERRED THEREIN, TAKE ALL ACTIONS NECESSARY TO EFFECTUATE SAME, AND TO PROVIDE AN EXECUTED COPY OF SAME TO THE PROPERTY APPRAISER’S OFFICE WITHIN 30 DAYS</p>
Notes	<p>The proposed resolution authorizes the execution of the Lease Agreement (Lease), Contract No. EPPRFP-00286, between Miami-Dade County and BellSouth Telecommunications LLC dba AT&T Florida (Tenant) for property located at 7750 N.W. 186 Street, Miami, Florida.</p> <p>The property will be utilized as a telecommunications subscriber loop carrier hut, facilitating telecommunication services to the Tenant’s customers in the surrounding area. Specifically, the resolution does the following:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Authorizes the lease of 2,900 square feet of space at 7750 N.W. 186 Street, Miami, Florida; and • Authorizes a lease term of 15 years. <p><u>Fiscal Impact/Funding Source</u></p>

**Board of County Commissioners
March 7, 2017 Meeting
Research Notes**

Item No.	Research Notes
	<p>The rental rate for the property is \$14,500.00 annually for the first five (5) years of the 15-year Lease term. In Year six (6), the rental rate increases to \$14,790.00 annually, and, in Year 11, the rental rate increases to \$15,085.80 annually (two (2) percent increases every five (5) years). The total fiscal impact (rental revenue) to the County for the 15-year lease term is \$221,879.00. The Internal Services Department will receive a four (4) percent management fee for the administration of the Lease, which totals \$8,875.16.</p> <p><u>Background</u></p> <p>The Tenant currently occupies the property pursuant to Resolution No. R-716-84, approved by the BCC on June 5, 1984, which authorized the leasing of the property for a period of 10 years with an option to renew the lease for two (2) additional 10-year periods. The property is used for the operation and maintenance of a telephone subscriber loop carrier hut. The lease agreement expired on June 4, 2014 and the Tenant remains on the property on a month-to-month basis.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • <i>The OCA requested information on the amount of rent being charged to the tenant during the month-to-month period. ISD staff responded that the tenant was still being charged the \$1,200 per month as provided in the previous agreement.</i> <p>The Internal Services Department circulated the property on February 17, 2016 and no County use was identified for the property. A competitive Request for Proposal (RFP), utilizing the Expedited Purchasing Program, to enter into a lease agreement for the use and occupancy of the Property. The Tenant was the only proposer that responded to the RFP. County staff negotiated the terms for the Lease, including the rental rate, with the Tenant. Under the prior lease agreement, the Tenant paid \$1,200.00 annually (\$100.00 per month).</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Why was there a lapse in time from June 4, 2014 (when previous lease agreement expired) and February 17, 2016 (when ISD circulated property to identify need by County)? <p>According to the Miami-Dade County Property Appraisers Office, the 2016 market value of the property is \$3,118,997 and the assessed value is \$2,988,590.⁵</p> <p><u>Additional Information</u></p> <p>On March 2, 2016, the Division of Environmental Resources Management (DERM) for the Department of Regulatory and Economic Resources issued a memo regarding preliminary findings regarding the property located at 7750 NW 186 Street. According to the memo, the property does not have contamination documented in the Environmental Resources Management Division's (EMRD) files within its boundaries. The memo also states that the property does have contamination documented in EMRD files within 135 feet to the east which is beyond a canal. The contamination includes two discharges, one of which is awaiting state funding for assessment and remediation via the Drycleaning Solvent Cleanup Program (DSCP).</p> <p>DERM notes that these findings are only based on documentation available in EMRD files, they do not preclude the need for a Phase 1 environmental site assessment, which would provide the appropriate and comprehensive depiction of historical site activities and their potential for contamination impacts.</p>
8F2 162890	<p>RESOLUTION APPROVING AWARD OF CONTRACT NO. FB-00362 FOR PURCHASE OF A PRODUCTION SWITCH TAMPER FOR THE DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION AND PUBLIC WORKS IN A TOTAL AMOUNT NOT TO EXCEED \$960,000.00 FOR THE ONE YEAR TERM; AND AUTHORIZING THE COUNTY MAYOR OR COUNTY MAYOR'S DESIGNEE TO GIVE NOTICE OF THIS AWARD, ISSUE THE APPROPRIATE PURCHASE ORDER TO GIVE EFFECT TO SAME AND EXERCISE ALL PROVISIONS OF THE CONTRACT PURSUANT TO SECTION 2-8.1 OF THE CODE AND IMPLEMENTING ORDER 3-38; AND AUTHORIZING THE USE OF CHARTER COUNTY TRANSPORTATION SURTAX FUNDS FOR SUCH PURPOSES</p>
Notes	<p>The proposed resolution approves award of Contract No. FB-00362, for the purchase of one (1) Production Switch Tamper (Tamper), in the amount of \$960,000 for the Department of Transportation and Public Works to support its operations.</p> <p><u>Background</u></p>

⁵ <http://www.miamidade.gov/propertysearch/#/>

**Board of County Commissioners
March 7, 2017 Meeting
Research Notes**

Item No.	Research Notes
	<p>The Tamper currently utilized is 25 years old and no longer meets the department's operational needs.</p> <p>A Tamper is a self-propelled, multi-functional machine utilized to automatically perform lifting, lining, and cross-leveling tasks on railway tracks. The Tamper is used to compress the granite ballast under the Metrorail tracks to provide a more durable and safe railway track. The awarded vendor will build the Tamper, deliver it to the Lehman Rail Yard, and provide a two-week training course for operators and mechanics utilizing the Tamper. The contract includes an option for any customizations that may be necessary during the term of the contract. Upon acceptance, the Tamper will be used to provide necessary enhancements to the Metrorail infrastructure to allow trains to run at 70 miles per hour.</p> <p><u>Fiscal Impact/Funding Source</u> The fiscal impact for the one-year term is \$960,000.</p> <p><u>Awarded Vendor</u> Plasser American Corporation</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • 2001 Myers Road, Chesapeake, VA
8F3 162894	<p>RESOLUTION AUTHORIZING ESTABLISHMENT OF PREQUALIFICATION POOL RTQ-00381 IN A TOTAL AMOUNT UP TO \$11,607,000.00 FOR INTEGRATED PEST MANAGEMENT SERVICES FOR VARIOUS COUNTY DEPARTMENTS; AND AUTHORIZING THE COUNTY MAYOR OR COUNTY MAYOR'S DESIGNEE TO SOLICIT PRICING, AWARD CONTRACTS, EXERCISE ALL PROVISIONS OF THE SOLICITATION DOCUMENTS AND ANY RESULTING CONTRACTS PURSUANT TO SECTION 2-8.1 OF THE CODE OF MIAMI-DADE COUNTY, FLORIDA AND IMPLEMENTING ORDER 3-38, AND ADD VENDORS TO THE POOL AT ANY TIME, SUBJECT TO RATIFICATION BY THE BOARD ON A BI-ANNUAL BASIS</p>
Notes	<p>The proposed resolution establishes a prequalification pool RTQ-00381 for integrated pest management services for various County departments in a total amount up to \$11,607,000.00 and authorizes the County Mayor or County Mayor's designee to:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Solicit pricing and award contracts up to the aggregate amount of the allocation authorized by the BCC; • Exercise all provisions of the solicitation documents and any resulting contracts pursuant to Section 2-8.1 of the Code of Miami-Dade County, Florida and Implementing Order 3-38; and • Add vendors to the pool at any time, subject to ratification by the BCC on a bi-annual basis. <p><u>Fiscal Impact/Funding Source</u> The fiscal impact for the eight-year term is \$11,607,000.</p> <p>The current contract, RFQ8264-3, is valued at \$7,253,390 for eight (8) years and six (6) months. The allocation is based on projected usage by County departments over the term of the pool. The allocation requested is higher than the current contract's value because services are being added. More specifically, the Department of Transportation and Public Works is implementing an aggressive pest eradication treatment program for its bus fleet, to include a specialized team that will monitor the treatment closely to ensure buses remain pest free.</p> <p><u>Vendors Recommended for Award</u> El Toro Exterminator of Florida, Inc. d/b/a Toro Pest Management</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • 1460 NW 107 Avenue, Unit I, Miami, FL <p>Gregory Pest Control, Inc. d/b/a Gregory Pest Solutions, Inc.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • 1313 Miller Road, Greenville, SC <p>Hulett Environmental Services, Inc.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • 7670 Okeechobee Boulevard, West Palm Beach, FL • 1779 NW 79 Avenue, Doral, FL <p>Orange Pest Control & Services, Inc.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • 977 NW 31 Avenue, Pompano Beach, FL <p>Power Exterminators, Inc. d/b/a PowerX</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • 8815 SW 129 Street, Miami, FL <p>Sharp Shot, Inc/ (SBE)</p>

**Board of County Commissioners
March 7, 2017 Meeting
Research Notes**

Item No.	Research Notes																												
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • 6963 SW 151 Street, Miami, FL Southern Service Solutions, LLC • 16278 SW 97 Terrace, Miami, FL Sprague Operating, LLC d/b/a Beach Environmental • 6911 Garden Road, Riviera Beach, FL • 400 S Dixie Highway, Suite 7, Hallandale Beach, FL The Steritech Group, Inc. • 7600 Little Avenue, Charlotte, NC • 4140 SW 30 Avenue, Suite 100, Ft. Lauderdale, FL <p><u>Additional Information on Previous Contract RFQ8264</u> On June 3, 2008, the BCC, through Resolution No. R-653-08, approved an award to establish a pool of qualified vendors to provide pest control services in the amount of \$3,726,500 for the initial five year term, with three, one-year options-to-renew. <i>The pool was consolidating three contracts for these services with varying expiration dates. Two were awarded to support all County agencies. The third contract was awarded to service MDAD.</i></p> <p>On January 22, 2014, the BCC, through Resolution No. R-39-14 authorized additional expenditure authority of up to \$68,000 to increase the frequency of pest control treatments and employ additional methods of pest management for its bus fleet through the July 31, 2014 contract expiration date.</p> <table border="1" data-bbox="456 865 1300 1831"> <thead> <tr> <th colspan="2">Contract No. RFQ8264 According to the Bid Tracking System</th></tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>Original Contract No. RFQ8264 R-653-08 8/12/2008-7/31/2013</td><td>\$3,726,500</td></tr> <tr> <td>Modification 6/15/2010</td><td>\$3,400</td></tr> <tr> <td>Total Amount of Original Contract Term</td><td>\$3,729,900</td></tr> <tr> <td>First OTR RFQ8264-1(3) 8/1/2013-7/31/2014</td><td>\$745,980</td></tr> <tr> <td>Modification R-39-14 1/22/2014</td><td>\$68,000</td></tr> <tr> <td>Modification R-39-14 1/22/2014</td><td>\$20</td></tr> <tr> <td>Modification 4/28/2014</td><td>\$186,000</td></tr> <tr> <td>Total Amount for the First OTR</td><td>\$1,000,000</td></tr> <tr> <td>Second OTR RFQ8264-2(3) 8/1/2014-7/31/2015</td><td>\$1,000,000</td></tr> <tr> <td>Third OTR RFQ8264-3(3) 8/1/2015-7/31/2016 <i>According to the Bid Tracking System, the expiration date was extended to 1/31/2017.</i></td><td>\$1,015,660</td></tr> <tr> <td>Proration</td><td>\$507,830</td></tr> <tr> <td>Total Amount for Third OTR</td><td>\$1,523,490</td></tr> <tr> <td>Cumulative Contract Total</td><td>\$7,253,390</td></tr> </tbody> </table> <p>Additional Information on Legislation Pertaining to Awarding Successor Contracts</p>	Contract No. RFQ8264 According to the Bid Tracking System		Original Contract No. RFQ8264 R-653-08 8/12/2008-7/31/2013	\$3,726,500	Modification 6/15/2010	\$3,400	Total Amount of Original Contract Term	\$3,729,900	First OTR RFQ8264-1(3) 8/1/2013-7/31/2014	\$745,980	Modification R-39-14 1/22/2014	\$68,000	Modification R-39-14 1/22/2014	\$20	Modification 4/28/2014	\$186,000	Total Amount for the First OTR	\$1,000,000	Second OTR RFQ8264-2(3) 8/1/2014-7/31/2015	\$1,000,000	Third OTR RFQ8264-3(3) 8/1/2015-7/31/2016 <i>According to the Bid Tracking System, the expiration date was extended to 1/31/2017.</i>	\$1,015,660	Proration	\$507,830	Total Amount for Third OTR	\$1,523,490	Cumulative Contract Total	\$7,253,390
Contract No. RFQ8264 According to the Bid Tracking System																													
Original Contract No. RFQ8264 R-653-08 8/12/2008-7/31/2013	\$3,726,500																												
Modification 6/15/2010	\$3,400																												
Total Amount of Original Contract Term	\$3,729,900																												
First OTR RFQ8264-1(3) 8/1/2013-7/31/2014	\$745,980																												
Modification R-39-14 1/22/2014	\$68,000																												
Modification R-39-14 1/22/2014	\$20																												
Modification 4/28/2014	\$186,000																												
Total Amount for the First OTR	\$1,000,000																												
Second OTR RFQ8264-2(3) 8/1/2014-7/31/2015	\$1,000,000																												
Third OTR RFQ8264-3(3) 8/1/2015-7/31/2016 <i>According to the Bid Tracking System, the expiration date was extended to 1/31/2017.</i>	\$1,015,660																												
Proration	\$507,830																												
Total Amount for Third OTR	\$1,523,490																												
Cumulative Contract Total	\$7,253,390																												

**Board of County Commissioners
March 7, 2017 Meeting
Research Notes**

Item No.	Research Notes	
	<p>R-234-01 3/8/2001</p>	<p>Granted the County Manager the authority to negotiate changes in contracts, permits and concessions that are about to expire to assure that the County does not lose revenue opportunities due to delays in obtaining a successor contract, permit or concession.</p>
	<p>R-841-06 7/6/2006</p>	<p>Changed the deadline to seek approval for award of successor contracts or extensions of existing contract from sixty to thirty days prior to expiration.</p> <p><u>Additional Information on Committee Meeting Discussion</u></p> <p>During the Government Operations Committee meeting on February 14, 2017, the proposed resolution was discussed as follows:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • <i>The Committee expressed concerns regarding the list of vendors and the inclusion of local firms.</i> • <i>The Internal Services Department (ISD) Director explained that the Department was looking at different alternatives to address an increase in local participation. She noted that the Department has an outreach group and that the Mayor recently issued a memo regarding how to identify which firms are not doing business with the County right now, and how they can be reached, as well as looking at firms who are registered in the County and are just not responding to requests when contacted to participate in contracts.</i> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ○ <i>On January 30, 2017, the Mayor issued a memo regarding the Local Business Outreach Workgroup.</i> <p><i>According to the memo, ISD performs regular outreach and business training opportunities, through its Small Business Development and Procurement Management Services Divisions, to promote doing business with the County. In order to expand these efforts, ISD is establishing a Local Business Outreach Workgroup to collaboratively develop and execute an aggressive communications plan with the assistance of the Communications Department. Representative from the Beacon Council, Miami Dade College, Miami-Dade County School Board and Greater Miami Chamber of Commerce among others, will be invited to join the workgroup in order to provide local businesses countywide with information regarding available contracting opportunities, including County pools and the Water and Sewer Consent Decree projects.</i></p> <p><i>The workgroup will be tasked with identifying opportunities for the County to increase the participation of local firms in our procurement process. This will include surveying firms to better understand their needs, identifying barriers preventing their participation or competitiveness, and recommending changes to legislation.</i></p> • <i>The Director noted that according to a resolution of the BCC, the Department reports to the BCC every six months when vendors are added to any pool. The Director noted that the last report noted that over 250 vendors had been added. She noted that there had been an increase in participation.</i> <p>During the Strategic Planning and Government Operations Committee meeting on January 17, 2017, the proposed resolution was discussed as follows prior to being deferred to the next committee meeting.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • <i>The Committee posed a series of questions as to the flexibility of this \$11.6 million, eight year pest management contract, in terms of new products and technology and whether it was an oversight that mosquitos were not listed under pest management.</i> • <i>The Special Project Administrator for the Community Action and Human Services Department noted mosquitos were included as a part of pest management.</i> • <i>The Committee asked the CAO to ensure that mosquitos were documented under the pest management list intended to eliminate indoor and outdoor within the limitations for County buildings, yards, and etc., noting mosquitos were not included in today's (1/17) proposal.</i> • <i>The Special Projects Administrator noted he was advised by staff that there was a separate contract for mosquitos. He advised that the integrated pest management agreement being considered was for indoor pest management.</i> • <i>Discussion ensued regarding the list of outside services in the proposed item which referred only to inside and a 200 yard perimeter of the buildings that would address any pest issues while a separate contract addressed other outside pests beyond those perimeters.</i> • <i>The Committee expressed concern because of the existing impact from mosquitos with adverse consequences year round and asked the CAO whether the contract language could include services for</i>

**Board of County Commissioners
March 7, 2017 Meeting
Research Notes**

Item No.	Research Notes								
	<p><i>County facilities, such as the Stephen P. Clark Government Center building that had a water fountain that breeds mosquitos, rather than have these services under a different contract and allocation.</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <i>The Assistant County Attorney advised that it was difficult to add that language to the contract because it was a pre-qualification contract, which evaluated the vendor's ability to perform mosquito eradication. He further advised that in order to amend this item, the language would indicate that vendors were qualified to perform mosquito eradication and would be authorized to issue work orders to perform the job; however, this would have to be clarified because it was not included in the pest management list.</i> <i>Discussion ensued regarding not including mosquitos in this contract, which had caused great health issues, as well as the fact that only five out of nine vendors were located Miami.</i> <i>The Deputy Mayor noted mosquitos could be eradicated within the 200 yard perimeter outside of County facilities. He indicated that there were firms on the pre-qualified pool list that were located outside of the County; however, he believed when there was a scope of service it was bid out to those on the pre-qualified pool and was based on whose bid was less.</i> <i>The Committee made a motion to defer and requested a report on the bid amounts of those firms listed in the pre-qualified pool. Additionally, the Committee requested that the language related to mosquitos be included in the contract language and not part of arthropod pest.</i> 								
<p>8F4 162918</p>	<p>RESOLUTION APPROVING AWARD OF A PROFESSIONAL SERVICES AGREEMENT TO PEREZ AND PEREZ ARCHITECTS PLANNERS, INC. FOR REVISIONS TO THE 2008 ELEVENTH JUDICIAL CIRCUIT-WIDE COURTS AND THE 2008 CORRECTIONAL FACILITIES MASTER PLANS, ISD PROJECT NO. A16-ISD-01 GOB, ISD CONTRACT NO. Z000149, IN AN AMOUNT NOT TO EXCEED \$1,507,500.00, FUNDED FROM THE BUILDING BETTER COMMUNITIES GENERAL OBLIGATION BOND FUNDS, FOR A TERM OF TWO YEARS; AND AUTHORIZING THE COUNTY MAYOR OR COUNTY MAYOR'S DESIGNEE TO EXECUTE SAME</p>								
<p>Notes</p>	<p>The proposed resolution approves the award of a Non-Exclusive Professional Services Agreement (PSA) with Perez and Perez Architects Planners, Inc. for Revisions to the 2008 Eleventh Judicial Circuit –Wide Courts and the 2008 Correctional Facilities Master Plans in the amount of \$1,507,500.00.</p> <p>Professional services are required to provide a study updating the:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> 2008 Eleventh Judicial Circuit-wide Courts Master Plan in order to assess future needs and provide design standards for the expansion of Court Facilities in Miami-Dade County's Eleventh Judicial Circuit Court; and <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <i>The services will include planning, analysis, master planning, feasibility studies, programming, site development analysis, scheduling and cost estimating. The scope of services will apply to all criminal courts, including the Public Defender's Office and the State Attorney's Office.</i> 2008 Correctional Facilities Master Plan in order to assess the future needs and provide correctional design standards for the Master Plan in its entirety. <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <i>The services will include planning, analysis, master planning, feasibility studies, programming, site development analysis, scheduling and cost estimating for all existing facilities, as well as a feasibility study for a new Krome Detention Center and the programming and site development of a Criminal Justice Complex.</i> <p><u>Fiscal Impact/Funding Source</u></p> <p>The portion of the work resulting from updating the 2008 Eleventh Judicial Circuit-wide Courts Master Plan- \$738,675.00 will be funded through Building Better Communities - General Obligation Bond (BBC-GOB) proceeds, GOB Project No. 180 "Additional Courtrooms and Administration Facilities."</p> <p>Similarly, the portion of the work resulting from updating the 2008 Correctional Facilities Master Plans- \$768,825.00 will be funded through BCC-GOB proceeds, GOB Project No. 194 "Construction of the new Krome Detention Center."</p> <table border="1" data-bbox="337 1780 1130 1915"> <tr> <td>Base Estimate</td><td>\$1,250,000</td></tr> <tr> <td>Contingency Allowance- 10%</td><td>\$132,500</td></tr> <tr> <td>Reimbursable Expenses- 3.78%</td><td>\$50,000</td></tr> <tr> <td>Total</td><td>\$1,507,500</td></tr> </table>	Base Estimate	\$1,250,000	Contingency Allowance- 10%	\$132,500	Reimbursable Expenses- 3.78%	\$50,000	Total	\$1,507,500
Base Estimate	\$1,250,000								
Contingency Allowance- 10%	\$132,500								
Reimbursable Expenses- 3.78%	\$50,000								
Total	\$1,507,500								

**Board of County Commissioners
March 7, 2017 Meeting
Research Notes**

Item No.	Research Notes				
	<p>Additional Services At the discretion of the County, the Consultant may be authorized to perform Additional Services. The fee for Additional Services will be compensated either as an Agreed Fixed Sum or based on Multiple of Direct Salary.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Multiple of Direct Salary Expense: <i>Fees calculated on an hourly basis will be a multiple of 2.90 times the salary rate of the personnel directly engaged on the Project and in no case will the maximum billable hourly (including multipliers) exceed \$160/hour for prime and sub-consultants and \$190/hour (flat rate) for principals of prime consultant. Should a full-time project Field Representative be required, the multiple will be a multiple of 2.20 times the salary rate.</i> <p>Estimated Original Contract Period 730 calendar days, after execution of the Agreement by the parties. The total time to complete the three phases is 270 calendar days.</p> <p>The remaining 460 calendar days are being allotted to allow the County the option to retain the consultant's services at no additional cost, to assist the County as we move forward with the various construction projects.</p> <p>The County Mayor may extend the Agreement on a year-to-year basis until completion and acceptance of the work.</p> <p>Contract Measures SBE-A&E: 10.00% - \$145,750</p> <p>Sub-Consultants Dan L. Wiley & Associates, Inc.- Court Operations and Judicial Facilities Planning Pulitzer, Bogard & Associates, LLC- Criminal Courts/Corrections Facilities Planning CPM North America, PLLC- Costing, Project Scheduling</p> <table border="1" data-bbox="272 1100 1484 1917"> <thead> <tr> <th colspan="2" data-bbox="272 1100 456 1129">Additional Information- Previous Legislation</th></tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td data-bbox="272 1129 456 1917"> <p>R-562-16 6/21/2016</p> </td><td data-bbox="456 1129 1484 1917"> <p>Created the Second Miami-Dade Court Capital Infrastructure Task Force. The purpose of the Second Miami-Dade Court Capital Infrastructure Task Force was to conduct a more detailed, in-depth analysis of the recommendations of the first Miami-Dade Court Capital Infrastructure Task Force, consult with local universities such as the University of Miami and Florida International University, and create a detailed report recommending the best way to address courthouse capital needs including, but not limited to, both the civil and criminal divisions of the Court and the best funding and delivery methodology to achieve those recommendations.</p> <p>The Second Miami-Dade Court Capital Infrastructure Task Force would provide its report to the BCC.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • The report submitted would consist of a more detailed analysis of the recommendations of the first Miami-Dade Court Capital Infrastructure Task Force, the recommendations of local universities such as the University of Miami and Florida International University, a detailed recommendation of the best way to address courthouse capital needs, including, but not limited to, both the civil and criminal divisions of the Court, and the best funding and delivery methodology to achieve those recommendations; • This report would be placed on an agenda of the BCC pursuant to Ordinance No. 14-65 for consideration by the BCC; • The BCC would then request such further work of the Second Miami-Dade Court Capital Infrastructure Task force as may be in the public interest. <p>The Second Miami-Dade Court Capital Infrastructure Task Force would sunset within 100 days from the effective date of this resolution.</p> </td></tr> </tbody> </table>	Additional Information- Previous Legislation		<p>R-562-16 6/21/2016</p>	<p>Created the Second Miami-Dade Court Capital Infrastructure Task Force. The purpose of the Second Miami-Dade Court Capital Infrastructure Task Force was to conduct a more detailed, in-depth analysis of the recommendations of the first Miami-Dade Court Capital Infrastructure Task Force, consult with local universities such as the University of Miami and Florida International University, and create a detailed report recommending the best way to address courthouse capital needs including, but not limited to, both the civil and criminal divisions of the Court and the best funding and delivery methodology to achieve those recommendations.</p> <p>The Second Miami-Dade Court Capital Infrastructure Task Force would provide its report to the BCC.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • The report submitted would consist of a more detailed analysis of the recommendations of the first Miami-Dade Court Capital Infrastructure Task Force, the recommendations of local universities such as the University of Miami and Florida International University, a detailed recommendation of the best way to address courthouse capital needs, including, but not limited to, both the civil and criminal divisions of the Court, and the best funding and delivery methodology to achieve those recommendations; • This report would be placed on an agenda of the BCC pursuant to Ordinance No. 14-65 for consideration by the BCC; • The BCC would then request such further work of the Second Miami-Dade Court Capital Infrastructure Task force as may be in the public interest. <p>The Second Miami-Dade Court Capital Infrastructure Task Force would sunset within 100 days from the effective date of this resolution.</p>
Additional Information- Previous Legislation					
<p>R-562-16 6/21/2016</p>	<p>Created the Second Miami-Dade Court Capital Infrastructure Task Force. The purpose of the Second Miami-Dade Court Capital Infrastructure Task Force was to conduct a more detailed, in-depth analysis of the recommendations of the first Miami-Dade Court Capital Infrastructure Task Force, consult with local universities such as the University of Miami and Florida International University, and create a detailed report recommending the best way to address courthouse capital needs including, but not limited to, both the civil and criminal divisions of the Court and the best funding and delivery methodology to achieve those recommendations.</p> <p>The Second Miami-Dade Court Capital Infrastructure Task Force would provide its report to the BCC.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • The report submitted would consist of a more detailed analysis of the recommendations of the first Miami-Dade Court Capital Infrastructure Task Force, the recommendations of local universities such as the University of Miami and Florida International University, a detailed recommendation of the best way to address courthouse capital needs, including, but not limited to, both the civil and criminal divisions of the Court, and the best funding and delivery methodology to achieve those recommendations; • This report would be placed on an agenda of the BCC pursuant to Ordinance No. 14-65 for consideration by the BCC; • The BCC would then request such further work of the Second Miami-Dade Court Capital Infrastructure Task force as may be in the public interest. <p>The Second Miami-Dade Court Capital Infrastructure Task Force would sunset within 100 days from the effective date of this resolution.</p>				

**Board of County Commissioners
March 7, 2017 Meeting
Research Notes**

Item No.	Research Notes
	<p><u>Additional Information – Miami-Dade Court Capital Infrastructure Task Force Report</u></p> <p>On February 11, 2016, the Miami-Dade Court Capital Infrastructure Task Force Report was issued. The Task Force held nine (9) meetings: July 17, 2015; August 10, 2015; August 17, 2015; August 24, 2015; September 15, 2015; October 5, 2015; November 19, 2015; December 10, 2015; and December 17, 2015. During the course of these meetings, numerous presentations were made, at the request of the Task Force members. In addition to these presentations, a number of other County Departments were available to answer questions of the Task Force members, including the Office of management and Budget, the Eleventh Judicial Circuit, and Internal Services’ Facilities and Construction Management staff.</p> <p>After hearing and deliberating the testimony and information provided, the Task Force established the following priorities based on the needs of the courts system:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • The historic Dade County Courthouse is no longer able to support the operational and spatial needs of the civil court and related functions in an environment that is functional, flexible, secure, accessible, dignified and technologically current; • The civil court should be accommodated in a purposely built facility that embodies the characteristics of a 21st century civil courthouse, serves the public and the efficient administration of justice, accommodates growth and change, and continues to represent the community’s commitment to the rule of law and equal access to justice under that law; • The estimated size of the recommended facility and/or facilities through 2035 should provide 53 courtrooms to accommodate 53 judicial officers (Circuit Civil, Probate and County Civil Courts) and the associated operations of the Administrative Office of the Courts and the Clerk of Courts as well as the appropriate jury assembly, grand jury space, law enforcement area, law library/community space, security and building management functions. On December 8, the Task Force was provided with the Draft Master Plan, which determined the final number of civil courtrooms through 2035 is 50; and • This facility should be located in the downtown area, close to related courts and as close as possible to a major transportation hub with adequate parking.
<p>R-790-15 9/16/2015</p>	<p>Extended the sunset deadline for an additional 100 days, of the Miami-Dade County Court Capital Infrastructure Task Force, which was established by Resolution Number R-144-15 and enacted on June 30, 2015, since the task force had been unable to complete its work pursuant to the resolution. <i>The Task Force was set to sunset on September 25, 2015.</i></p>
<p>R-82-15 2/3/2015</p>	<p>The proposed resolution provided for the following:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Approved the significant modification of Building Better Communities General Obligation Bond (BBC GOB) Program No. 180- Additional Courtrooms and Administration Facilities- to reduce its original allocation from \$90,000,000 to \$60,000,000; • Declared \$30,000,000 as surplus funds and waives the requirements of IO 3-47 regarding the addition of a new project to the Bond Program using surplus funds, including the requirements that surplus funds may fund a new project (a) only after all projects have been completed or necessary funding for completion of all projects has been identified to the satisfaction of the BCC and (b) the new project is ready to proceed; • Approved the addition of Project No. 351- Emergency Capital Repairs to the Miami-Dade County Courthouse; and • Added Project No. 351- with a project description that provides “emergency capital repairs to the Miami-Dade County Courthouse to correct or repair hazardous conditions that may affect the life, health and safety of judges, employees, visitors or users of the courthouse”, 73 West Flagler Street, and an allocation equal to \$30,000,000.

**Board of County Commissioners
March 7, 2017 Meeting
Research Notes**

Item No.	Research Notes
	<p>This item was considered by the Citizens' Advisory Committee at its meeting of December 12, 2014 and it received a favorable recommendation from the Committee,</p> <p><u>Additional Information</u></p> <p>On December 2, 2014, the BCC through R-1084-14, provided that if the County Mayor in consultation with the County Building Official determines that evidence of a condition exists that creates a hazard to the life, health, or safety of the judges, employees or visitors at the 1928 Courthouse, the Mayor or designee will immediately close all or portion of the 1928 Courthouse and correct or repair the hazardous condition using Bond Program funds, if such funds are available for such use, such funds will be used only to the extent necessary to repair the identified hazardous conditions and only to meet current operational needs.</p> <p>Additionally, R-1084-14 provided for the following:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • In the event Bond Program funds are unavailable or are insufficient to fund the necessary improvements, the County Mayor or designee will propose alternative funding sources and, in accordance with the BCC's rules of procedure, present such proposal for approval to the BCC at the next regularly scheduled meeting or a special meeting called to consider the matter. All 2004 BBC GOB Program funds available for such repairs to the 1928 Courthouse must be expended prior to utilizing any alternative funding source proposed by the Mayor; • The County Mayor or designee will collaborate with the County Building Official to create a process for the identification of hazardous conditions at the 1928 Courthouse and the orderly and immediate closure of all or a portion of the 1928 Courthouse once such condition has been identified. The County Mayor or designee, in consultation with the Chief Judge of the Eleventh Judicial Circuit, is also directed to develop a plan for the temporary relocation of court facilities from the 1928 Courthouse to another facility or other facilities in the event that all, or a portion, of the 1928 Courthouse is closed for the purpose of emergency repairs and the Chief Judge of the Circuit Court determines that relocation is required during the repair period; • The County Mayor or designee will provide a report to the BCC within 30 days of the effective date of this Resolution setting forth whether any hazardous conditions currently exist as well as the process for identifying future hazardous conditions at the 1928 Courthouse, the relocation plan for court operations, the expected costs of relocation, and the funding sources available to fund emergency repairs and relocation costs, if needed. The County Mayor will place the completed report on an agenda of the BCC pursuant to Ordinance No. 14-65; • The County Mayor or designee will conduct a thorough inspection of all court facilities located in Miami-Dade County and identify any hazardous conditions at those facilities. The Mayor, in consultation with the Chief Judge of the Eleventh Judicial Circuit, is further directed to identify all County owned buildings which have vacant space suitable for the temporary relocation of court operations for those facilities deemed to presently contain hazardous conditions. The County Mayor or designee will present such findings in a report to the BCC within ninety (90) days of the effective date of this Resolution; • The Miami-Dade County Inspector General is requested to conduct a review, audit and investigation regarding the condition of the 1928 Courthouse including all inspections done or required by law to have been done and provide a report to the BCC; and

**Board of County Commissioners
March 7, 2017 Meeting
Research Notes**

Item No.	Research Notes
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> The County Mayor or designee is further directed that future work to repair and/or replace the 1928 Courthouse be done through transparent, competitive solicitation processes open to all qualified vendors in accordance with Florida and County law. <p>In a Memo dated January 13, 2015, the Mayor provided the Status of Ongoing Work at the Dade County Courthouse (DCC) in response to R-1084-14. Listed below is a summary of the information provided in the Memo:</p> <p><u>Reporting Existing Hazardous Conditions:</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> At this time, there are no known existing hazardous conditions at the DCC that may affect the life, health, or safety of judges, employees, visitors, or users. <p><u>Identifying Future Hazardous Conditions:</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> A process exists for the routine series of yearly inspections that are conducted throughout the year to certify the building's systems are operational. These reports include, but are not limited to, fire pump inspections, sprinkler system inspection, and life safety inspections. Further identification of water leaks and stained ceiling tiles, both produced by condensation lines or broken lines, are routinely addressed by the County Building Management staff. The 40 year recertification process is another existing, more comprehensive process that already exists in the County Code for the purposes of identifying any building deficiencies or hazardous conditions. Any conditions noted in the engineer's report will be completed immediately upon appropriation approval in order to obtain a recertification of the DCC. <p><u>Relocation Plan for Court Operations:</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> In the event that an emergency requires part or all of the DCC court operations to be relocated to other facilities, the County has identified County-owned assets and other private space, which, through renovation, can be tailored to meet the Court's operational requirements. The time for this type of relocation depends on type (Type 1 or Type 2) and availability of space, but could require up to four (4) months. While these assets and related spaces are not always ideal in location or design and infrastructure, they can be converted into functional office and court spaces on a temporary basis; and A Type 1, or simple renovation, is relatively quick and cost effective, at approximately \$60 per square foot. A Type 2 renovation is more extensive and costly, at approximately \$200 per square foot. This type of renovation is more comprehensive and permanent in nature and will specifically address the occupant's operational needs and requirements. The time required for this type of renovation can take anywhere from nine (9) months for a small space to 18 months, depending on square footage and condition of the selected building and space. <p><u>Relocation Costs:</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> If part or all of the existing courthouse functions need to be relocated, numerous elements must be reviewed prior to developing an overall cost. Factors that must be considered include: (1) the location to be used is a leased space or County-owned; (2) the type of expenses related to the change of use and occupancy of the new design; (3) the type of retrofit desired (Type 1 or Type 2); and (4) the type of infrastructure improvements needed by the new occupants. <i>Examples are provided ranging from \$1.3 million for 17,500 sq ft; \$13 million for 52,000 sq ft; to \$28 million for 300,000 sq ft.</i> <p><u>Funding Sources:</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Costs required for relocation are not currently funded, and would have to come from the GOB Program Project No. 180 currently designated for the expansion of court

Board of County Commissioners
March 7, 2017 Meeting
Research Notes

Item No.	Research Notes
	<p>facilities in accordance with the master plan. The balance of funds available in GOB Project No. 180 is currently \$78 million. Additional information is forthcoming as required per Resolution R-1084-14.</p>
	<p>R-144-15 2/3/2015</p> <p>Created the Miami-Dade Court Capital Infrastructure Task Force (Task Force). The purpose of the Task Force was to review the County trial court infrastructure needs and identify any needed repairs to existing facilities as well as any current or future infrastructure expansion needs. The Task Force would recommend mechanisms to finance the repairs and/or expansion of court facilities in the most efficient manner possible. However, the Task Force is advisory only and would not have the power or authority to commit Miami-Dade County or any of its agencies or instrumentalities to any policies, or to incur any financial obligations or to create any liability, contractual or otherwise, on behalf of Miami-Dade County or any of its agencies or instrumentalities.</p> <p>The Task Force would meet within 15 days of the appointment of its members, and no less than every 30 days from the date of the initial meeting. The Task Force would provide its initial report setting forth its initial findings and recommendations to the BCC within 90 days from the date of the Task Force's first meeting. The report would consist of a comprehensive assessment of the County trial court infrastructure including repairing existing facilities and acquiring or constructing additional court facilities, proposals for addressing the identified needs, and recommendations for financing such proposals.</p> <p>The Task Force would sunset and stand dissolved on the 220th day from the effective date of this resolution unless the BCC extends the term of service by majority vote.</p> <p><u>Additional Information</u> At the February 3, 2015 BCC meeting, the BCC amended this resolution as follows: to include the language: "...<i>The Miami-Dade Court Capital Infrastructure Task Force shall also review the existing court infrastructure master plan and recommend amendments to such master plan as needed in the public interest...</i>"</p>
	<p>R-150-15 2/3/2015</p> <p>Created the Miami-Dade County Public Private Partnership (P3) Task Force; Sets forth P3 Task Force purpose, powers, duties, membership composition and qualifications, organization and procedures, regulations, reporting requirements, staff support and sunset provision.</p> <p><u>Additional Information-Public Private Partnership Prior Legislation:</u> On December 6, 2007, the BCC adopted Resolution No. R-1368-07, requesting that the Mayor or his designee prepare a written status report regarding all Public Private Partnerships or other business arrangements between the County and/or County agencies and private entities, including non-profit corporations and to recommend a procedure for evaluation and implementation regarding capital projects in order to maximize the County's investment and development of such County projects. The initial submission of the report was to be within ninety (90) days of the effective date of the resolution and quarterly thereafter.</p> <p>On July 2, 2013, the BCC adopted Ordinance No. 13-72 and Resolution No. R-1022-13, creating Section 2-8.1.7 of the Code of Miami-Dade County, the Miami-Dade County Public Private Partnership Program, for the purpose of infrastructure needs in Miami-Dade County and provides for the following:</p> <p>Ordinance No. 13-72 required the Preparation and Periodic Update of a Plan. The Mayor will develop, and deliver to the BCC within ninety (90) days following the effective date of this Section a written plan to maximize the use of public private partnerships in County projects (Plan). The Plan will be subject to BCC approval and will be updated and reported to the BCC, through its Infrastructure Committee, every six months.</p> <p>The Plan will contain, at a minimum the following:</p>

**Board of County Commissioners
March 7, 2017 Meeting
Research Notes**

Item No.	Research Notes	
		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • List of projects considered suitable for public private partnerships arrangements; • Timeline for their completion, and an identification of potential advantages and disadvantages of the delivery method in connection with each project; • Proposed legislative recommendations to simplify the County processes utilized to identify, solicit, evaluate, and contract for private investment opportunities consistent with applicable law; • Propose an amendment to the provisions of this Code governing unsolicited proposals, to simplify them, conform them to additional authorizations that may have resulted from amendments to the State law, and make them more effective; and • A description of similar projects in other communities in the United States which may be used as a model.
	<p>R-151-15</p> <p>2/3/2015</p>	<p>Directed the Mayor or designee, to solicit proposals for a public private partnership to design, build, finance, operate and maintain County Civil and Criminal Court Facilities and Jail Facilities and for the retention of financial consultants and special counsel to advise the County regarding proposed Court and Jail Facilities public private partnership, and, if approved by the BCC, other public private partnership arrangements.</p> <p>This substitute differs from the original in the following respects (highlights provided below):</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • The maximum number of RFQ participants to be shortlisted was eliminated; • The requirements of the RFQ were clarified to require that proposers demonstrate their qualifications and capabilities to perform the project that they offer and the evaluation was clarified to related to those qualifications; • The County states the expectation, with respect to the Proposer, the financial consultant, and the special counsel, that they have demonstrated experience in multiple public private partnership engagements exceeding \$250 million in cost; <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ◦ <i>The RFQ and solicitation documents would provide for evaluation and ranking in accordance with those criteria.</i> • Clarified that the RFQ would provide for building operation and management, but not for the privatization of functions of the users, including the Courts and the Corrections and Rehabilitation Department; • Required that the proposed RFP to be approved by the BCC include a description of the site or sites where the County would permit the development; and • Provided that the issuance of the RFP would be subject to the completion of the statutorily mandated independent analysis showing the cost-effectiveness and overall public benefit of the proposed public private partnership. <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ◦ <i>The analysis would be transmitted to the BCC together with the request for approval of the RFP.</i>
<p>8F5</p> <p>162934</p>	<p>RESOLUTION AUTHORIZING ADDITIONAL EXPENDITURE AUTHORITY IN A TOTAL AMOUNT UP TO \$1,210,000.00 FOR CONTRACT NO. SS5477-1/23 FOR OIL/WATER SEPARATION EQUIPMENT AND MAINTENANCE SERVICES FOR THE TRANSPORTATION AND PUBLIC WORKS AND INTERNAL SERVICES DEPARTMENTS</p>	
<p>Notes</p>	<p>The proposed resolution authorizes increased expenditure authority of \$1,210,000 to Sorbant Environmental Corp. (Sorbant) for Contract No. SS5477-1/23, Oil/Water Separation Equipment and Maintenance, for the Transportation and Public Works and Internal Services Departments.</p> <p><u>Background</u></p> <p>The County purchased the Oil/Water Separation Equipment (System) from Sorbant in 1996 after researching and testing various types of water drainage filtering systems. The System removes pollutants by suspending them in a filter media or pillow housed in boxed frames inside various sizes of catch basins. This System is installed in approximately 195 stormwater catch basins located throughout various County facilities. A filtration system is an important part of the Stormwater Pollution Prevention Plan and the National Pollutant Discharge Elimination System federal permit. The permit requires proper maintenance of the catch basin drains and yearly sampling and analysis of the stormwater runoff.</p>	

**Board of County Commissioners
March 7, 2017 Meeting
Research Notes**

Item No.	Research Notes																														
	<p>The current System is over 20 years old, which requires significant maintenance. The Department of Transportation and Public Works allocated for each bus division to receive service six (6) times per year. However, due to the age of the system, the service has increased to 12 times per year. The requested additional expenditure authority is needed to continue purchasing materials, parts and services throughout the remaining term of the contract to support daily operations.</p> <p><u>Fiscal Impact/Funding Source</u> This contract expires September 30, 2018 and has an existing allocation of \$1,977,000. If this modification is approved, the modified contract value will be \$3,187,000. The requested additional expenditure authority is based on projected expenditures.</p> <table><tr><th>Department</th><th>Existing Allocation</th><th>Additional Allocation Requested</th><th>Modified Allocation</th><th>Funding Source</th></tr><tr><td>Transportation and Public Works</td><td>\$1,569,000</td><td>\$1,016,000</td><td>\$2,585,000</td><td>DTPW Operating</td></tr><tr><td>Internal Services</td><td>\$408,000</td><td>\$194,000</td><td>\$602,000</td><td>Internal Services Funds</td></tr><tr><td>Total</td><td>\$1,977,000</td><td>\$1,210,000</td><td>\$3,187,000</td><td></td></tr></table> <p><u>Awarded Vendor</u> Sorbant Environmental Corp.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none">1764 NE 205 Terrace, North Miami, FL <p>On September 4, 2013, the BCC, through Resolution No. R-683-13, approved a Legacy Purchase award for Contract No. SS5477-1/23 to Sorbant Environmental Corporation for the Transit and Internal Services departments to purchase parts, maintenance and repair services for the existing Sorbant Oil/Water Separation System in the amount of \$1,977,000 for a five-year contract term. Contract No. SS5477-1/23 expires on September 30, 2018.</p> <p><u>Additional Information on Previous Legislation- Contract No. 5477-4/12</u> On November 20, 2008, the BCC through Resolution No. R-1182-08, approved the County Manager’s Quarterly Report: Award of Goods and Services Contracts Valued in Excess of \$100,000 and up to \$1,000,000- January 1, 2008 through March 31, 2008. Item Number 3 in the report references the award of Contract 5477-4/12- Oil Water Separation Equipment Maintenance Repair in the amount of \$529,000 for one (1) year with four (4) one year Options to renew to Sorbant for Fire Rescue (\$5,000); GSA (\$150,000); Transit (\$350,000); and WASD (\$24,000).</p> <p>On January 22, 2009, the BCC, through Resolution No. R-51-09, exercised the four remaining option-to-renew periods for Contract No. 5477-4/12 to purchase services for the storm water drainage filtration systems maintenance and repair for Miami-Dade Fire Rescue, General Services Administration, Miami-Dade Transit and Miami-Dade Water and Sewer departments. The amount being requested was \$2,116,000.</p> <table><tr><th colspan="2">Contract No. 5477-4/12 Oil/Water Separation Equipment Maintenance Repair <i>According to the Bid Tracking System</i></th></tr><tr><td>Contract No. 5477-4/12 4/1/2008-3/31/2009</td><td>\$529,000</td></tr><tr><td>First OTR 5477-4/12-1 4/1/2009-3/31/2010</td><td>\$559,682</td></tr><tr><td>Second OTR 5477-4/12-2 4/1/2010-3/31/2011</td><td>\$559,682</td></tr><tr><td>Modification</td><td>\$5,000</td></tr></table>	Department	Existing Allocation	Additional Allocation Requested	Modified Allocation	Funding Source	Transportation and Public Works	\$1,569,000	\$1,016,000	\$2,585,000	DTPW Operating	Internal Services	\$408,000	\$194,000	\$602,000	Internal Services Funds	Total	\$1,977,000	\$1,210,000	\$3,187,000		Contract No. 5477-4/12 Oil/Water Separation Equipment Maintenance Repair <i>According to the Bid Tracking System</i>		Contract No. 5477-4/12 4/1/2008-3/31/2009	\$529,000	First OTR 5477-4/12-1 4/1/2009-3/31/2010	\$559,682	Second OTR 5477-4/12-2 4/1/2010-3/31/2011	\$559,682	Modification	\$5,000
Department	Existing Allocation	Additional Allocation Requested	Modified Allocation	Funding Source																											
Transportation and Public Works	\$1,569,000	\$1,016,000	\$2,585,000	DTPW Operating																											
Internal Services	\$408,000	\$194,000	\$602,000	Internal Services Funds																											
Total	\$1,977,000	\$1,210,000	\$3,187,000																												
Contract No. 5477-4/12 Oil/Water Separation Equipment Maintenance Repair <i>According to the Bid Tracking System</i>																															
Contract No. 5477-4/12 4/1/2008-3/31/2009	\$529,000																														
First OTR 5477-4/12-1 4/1/2009-3/31/2010	\$559,682																														
Second OTR 5477-4/12-2 4/1/2010-3/31/2011	\$559,682																														
Modification	\$5,000																														

**Board of County Commissioners
March 7, 2017 Meeting
Research Notes**

Item No.	Research Notes														
	<table> <tr> <td colspan="2" data-bbox="472 241 1024 296" style="text-align: right;"><i>7/6/2010</i></td></tr> <tr> <td data-bbox="472 296 1024 331">Total Amount for Second OTR</td><td data-bbox="1024 296 1284 331" style="text-align: right;">\$564,682</td></tr> <tr> <td data-bbox="472 331 1024 422">Third OTR 5477-4/12-3 4/1/2011-3/31/2012</td><td data-bbox="1024 331 1284 422" style="text-align: right;">\$564,682</td></tr> <tr> <td data-bbox="472 422 1024 579">Fourth OTR 5477-4/12-4 4/1/2012-3/31/2013 <i>According to the Bid Tracking System the expiration date was extended to 9/30/2013.</i></td><td data-bbox="1024 422 1284 579" style="text-align: right;">\$572,764</td></tr> <tr> <td data-bbox="472 579 1024 615">Proration</td><td data-bbox="1024 579 1284 615" style="text-align: right;">\$184,236</td></tr> <tr> <td data-bbox="472 615 1024 646">Total Amount for Fourth OTR</td><td data-bbox="1024 615 1284 646" style="text-align: right;">\$757,000</td></tr> <tr> <td data-bbox="472 646 1024 678">Cumulative Contract Total</td><td data-bbox="1024 646 1284 678" style="text-align: right;">\$2,975,046</td></tr> </table>	<i>7/6/2010</i>		Total Amount for Second OTR	\$564,682	Third OTR 5477-4/12-3 4/1/2011-3/31/2012	\$564,682	Fourth OTR 5477-4/12-4 4/1/2012-3/31/2013 <i>According to the Bid Tracking System the expiration date was extended to 9/30/2013.</i>	\$572,764	Proration	\$184,236	Total Amount for Fourth OTR	\$757,000	Cumulative Contract Total	\$2,975,046
<i>7/6/2010</i>															
Total Amount for Second OTR	\$564,682														
Third OTR 5477-4/12-3 4/1/2011-3/31/2012	\$564,682														
Fourth OTR 5477-4/12-4 4/1/2012-3/31/2013 <i>According to the Bid Tracking System the expiration date was extended to 9/30/2013.</i>	\$572,764														
Proration	\$184,236														
Total Amount for Fourth OTR	\$757,000														
Cumulative Contract Total	\$2,975,046														
8F6 170131	RESOLUTION AUTHORIZING ADDITIONAL EXPENDITURE AUTHORITY IN A TOTAL AMOUNT UP TO \$1,806,000.00 FOR PREQUALIFICATION POOL NO. 7963-1/22 FOR PURCHASE OF BUILDING SUPPLIES FOR COUNTY DEPARTMENTS; AND AUTHORIZING THE COUNTY MAYOR OR COUNTY MAYOR'S DESIGNEE TO (A) SOLICIT PRICING AND AWARD CONTRACTS UP TO THE AGGREGATE AMOUNT OF THE ALLOCATION AUTHORIZED BY THE BOARD, (B) EXERCISE ALL PROVISIONS OF THE POOL SOLICITATION DOCUMENTS AND ANY RESULTING CONTRACTS PURSUANT TO SECTION 2-8.1 OF THE COUNTY CODE AND IMPLEMENTING ORDER 3-38, AND (C) ADD VENDORS TO THE POOL AT ANY TIME, DURING THE TERM OF THE POOL, SUBJECT TO RATIFICATION BY THE BOARD ON A BI-ANNUAL BASIS														
Notes	<p>The proposed resolution authorizes increased expenditure authority of \$1,806,000 to Prequalification Pool No. 7963-1/22, Building Materials.</p> <p>The departments will apply the additional funds as follows:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Water and Sewer is requesting \$868,000; • Community Action and Human Services is requesting \$200,000; • Public Housing and Community Development is requesting \$660,000; • Aviation is requesting \$60,000; and • Vizcaya Museum and Gardens is requesting \$18,000. <p><u>Background</u></p> <p>This pool was approved by the BCC via Resolution Number R-280-12, adopted on April 3, 2012 to allow Miami-Dade County departments to purchase building materials such as lumber, doors, metals, hardware, bagged cement, plaster, roofing materials, and sheetrock. The pool has a five-year term with one (1), five-year option to renew.</p> <p><u>Fiscal Impact/Funding Source</u></p> <p>This prequalification pool is in its initial five-year term, which expires on August 31, 2017. If this modification is approved, the pool will have a modified value of \$19,822,000.</p> <p>21 out of the 29 prequalified vendors have a local address.</p> <table> <tr> <th colspan="2" data-bbox="574 1629 1182 1692">Additional Information on Prequalification Pool No. 7963-1/22, Building Materials</th></tr> <tr> <td data-bbox="574 1692 935 1787">7963-1/22 R-280-12 9/1/2012-8/31/2017</td><td data-bbox="935 1692 1182 1787" style="text-align: right;">\$15,013,000</td></tr> <tr> <td data-bbox="574 1787 935 1850" style="text-align: right;">Modification <i>7/3/2014</i></td><td data-bbox="935 1787 1182 1850" style="text-align: right;">\$30,000</td></tr> <tr> <td data-bbox="574 1850 935 1904" style="text-align: right;">Modification <i>10/21/2014</i></td><td data-bbox="935 1850 1182 1904" style="text-align: right;">\$50,000</td></tr> </table>	Additional Information on Prequalification Pool No. 7963-1/22, Building Materials		7963-1/22 R-280-12 9/1/2012-8/31/2017	\$15,013,000	Modification <i>7/3/2014</i>	\$30,000	Modification <i>10/21/2014</i>	\$50,000						
Additional Information on Prequalification Pool No. 7963-1/22, Building Materials															
7963-1/22 R-280-12 9/1/2012-8/31/2017	\$15,013,000														
Modification <i>7/3/2014</i>	\$30,000														
Modification <i>10/21/2014</i>	\$50,000														

**Board of County Commissioners
March 7, 2017 Meeting
Research Notes**

Item No.	Research Notes										
	<table> <tr> <td data-bbox="574 243 935 306">Modification <i>1/6/2016</i></td><td data-bbox="935 243 1182 306">\$2,679,392</td></tr> <tr> <td data-bbox="574 306 935 369">Modification <i>7/13/2016</i></td><td data-bbox="935 306 1182 369">\$20,000</td></tr> <tr> <td data-bbox="574 369 935 432">Modification <i>7/13/2016</i></td><td data-bbox="935 369 1182 432">\$100,000</td></tr> <tr> <td data-bbox="574 432 935 495">Modification <i>7/14/2016</i></td><td data-bbox="935 432 1182 495">\$123,208</td></tr> <tr> <td data-bbox="574 495 935 558">Current Cumulative Contract Total</td><td data-bbox="935 495 1182 558">\$18,015,600</td></tr> </table>	Modification <i>1/6/2016</i>	\$2,679,392	Modification <i>7/13/2016</i>	\$20,000	Modification <i>7/13/2016</i>	\$100,000	Modification <i>7/14/2016</i>	\$123,208	Current Cumulative Contract Total	\$18,015,600
Modification <i>1/6/2016</i>	\$2,679,392										
Modification <i>7/13/2016</i>	\$20,000										
Modification <i>7/13/2016</i>	\$100,000										
Modification <i>7/14/2016</i>	\$123,208										
Current Cumulative Contract Total	\$18,015,600										
8F7 170135	RESOLUTION AUTHORIZING ADDITIONAL EXPENDITURE AUTHORITY IN A TOTAL AMOUNT OF UP TO \$3,600,000.00 FOR CONTRACT NO. SS4416-15/25-2 FOR MAINTENANCE AND MODERNIZATION SERVICES FOR OTIS ELEVATORS AND ESCALATORS FOR THE INTERNAL SERVICES DEPARTMENT; AND AUTHORIZING THE COUNTY MAYOR OR COUNTY MAYOR'S DESIGNEE TO EXERCISE ALL PROVISIONS OF THE CONTRACT PURSUANT TO SECTION 2-8.1 OF THE MIAMI-DADE COUNTY CODE AND IMPLEMENTING ORDER 3-38										
Notes	<p>The proposed resolution authorizes increased expenditure authority of \$3,600,000 to Contract No. SS4416-15/25-2, Elevator Maintenance Services for Otis Elevators, for the Internal Services Department.</p> <p>This contract is used to purchase maintenance, repair and modernization services for Otis elevators, escalators and related equipment. The requested increase in spending authority will cover the cost of services at the Richard E. Gerstein Justice Building as follows:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Modernization of three (3) passenger elevators and 10 escalators that were installed in 1959. <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ◦ While routine maintenance has been performed on the escalators, including a step track retrofit replacement in the 1980s, the equipment has not been upgraded since installation; • Adding approximately 15 safety features that are standard requirements for new escalators; and • Elevator microprocessor computer-based controls with solid state drives to make the elevator group more efficient and better able to handle the foot traffic. <p>This modernization project is required to ensure safe and reliable escalator and elevator service, as well as to comply with Florida law and the County Code.</p> <p><u>Fiscal Impact/Funding Source</u></p> <p>The contract was approved by the BCC through Resolution No. R-864-05 in July 2005 in the amount of \$7,870,777 for a five-year term with three (3), five-year option to renew terms. The contract is currently in its second option to renew term, which expires on September 30, 2020. The existing cumulative allocation is \$25,235,200. If the requested modification is approved, the contract value would be \$28,835,200 for a total of 15 years.</p> <p><u>Awarded Vendor</u></p> <p>Otis Elevator Company</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • One Carrier Place Farmington, CT • 16200 NW 59 Avenue Suite 109 Miami Lakes, FL <table> <tr> <th colspan="2" data-bbox="477 1608 1279 1671">Additional Information on Contract No. SS4416-15/25 <i>According to the Bid Tracking System</i></th></tr> <tr> <td data-bbox="477 1671 984 1759">SS4416-15/25 R-864-05 <i>10/1/2005-9/30/2010</i></td><td data-bbox="984 1671 1279 1759">\$7,871,227</td></tr> <tr> <td data-bbox="477 1759 984 1822">Modification <i>12/8/2008</i></td><td data-bbox="984 1759 1279 1822">\$36,000</td></tr> <tr> <td data-bbox="477 1822 984 1854">Total Amount of Original Contract Term</td><td data-bbox="984 1822 1279 1854">\$7,907,227</td></tr> <tr> <td data-bbox="477 1854 984 1919">First OTR SS4416-15/25-1</td><td data-bbox="984 1854 1279 1919">\$8,152,351.04</td></tr> </table>	Additional Information on Contract No. SS4416-15/25 <i>According to the Bid Tracking System</i>		SS4416-15/25 R-864-05 <i>10/1/2005-9/30/2010</i>	\$7,871,227	Modification <i>12/8/2008</i>	\$36,000	Total Amount of Original Contract Term	\$7,907,227	First OTR SS4416-15/25-1	\$8,152,351.04
Additional Information on Contract No. SS4416-15/25 <i>According to the Bid Tracking System</i>											
SS4416-15/25 R-864-05 <i>10/1/2005-9/30/2010</i>	\$7,871,227										
Modification <i>12/8/2008</i>	\$36,000										
Total Amount of Original Contract Term	\$7,907,227										
First OTR SS4416-15/25-1	\$8,152,351.04										

**Board of County Commissioners
March 7, 2017 Meeting
Research Notes**

Item No.	Research Notes												
	<table border="1"> <tr> <td data-bbox="477 243 984 317">10/1/2010-9/30/2015</td><td data-bbox="984 243 1279 317"></td></tr> <tr> <td data-bbox="477 317 984 411"> Modification R-348-13 5/7/2013 </td><td data-bbox="984 317 1279 411">\$510,000</td></tr> <tr> <td data-bbox="477 411 984 506"> Modification R-348-13 5/7/2013 </td><td data-bbox="984 411 1279 506">\$648.96</td></tr> <tr> <td data-bbox="477 506 984 537">Total Amount of First OTR</td><td data-bbox="984 506 1279 537">\$8,663,000</td></tr> <tr> <td data-bbox="477 537 984 632"> Second OTR SS4416-15/25-2 10/1/2015-9/30/2020 </td><td data-bbox="984 537 1279 632">\$8,663,000</td></tr> <tr> <td data-bbox="477 632 984 659">Current Cumulative Contract Total</td><td data-bbox="984 632 1279 659">\$25,233,227</td></tr> </table>	10/1/2010-9/30/2015		Modification R-348-13 5/7/2013	\$510,000	Modification R-348-13 5/7/2013	\$648.96	Total Amount of First OTR	\$8,663,000	Second OTR SS4416-15/25-2 10/1/2015-9/30/2020	\$8,663,000	Current Cumulative Contract Total	\$25,233,227
10/1/2010-9/30/2015													
Modification R-348-13 5/7/2013	\$510,000												
Modification R-348-13 5/7/2013	\$648.96												
Total Amount of First OTR	\$8,663,000												
Second OTR SS4416-15/25-2 10/1/2015-9/30/2020	\$8,663,000												
Current Cumulative Contract Total	\$25,233,227												
8F8 170136	RESOLUTION AUTHORIZING ADDITIONAL EXPENDITURE AUTHORITY IN A TOTAL AMOUNT UP TO \$1,578,000.00 FOR CONTRACT NO. FSA16-VEF12.0 FOR PURCHASE OF FIRE RESCUE VEHICLES AND OTHER EQUIPMENT FOR THE FIRE RESCUE DEPARTMENT												
Notes	<p>The proposed resolution authorizes increased expenditure authority of \$1,578,000 to Contract No. FSA16-VEF12.0, Fire Rescue Vehicles and Other Equipment, for the Miami-Dade Fire Rescue Department.</p> <p>The County accessed this competitively-established Florida Sheriffs Association contract in June 2016 under delegated authority to expeditiously purchase a replacement aerial platform fire truck for the Fire Rescue Department as no spare apparatus was available. The requested increase in expenditure authority will fund the acquisition of two (2) 2017 aerial fire apparatuses on an E-One chassis with an extruded aluminum body plus aluminum ladders.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <i>The vehicles will serve as replacements for two (2) aerial apparatuses that have exceeded their useful life. The apparatuses provide a stable elevated platform for firefighting operations, an integral part of the approved Fire Rescue Department apparatus deployment plan. The extruded aluminum body provides for a stronger, safer and easier to repair truck body.</i> <p><u>Fiscal Impact/Funding Source</u></p> <p>The contract has an existing allocation of \$922,000 and expires on March 31, 2017. The requested modification will increase expenditure authority by \$1,578,000, resulting in a cumulative value of \$2,500,000. The requested allocation is based on the cost of the needed aerial fire apparatuses.</p> <p>In FY 2016-17, the Adopted Budget and Multi Year Capital Plan assumes a procedural change regarding the purchasing of light and heavy fleet for all County departments. In prior fiscal years, departments contributed on an annual basis to a Fleet Replacement Trust Fund towards the replacement of their fleet upon its useful life. The Internal Services Department, in conjunction with the Office of Management and Budget and Finance, will now be working with each department to coordinate bulk purchases while utilizing the most appropriate contract and financing instrument.</p> <p>Awarded Vendor Rev RTC, Inc. dba Hall-Mark RTC, 725 SW 46 Avenue Ocala, FL</p>												
8F9 170137	RESOLUTION AUTHORIZING ADDITIONAL EXPENDITURE AUTHORITY IN A TOTAL AMOUNT UP TO \$4,000,000.00 FOR PREQUALIFICATION POOL NO. 9304-1/20-1 FOR PURCHASE OF OEM MAJOR COMPONENT REPLACEMENT PARTS AND SERVICES FOR THE FIRE RESCUE DEPARTMENT												
Notes	<p>The proposed resolution increases expenditure authority in a total amount up to \$4,000,000 to Prequalification Pool No. 9304-1/20-1, Original Equipment Manufacturers (OEM) Major Component Replacement Parts and Services (Fire Rescue Equipment), for the Fire Rescue Department.</p> <p>This pool was approved by the BCC in July 2010 for a five-year term with one (1), five-year option to renew. The pool is used by the Aviation, Fire Rescue and Police Departments to purchase OEM parts, repair and refurbishment services for various brands of medium and heavy-duty trucks, tractors, construction equipment, and fire equipment. The requested increase in expenditure authority will be used to fund the refurbishment of six (6)</p>												

**Board of County Commissioners
March 7, 2017 Meeting
Research Notes**

Item No.	Research Notes
	<p>2004 Quints firefighting trucks, which are currently out of service due to mechanical problems. The awarded vendor will provide a complete refurbishment of the trucks, including the cab, chassis, body, fire pump and aerial ladders.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <i>Will there be a warranty period on the refurbished parts?</i> <p><u>Fiscal Impact/Funding Source</u> The initial term of the pool was established with a \$2,450,000 allocation which was modified for \$485,000, resulting in an allocation of \$2,935,000 for the initial term. The pool is currently in its option term, which expires on September 30, 2020, and has an original allocation of \$2,935,000. Accordingly, the existing cumulative allocation for the initial and option terms is \$5,870,000. The recommended modification will authorize additional expenditure authority of \$4,000,000. If this modification is approved, the cumulative pool value will be \$9,870,000.</p> <p>There are seven (7) prequalified vendors, none of which have a local address.</p> <p><u>Additional Information</u> On July 20, 2010, the BCC, through Resolution No. R-824-10, established a pool of vendors for the Prequalification of OEM to Supply Major Component Replacement Parts and Services (Fire Rescue Equipment) at the Miami-Dade Fire Rescue and Miami-Dade Aviation Departments in the amount of \$2,450,000 for a five year term. If the County were to exercise the one, five-year option-to-renew period, the cumulative value is \$4,900,000. The vendors recommended for award were: ETR, LLC in Altamonte Springs, Florida and Ten-8 Fire Equipment, Inc. in Bradenton, Florida.</p>
8F10 170163	RESOLUTION AUTHORIZING ADDITIONAL EXPENDITURE AUTHORITY IN A TOTAL AMOUNT OF UP TO \$202,000.00 FOR CONTRACT NO. FB-00120 FOR PURCHASE OF ANIMAL CONTROL VANS FOR THE ANIMAL SERVICES DEPARTMENT; AND AUTHORIZES THE COUNTY MAYOR OR COUNTY MAYOR'S DESIGNEE TO EXERCISE ALL PROVISIONS OF THE CONTRACT PURSUANT TO SECTION 2-8.1 OF THE MIAMI-DADE COUNTY CODE AND IMPLEMENTING ORDER 3-38
Notes	<p>The proposed resolution increases expenditure authority in a total amount of up to \$202,000 to Contract No. FB-00120, ASD ACO Vans, for the Animal Services Department. The requested increase in expenditure authority will be used to purchase three (3) Dodge Promaster vans needed to replace vehicles that have reached or exceeded their useful life and are experiencing continuous mechanical breakdowns.</p> <p>The County Mayor approved the award of this competitive contract in October 2014 for a five-year term to purchase rescue vans used by animal control officers. The vans are required to transport rescued animals to shelters for care and to return animals back to owners. At the time the contract was established, the Animal Services Department could not forecast the timing of the purchase for these three (3) vans, but has since received the necessary administrative approval to replace the existing vans. The replacement of these vans is included the department's five-year vehicle replacement plan, which was implemented during Fiscal Year 2015-16.</p> <p><u>Fiscal Impact/Funding Source</u> The contract expires on October 20, 2019 and was modified once under delegated authority for \$204,000 in additional expenditure authority, resulting in an existing allocation of \$963,000. The requested modification will increase expenditure authority by \$202,000, resulting in a modified value of \$1,165,000.</p>
8F11 170223	RESOLUTION AUTHORIZING DESIGNATED PURCHASE PURSUANT TO SECTION 2-8.1(B)(3) OF THE COUNTY CODE BY A TWO-THIRDS VOTE OF THE BOARD MEMBERS PRESENT; AUTHORIZING AWARD OF ADDITIONAL TIME UP TO 12 MONTHS AND ADDITIONAL EXPENDITURE AUTHORITY IN A TOTAL AMOUNT OF UP TO \$1,500,000.00 FOR CONTRACT NO. CBW600-0/15 FOR PURCHASE OF EMERGENCY MEDICAL SERVICES BILLING AND COLLECTIONS FOR THE FIRE RESCUE DEPARTMENT; AND AUTHORIZING THE COUNTY MAYOR OR COUNTY MAYOR'S DESIGNEE TO EXERCISE ALL PROVISIONS OF THE CONTRACT PURSUANT TO SECTION 2-8.1 OF THE COUNTY CODE AND IMPLEMENTING ORDER 3-38
Notes	The proposed resolution authorizes a designated purchase under Contract No. CBW600-0/15, Emergency Medical Services Billing, for the Fire Rescue Department. Approval of a designated purchase is being requested, pursuant to Section 2-8.1(b)(3) of the County Code, to authorize the extension of the current contract term by 12 months

**Board of County Commissioners
March 7, 2017 Meeting
Research Notes**

Item No.	Research Notes
	<p>and increase expenditure authority by \$1,500,000 to ensure continuity of services while the replacement contract is re-solicited and awarded.</p> <p>This contract was approved by the BCC on September 20, 2011 for a five-year term through Resolution No. R-734-11 and is used by the Fire Rescue Department to obtain emergency medical services (EMS) billing and collections services for patients transported by Fire Rescue Department emergency medical personnel to local area hospitals. This contract generates \$23,000,000 in revenue annually and is critical to the Fire Rescue Department's operations.</p> <p>The current term was extended administratively by six (6) months under delegated authority to afford the Fire Rescue Department time to re-solicit this contract. However, changes to the replacement contract's scope of services have delayed the re-procurement process. Accordingly, this item is being presented for BCC approval as a designated purchase because the Administration has exhausted its authority to further extend the contract, and competition is not practicable at this time as re-procurement activity for the replacement solicitation is currently underway.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • <i>What is the current status of the re-procurement?</i> <p><u>Fiscal Impact/Funding Source</u> This cumulative contract allocation is \$6,600,000 for a five-year and six-month term and expires on March 31, 2017. If this request is approved, the new contract expiration date will be March 31, 2018 and the cumulative contract value will be \$8,100,000.</p>
8F12 170225	<p>RESOLUTION AUTHORIZING ADDITIONAL TIME OF FIVE YEARS AND EXPENDITURE AUTHORITY IN A TOTAL AMOUNT UP TO \$300,000.00 FOR PREQUALIFICATION POOL NO. 6327-9/16-9 FOR PURCHASE OF REFURBISHED TELECOMMUNICATIONS EQUIPMENT FOR THE INFORMATION TECHNOLOGY DEPARTMENT; AND AUTHORIZING THE COUNTY MAYOR OR COUNTY MAYOR'S DESIGNEE TO SOLICIT PRICING, AWARD CONTRACTS, EXERCISE ALL PROVISIONS OF THE SOLICITATION DOCUMENTS AND ANY RESULTING CONTRACTS PURSUANT TO SECTION 2-8.1 OF THE COUNTY CODE AND IMPLEMENTING ORDER 3-38, AND ADD VENDORS TO THE POOL AT ANY TIME, SUBJECT TO RATIFICATION BY THE BOARD ON A BI-ANNUAL BASIS</p>
Notes	<p>The proposed resolution approves an extension of Prequalification Pool No. 6327-9/16-9, Refurbished Telecommunications Equipment, for five (5) additional years and increases expenditure authority by \$300,000.</p> <p>Background This pool was established under the delegated authority of the County Mayor in January 2007 for an initial one-year term plus nine (9), one-year option to renew terms. The second through ninth option terms were approved by the BCC.</p> <p>Prequalification Pool The pool is used to purchase refurbished telecommunications equipment, such as telephones and accessories, circuit or system cards and associated power supplies, and telecommunications switches. The Information Technology Department manages this pool on behalf of all County departments. The refurbished equipment purchased under this pool is required to offer the same warranty terms as comparable new equipment.</p> <p>The requirements and terms and conditions of the pool would not change if a replacement solicitation were to be issued. It is anticipated that the same vendors would prequalify for a replacement solicitation. Additional qualified vendors may be added to the pool at any time during the term of the pool, subject to bi-annual ratification by the BCC. This prequalification pool will remain advertised on the County's Internal Services Department website to encourage additional participation.</p> <p>Outreach to Vendors Outreach to registered vendors was conducted to increase the number of prequalified vendors. Internal Services Department staff contacted the Beacon Council, Greater Miami Chamber of Commerce, Miami Dade County Chamber of Commerce, and the School Board of Miami-Dade County in an effort to gain assistance with identifying additional local vendors engaged in providing these services. The Beacon Council provided a list of six (6) local vendors that expressed interest in the solicitation. Staff is following-up with the vendors to verify</p>

**Board of County Commissioners
March 7, 2017 Meeting
Research Notes**

Item No.	Research Notes																		
	<p>interest and qualifications. The vendors will be added to the pool if all requirements are met. Outreach efforts will continue during the term of the pool.</p> <p><u>Fiscal Impact/Funding Source:</u> The pool expires on March 31, 2017 and has a cumulative allocation of \$3,024,000 for a term of 10 years and three (3) months. The requested additional allocation of \$300,000 is based on anticipated usage during the five-year extension period. The allocation has been reduced significantly due to a decrease in projected needs associated with the County's migration to the Voice Over Internet Protocol Telephone System. If this extension is approved, the pool's cumulative value would be \$3,324,000 for 15 years and three (3) months.</p> <p><u>Prequalified Vendors</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • A-1 Teletronics, Inc., 2550 118 Avenue North, St. Petersburg, FL • Cablexpress Corporation, 5404 South Bay Road, Syracuse, NY • Enterprise Systems Corporation, 4325 W Sam Huston Parkway, Suite 130, Houston, TX • KaiserComm, Inc., 4362 Round Lake Road West, Saint Paul, MN • Liberty Communications, Inc., 3281 Crestmoor Drive, Woodbury, MN • Miami Business Telephone Corporation, 4933 SW 74 Court, Miami, FL Same • SoTel Systems, LLC, 19 Worthington Access Drive, Maryland Heights, MO • Telesource Services, LLC, 1450 Highwood East, Pontiac, MI • Teracai Corporation, 217 Lawrence Road East, North Syracuse, NY • Unify Enterprise Communications, Inc., 5500 Broken Sound Boulevard, Boca Raton, FL • Vibes Technologies, Inc., 1000 Park Drive, Lawrence, PA <table border="1" data-bbox="467 966 1289 1919"> <thead> <tr> <th colspan="2">Additional Information on Prequalification Pool No. 6327-9/16</th></tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>Prequalification Pool No. 6327-9/16 Awarded under the Mayors delegated authority in January 2007. <i>1/4/2007-12/31/2007</i></td><td>\$500,000</td></tr> <tr> <td>First OTR 6327-9/16-1 <i>1/1/2008-12/31/2008</i></td><td>\$400,000</td></tr> <tr> <td>Second OTR R-1032-08 6327-9/16-2 <i>1/1/2009-12/31/2009</i></td><td>\$400,000</td></tr> <tr> <td>Third OTR R-1429-09 6327-9/16-3 <i>1/1/2010-12/31/2010</i></td><td>\$300,000</td></tr> <tr> <td>Fourth OTR R-1231-10 6327-9/16-4 <i>1/1/2011-12/31/2011</i></td><td>\$220,000</td></tr> <tr> <td>Fifth OTR R-1112-11 6327-9/16-5 <i>1/1/2012-12/31/2012</i></td><td>\$250,000</td></tr> <tr> <td>Sixth OTR 6327-9/16-6 R-1112-11 <i>1/1/2013-12/31/2013</i></td><td>\$250,000</td></tr> <tr> <td>Seventh OTR R-948-13 6327-9/16-7</td><td>\$220,000</td></tr> </tbody> </table>	Additional Information on Prequalification Pool No. 6327-9/16		Prequalification Pool No. 6327-9/16 Awarded under the Mayors delegated authority in January 2007. <i>1/4/2007-12/31/2007</i>	\$500,000	First OTR 6327-9/16-1 <i>1/1/2008-12/31/2008</i>	\$400,000	Second OTR R-1032-08 6327-9/16-2 <i>1/1/2009-12/31/2009</i>	\$400,000	Third OTR R-1429-09 6327-9/16-3 <i>1/1/2010-12/31/2010</i>	\$300,000	Fourth OTR R-1231-10 6327-9/16-4 <i>1/1/2011-12/31/2011</i>	\$220,000	Fifth OTR R-1112-11 6327-9/16-5 <i>1/1/2012-12/31/2012</i>	\$250,000	Sixth OTR 6327-9/16-6 R-1112-11 <i>1/1/2013-12/31/2013</i>	\$250,000	Seventh OTR R-948-13 6327-9/16-7	\$220,000
Additional Information on Prequalification Pool No. 6327-9/16																			
Prequalification Pool No. 6327-9/16 Awarded under the Mayors delegated authority in January 2007. <i>1/4/2007-12/31/2007</i>	\$500,000																		
First OTR 6327-9/16-1 <i>1/1/2008-12/31/2008</i>	\$400,000																		
Second OTR R-1032-08 6327-9/16-2 <i>1/1/2009-12/31/2009</i>	\$400,000																		
Third OTR R-1429-09 6327-9/16-3 <i>1/1/2010-12/31/2010</i>	\$300,000																		
Fourth OTR R-1231-10 6327-9/16-4 <i>1/1/2011-12/31/2011</i>	\$220,000																		
Fifth OTR R-1112-11 6327-9/16-5 <i>1/1/2012-12/31/2012</i>	\$250,000																		
Sixth OTR 6327-9/16-6 R-1112-11 <i>1/1/2013-12/31/2013</i>	\$250,000																		
Seventh OTR R-948-13 6327-9/16-7	\$220,000																		

Board of County Commissioners
March 7, 2017 Meeting
Research Notes

Item No.	Research Notes			
		1/1/2014-12/31/2014		
		Eighth OTR R-948-13 6327-9/16-8 1/1/2015-12/31/2015	\$220,000	
		Modification 10/7/2015	\$44,000	
		Total Amount for Eighth OTR	\$264,000	
		Ninth OTR R-1151-15 6327-9/16-9 1/1/2016-12/31/2016 According to the Bid Tracking System, the expiration date is now March 31, 2017.	\$220,000	
		Cumulative Contract Total	\$3,024,000	
8F13 170226	RESOLUTION AUTHORIZING ADDITIONAL TIME OF FIVE YEARS AND EXPENDITURE AUTHORITY IN A TOTAL AMOUNT UP TO \$495,000.00 FOR PREQUALIFICATION POOL NO. EPP7788-5/16-5 FOR PURCHASE OF CATHODIC PROTECTION SYSTEMS SERVICES FOR THE WATER AND SEWER DEPARTMENT; AND AUTHORIZING THE COUNTY MAYOR OR COUNTY MAYOR'S DESIGNEE TO SOLICIT PRICING, AWARD CONTRACTS, EXERCISE ALL PROVISIONS OF THE SOLICITATION DOCUMENTS AND ANY RESULTING CONTRACTS PURSUANT TO SECTION 2-8.1 OF THE COUNTY CODE AND IMPLEMENTING ORDER 3-38, AND ADD VENDORS TO THE POOL AT ANY TIME, SUBJECT TO RATIFICATION BY THE BOARD ON A BI-ANNUAL BASIS			
Notes	<p>The proposed resolution approves an extension of Prequalification Pool No. EPP7788-5/16-5, Cathodic Protection Systems, for five (5) additional years and increases expenditure authority by \$495,000.</p> <p><u>Background</u></p> <p>This pool was awarded in January 2007 for a five-year term with five (5), one-year option to renew terms under delegated authority. The Water and Sewer Department uses this pool for preventative corrosion engineering services associated with the performance of the Cathodic Protection Systems, including installation, material, equipment and replacement parts. Cathodic Protection Systems operate by connecting the metal to be protected with another more easily corroded metal to prevent corrosion of the metal to be protected. The primary application at various wastewater and water treatment plants include, but is not limited to, the protection of ground water storage tanks, systems for chlorinators, hydro-treaters, water softeners, contact basins, clarifiers and filtration.</p> <p>The requirements and terms and conditions of the pool would not change if a replacement solicitation were to be issued. It is anticipated that the same vendors would prequalify for the replacement solicitation. Additional qualified vendors may be added to the pool at any time during the term of the pool, subject to bi-annual ratification by the Board. This prequalification pool will remain advertised on the Internal Services Department's website to encourage additional participation.</p> <p>In an effort to increase competition, a search of registered vendors classified by similar commodities was conducted. Five (5) vendors were identified who expressed interest in joining the pool and may be added to the pool once they submit the requisite qualifications.</p> <p><u>Fiscal Impact/Funding Source</u></p> <p>The pool is in its final option to renew term and expires on May 31, 2017. The pool has a cumulative allocation of \$990,000 for 10 years. If this request is approved, the pool will have a modified cumulative value of \$1,485,000 and will expire on May 31, 2022. The requested allocation is based on anticipated usage during the extension period.</p> <p><u>Prequalified Vendors</u></p>			

**Board of County Commissioners
March 7, 2017 Meeting
Research Notes**

Item No.	Research Notes																
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Corpro Companies, Inc., 17988 Edison Avenue Chesterfield, MO • Farwest Corrosion Control Company, 12029 Regentview Avenue Downey, CA • Piping and Corrosion Specialties, Inc., 8371 Jumpers Hole Road Millersville, MD • Russell Corrosion Consultants, LLC, 28100 Torch Parkway Warrville, IL • Southern Cathodic Protection Company, 780 Johnson Ferry Road Suite 225 Atlanta, GA <table border="1" data-bbox="509 436 1247 1138"> <thead> <tr> <th colspan="2" data-bbox="509 436 1247 499">Additional Information on Prequalification Pool No. EPP7788-5/16</th></tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td data-bbox="509 499 945 621"> EPP7788-5/16 Awarded under the Mayor's delegated authority in January 2007. 3/1/2007-2/29/2012 </td><td data-bbox="945 499 1247 621" style="text-align: center;">\$495,000</td></tr> <tr> <td data-bbox="509 621 945 716"> First OTR EPP7788-5/16-1 3/1/2012-2/28/2013 </td><td data-bbox="945 621 1247 716" style="text-align: center;">\$99,000</td></tr> <tr> <td data-bbox="509 716 945 810"> Second OTR EPP7788-5/16-2 3/1/2013-2/28/2014 </td><td data-bbox="945 716 1247 810" style="text-align: center;">\$99,000</td></tr> <tr> <td data-bbox="509 810 945 905"> Third OTR EPP7788-5/16-3 3/1/2014-2/28/2015 </td><td data-bbox="945 810 1247 905" style="text-align: center;">\$99,000</td></tr> <tr> <td data-bbox="509 905 945 999"> Fourth OTR EPP7788-5/16-4 3/1/2015-2/28/2016 </td><td data-bbox="945 905 1247 999" style="text-align: center;">\$99,000</td></tr> <tr> <td data-bbox="509 999 945 1087"> Fifth OTR EPP7788-5/16-5 3/1/2016-2/28/2017 </td><td data-bbox="945 999 1247 1087" style="text-align: center;">\$99,000</td></tr> <tr> <td data-bbox="509 1087 945 1138"> Cumulative Contract Total </td><td data-bbox="945 1087 1247 1138" style="text-align: center;">\$990,000</td></tr> </tbody> </table>	Additional Information on Prequalification Pool No. EPP7788-5/16		EPP7788-5/16 Awarded under the Mayor's delegated authority in January 2007. 3/1/2007-2/29/2012	\$495,000	First OTR EPP7788-5/16-1 3/1/2012-2/28/2013	\$99,000	Second OTR EPP7788-5/16-2 3/1/2013-2/28/2014	\$99,000	Third OTR EPP7788-5/16-3 3/1/2014-2/28/2015	\$99,000	Fourth OTR EPP7788-5/16-4 3/1/2015-2/28/2016	\$99,000	Fifth OTR EPP7788-5/16-5 3/1/2016-2/28/2017	\$99,000	Cumulative Contract Total	\$990,000
Additional Information on Prequalification Pool No. EPP7788-5/16																	
EPP7788-5/16 Awarded under the Mayor's delegated authority in January 2007. 3/1/2007-2/29/2012	\$495,000																
First OTR EPP7788-5/16-1 3/1/2012-2/28/2013	\$99,000																
Second OTR EPP7788-5/16-2 3/1/2013-2/28/2014	\$99,000																
Third OTR EPP7788-5/16-3 3/1/2014-2/28/2015	\$99,000																
Fourth OTR EPP7788-5/16-4 3/1/2015-2/28/2016	\$99,000																
Fifth OTR EPP7788-5/16-5 3/1/2016-2/28/2017	\$99,000																
Cumulative Contract Total	\$990,000																
8F14 170229	RESOLUTION AUTHORIZING ESTABLISHMENT OF PREQUALIFICATION POOL RTQ-00410 IN A TOTAL AMOUNT UP TO \$5,850,000.00 FOR HEAD START/EARLY HEAD START PROGRAM AND RELATED SERVICES FOR THE COMMUNITY ACTION AND HUMAN SERVICES DEPARTMENT FOR A TERM OF NINE YEARS; AND AUTHORIZING THE COUNTY MAYOR OR COUNTY MAYOR'S DESIGNEE TO SOLICIT PRICING, AWARD CONTRACTS, EXERCISE ALL PROVISIONS OF THE SOLICITATION DOCUMENTS AND ANY RESULTING CONTRACTS PURSUANT TO SECTION 2-8.1 OF THE CODE OF MIAMI-DADE COUNTY, FLORIDA AND IMPLEMENTING ORDER 3-38, AND ADD VENDORS TO THE POOL AT ANY TIME, SUBJECT TO RATIFICATION BY THE BOARD ON A BI-ANNUAL BASIS																
Notes	<p>The proposed resolution approves the establishment of a prequalification pool, RTQ-00410, Head Start/Early Head Start Program Related Services, for the Community Action and Human Services Department.</p> <p>The Head Start/Early Head Start Program provides comprehensive child development services for low-income children and families in Miami-Dade County. The qualified pool of vendors will furnish program and related services on an individual project basis, as needed. The services include, but are not limited to, training, cultural arts, diagnostic, therapeutic, continuing education and certification.</p> <p>The pool is structured in 12 Groups:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Group 1 - Health, Nutrition, and Health Safety Training; • Group 2 - Early Childhood Mental Health Training; • Group 3 - Facility Safety Training; • Group 4 - Fiscal Training; • Group 5 - Professional and Administrative Development; • Group 6 - Education and Special Needs Training; • Group 7 - Family Engagement and Social Services Training; 																

**Board of County Commissioners
March 7, 2017 Meeting
Research Notes**

Item No.	Research Notes																														
	<ul style="list-style-type: none">• Group 8 - Keynote Speakers;• Group 9 - Organizational Analysis and Support Services;• Group 10 - Museum and Cultural Arts Services;• Group 11 - Diagnostic and Therapeutic Services; and• Group 12 - Continuing Education and Certification Services. <p><u>Fiscal Impact/Funding Source</u></p> <p>The fiscal impact for the nine-year term is \$5,850,000. This pool consolidates multiple contracts covering the delivery of program and associated services, streamlining service delivery and promoting more efficient administrative tracking and reporting of programmatic and fiscal requirements.</p> <p>The current contracts are:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none">• SS8483-4/17, Head Start/Early Start Program Analysis and Support, valued at \$145,000 for a five-year term and expiring on March 31, 2017;• BW8509-4/17, Miami Children’s Museum, valued at \$250,000 for a five-year term and expiring on April 30, 2017; and• RFQ782, HS Diagnostic & Therapeutic Services, valued at \$1,750,000 for five (5) years and four (4) months and expiring on July 31, 2017. <p>The allocation under the pool is based on the anticipated need for services and grant funding.</p> <p>Vendors Prequalified for Pool</p> <p>A Request to Qualify (RTQ) was issued under full and open competition. The method of award was to prequalify all responsive and responsible vendors that met the minimum requirements as specified in the RTQ for participation in future spot market competitions. Eleven vendors responded to the solicitation and nine (9) are being recommended for inclusion in the pool.</p> <table><tr><th>Vendor</th><th>Address</th><th>Group</th></tr><tr><td>Avian Wise dba Essential Connections, LLC</td><td>3909 E Woodscape Drive, Miramar, FL</td><td>5 & 6</td></tr><tr><td>Behavioral Aid Solutions, Inc.</td><td>1414 NW 107 Avenue, Suite 109, Doral, FL</td><td>11</td></tr><tr><td>Florida Introduces Physical Activity and Nutrition to Youth Incorporated</td><td>1777 North Dixie Highway, Fort Lauderdale, FL</td><td>1 & 10</td></tr><tr><td>Foundations for Families, LLC</td><td>719 Forest Park Road, Great Falls, VA</td><td>5, 6, 7 & 9</td></tr><tr><td>G&D Consultants, Inc.</td><td>15007 SW 10 Street Sunrise, FL</td><td>5 & 6</td></tr><tr><td>John R. Gunnarson</td><td>202 Railroad Avenue, Woodacre, CA</td><td>6</td></tr><tr><td>Speech Pathology and Educational Center, Inc.</td><td>8510 SW 8 Street, Miami, FL</td><td>11</td></tr><tr><td>Urban Strategies, LLC</td><td>2341 9 Street South Arlington, VA</td><td>7 & 8</td></tr><tr><td>Western Kentucky University Research Foundation, Inc.</td><td>1906 College Heights Boulevard, Number 11016, Bowling Green, KY</td><td>1, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 9 & 12</td></tr></table> <p>Vendors Not Prequalified for Pool</p> <p>HS University and Hearing and Speech Center of Florida, Inc. did not submit the required documents to satisfy the prequalification criteria. Upon submission of the required documents, the vendors may be added to the pool.</p> <p><u>Additional Information on Contracts Consolidated in the Proposed Resolution</u></p> <p><i>The OCA questioned how Contract Nos. SS8483-4/17 and BW8509-4/17 were awarded, to which ISD staff responded that both contracts were awarded under the Mayor’s delegated authority.</i></p>	Vendor	Address	Group	Avian Wise dba Essential Connections, LLC	3909 E Woodscape Drive, Miramar, FL	5 & 6	Behavioral Aid Solutions, Inc.	1414 NW 107 Avenue, Suite 109, Doral, FL	11	Florida Introduces Physical Activity and Nutrition to Youth Incorporated	1777 North Dixie Highway, Fort Lauderdale, FL	1 & 10	Foundations for Families, LLC	719 Forest Park Road, Great Falls, VA	5, 6, 7 & 9	G&D Consultants, Inc.	15007 SW 10 Street Sunrise, FL	5 & 6	John R. Gunnarson	202 Railroad Avenue, Woodacre, CA	6	Speech Pathology and Educational Center, Inc.	8510 SW 8 Street, Miami, FL	11	Urban Strategies, LLC	2341 9 Street South Arlington, VA	7 & 8	Western Kentucky University Research Foundation, Inc.	1906 College Heights Boulevard, Number 11016, Bowling Green, KY	1, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 9 & 12
Vendor	Address	Group																													
Avian Wise dba Essential Connections, LLC	3909 E Woodscape Drive, Miramar, FL	5 & 6																													
Behavioral Aid Solutions, Inc.	1414 NW 107 Avenue, Suite 109, Doral, FL	11																													
Florida Introduces Physical Activity and Nutrition to Youth Incorporated	1777 North Dixie Highway, Fort Lauderdale, FL	1 & 10																													
Foundations for Families, LLC	719 Forest Park Road, Great Falls, VA	5, 6, 7 & 9																													
G&D Consultants, Inc.	15007 SW 10 Street Sunrise, FL	5 & 6																													
John R. Gunnarson	202 Railroad Avenue, Woodacre, CA	6																													
Speech Pathology and Educational Center, Inc.	8510 SW 8 Street, Miami, FL	11																													
Urban Strategies, LLC	2341 9 Street South Arlington, VA	7 & 8																													
Western Kentucky University Research Foundation, Inc.	1906 College Heights Boulevard, Number 11016, Bowling Green, KY	1, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 9 & 12																													

**Board of County Commissioners
March 7, 2017 Meeting
Research Notes**

Item No.	Research Notes																				
	<table border="1" data-bbox="602 275 1156 499"> <tr><td colspan="2">SS8483-4/17</td></tr> <tr> <td>SS8483-4/17 <i>4/1/2012-3/31/2013</i></td><td>\$29,000</td></tr> <tr> <td>SS8483-4/17-1 <i>4/1/2013-3/31/2014</i></td><td>\$29,000</td></tr> <tr> <td>SS8483-4/17-4 <i>4/1/2014-3/31/2017</i></td><td>\$87,000</td></tr> </table> <table border="1" data-bbox="602 531 1156 753"> <tr><td colspan="2">BW8509-4/17</td></tr> <tr> <td>BW8509-4/17 <i>4/27/2012-4/30/2013</i></td><td>\$50,000</td></tr> <tr> <td>BW8509-4/17-1 <i>5/1/2013-4/30/2014</i></td><td>\$50,000</td></tr> <tr> <td>BW8509-4/17-4 <i>5/1/2014-4/30/2017</i></td><td>\$150,000</td></tr> </table> <p data-bbox="269 785 1487 905">On March 6, 2012, the BCC, through Resolution No. R-208-12, approved the establishment of a pre-qualification pool for Head Start Program Diagnostic and Therapeutic Services for the Community Action and Human Services Department in the amount of \$1,750,000. The term of this pool would be from the effective date until the end of the fifth Program year, July 31, 2017.</p> <table border="1" data-bbox="602 936 1156 1058"> <tr><td colspan="2">RFQ782</td></tr> <tr> <td>RFQ782 R-208-12 <i>4/1/2012-7/31/2017</i></td><td>\$1,750,000</td></tr> </table>	SS8483-4/17		SS8483-4/17 <i>4/1/2012-3/31/2013</i>	\$29,000	SS8483-4/17-1 <i>4/1/2013-3/31/2014</i>	\$29,000	SS8483-4/17-4 <i>4/1/2014-3/31/2017</i>	\$87,000	BW8509-4/17		BW8509-4/17 <i>4/27/2012-4/30/2013</i>	\$50,000	BW8509-4/17-1 <i>5/1/2013-4/30/2014</i>	\$50,000	BW8509-4/17-4 <i>5/1/2014-4/30/2017</i>	\$150,000	RFQ782		RFQ782 R-208-12 <i>4/1/2012-7/31/2017</i>	\$1,750,000
SS8483-4/17																					
SS8483-4/17 <i>4/1/2012-3/31/2013</i>	\$29,000																				
SS8483-4/17-1 <i>4/1/2013-3/31/2014</i>	\$29,000																				
SS8483-4/17-4 <i>4/1/2014-3/31/2017</i>	\$87,000																				
BW8509-4/17																					
BW8509-4/17 <i>4/27/2012-4/30/2013</i>	\$50,000																				
BW8509-4/17-1 <i>5/1/2013-4/30/2014</i>	\$50,000																				
BW8509-4/17-4 <i>5/1/2014-4/30/2017</i>	\$150,000																				
RFQ782																					
RFQ782 R-208-12 <i>4/1/2012-7/31/2017</i>	\$1,750,000																				
8F15 170232	<p>RESOLUTION APPROVING AWARD OF GROUP A CONTRACT NO. FB-00325, FOR PURCHASE OF ANIMAL FOOD FOR COUNTY DEPARTMENTS; AUTHORIZING THE COUNTY MAYOR OR COUNTY MAYOR'S DESIGNEE TO GIVE NOTICE OF GROUP A AWARD, ISSUE THE APPROPRIATE PURCHASE ORDER TO GIVE EFFECT TO SAME AND EXERCISE ALL PROVISIONS OF THE CONTRACT PURSUANT TO SECTION 2-8.1 OF THE CODE AND IMPLEMENTING ORDER 3-38; AUTHORIZING ESTABLISHMENT OF GROUP B PREQUALIFICATION POOL FB-00325; AUTHORIZING THE COUNTY MAYOR OR COUNTY MAYOR'S DESIGNEE TO SOLICIT PRICING FOR GROUP B, AWARD CONTRACTS, EXERCISE ALL PROVISIONS OF THE SOLICITATION DOCUMENTS AND ANY RESULTING CONTRACTS PURSUANT TO SECTION 2-8.1 OF THE CODE OF MIAMI-DADE COUNTY, FLORIDA AND IMPLEMENTING ORDER 3-38, AND ADD VENDORS TO THE POOL AT ANY TIME, SUBJECT TO RATIFICATION BY THE BOARD ON A BI-ANNUAL BASIS; AND AUTHORIZING AGGREGATE EXPENDITURE AUTHORITY FOR GROUPS A AND B IN A TOTAL AMOUNT NOT TO EXCEED \$3,570,000.00 FOR THE CONTRACT AND PREQUALIFICATION POOL COMBINED OVER THE FIVE-YEAR TERM</p>																				
Notes	<p>The proposed resolution approves award of Contract No. FB-00325, Animal Food, for multiple Miami-Dade County departments for a five year term in the amount of \$3,570,000.00.</p> <p>The contract will be primarily used by the Parks, Recreation and Open Spaces and Animal Services departments to support daily operations at Zoo Miami and the new Pet Adoption and Protection Center, respectively. Additionally, the Aviation, Corrections and Rehabilitation, Fire Rescue and Police departments will use the contract to purchase food for canines assisting in search and rescue efforts, security searches and general law enforcement tasks.</p> <p>An Invitation to Bid was issued under full and open competition, and four (4) bids were received in response to the solicitation. The solicitation included two (2) groups: Group A, which was awarded to the lowest responsive and responsible bidder on an item by item basis, and Group B, which establishes a prequalified vendor pool to participate in future spot market competitions. All responsive and responsible bidders will be prequalified for Group B. Additional vendors may qualify to be added to Group B at any time during the term of the pool.</p>																				

**Board of County Commissioners
March 7, 2017 Meeting
Research Notes**

Item No.	Research Notes																
	<p>The County Mayor rescinded an earlier recommendation for approval to award this animal food contract. The decision to rescind was required after one (1) of the recommended vendors, Vet Brands International, Inc. (Vet Brands), notified the County of its inability to offer the items it was awarded. Subsequently, it was determined that awarding to the next lowest bidder would be cost-prohibitive. A separate solicitation will be issued in January 2017 to award the items previously recommended for award to Vet Brands.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <i>What is the status of solicitations for items that needed to be resolicited?</i> <p><u>Fiscal Impact/Funding Source</u></p> <p>The fiscal impact for the five-year term is \$3,570,000. The previous contract, 9411-4/15-4, was valued at \$5,500,000 for five (5) years and six (6) months, expiring on August 31, 2016. The allocation requested for the Parks, Recreation and Open Spaces Department is lower than under the previous contract due to the removal of items awarded to Vet Brands. As indicated above, those items will be re-solicited under a separate forthcoming solicitation. All other departmental allocations are substantially similar to the allocations under the current contract.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <i>How has the County been purchasing animal food since the previous contract's expiration on August 31, 2016?</i> <p><u>Vendors Recommended for Award</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> HMS Zoo Diets, Inc. 1222 Echo Lane Bluffton, IN Lavcor, LLC (SBE/Micro) 936 NW 104 Avenue Miami, FL Robbie's Feed & Supply, Inc. 22390 SW 177 Avenue Miami, FL <p><u>Additional Information on Previous Contract</u></p> <table border="1" data-bbox="492 1016 1265 1547"> <thead> <tr> <th colspan="2">Contract No. 9411-4/15</th></tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>9411-4/15 R-149-11 3/13/2011-2/29/2012</td><td>\$1,000,000</td></tr> <tr> <td>9411-4/15-1 3/1/2012-2/28/2013</td><td>\$1,000,000</td></tr> <tr> <td>9411-4/15-2 3/1/2013-2/28/2014</td><td>\$1,000,000</td></tr> <tr> <td>9411-4/15-3 3/1/2014-2/28/2015</td><td>\$1,000,000</td></tr> <tr> <td>9411-4/15-4 3/1/2015-2/29/2016 According to the Bid Tracking System, the expiration date was extended to August 31, 2016.</td><td>\$1,000,000</td></tr> <tr> <td>Proration</td><td>\$500,000</td></tr> <tr> <td>Cumulative Contract Total</td><td>\$5,500,000</td></tr> </tbody> </table> <p><u>Vendors Recommended for Award under Contract No. 9411-4/15</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Beninatural, LLC, 2986 SW 24th Street, Miami, FL 33145 H M S Zoo Diets, Inc., 1222 Echo Lane, Bluffton, IN 46714 L & M Fisheries D/B/A Bionic Bait, 3330 NE 6th Terrace, Pompano Beach, FL 33064 Lupitas Closet, LLC, 8888 SW 136th Street, #383, Miami, FL 33176 OK Feed & Supply, Inc., 22801 SW 177th Avenue, Miami, FL 33170 Premium Nutritional Products, Inc., 10504 W. 79th Street, Shawnee, KS 66214 Robbie's Feed & Supply, Inc., 22390 SW 177th Avenue, Miami, FL 33170 The Bug Company of Minnesota, Inc., 15941 Tippecanoe Street NE, Ham Lake, MN 55304 	Contract No. 9411-4/15		9411-4/15 R-149-11 3/13/2011-2/29/2012	\$1,000,000	9411-4/15-1 3/1/2012-2/28/2013	\$1,000,000	9411-4/15-2 3/1/2013-2/28/2014	\$1,000,000	9411-4/15-3 3/1/2014-2/28/2015	\$1,000,000	9411-4/15-4 3/1/2015-2/29/2016 According to the Bid Tracking System, the expiration date was extended to August 31, 2016.	\$1,000,000	Proration	\$500,000	Cumulative Contract Total	\$5,500,000
Contract No. 9411-4/15																	
9411-4/15 R-149-11 3/13/2011-2/29/2012	\$1,000,000																
9411-4/15-1 3/1/2012-2/28/2013	\$1,000,000																
9411-4/15-2 3/1/2013-2/28/2014	\$1,000,000																
9411-4/15-3 3/1/2014-2/28/2015	\$1,000,000																
9411-4/15-4 3/1/2015-2/29/2016 According to the Bid Tracking System, the expiration date was extended to August 31, 2016.	\$1,000,000																
Proration	\$500,000																
Cumulative Contract Total	\$5,500,000																
8F16 170302	RESOLUTION APPROVING AWARD OF CONTRACT NO. FB-00445 FOR PURCHASE OF LOCATION OF UNDERGROUND UTILITIES SERVICES FOR THE TRANSPORTATION AND PUBLIC WORKS																

**Board of County Commissioners
March 7, 2017 Meeting
Research Notes**

Item No.	Research Notes										
	DEPARTMENT IN A TOTAL AMOUNT NOT TO EXCEED \$2,400,000.00 FOR THE FIVE YEAR TERM; AND AUTHORIZING THE COUNTY MAYOR OR COUNTY MAYOR'S DESIGNEE TO GIVE NOTICE OF THIS AWARD, ISSUE THE APPROPRIATE PURCHASE ORDER TO GIVE EFFECT TO SAME AND EXERCISE ALL PROVISIONS OF THE CONTRACT PURSUANT TO SECTION 2-8.1 OF THE CODE AND IMPLEMENTING ORDER 3-38										
Notes	<p>The proposed resolution approves award of Contract No. FB-00445, Location of Underground Utilities, for the Transportation and Public Works Department.</p> <p>The contract provides location and marking services for underground utilities, including, but not limited to, telecommunications, electricity distribution, natural gas, cable television and fiber optics lines, traffic and streetlights, storm drains, water mains and wastewater pipes. The services prevent injury to the public by properly locating and marking underground utilities.</p> <p>This contract facilitates the County's compliance with Florida Statutes Chapter 556, Underground Facility Damage Prevention and Safety Act. The Act requires a call to Florida's One-Call Center to provide notification via a free-access system of an intent to engage in excavation or demolition work. The notification system provides the member operators an opportunity to identify and locate their underground facilities before any digging or excavation work commences. The One-Call Center manages communications from excavators throughout the State of Florida and provides location information.</p> <p><u>Fiscal Impact/Funding Source</u> The fiscal impact for the five-year term is \$2,400,000. The current contract, 7915-4/15, is valued at \$2,970,000 for a five-year and five-month term and expires on April 30, 2017. The allocation under this replacement contract is lower than the current contract due to a reduction in the lump sum monthly service rate, from \$44,439 to \$39,980.</p> <p><u>Vendor Recommended for Award</u> High Tech Engineering, Incorporated dba High Tech Locating (SBE) - 13284 SW 120 Street Miami, FL</p> <p><u>Additional Information on Current Contract – Contract No. 7915-4/15</u> On October 4, 2011, the BCC, through Resolution No. R-794-11, awarded a contract for location and marking services of underground utilities for the Public Works Department. The amount requested was \$540,000 for a one year term, with four, one-year options to renew. If the County chose to exercise the four, one-year options-to-renew, the total estimated value would be \$2,700,000.</p> <p>The primary vendor recommended for award was High Tech Engineering, Inc. and the secondary vendor recommended for award was Graham Services LTD.</p> <table border="1" data-bbox="555 1402 1201 1833"> <thead> <tr> <th colspan="2">Contract No. 7915-4/15</th></tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>Original Contract 7915-4/15 R-794-11 12/1/2011-11/30/2012</td><td>\$540,000</td></tr> <tr> <td>First OTR 7915-4/15-1 12/1/2012-11/30/2013</td><td>\$540,000</td></tr> <tr> <td>Second OTR 7915-4/15-2 12/1/2013-11/30/2014</td><td>\$540,000</td></tr> <tr> <td>Third OTR 7915-4/15-3 12/1/2014-11/30/2015</td><td>\$540,000</td></tr> </tbody> </table>	Contract No. 7915-4/15		Original Contract 7915-4/15 R-794-11 12/1/2011-11/30/2012	\$540,000	First OTR 7915-4/15-1 12/1/2012-11/30/2013	\$540,000	Second OTR 7915-4/15-2 12/1/2013-11/30/2014	\$540,000	Third OTR 7915-4/15-3 12/1/2014-11/30/2015	\$540,000
Contract No. 7915-4/15											
Original Contract 7915-4/15 R-794-11 12/1/2011-11/30/2012	\$540,000										
First OTR 7915-4/15-1 12/1/2012-11/30/2013	\$540,000										
Second OTR 7915-4/15-2 12/1/2013-11/30/2014	\$540,000										
Third OTR 7915-4/15-3 12/1/2014-11/30/2015	\$540,000										

Research Notes

Item No.	Research Notes								
	<table border="1"> <tr> <td>Fourth OTR 7915-4/15-4 12/1/2015-11/30/2016 According to the Bid Tracking System, the expiration date was extended to April 30, 2017.</td><td>\$540,000</td></tr> <tr> <td>Proration</td><td>\$162,000</td></tr> <tr> <td>Modification 4/8/2016</td><td>\$108,000</td></tr> <tr> <td>Cumulative Contract Total</td><td>\$2,970,000</td></tr> </table>	Fourth OTR 7915-4/15-4 12/1/2015-11/30/2016 According to the Bid Tracking System, the expiration date was extended to April 30, 2017.	\$540,000	Proration	\$162,000	Modification 4/8/2016	\$108,000	Cumulative Contract Total	\$2,970,000
Fourth OTR 7915-4/15-4 12/1/2015-11/30/2016 According to the Bid Tracking System, the expiration date was extended to April 30, 2017.	\$540,000								
Proration	\$162,000								
Modification 4/8/2016	\$108,000								
Cumulative Contract Total	\$2,970,000								
8H1 170514	RESOLUTION CONFIRMING INTENT TO MAINTAIN IMPROVEMENTS ON THE BISCAYNE TRAIL SEGMENTS C AND D ALONG THE C-102, C-103, AND L-31-E CANALS WITHIN THE SOUTH DADE GREENWAY NETWORK FOR AN ESTIMATED ANNUAL COST OF \$50,000.00 [SEE ORIGINAL ITEM UNDER FILE NO. 170062]								
Notes	<p>The proposed resolution confirms the intent of the County to maintain improvements on the Biscayne Trail Segments C and D within the rights of way of the C-102, C-103, and L-31-E Canals of the South Florida Water Management District (the District).</p> <p><i>The District has requested that the County state in a resolution of its governing Board that the County will perform maintenance on facilities that the County constructed or desires to construct on District properties.</i></p> <p>During the Parks and Cultural Affairs Committee meeting on February 15, 2017, the proposed resolution was amended to correct the funding source in the Fiscal Impact/Funding Source section from the Bicycle Trail Maintenance Fund to the Parks, Recreation and Open Spaces Department's General Funds Budget.</p> <p><u>Fiscal Impact/Funding Source</u> Maintenance of the area is expected to cost approximately \$50,000.00 annually, to be funded by the Parks, Recreation and Open Spaces Department's General Funds Budget.</p> <p><u>Background</u> The Biscayne Trail is part of the South Dade Greenways Master Plan that the County, through the Parks, Recreation and Open Spaces Department (Department), is committed to build and maintain. Segment C contains 14.5 acres and Segment D contains 16 acres. The Trail will provide an essential connecting element in the overall network of trails in South Dade that will connect Biscayne National Park and Everglades National Park. Approval of this resolution is a requirement of the District's Right-of-Way Permit.</p> <p>The District has a policy of allowing the public to utilize publicly owned rights of ways for passive recreational purposes and the District supports the development of linear parks and greenways. The County, through the Department, has applied for permits with the District to improve public access for recreational purposes along District Canal C-102, C-103, and L-31-E.</p> <p><u>Additional Information on Greenways and Trails⁶</u> The Miami Dade Greenways, Trails and Water Trails Vision is for an interconnected system that provides transportation alternatives and reduces traffic congestion; creates new recreational opportunities; increases property values; protects natural resources; and encourages tourism and business development. These paths strengthen connections across the County, from Broward to Monroe Counties, from the Atlantic Ocean to the Everglades.</p> <p>The Vision builds upon the corridors described by the North Dade Greenways Master Plan and South Dade Greenway Network Master Plan, and goes farther in linking these green fingers into a holistic, seamless system. Its corridors weave through new parks, tie into bike lanes, and act as verdant channels that draw people into natural resource areas. Water Trails that have already been identified by previous plans are incorporated into the</p>								

⁶ <http://www.miamidade.gov/parksmasterplan/trails-vision.asp>

**Board of County Commissioners
March 7, 2017 Meeting
Research Notes**

Item No.	Research Notes
	Vision, but greatly expanded upon: all major canals and waterways are accessible for recreation and strengthen physical and visual connections between the east and west edges of the County. Canals and levees managed by the South Florida Water Management District are converted into greenways and trails corridors, and provide an opportunity for public education on Everglades Restoration.
8J1 170249	RESOLUTION AUTHORIZING THE COUNTY MAYOR OR THE COUNTY MAYOR'S DESIGNEE TO ISSUE A NEW STEVEDORE LICENSE TO JORDAN MONOCANDILOS IN ACCORDANCE WITH CHAPTER 28A, SECTION 28A-6 OF THE CODE OF MIAMI-DADE COUNTY, FLORIDA
Notes	<p>The proposed resolution authorizes the County Mayor or designee to issue a new Miami-Dade County stevedoring license to Jordan Monocandilos pursuant to Chapter 28A, Section 28A-6 of the Code of Miami-Dade County.</p> <p><u>Background</u> Chapter 28-A, Section 28A-6, of the Miami-Dade County Code, mandates that applications for new County stevedore licenses be presented to the BCC by the Mayor, after examination of the qualifications of the applicants by the Seaport Director, and after completion of a background investigation by the Miami-Dade Police Department.</p> <p>Staff has reviewed the qualifications of the applicant for issuance of a new County stevedore license and determined that he is qualified in accordance with Code licensure requirements of Chapter 28A, Section 28A-6 of the Code. An investigation of the applicant by the Miami-Dade Police Department has revealed no record of criminal activity as outlined in Chapter 28-A of the Code. The applicant has also provided the mandatory bond.</p>
8J2 170358	RESOLUTION APPROVING AND AUTHORIZING THE COUNTY MAYOR OR THE COUNTY MAYOR'S DESIGNEE TO EXECUTE AN EARLY TERMINATION AND BUYOUT AGREEMENT AMONG MIAMI-DADE COUNTY, BIMINI SUPERFAST OPERATIONS LLC, AND RESORTS WORLD OMNI LLC; AND AUTHORIZING THE COUNTY MAYOR OR THE COUNTY MAYOR'S DESIGNEE TO EXERCISE ALL CANCELLATION, TERMINATION, EXTENSION, RELEASE, AND OTHER RIGHTS THEREIN
Notes	<p>The proposed resolution authorizes the County Mayor or designee to execute an Early Termination and Buyout Agreement (Buyout) among Miami-Dade County (County), Bimini Superfast Operations LLC (BSO), and Resorts World Omni LLC (RWO).</p> <p><u>Fiscal Impact/Funding Source</u> This Agreement commits BSO to make two payments to the County. The first is an Early-Termination Buyout Payment (Buyout Payment) in a lump sum of twenty million dollars (\$20,000,000). This payment was a negotiated number by the parties taking into consideration BSO's annual guarantees, its early termination rights under the current Cruise Terminal Usage and Development Agreement with Bimini Superfast Operations LLC. (Terminal Agreement) and the Port's desire to regain berthing rights at Terminal H and its pier.</p> <p>The second payment is BSO's Pre-Termination Payment Obligation to cover all fees and charges accruing under the current Terminal Agreement through March 15, 2017 amounting to an additional lump sum of \$1,099,606.11. Thus, the Buyout Sum, total of the above obligations, is \$21,099,606.10 payable to the County no later than three (3) Business Days from the Effective Date of this Buyout Agreement.</p> <p>If the contract were to run out its full 10-year term (through 2023), BSO would be responsible to pay the County approximately forty-seven million dollars (\$47,000,000). BSO is current with its financial obligations to the Port and their account is in good standing.</p> <p><u>Background</u> On July 2, 2013, the BCC approved Resolution No. R-520-13, approving and authorizing the execution of a Cruise Terminal Usage and Development Agreement between Miami-Dade County and Bimini Superfast Operations LLC (Terminal Agreement). Under the Terminal Agreement, BSO committed to make certain guaranteed minimum payments to the County for a term of ten (10) years, including dockage, wharfage, harbor fees, and parking fee payments. In return, the County granted BSO preferential berthing rights at Terminal H, and its related tent annex. The County also committed to making improvements to Terminal H estimated to be \$10 million (excluding design costs), but no more than \$11 million, which construction costs were to be advanced by BSO to the County. Upon advancing terminal construction costs, BSO was to be eligible to obtain credit offsets against future Port dockage and wharfage fees.</p>

**Board of County Commissioners
March 7, 2017 Meeting
Research Notes**

Item No.	Research Notes
	<p>Under the initial Term of this Terminal Agreement, BSO committed annual guaranteed revenues to the County ranging from \$7 million to \$7.8 million during the first six years of the Agreement (based on \$1.9 million in passenger and harbor fees, \$2.9 million in dockage fees, and approximately \$2.2 million in parking guarantees, plus annual escalators) and ranging from \$5.8 million to \$6.3 million in years seven through ten (when parking fees were no longer guaranteed).</p> <p>The Terminal Agreement contained certain conditioned early termination rights from year three to year ten of the Term, in which BSO could elect to early terminate upon certain required prior notice and payment of an early termination fee set forth in a stepped early termination fee schedule, ranging from \$9 million in year three and ultimately dropping to \$2 million in year 9, but subject to a required pre-condition that the BSO-funded terminal improvements were substantially completed.</p> <p>The Bimini Superfast, with a capacity to hold 1,600 passengers, arrived to Miami on June 17, 2013. BSO intended to provide two daily cruises from PortMiami to Bimini, Bahamas onboard the 670-foot vessel. However, after the Bimini cruise service did not meet BSO's anticipated projections, BSO ultimately made a business decision in early 2016 to exit the cruise vessel operation business and sell the M/V Bimini SuperFast. Consequently, BSO ceased vessel operations from PortMiami in March 2016 and, since then, has no longer needed a dedicated cruise terminal. Shortly thereafter, the County was approached by BSO to discuss a potential buyout of the remaining 6 ½ years of its ten-year Terminal Agreement.</p>
<p>8J3 170216</p>	<p>RESOLUTION APPROVING A LEASE AGREEMENT BETWEEN MIAMI-DADE COUNTY AND BAYVIEW AT FISHER ISLAND CONDOMINIUM ASSOCIATION NO. TWO, FOR A TERM OF TWO YEARS WITH FOUR ONE YEAR RENEWAL OPTIONS FOR A RENT IN THE AMOUNT OF \$1,320.00 PER MONTH AND A TOTAL RENTAL OF \$95,040.00 IF ALL FOUR RENEWAL PERIODS ARE EXERCISED FOR THE PURPOSES OF INSTALLING AND MAINTAINING HOMELAND SECURITY SURVEILLANCE EQUIPMENT; AND AUTHORIZING THE COUNTY MAYOR OR THE COUNTY MAYOR'S DESIGNEE TO EXECUTE THE LEASE AGREEMENT FOR AND ON BEHALF OF MIAMI-DADE COUNTY, AND TO EXERCISE THE CANCELLATION, TERMINATION AND RENEWAL PROVISIONS AND ALL OTHER COUNTY RIGHTS CONFERRED THEREIN</p>
<p>Notes</p>	<p>The proposed resolution authorizes the County Mayor to execute a lease agreement between Miami-Dade County and Bayview at Fisher Island Condominium Association No. Two (Bayview) for the purpose of maintaining the installed Homeland Security Surveillance Equipment located on the rooftop of the condominium.</p> <p>The term of this lease agreement is for two (2) years commencing on the effective date with four (4) additional one-year options to renew.</p> <p><u>Fiscal Impact/Funding Source</u> The rental cost will be \$1,320.00 per month for a total fiscal impact of \$31,680.00 over the two- year term of the lease and \$95,040.00 if all renewal terms are exercised, which will be paid from seaport operating revenues. The rental cost is based on the estimated electrical consumption resulting from the operation of the equipment.</p> <p><u>Background</u> In October of 2006, PortMiami received a Port Security Grant of \$2,250,000.00 from the Office for Domestic Preparedness for the second phase of a Waterside Surveillance System.</p> <p>The Waterside Surveillance System provides real-time situational awareness of the waterside enabling the detection of unauthorized watercraft and/or intruders accessing restricted areas of the Port's perimeter or approaching docked vessels. The system consists of five (5) sites, one of which is hosted on the rooftop of Bayview. The lease agreement provides access to the rooftop space for the installation and maintenance of the surveillance equipment.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • <i>For a period of time, the roof on the building at Bayview at Fisher Island Condominium was under construction resulting in the removal of the security equipment. During the construction period, the lease was not in effect and no payments were issued to Bayview at Fisher Island Condominium Association. The construction is complete and the security equipment has been upgraded and reinstalled at the site.</i>

**Board of County Commissioners
March 7, 2017 Meeting
Research Notes**

Item No.	Research Notes
	<p>The lease agreement will allow PortMiami to resume using the Bayview at Fisher Island Point Building as a base for the security equipment needed for the Waterside Surveillance System. The County has the right to cancel this lease agreement any time by giving Bayview at least 30 days written notice prior to its effective date. It is important to note that the County negotiated this lease agreement with Bayview because this building is strategically located in the surveillance area that can best provide the proper coverage for the southwest portion of the island.</p>
<p>8L1 170447</p>	<p>RESOLUTION APPROVING GRANT AGREEMENT BETWEEN AA ACQUISITIONS, LLC. AND MIAMI-DADE COUNTY RELATING TO GRANT IN AMOUNT OF \$5 MILLION FROM BUILDING BETTER COMMUNITIES GENERAL OBLIGATION BOND PROGRAM PROJECT 124 – “ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT FUND”; AND AUTHORIZING COUNTY MAYOR OR COUNTY MAYOR’S DESIGNEE TO EXECUTE AND DELIVER GRANT AGREEMENT ON BEHALF OF COUNTY [SEE ORIGINAL ITEM UNDER FILE NO. 170202]</p>
<p>Notes</p>	<p>The proposed resolution approves a Grant Agreement (Agreement) with AA Acquisitions, LLC (Grantee), which is associated with a previously approved allocation by the BCC in the amount of \$5 million from Building Better Communities General Obligation Bond (BBC-GOB) Program Project No. 124 Economic Development Fund (Project No. 124) for the partial funding of certain public infrastructure improvements related to the completion of Orion Jet Center Development (GOB Project).</p> <p>Approval of this Agreement will fund certain public infrastructure improvements in connection with the construction of a 19,305 square foot Class “A” Terminal Building, 13,540 square feet of office space, 75,000 square feet of aircraft hangars, over 750,000 square feet of aircraft ramp, and related site improvements, including a 90,000 square foot car parking lot (collectively referred to as the Development) located at 15000 NW 44 Avenue, Opa-Locka, Florida, 33054.</p> <p><u>Fiscal Impact/Funding Source</u></p> <p>The Agreement provides that \$5 million from BBC-GOB Program Project No. 124 will be made available to the Grantee on a reimbursable basis for costs related to the GOB Project. The funding source for the Agreement is BBC-GOB Program bond proceeds.</p> <p>The County anticipates reimbursement funding under the Agreement to be made available in FY 2017-18. The Agreement will partially offset the cost of a drainage system, a new electrical distribution system, roadways, a parking lot, LED site lighting and new sidewalks, and any other eligible infrastructure.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Reimbursement to the Grantee as a one-time reimbursement on a date that is no earlier than 365 days from the date the Development is put into service will satisfy both the Federal reimbursement requirements, as well as the County’s policy of certifying to there being a minimum of 157 new full-time permanent jobs at the Development. Pursuant to the Agreement, all reimbursements to the Grantee will be in accordance with the Administrative Rules. <p>The Grantee has agreed to create or cause to be created 31 direct new jobs with annual average salaries of \$41,432 and 126 indirect new jobs with average annual salaries of \$60,051 or higher, for a total of 157 new permanent full-time jobs.</p> <p><u>Background</u></p> <p>Pursuant to Resolution No. R-988-14 adopted on November 5, 2014, the BCC approved a \$5 million allocation from BBC-GOB Program Project No. 124 for the GOB Project and directed the County Mayor or County Mayor’s designee to begin negotiating the terms of an agreement. A draft agreement document with standard terms under the BBC-GOB Program was sent to the Grantee and subsequent negotiations took place. As a result of the negotiation meetings with multiple potential grantees for BBC-GOB Program funding under Project No. 124, the Department recommended allowing the following changes for all projects to be negotiated:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Job Creation - allowing for two (2) job classifications: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ○ Direct jobs – Direct jobs are those positions that the Grantee certifies as its direct employees. Certification of direct jobs requires copies of Florida Department of Revenue Employer’s Quarterly Report – Form RT-6 (or their replacement form) filed with the State of Florida. ○ Indirect jobs – Indirect jobs are those positions that the Grantee’s tenant(s) certifies as indirect employees. Certification of indirect jobs requires certification by the tenant’s Certified Public

**Board of County Commissioners
March 7, 2017 Meeting
Research Notes**

Item No.	Research Notes
	<p>Accountant or by the indirect job employer on its letterhead signed by an officer of the company and accompanied by an appropriate affidavit. As part of its annual report, the Grantee will be required to submit an affidavit or other written affirmation attesting to the veracity of all such job certifications by its tenant(s).</p> <p>Deviation(s) from the Allocation Memo accompanied by Resolution No. R-988-14 Resolution No. R-988-14, which allocated \$5 million of Project No. 124 funds, was based on the creation of 157 new permanent full-time equivalent jobs with an average annual salary of \$52,353.00 as indicated in the Grantee's application of May 17, 2013. The Grantee has changed the number of new permanent full-time equivalent jobs to 31 direct new jobs with annual average salaries of \$41,432 (including benefits) or higher and 126 indirect new jobs with average annual salaries of \$60,051, which exceeds the County's Living Wage.</p> <p>The Grantee has changed the scope of the Development, which was originally planned as an 18,000 square foot Class "A" Terminal Building, a restaurant, 200,000 square feet of aircraft hangars, and approximately 28,000 square feet of office space. The Grantee is now proposing a 19,305 square foot Class "A" Terminal Building, 13,540 square feet of office space, 75,000 square feet of aircraft hangars, over 750,000 square feet of aircraft ramp and related site improvements including a 90,000 square foot car parking lot.</p> <p><u>Additional Information on Resolution No. R-988-14</u> During the Economic Development and Port Miami Committee meeting on July 10, 2014, R-988-14 was deferred after the Committee expressed concerns regarding recommended project allocations for BBC GOB EDF Project 124. R-988-14 was subsequently adopted at the November 5, 2014 BCC meeting.</p> <p>The Mayor's Memo dated August 28, 2014, addresses those concerns.</p> <p>The EDF is divided into two segments, Project 124, totaling \$75 million, and Project 320 totaling, \$15 million. Project 124 funds were focused on stimulating economic growth Countywide, and Project 320 funds were aimed at projects within the County's Targeted Urban Areas. Issues and concerns were brought up during the EDCP meeting that the committee felt that Project 124 allocations did not meet the game-changer requirement set forth by the Administrative Rules. Given the concerns raised by committee members, the Administration requested deferral of all Project 124 items in order for staff to work with the Chair of EDCP to schedule a committee workshop.</p> <p><u>Additional Information- Economic Development Fund- Project 124</u> On February 3, 2015, the BCC, through Resolution No. R-123-15, set policy for Miami-Dade County related to the Project No. 124- Economic Development Fund (Project 124) directing the County Mayor or designee to complete negotiations by July 21, 2015 with each potential grant recipient of an allocation from the Project 124 Fund approved by the BCC on or before January 21, 2015 and to prepare and submit a report to the BCC detailing the results of the negotiations. If the BCC approves an allocation of Project 124 Fund proceeds for a Pending Application, the County Mayor or designee is directed to complete negotiations with the proposed grant recipient of such allocation within a six month period following the date of approval by the BCC.</p> <p>On May 5, 2015, the BCC, through Resolution No. R-423-15, amended administrative rules governing Economic Development Project No. 124 of the Building Better Communities General Obligation Bond (BBC GOB) Program to be applied to all new applications for funding.</p> <p>The Administrative Rules were amended to add the following at the end of Article II, Section 4.A.1. – Eligibility Requirements for Projects:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • <i>Does the project improve infrastructure for a greater area of impact that can advance economic development substantially beyond the project footprint?</i> • <i>Is the project a target industry identified in the May 2012 One Community One Goal Strategic Report or identified by the Beacon Council, from time to time?</i> • <i>Does it advance green technology or energy green industry?</i> • <i>Does it enhance or advance transit-oriented development?</i>

**Board of County Commissioners
March 7, 2017 Meeting
Research Notes**

Item No.	Research Notes
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • <i>Would the project be vulnerable to sea level rise that would require adaptation strategies and if so, would it contribute to any overall sea level rise adaptation goals established by the County?</i> <p>Additionally, the County Mayor or designee was to apply the criteria in the amended Administrative Rules to all new applications received by the County for Project 124 funding and was to report the findings to the BCC when a new project is considered by the BCC for an allocation from the Project 124 Fund.</p> <p>On June 2, 2015, the BCC, through Resolution No. R-510-15, directed the County Mayor or designee to post on the County's calendar the date and time of any negotiation sessions with recipients of a BCC approved allocation of Project 124 funds; record all such negotiation sessions; include a member of the staff that provides support to the Building Better Communities Citizen's Advisory Committee in each negotiation session; and in the report to the BCC, include the date and time of each negotiation session with each potential grant recipient of Project 124 funds from the date the BCC allocated Project 124 funds to the potential grant recipient to the date in Resolution No. R-123-15 for completion of the negotiation of a grant agreement.</p> <p><u>Additional Information on Resolution No. R-668-10 - BBC GOB Administrative Rules</u></p> <p>On June 15, 2010, the BCC, through Resolution No. R-668-10, adopted the Administrative Rules⁷ for the BBC GOB Program. Below are highlights from the resolutions and supplements that made up the Administrative Rules that govern the Economic Development Grant Fund – Project No. 124:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • <i>Staff proposes that \$75 million from the Economic Development Fund be used to not only accomplish the goals of private sector development, accelerate job creation, and provide a significant return on investment, but more importantly to develop projects with the potential to transform the regional economy and produce a significant number of jobs. More specifically, the County wishes to use these funds in ways that strengthen the economy's capacity for innovation and commercialization of scientific advancements, and expand leadership in local industry clusters such as Aviation and Aerospace, Financial and Professional Services, Homeland Security and Defense, Information Technology, Life Sciences, and International Trade and Global Commerce.</i> • <i>The recommended use of these funds is a shift from the County's current economic development incentive programs as it focuses on the building of institutions that serve as catalysts for such new investments, productivity growth, and the foundation for long-term regional competitive advantage. Using BBC GOB Program Project No. 124 funds, the County can move quickly to take advantage of "game-changing" economic development opportunities likely to have very significant impacts on the entire County and/or South Florida region, rather than specific neighborhoods or sections of the County. This portion of the Economic Development Fund will fund new public infrastructure projects that incentivize real property investments by innovative businesses willing to relocate to Miami-Dade County that are unlikely to occur without such incentive.</i> • <i>Each proposed project must be evaluated primarily according to the "permanent" new jobs directly and indirectly created, but consideration will also be given to the directly and indirectly created jobs during the project's development phase. Once a proposed project is approved by the Board, actual funding is dependent upon the availability of BCC GOB Program bond proceeds.</i> • <i>The innovative businesses sought are businesses likely to be catalysts for the growth of an existing or emerging high technology cluster or likely to have a significant impact on long term regional growth. Eligible projects can be located anywhere in Miami-Dade County as long as they meet the criteria set in the administrative rules.</i> • <i>This program would provide \$75 million in grant funds to reimburse projects for the cost of public infrastructure investments associated with private development. These private capital investments must support long term economic development and create a significant number of jobs. Reimbursement for</i>

⁷ <http://www.miamidade.gov/bondprogram/library/gob-administrative-rules.pdf>

**Board of County Commissioners
March 7, 2017 Meeting
Research Notes**

Item No.	Research Notes
	<p><i>infrastructure investment will be contingent upon the private entity having secured total project capital investment from non-County government sources as required under a Grant Agreement.</i></p> <p>The Economic Development Grant Fund requirements listed below establish guidelines to identify qualified projects. This list of general qualifications and requirements reflects the County's intent to move forward with projects that will have a significant, and lasting, impact on future economic development and job creation. Project No. 124 requirements include:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • The funds must be used to provide infrastructure improvements to spur economic development and attract new businesses to the community in order to create jobs. • The funds must be used for public infrastructure, including parking structures and public facilities, along with other infrastructure improvements, subject to certain limitations and to be evaluated on a case by case basis. • These infrastructure improvements must support economic development activities that have the potential to create a significant number of permanent new jobs in Miami-Dade County that benefit the community at-large. • The project must leverage public bond monies with other funding sources to yield a significant economic impact and return on public incentive investments, and demonstrate the financial capacity and financial commitment to complete the economic development project. • The project must demonstrate long-term benefits to the County in spurring future economic growth through an analysis of local economic and County fiscal impacts over a 20-year time period using a Miami-Dade County REMI model or an equivalent model that is widely available and professionally accepted among economists. • A project-specific grant would reimburse up to 100 percent of public infrastructure costs per project, but subject to a maximum cap of \$15 million and a minimum amount of \$10 million. • Actual grant funds would be disbursed on a reimbursement basis only after verified completion of the public infrastructure project upon receipt of an audited financial accounting of infrastructure development costs and subject to funding and compliance with federal tax laws. • Grants in excess of \$5 million would be disbursed over no more than a 5 year period from the date the public infrastructure improvements are completed when taxable bonds are issued to fund such public infrastructure improvements. If tax-exempt bonds are issued for the public infrastructure improvements reimbursements for such public infrastructure improvements will be disbursed over no more than a three year period from the date of the expenditure but in no case later than 18 months from the date the public infrastructure project is placed in service. • Annual benchmarks for required private sector capital investments in a project would be established and disbursement of grant funds would be subject to attainment of said benchmarks. A prorated grant disbursement may be allowed when actual project investment falls short of benchmarks. • A clawback provision in the event that established benchmarks are not met subsequent to disbursement of grant funds will be included in the Grant Agreement. The Grant Agreement will require that the grant recipient provide collateral securing the clawback provision. The collateral may include, but will not be limited to, any instrument such as a personal guarantee, performance bond, restrictive covenant, or any other collateral as appropriate. • As a condition of the grant award for public infrastructure improvements, the grant recipient agrees as a matter of contract to the application of Section 2-11.16 of the Code on the portion of the project that is specifically tied to EDF-funded public infrastructure improvements.
8L3 170138	RESOLUTION AUTHORIZING THE DISBURSEMENT OF UP TO \$98,000.00 FROM THE BISCAYNE BAY ENVIRONMENTAL ENHANCEMENT TRUST FUND FOR BAYNANZA 2017; APPROVING AGREEMENT WITH THE FLORIDA INLAND NAVIGATION DISTRICT TO PROVIDE FUNDING TO MIAMI-DADE COUNTY FOR BAYNANZA 2017; AND AUTHORIZING MAYOR OR MAYOR'S DESIGNEE TO EXECUTE THE AGREEMENT AND EXERCISE THE PROVISIONS CONTAINED THEREIN
Notes	<p>The proposed resolution provides for the following:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Authorizes the disbursement of \$98,000.00 from the Biscayne Bay Environmental Enhancement Trust Fund (Trust Fund) for Baynanza 2017; and

**Board of County Commissioners
March 7, 2017 Meeting
Research Notes**

Item No.	Research Notes
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Authorizes the execution of a related agreement with the Florida Inland Navigation District so that the Florida Inland Navigation District can provide up to \$10,000.00 toward Baynanza 2017 expenses. <p><u>Fiscal Impact/Funding Source</u> Pursuant to Section 7-22.1 of the Code of Miami-Dade County (Code), funds generated by local boat registration fees and deposited into the Trust Fund may be used for water body maintenance and enhancement activities such as Baynanza 2017. The available balance of the Trust Fund is \$4.1 million as of November 30, 2016.</p> <p>Funding in the amount of \$98,000.00 from the Trust Fund is requested for costs related to County services and outside services for educational, public awareness, and environmental enhancement activities as part of Baynanza 2017 and particularly Biscayne Bay Cleanup Day. Costs for Biscayne Bay Cleanup Day include event staffing, safety and sanitation equipment, supplies and services, trash collection and disposal, commemorative t-shirts for volunteers, equipment rental and marketing. The agreement with the Florida Inland Navigation District will provide up to \$10,000.00 toward Baynanza t-shirts.</p> <p>The Department of Regulatory and Economic Resources' Division of Environmental Resources Management will seek additional funds and in-kind services through sponsorships from local organizations. Additional costs for the planning and implementation of this event, which exceed the funding amounts shown above, will be paid from the Division of Environmental Resources Management's operating budget.</p> <p><u>Background</u> Baynanza is part of the County's longstanding commitment to enhance public awareness of Biscayne Bay as a recreational, aesthetic, economic, historic, scientific and environmental resource. Baynanza is a cooperative effort among several County departments, environmental groups in Miami-Dade County and the community at large. The main event, Biscayne Bay Cleanup Day, will take place on April 22, 2017 and will include shoreline cleanup at 23 sites along Biscayne Bay. This event typically attracts approximately 6,000 volunteers and each receives a Baynanza t-shirt. The t-shirts commemorate the volunteers' hard work and promote awareness of the importance of Biscayne Bay.</p> <p>A variety of other events related to Biscayne Bay will be scheduled from March through April 2017, including nature walks, kayaking tours, and other similar educational activities. A special outreach event is planned for the VIP cleanup site at Matheson Hammock Park, 9610 Old Cutler Road in Coral Gables, to mark the 35th anniversary of Biscayne Bay Cleanup Day.</p> <p><u>Additional Information</u> On February 7, 2017, the BCC through Resolution No. R-106-17, authorized increased expenditure authority of \$759,000 to Prequalification Pool No. 8111-1/23, Promotional and Advertising Items, for multiple County departments including \$80,000 for the Regulatory and Economic Resources Department, to purchase promotional items for community activities such as clean air campaigns, back to school events, and the annual Baynanza event.</p>
<p>8L4 170126</p>	<p>RESOLUTION APPROVING AN INTERLOCAL AGREEMENT FOR STORMWATER MANAGEMENT BETWEEN THE TOWN OF MIAMI LAKES AND THE MIAMI-DADE COUNTY STORMWATER UTILITY FOR A TERM OF FIVE (5) YEARS AND PROVIDING THAT THE TOWN OF MIAMI LAKES SHALL REIMBURSE THE MIAMI-DADE COUNTY STORMWATER UTILITY IN AN AMOUNT UP TO \$794,590.00; AND AUTHORIZING THE COUNTY MAYOR OR COUNTY MAYOR'S DESIGNEE TO EXECUTE SAME AND EXERCISE ANY TERMINATION PROVISIONS AND ALL OTHER RIGHTS CONTAINED THEREIN</p>
<p>Notes</p>	<p>The proposed resolution approves an Interlocal Agreement between the Town of Miami Lakes and the Miami-Dade County Stormwater Utility for stormwater management responsibilities in shared stormwater drainage systems near or within the boundaries of the Town of Miami Lakes.</p> <p>Specifically, the Interlocal Agreement provides that the Town of Miami Lakes will reimburse the County for stormwater management work in an amount up to \$794,590.00 over the five (5) year term of the Interlocal Agreement. The term of this five-year Agreement is October 1, 2016 to September 30, 2021.</p>

Board of County Commissioners
March 7, 2017 Meeting
Research Notes

Item No.	Research Notes
	<p>Miami-Dade County (County) is responsible for operating and maintaining the Secondary Canal System throughout the entire geographical area of the County. Canals included in the Agreement, such as the Red Road Canal and Peter's Pike Canal, provide drainage and flood protection to residents and properties within the Town. This Agreement will allow the County to be reimbursed on a pro-rata share basis for canal maintenance services performed by the County on secondary canals that provide drainage to the Town.</p> <p><u>Fiscal Impact/Funding Source</u> Stormwater Utility fees provide funding for the construction, operation, and maintenance of stormwater conveyance systems. Canals operated and maintained by the County provide drainage service to the County and municipalities, but remain the responsibility of the County.</p> <p>The total annual cost of routine canal maintenance work to be performed by the County on secondary canals that serve the Town is estimated at \$489,000.00 per year or \$2,445,000.00 for the five-year term. Pursuant to this Agreement, the Town will reimburse the County based on the Town's runoff contribution to each canal drainage basin. Therefore, the Town will reimburse the County up to \$158,918.00 per year and up to a total of \$794,590.00 over the five-year term of the Agreement.</p> <p>The County's cost will be funded through the County's Stormwater Utility fees pursuant to Sections 24-51 through 24-51.5 of the Code of Miami-Dade County.</p> <p><u>Background</u> On June 18, 1991, the BCC adopted County Ordinance No. 91-66, which created the Miami-Dade County Stormwater Utility, and established a uniform Countywide approach to stormwater management. On October 7, 2003, the BCC approved Resolution No. R-1159-03, exempting the Town from the provisions of the Miami-Dade County Stormwater Utility and thereby allowing the Town to create stormwater management regulations within their municipal code and create their own stormwater utility.</p> <p>On September 13, 2011, the Town of Miami Lakes Council adopted Resolution No. 11-930, approving the previous five-year interlocal agreement with the County that established the responsibilities for the operation, maintenance, and cost-sharing of stormwater systems within the Town's boundaries. Subsequently, on January 24, 2012, the BCC approved that five-year interlocal agreement under Resolution No. R-35-12. Through that interlocal agreement, Miami Lakes reimbursed the County for Miami Lakes' share of canal maintenance costs incurred by the County after October 1, 2011. That interlocal agreement expired September 30, 2016 and the proposed five-year Agreement will be retroactive for continuity, starting on October 1, 2016 and expiring on September 30, 2021.</p> <p>On September 6, 2016, the Town of Miami Lakes Council adopted Resolution No. 16-1398 authorizing the Town Manager and Town Attorney to enter into and execute a new five-year Agreement for Shared Stormwater Management between the Town and the County.</p>
8N1 162742	<p>RESOLUTION APPROVING A CONSTRUCTION AGREEMENT, BETWEEN MIAMI-DADE COUNTY AND CSX TRANSPORTATION, INC FOR THE REPLACEMENT OF THE RAILROAD CROSSING SURFACE AT SW 152 STREET IN THE VICINITY OF SW 133 AVENUE IN THE AMOUNT ESTIMATED AT \$587,959.00, AND AUTHORIZING THE COUNTY MAYOR OR COUNTY MAYOR'S DESIGNEE TO EXECUTE THE AGREEMENT, TO TAKE ALL ACTIONS NECESSARY TO EFFECTUATE SAME, AND TO EXERCISE ALL PROVISIONS CONTAINED THEREIN</p>
Notes	<p>The proposed resolution approves a Construction Agreement between Miami-Dade County and CSX Transportation, Inc. for the replacement of the railroad crossing surface at SW 152 Street in the vicinity of SW 133 Avenue.</p> <p><u>Fiscal Impact/Funding Source</u> The cost of construction is estimated at \$587,959 and will be funded through RESURFACING IMPROVEMENTS COUNTY WIDE (Project 2000000539), in the FY 2016-17 Multi-Year Capital Plan.</p> <p><u>Background</u></p>

**Board of County Commissioners
March 7, 2017 Meeting
Research Notes**

Item No.	Research Notes
	<p>Based on an existing agreement approved by the BCC on June 7, 1994, under Resolution No. R-830-94, the County is responsible for costs associated with needed replacement to the railroad crossing surface. The replacement cost estimated at \$587,959 will need to be deposited with CSX upon their execution of the notice to proceed with the construction which they will administer as per the Agreement. After the replacement of the railroad crossing surface, CSX will be responsible for the maintenance of the crossing surface at County's cost and expense as per Resolution No. R-830-94.</p> <p><u>Additional Information on Committee Discussion</u></p> <p>During the Transportation and Public Works Committee meeting on February 16, 2017, the proposed resolution was discussed as follows.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • <i>The Committee inquired whether the County was responsible for maintaining railroad crossings on County roads.</i> • <i>The Director of Transportation and Public Works (TPW) Department reported that railroads often existed before the roadway; that the roadway crossed the railway by permit; and that the County was responsible for improvements. She noted in this instance, the County had a roadway improvement project and needed to modify the crossing to match the new roadway.</i> • <i>The Chief from TPW Department mentioned that the County was responsible for costs associated with surface maintenance, pursuant to Resolution No. R-830- 94; however, the County did not perform the work.</i> • <i>The Committee expressed the need to maintain railroad crossings throughout the entire County and the asked Deputy Mayor and DTPW Director to provide the Committee with a report on the number of fines and the amount of money collected from CSX Transportation, Inc., associated with trash along the rail corridors, noting this as a possible income source.</i> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ○ Has this information been provided?
<p>8N3 170020</p>	<p>RESOLUTION APPROVING REJECTION OF ALL PROPOSALS RECEIVED IN RESPONSE TO CONTRACT NO. CIP062-DE1-TR13, ISD PROJECT NO. E13-MDT-02 FOR CONTINUOUS PROFESSIONAL SERVICES FOR NW 27 AVENUE ENHANCED SERVICE – BUS STATION</p>
<p>Notes</p>	<p>The proposed resolution rejects all proposals received for Contract No. CIP062-DE-TR13, ISD Project No. E13-MDT-02 Continuous Professional Services for NW 27 Avenue Enhanced Bus Service - Bus Stations.</p> <p><u>Background</u></p> <p>On November 1, 2013, the original Request to Advertise was approved and filed with the Clerk of the Board (COB). The solicitation was advertised on November 18, 2013, and eight (8) proposals were received by the COB on December 23, 2013 in response to the solicitation. The negotiation authorization was granted on February 7, 2014.</p> <p>However, prior to negotiations, the Department of Transportation and Public Works (DTPW) recommended that the Miami-Dade County Internal Services Department postpone negotiations until the Florida Department of Transportation (FDOT) and the Miami-Dade County Metropolitan Planning Organization (MPO) perform a study/analysis to implement the Bus Rapid Transit (BRT) along NW 27 Avenue transit corridor. The results of such study/analysis would be presented to the MPO Board and, based on the MPO's resolution, DTPW would take action.</p> <p>The draft study/analysis was presented at the MPO's Transit Corridors Workshop on January 8, 2015. At this workshop, various transit corridors, their priorities, and available funding sources were discussed, and members expressed the need to identify three (3) transit corridors to proceed with the Project Development and Environmental Study (PD&E) phase.</p> <p>The MPO Governing Board met on February 19, 2015 and passed a Resolution selecting three (3) premium transit corridors to proceed into the PD&E Study Phase for the implementation of BRT. FDOT has committed to perform the PD&E Study. Therefore, the implementation of the proposed Enhanced Bus Service (EBS) along NW 27 Avenue (North Corridor) is not being considered as an option at this point.</p> <p>In anticipation of professional services assistance to FDOT pending resolution of the above, DTPW did not close the Continuous Professional Services for NW 27 Avenue Enhanced Service - Bus Stations, Contract No: CIP062-</p>

**Board of County Commissioners
March 7, 2017 Meeting
Research Notes**

Item No.	Research Notes
	<p>DE1-TR13, ISD Project No. E13-MDT-02. It was later determined that professional support would not be necessary, requiring the closing of the subject solicitation.</p> <p><u>Fiscal Impact</u> The fiscal impact of the total five-year contract term would not have exceeded \$2,677,125.00. This project was being funded by the FTA and with proceeds with the Charter County Transportation Surtax.</p>
<p>8N4 170276</p>	<p>RESOLUTION APPROVING AN INTERLOCAL AGREEMENT BETWEEN MIAMI-DADE COUNTY AND THE CITY OF MIAMI BEACH FOR THE CREATION OF THE SOUTH BEACH TROLLEY ROUTE TO PROVIDE PUBLIC TRANSPORTATION SERVICES AND AUTHORIZING THE COUNTY MAYOR OR COUNTY MAYOR'S DESIGNEE TO EXECUTE SAME AND TO EXERCISE THE PROVISIONS CONTAINED THEREIN AND FURTHER AUTHORIZING THE COUNTY MAYOR OR THE COUNTY MAYOR'S DESIGNEE TO DISCONTINUE COUNTY OPERATION OF THE SOUTH BEACH LOCAL ROUTE (ROUTE 123) UPON THE CITY OF MIAMI BEACH BEGINNING OPERATION OF THE SOUTH BEACH TROLLEY ROUTE, WITH AN ANTICIPATED DATE OF NOVEMBER 2017</p>
<p>Notes</p>	<p>The proposed resolution:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Approves an Interlocal Agreement between Miami-Dade County and the City of Miami Beach for the creation of the South Beach Trolley route to provide public transportation services; and • Authorizes the County Mayor or the County Mayor's designee to discontinue County operation of the South Beach Local route (Route 123) upon the City of Miami Beach beginning operation of the South Beach Trolley route, with an anticipated date of November 2017. <p>This Interlocal Agreement allows the City to provide municipal circulator services within the South Beach area bounded by Washington Avenue to the East, Dade Boulevard to the North, West Avenue to the West and South Pointe Drive to the South. The proposed South Beach Trolley route and service plan represents an enhancement to mobility within the area as it features the following:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • A new fleet of ten low-floor vehicles with a capacity of 30 passengers for each vehicle • Air Conditioning in all vehicles • Fare free service • 10-15 minute frequency • Real time information of vehicles both via phone and mobile application • Customer service tailored to the South Beach atmosphere • Service from 6:00 AM to 12:00 AM, Monday through Saturday • Service from 8:00 AM to 12:00 AM on Sundays • Year-round service • More frequent connection to County regional routes: C, M, 120 and S <p>The proposed route will serve several points of interest including but not limited to: Lummus Park, Publix Supermarket, South Shore Branch Library, South Pointe Park, Whole Foods Market, Flamingo Park, Lincoln Mall, Washington Avenue Shops, Miami Beach Convention Center, the Fillmore Miami Beach, the Jackie Gleason Theatre, 17 Street, and City Hall.</p> <p>The Agreement replaces an existing Interlocal Agreement between the County and the City which provides for the operation of the South Beach Local service (Route 123). The Agreement for the proposed South Beach Trolley incorporates language extending the South Beach Local service until the next available Miami-Dade Department of Transportation and Public Works (DTPW) line-up when the bus service may be smoothly transitioned to trolley service, avoiding interruptions in service.</p> <p>Upon the City beginning the operation of the South Beach Trolley route, the County Mayor or the County Mayor's designee is authorized to discontinue County operation of the South Beach Local route (Route 123). Since this item authorizes the County Mayor or the County Mayor's designee to discontinue a route, a public hearing is required in accordance with Section 2-150 of the County Code.</p> <p><u>Fiscal Impact</u></p>

**Board of County Commissioners
March 7, 2017 Meeting
Research Notes**

Item No.	Research Notes
	<p>The County currently pays for two-thirds (2/3) of the annual operating cost of the South Beach Local (approximately \$2.6 million). The City has expressed its commitment to completely fund the proposed South Beach Trolley, yielding an annual savings to the County of approximately \$2.6 million. The proposed service will be free to the end-user.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <i>In the case the City may charge a fare, similar to other agreements for service, the Agreement requires the City to enact a fare structure to include the acceptance of all DTPW passes, transfers, or identifications entitling an eligible passenger to ride the service without paying an additional fare (i.e., Patriot Passport and Golden Passport) or for half fare (i.e. kindergarten – 12th grade students).</i> <p>The proposed South Beach Trolley would be completely financed and operated by the City through a full-turnkey contract with a third party (an opportunity to bid for the service was provided to the County). Transit operators currently assigned to the South Beach Local route will be able to choose work assignments in other DTPW operated routes through the department's bi-annual bid process.</p> <p><u>Background</u></p> <p>In 1998, the City started the operation of the Electrowave Shuttle, a transit service designed as an alternative form of public transportation on the eastern side of South Beach (mainly along Washington Avenue) in order to mitigate impacts of traffic congestion and lack of parking facilities.</p> <p>The City approached the County in 2004 to outline a scope of services and plans for a possible DTPW operation of the Electrowave. An agreement was reached to have DTPW operate the Electrowave service through an enhancement of DTPW's Route W. Prior to the enhancement, Route W operated a one-direction service along 17 Street, West Avenue/Alton Road, South Pointe Drive and Washington Avenue. Upon the merger of both routes, the service became bi-directional and was renamed to "The South Beach Local." The South Beach Local began interim operation in September 2005 and permanent operation in February 2006.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <i>On September 8, 2005, the BCC, through Resolution No. R-1009-05, approved an Interlocal Agreement (the Agreement) between Miami-Dade County, through Miami-Dade Transit (MDT), and the City of Miami Beach (the City) for the operation of expanded public transportation services in and around South Beach (The South Beach Local). The term of the Interlocal Agreement was for five (5) years and included two (2) four (4) year options to renew by agreement between the County Manager and the City Commission.</i> <p>As part of the agreement for the South Beach Local, it was negotiated by the County and the City that approximately one-third (1/3) of the overall annual operational cost of the route would be covered by the City. In February 2012, the South Beach Local Agreement between the County and the City was renewed for an additional five-year term, and an option to renew upon mutual agreement was included.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <i>On January 24, 2012, the BCC, through Resolution No. R-48-12, approved an Interlocal Agreement (Agreement) between Miami-Dade County (County), through Miami-Dade Transit (MDT) and the City of Miami Beach (City) for the operation of public transportation services in and around South Beach (South Beach Local). This agreement was for five years; with two automatic five-year extensions.</i> <p>In January 2016, the City Commission approved a route and service plan for a South Beach Trolley and directed the City's Administration to work with the County to develop an Interlocal Agreement. DTPW staff advised the City that the proposed service was duplicative of DTPW's South Beach Local route and that no Interlocal Agreement with a duplication of services could be approved. As such, DTPW advised the City to provide a formal response regarding the upcoming renewal of the South Beach Local Agreement in order to properly inform the Board, and determine the best course of action.</p> <p>In June 2016, the City formally notified DTPW of its desire to extend the current Interlocal Agreement between the County and the City for the operation of the South Beach Local until vehicles for a City- operated trolley service were manufactured and ready for a seamless transition, at which time the South Beach Local service would be discontinued.</p>

**Board of County Commissioners
March 7, 2017 Meeting
Research Notes**

Item No.	Research Notes
	<p>DTPW has reviewed the proposed route alignment and service plan, and agrees that it represents an improvement to mobility within the vicinity given that it proposes, among other things, a new fleet of vehicles and higher frequency of service consistent throughout the day. It also provides DTPW with an opportunity to reallocate funding currently dedicated to the South Beach Local route to address other regional transportation needs.</p> <p><u>Additional Information on Committee Meeting Discussion</u></p> <p>During the Transportation and Public Works Committee meeting discussion on February 16, 2017, the proposed resolution was discussed as follows.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • <i>The Committee advised that the City of Miami Beach would have trolleys that were American with Disabilities Act (ADA) compliant, with low floors and more accessible.</i> • <i>The Director of the Transportation and Public Works Department (TPWD) concurred that the City of Miami Beach directed its administration to acquire low floor trolleys.</i> • <i>The Transportation Director for the City of Miami Beach stated that the South Beach Trolley Route was critical to the goal of establishing a citywide interconnected trolley system. He commented that a fleet of all low floor, accessible vehicles was being ordered for this route.</i>
8N5 170034	<p>RESOLUTION AUTHORIZING APPROVAL OF AN AGREEMENT BETWEEN THE FLORIDA POWER AND LIGHT COMPANY AND MIAMI-DADE COUNTY FOR THE PURPOSE OF PROVIDING A DEDICATED PLANS REVIEW STAFFER IN THE AMOUNT OF \$70,000.00 TO THE COUNTY IN THE FIRST YEAR</p>
Notes	<p>The proposed resolution authorizes a Utility Structure Permitting Agreement (Agreement) between the Florida Power and Light Company and Miami-Dade County in the amount of \$70,000.00 to the County in the first year, for the purpose of providing a dedicated plans review staffer for permits to be issued by the Miami-Dade County Department of Transportation and Public Works for applications for electrical service facilities.</p> <p><u>Fiscal Impact</u></p> <p>There is no fiscal impact to the County. Through this Agreement, FPL will provide compensation to the County each fiscal year to fund the contract position. The payment will be made once a year upon receipt of an invoice from the County.</p> <p><u>Background</u></p> <p>Due to an increase in FPL's critical electrical infrastructure projects located within the unincorporated areas of the County, FPL will provide funding to the County for the purpose of employing one (1) contract employee for the exclusive purpose of processing applications and permits on a priority basis for electric utility projects within the unincorporated areas to the County. The individual filling this position will act solely as a contract employee of the County, which will be responsible for the employees' training, supervision, compensation, and all other personal matters.</p> <p>The County agrees to diligently pursue the filling of this position with a qualified individual. Should the position be terminated for any reason, the County agrees to initiate the hiring process to retain another qualified individual to fill the position.</p> <p>The Agreement will become effective on the date of execution by the County, and will remain in effect for one (1) year. The Agreement may be extended on a yearly basis, through mutual consent of the parties.</p>
8N6 170490	<p>RESOLUTION AWARDING A PROFESSIONAL SERVICES AGREEMENT WITH PARSONS BRINCKERHOFF, INC. TO PROVIDE PROFESSIONAL ENGINEERING SERVICES FOR DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION AND PUBLIC WORKS' CAPITAL IMPROVEMENT PLAN, CONTRACT NO.: CIP142-1-TR15-PE1, IN AN AMOUNT NOT TO EXCEED \$22,000,000.00, INCLUSIVE OF CONTINGENCY ALLOWANCE ACCOUNT, AND AUTHORIZING THE USE OF CHARTER COUNTY TRANSPORTATION SURTAX FUNDS FOR SUCH PURPOSES [SEE ORIGINAL ITEM UNDER FILE NO. 170133]</p>
Notes	<p>The proposed resolution awards a Professional Services Agreement Contract No: CIP142-TR15-PE1, to Parsons Brinckerhoff, Inc. in the amount of \$22,000,000.00 for a five year term.</p> <p>Department of Transportation and Public Works (DTPW) requires the professional services of a General Consultant (Consultant) for a wide range of planning, engineering, survey, architectural, landscaping, technical, management and administrative services as needed to assist in executing projects in the DTPW Capital</p>

**Board of County Commissioners
March 7, 2017 Meeting
Research Notes**

Item No.	Research Notes
	<p>Improvement Plan and in studying and implementing Future Corridor Work Program projects to include emerging technologies such as autonomous vehicle implementation.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> DTPW, at its option, may elect to have any of the services set forth herein performed by other consultants or DTPW staff. <p>DTPW will work with the Federal Transit Administration (FTA) and The Florida Department of Transportation (FDOT) to complete all National Environmental Policy Act (NEPA) studies related to the SMART plan within one year of the effective date of this resolution.</p> <p>During the Chairman's Policy Council Committee meeting on February 9, 2017, the proposed resolution was amended to limit any spending authority for work orders related to the Strategic Miami Area Rapid Transit (SMART) Plan to one year from the effective date of the resolution, unless additional time is approved by the BCC; and to increase the total contract amount to \$22,000,000.</p> <p><u>Funding Source</u> The Federal Transit Administration (FTA), State of Florida Department of Transportation (FDOT), and local sources, including PTP funds, on a project-by-project basis and in accordance with negotiated fees and tasks described in each work order.</p> <p>Total Contract Period: 1825 calendar days and the contingency period is 182 calendar days.</p> <p>Option to Renew Period: Five (5) Year Option to Renew</p> <p>Awarded Firm: Parsons Brinckerhoff, Inc., 7650 Corporate Center Drive, Suite 300, Miami, FL</p> <p>DBE Goal: 22%</p> <p><u>Additional Information on Awarded Firm</u> On November 20, 2006, Parsons, Brinckerhoff, Quade & Douglas, Inc. changed its name to PB Americas, Inc. On November 3, 2011, PB Americas, Inc. changed its name to Parsons Brinckerhoff, Inc.</p>
<p>8N7 170491</p>	<p>RESOLUTION AWARDING A PROFESSIONAL SERVICES AGREEMENT WITH PARSONS TRANSPORTATION GROUP, INC. TO PROVIDE PROFESSIONAL ENGINEERING SERVICES FOR DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION AND PUBLIC WORKS' CAPITAL IMPROVEMENT PLAN (2), CONTRACT NO.: CIP142-1-TPW16-PE1 (1), IN AN AMOUNT NOT TO EXCEED \$11,000,000.00, INCLUSIVE OF CONTINGENCY ALLOWANCE ACCOUNT, AND AUTHORIZING THE USE OF CHARTER COUNTY TRANSPORTATION SURTAX FUNDS FOR SUCH PURPOSES [SEE ORIGINAL ITEM UNDER FILE NO. 170134]</p>
<p>Notes</p>	<p>The proposed resolution approves an award for a Professional Services Agreement Contract No: CIP142-1-TPW16-PE1 (1) to Parsons Transportation Group, Inc. in the amount of \$11,000,000 for a five year term.</p> <p>The Department of Transportation and Public Works (DTPW) requires professional services for General Consultants for a wide range of planning, engineering, survey, architectural, landscaping, technical, management and administrative services as needed to assist in executing projects in the DTPW Capital Improvement Plan and in implementing the Strategic Miami Area Rapid Transit (SMART) Plan that also includes the Bus Express Rapid Transit Network and emerging technologies such as autonomous vehicle implementation.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> DTPW, at its option, may elect to have any of the services performed by other Consultant (s) or DTPW staff. DTPW intends to retain two (2) consultants under separate, but identical non-exclusive PSA's. <p>DTPW will work with the Federal Transit Administration (FTA) and The Florida Department of Transportation (FDOT) to complete all National Environmental Policy Act (NEPA) studies related to the SMART plan within one year of the effective date of this resolution.</p> <p>During the Chairman's Policy Council Committee meeting on February 9, 2017, the proposed resolution was amended to limit any spending authority for work orders related to the Strategic Miami Area Rapid</p>

**Board of County Commissioners
March 7, 2017 Meeting
Research Notes**

Item No.	Research Notes
	<p>Transit (SMART) Plan to one year from the effective date of the resolution, unless additional time is approved by the BCC.</p> <p><u>Funding Sources</u> Federal Transit Administration 5307 Formula Grant, Florida Department of Transportation Funds, and People's Transportation Plan Bond Program.</p> <p>Total Contract Period: 1825 Calendar Days and will remain in full force and effect for five years after its date of execution, or until depletion of the funds allocated to pay for the cost of the services described in the PSA. The contingency period is 182 calendar days.</p> <p>Option to Renew Period: Five (5) Year Option to Renew</p> <p>Awarded Firm: Parsons Transportation Group, Inc., 7600 Corporate Center Drive, Suite 104, Miami, FL</p> <p>DBE Goal: 22%</p> <p><u>Additional Information on Awarded Firm</u> On January 8, 1999, De Lew, Cather & Company changed its name to Parsons Transportation Group Inc.</p>
<p>8N8 170489</p>	<p>RESOLUTION AWARDING A PROFESSIONAL SERVICES AGREEMENT WITH AECOM TECHNICAL SERVICES, INC. TO PROVIDE PROFESSIONAL ENGINEERING SERVICES FOR DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION AND PUBLIC WORKS' CAPITAL IMPROVEMENT PLAN (2), CONTRACT NO.: CIP142-1-TPW16-PE1 (2), IN AN AMOUNT NOT TO EXCEED \$11,000,000.00, INCLUSIVE OF CONTINGENCY ALLOWANCE ACCOUNT, AND AUTHORIZING THE USE OF CHARTER COUNTY TRANSPORTATION SURTAX FUNDS FOR SUCH PURPOSES [SEE ORIGINAL ITEM UNDER FILE NO. 170130]</p>
<p>Notes</p>	<p>The proposed resolution awards a Professional Services Agreement (PSA) Contract No: CIP142-1-TPW16-PE1 (2) to AECOM Technical Services, Inc. in the amount of \$11,000,000 for a five year term.</p> <p>The Department of Transportation and Public Works (DTPW) requires professional services for General Consultants for a wide range of planning, engineering, survey, architectural, landscaping, technical, management and administrative services as needed to assist in executing projects in the DTPW Capital Improvement Plan and in implementing the Strategic Miami Area Rapid Transit (SMART) Plan that also includes the Bus Express Rapid Transit Network and emerging technologies such as autonomous vehicle implementation.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • DTPW, at its option, may elect to have any of the services performed by other Consultant (s) or DTPW staff. • DTPW intends to retain two (2) consultants under separate, but identical non-exclusive PSA's. <p>DTPW will work with the Federal Transit Administration (FTA) and The Florida Department of Transportation (FDOT) to complete all National Environmental Policy Act (NEPA) studies related to the SMART plan within one year of the effective date of this resolution.</p> <p>During the Chairman's Policy Council Committee meeting on February 9, 2017, the proposed resolution was amended to limit any spending authority for work orders related to the Strategic Miami Area Rapid Transit (SMART) Plan to one year from the effective date of the resolution, unless additional time is approved by the BCC.</p> <p><u>Funding Sources</u> Federal Transit Administration 5307 Formula Grant, Florida Department of Transportation Funds, and People's Transportation Plan Bond Program.</p> <p>Total Contract Period: 1825 Calendar Days and will remain in full force and effect for five years after its date of execution, or until depletion of the funds allocated to pay for the cost of the services described in the PSA. The contingency period is 182 calendar days.</p>

**Board of County Commissioners
March 7, 2017 Meeting
Research Notes**

Item No.	Research Notes
	<p>Option to Renew Period: Five (5) Year Option to Renew</p> <p>Awarded Firm: AECOM Technical Services, Inc., 800 Douglas Road, North Tower, 2nd Floor, Miami, FL</p> <p>DBE Goal: 22%</p> <p><u>Additional Information on Awarded Firm</u> On December 4, 2008, Earth Tech Consulting, Inc. changed its name to AECOM Technical Services, Inc.</p>
10A2 170155	<p>RESOLUTION APPROVING THE FY 2016-21 UPDATE OF THE FIVE YEAR PEOPLE’S TRANSPORTATION PLAN IMPLEMENTATION PLAN</p>
Notes	<p>The proposed resolution approves the FY 2016-21 update of the People’s Transportation Plan Implementation Plan.</p> <p><u>Background</u> The Five Year Implementation Plan was established pursuant to the Ordinance governing the activities of the CITT (Ordinance 02-116), as amended by the BCC on September 21, 2010. The CITT (Trust), in consultation with the Mayor, will recommend to the BCC a Five Year Implementation Plan (Plan) that includes a detailed scope of work, schedule and budget for each project of the People’s Transportation Plan (PTP), as amended, anticipated to be implemented in whole or in part during the five year period.</p> <p>The Plan will be consistent with the federal requirements for the Metropolitan Planning Organization (MPO) Transportation Improvement Program (TIP) and Long Range Transportation Plan. The Plan will be updated annually. The Ordinance further provides that the BCC will not delete, materially change or add any project to the Plan, except in accordance with the procedures set forth in the Ordinance. The procedures include that such proposals will be initially reviewed by the Trust, which will forward a recommendation to the BCC.</p> <p><u>Additional Information</u> On January 12, 2017, the Citizens’ Independent Transportation Trust (CITT) issued a memo regarding the FY 2016-21 update of the People’s Transportation Plan (PTP) Implementation Plan.</p> <p>According to the memo, the Plan shows that work completed on the PTP, including municipal projects, has been significant. The largest current project, the \$375.7 million railcar procurement, has maintained the revised schedule and is on budget. Also of particular note is the tremendous resurgences of interest and the potential progress being made on the PTP Corridors and the implementation of the Strategic Miami Area Rapid Transit (SMART) Plan.</p> <p>There remain concerns with the continued schedule delays of a number of projects. The 2016-21 Five-Year Plan Update identifies a number of projects that have current estimated implementation dates that are later than reflected in the FY 2015-2020 Five-Year Plan, primarily due to right-of-way acquisition.</p> <p>The FY 2016-21 Five-Year Implementation Plan includes two new projects:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Park and Ride at South Miami-Dade Busway; and • The SMART Plan. <p>The corresponding approved budgets for these projects are reflected in the FY 2016-21 Plan to allow these projects to move forward expeditiously.</p> <p><u>Additional Information on South Miami-Dade Busway Park and Ride⁸</u> The South Miami-Dade Busway was built by the Florida Department of Transportation and the initial phase of the Busway, which consists of 8.3 miles, opened on February 2, 1997. The first segment of the extension to Florida City, opened on April 24, 2005, and extended the Busway 5 miles from SW 112 Ave. to SW 264 Street in Naranja. The second and final segment of the extension, which opened on December 16, 2007, now extends the</p>

⁸ <http://www.miamidade.gov/transit/south-miami-dade-busway.asp>

**Board of County Commissioners
March 7, 2017 Meeting
Research Notes**

Item No.	Research Notes
	<p>Busway another 6.5 miles south from SW 264 St. to SW 344 St. in Florida City, Miami-Dade County's southernmost municipality.</p> <p>Buses operating on the Busway and in adjacent neighborhoods enter the exclusive lanes at major intersections. Express buses that run on the exclusive lanes now shuttle passengers between Dadeland South Metrorail station and SW 344 Street.</p> <p>Local and limited-stop service is offered between Florida City and Dadeland South Metrorail station. To provide commuters with accessibility to the expanded Bus Rapid Transit expressway, there are five Park & Ride lots located at intervals along the Busway at SW 152, SW 168, SW 244 and SW 296 streets, and in Cutler Bay, residents now also have a Park & Ride lot at the Busway and 112 Ave. Plans are in the works for a future Park & Ride lot at SW 344 St.</p>
11A1 162749	RESOLUTION DIRECTING THE MAYOR OR MAYOR'S DESIGNEE TO STUDY THE CAPACITY AND FEASIBILITY FOR GENERATING SOLAR ENERGY AT COUNTY PROPERTIES AND PREPARE A REPORT FOR THIS BOARD
Notes	<p>The proposed resolution:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Directs the Mayor or Mayor's designee to study and evaluate the capacity and feasibility of generating electricity and hot water using solar technology at County properties, together with an analysis of all County properties, including but not limited to those managed by PortMiami, Aviation, Solid Waste, Internal Services Division, Water and Sewer Department, Fire Rescue, Police, Libraries, Public Housing and Community Development, and Parks and Recreation; and <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ○ The study will, at a minimum, provide a preliminary analysis of the following: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ The estimated cost of installation and maintenance; ▪ Available area where solar photovoltaic and solar hot water systems could be placed; ▪ The amount of electricity and hot water that are being used by the County onsite; and ▪ The opportunity to net-meter the output. ○ The study will also identify different funding options and creative low-interest financing opportunities using the County's own property and rooftops in particular; ○ For parks and environmental conservation properties, this study will be limited to analyzing the potential use of solar photovoltaic and solar hot water systems to the extent that such use would be both (a) limited to existing rooftops and existing parking lots and (b) would not in any way disturb the parks or environmental conservation lands themselves; ○ The preliminary assessment should include a prioritized list of County properties that are recommended for additional in-depth analysis; and ○ In order to facilitate this study and evaluation, the Mayor or Mayor's designee will designate senior level executives from the above-mentioned departments or divisions, as appropriate, to assist with this study. • Directs the Mayor or Mayor's designee to prepare a report with the evaluation and analyses and, pursuant to Ordinance No. 14-65, to place the completed report on a BCC agenda within 1 year of the effective date of this resolution.
11A3 170248	RESOLUTION SUPPORTING THE ESTABLISHMENT OF A SISTER CITIES AFFILIATION BETWEEN MIAMI-DADE COUNTY AND THE CITY OF PARAMARIBO, SURINAME; AND AUTHORIZING THE MAYOR TO EXECUTE AN AFFILIATION AGREEMENT BETWEEN MIAMI-DADE COUNTY AND THE CITY OF PARAMARIBO, SURINAME
Notes	<p>The proposed resolution supports the establishment of a Sister City affiliation between Miami-Dade County and the City of Paramaribo, Suriname and authorizes the Mayor to execute a Sister Cities affiliation agreement.</p> <p>Upon the execution of an affiliation agreement by the Mayor and the City of Paramaribo, the Miami-Dade County Sister Cities Committee will coordinate projects to increase and promote trade, culture, tourism, and educational opportunities between these two communities.</p> <p><u>Additional Information- Paramaribo, Suriname</u> Paramaribo is the capital and largest city of Suriname, located on the banks of the Suriname River in the Paramaribo District. Paramaribo has a population of roughly 240,000 people (2012 census), almost half of</p>

**Board of County Commissioners
March 7, 2017 Meeting
Research Notes**

Item No.	Research Notes
	<p>Suriname's population. The historic inner city of Paramaribo has been a UNESCO World Heritage Site since 2002.</p> <p><u>Additional Information- Sister Cities International</u> Sister Cities International⁹ is a nonpartisan 501(c)(3) nonprofit which serves as the national membership organization for individual sister cities, counties, and states across the U.S. This network unites tens of thousands of citizen diplomats and volunteers in 570 member communities with over 2,300 partnerships in 150 countries on six continents.</p> <p>Sister Cities International was created at President Eisenhower's 1956 White House summit on citizen diplomacy, where he envisioned a network that would be a champion for peace and prosperity by fostering bonds between people from different communities around the world. By forming these relationships, President Eisenhower reasoned that people from different cultures could understand, appreciate, and celebrate their differences while building partnerships that would lessen the chance of new conflicts. Since its inception, the Sister Cities International network has played a key role in renewing and strengthening important global relationships.</p> <p>Sister Cities International motivates and assists private citizens, municipal officials, and business leaders to conduct long-term, mutually beneficial sister city, county, or state relationships. With a mission "to promote peace through mutual respect, understanding, and cooperation — one individual, one community at a time," Sister Cities International's member programs focus on four main areas of exchange: arts and culture, youth and education, business and trade, and community development and technical exchange to connect citizens around the globe.</p> <p>Sister Cities International continues to expand its reach to new and emerging regions of the world and dedicates special focus to growing partnerships in Africa, Southeast and East Asia, and Latin America.</p> <p><u>Miami-Dade County Sister Cities:</u> Asuncion, Paraguay; Dakar, Senegal; The Bahamas, Bahamas; Cabildo de Tenerife, Spain; Cape Town, South Africa; Kingston, Jamaica; Maldonado, Uruguay; Mendoza, Argentina; Monagas State, Venezuela; Pereira, Colombia; Petit Goave, Haiti; Prague, Czech Republic; Santo Domingo, Dominican Republic; Sao Paulo, Brazil; Stockholm County, Sweden; Provincia di Asti, Italy; San Jose, Costa Rica; Antolín del Campo, Venezuela; Veracruz, Mexico; and Taipei Municipality, Taiwan</p> <p><u>Miami-Dade County Emeritus Status:</u> Cayman Islands, Cayman Islands; Iquique, Chile; Lamentin, Guadeloupe, France; Pucallpa, Peru; Santa Cruz, Bolivia; Saint Kitts and Nevis Islands; Turks and Caicos Islands</p>
11A6 170141	<p>RESOLUTION AMENDING RESOLUTION NOS. R-131-06 AND R-32-07 TO EXEMPT FOUR UNITS OF THE TOTAL 136 UNITS FROM THE AFFORDABILITY REQUIREMENTS IN THE MIAMI-DADE RENTAL REGULATORY AGREEMENT IN ORDER TO PERMIT LAFAYETTE SQUARE II, LTD. TO HOUSE ONE MANAGER AND THREE SECURITY OFFICERS, AND APPROVING AMENDMENT TO SUCH AGREEMENT TO EFFECTUATE SAME AND TO CORRECT THE AFFORDABILITY BREAK-DOWN AMONGST THE REMAINING 132 UNITS, ALL IN CONNECTION WITH A \$5,250,000.00 DOCUMENTARY STAMP SURTAX LOAN FOR LAFAYETTE PLAZA APARTMENTS; DIRECTING THE COUNTY MAYOR OR COUNTY MAYOR'S DESIGNEE TO EXECUTE AMENDMENT TO THE RENTAL REGULATORY AGREEMENT; AND WAIVING RESOLUTION NO. R-130-06</p>
Notes	<p>The proposed resolution provides for the following:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Amends Resolution Nos. R-131-06 and R-32-07 to exempt four of the 136 units from the affordability requirement in the Rental Regulatory Agreement whereby all units will be set aside for individuals and/or families that have incomes equal to or less than 60 percent and 33 percent of area median income so that they can be used to house a manager and three security officers for the Project; Approves the amendment to the Rental Regulatory Agreement;

⁹ <http://www.sistercities.org/>

**Board of County Commissioners
March 7, 2017 Meeting
Research Notes**

Item No.	Research Notes
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Authorizes the County Mayor or designee to correct the affordability breakdown amongst the remaining 132 units in the Project; and • Waives Resolution No. R-130-06 to provide additional time to the County Mayor or the designee to finalize the terms of the amendment to the Rental Regulatory Agreement. <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ◦ <i>Resolution No. R-130-06, provides that an item seeking approval of a contract will not be placed on an agenda until the underlying contract is completely negotiated, in final form, and executed by all non-County parties.</i> <p><u>Background</u> On January 24, 2006 and January 25, 2007, respectively the BCC through Resolution Nos. R-131-06 and R-32-07, allocated to Lafayette Square II, Ltd. (Lafayette Square II), Documentary Stamp Surtax in the total amount of \$5,250,000.00 (Loan) for the construction of a 136 unit affordable housing project known as Lafayette Plaza Apartments (Project) located at 145 N.W. 78th Street, Miami, Florida.</p> <p>As a condition of the Loan, Lafayette Square was required to execute a Miami-Dade County Rental Regulatory Agreement. The Rental Regulatory Agreement requires, in part, that Lafayette Square II maintain the project as an affordable housing project for a minimum of 30 years and includes the affordability requirement that 115 of the units be set aside for individuals and/or families that have incomes equal to or less than 60 percent of area median income, and 21 of the units be set aside for individuals and/or families that have incomes equal to or less than 33 percent of area median income.</p> <p>On January 4, 2016, Lafayette Square II sent a letter to Miami-Dade Public Housing and Community Development Department, to request that the County exempt four of the 136 units to be set aside for one management unit and three security officer units. According to Lafayette Square II, the reason for this request is due to the high rate of crime in the area.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • <i>On June 18, 2012, Lafayette Square II submitted a similar request to the Florida Housing Finance Corporation for the exemption of the four units and on June 21, 2012, the Florida Housing Finance Corporation granted the request to exempt the four units.</i>
11A7 170162	<p>RESOLUTION AMENDING RESOLUTION NOS. R-1063-12 AND R-797-14 TO EXEMPT TWO UNITS OF THE TOTAL 158 UNITS FROM THE AFFORDABILITY REQUIREMENTS IN THE MIAMI-DADE RENTAL REGULATORY AGREEMENT IN ORDER TO PERMIT LYRIC HOUSING, LTD. TO HOUSE TWO SECURITY OFFICERS, AND APPROVING AMENDMENT TO SUCH AGREEMENT TO EFFECTUATE SAME AND TO CORRECT THE AFFORDABILITY BREAK-DOWN AMONGST THE REMAINING 156 UNITS, ALL IN CONNECTION WITH A \$4,740,000.00 DOCUMENTARY STAMP SURTAX LOAN FOR PLAZA AT LYRIC; DIRECTING THE COUNTY MAYOR OR COUNTY MAYOR'S DESIGNEE TO EXECUTE AMENDMENT TO THE RENTAL REGULATORY AGREEMENT; AND WAIVING RESOLUTION NO. R-130-06</p>
Notes	<p>The proposed resolution provides for the following:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Amends Resolution Nos. R-1063-12 and R-797-14 to exempt two of the 158 units from the affordability requirement in the Rental Regulatory Agreement whereby all units be set aside for individuals and/or families that have incomes equal to or less than 60 percent of area median income so that they can be used to house security officers for the Project; • Approves the amendment to the Rental Regulatory Agreement; • Authorizes the County Mayor or designee to correct the affordability breakdown amongst the remaining 156 units in the Project; and • Waives Resolution No. R-130-06 to provide additional time to the County Mayor or designee to finalize the terms of the amendment to the Rental Regulatory Agreement. <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ◦ <i>Resolution No. R-130-06, provides that an item seeking approval of a contract will not be placed on an agenda until the underlying contract is completely negotiated, in final form, and executed by all non-County parties.</i> <p><u>Background</u> On December 13, 2012 and September 16, 2014, respectively, the BCC through Resolution Nos. R-1063-12 and R-797-14, allocated to Lyric Housing, Ltd. (Lyric Housing), Documentary Stamp Surtax in the total amount of</p>

**Board of County Commissioners
March 7, 2017 Meeting
Research Notes**

Item No.	Research Notes
	<p>\$4,740,000.00 for the construction of a 158 unit affordable housing project known as Plaza at Lyric (Project) located at 919 N.W. 2nd Avenue, Miami, Florida.</p> <p>As a condition of the Loan, Lyric Housing was required to execute a Miami-Dade County Rental Regulatory Agreement. The Rental Regulatory Agreement requires, in part, that Lyric Housing maintain the project as an affordable housing project for a minimum of 30 years and includes the affordability requirement that all 158 units be set aside for individuals and/or families that have incomes equal to or less than 60 percent of area median income.</p> <p>On October 31, 2016, Lyric Housing sent a letter to Miami-Dade Public Housing and Community Development Department, to request that the County exempt two of the 158 units to be set aside as security officer units. According to Lyric Housing, the reason for this request is due to the high rate of crime in the area.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> On October 17, 2016, Lyric Housing, submitted a similar request to the Florida Housing Finance Corporation for the exemption of the two units and on October 27, 2016, a copy the Florida Housing Finance Corporation, granted Lyric Housing's request to exempt the two units.
<p>11A8 170167</p>	<p>RESOLUTION AMENDING RESOLUTION NOS. R-647-08, R-32-07, R-131-06 AND R-160-05 TO EXEMPT FOUR UNITS OF THE TOTAL 160 UNITS FROM THE AFFORDABILITY REQUIREMENTS IN THE MIAMI-DADE RENTAL REGULATORY AGREEMENT IN ORDER TO PERMIT LAFAYETTE SQUARE, LTD. TO HOUSE ONE MANAGER AND THREE SECURITY OFFICERS, AND APPROVING SECOND AMENDMENT TO SUCH AGREEMENT TO EFFECTUATE SAME AND TO CORRECT THE AFFORDABILITY BREAK-DOWN AMONGST THE REMAINING 156 UNITS, ALL IN CONNECTION WITH A \$7,697,643.00 DOCUMENTARY STAMP SURTAX LOAN FOR LAFAYETTE SQUARE APARTMENTS; AND DIRECTING THE COUNTY MAYOR OR COUNTY MAYOR'S DESIGNEE TO EXECUTE AMENDMENT TO THE RENTAL REGULATORY AGREEMENT; AND WAIVING RESOLUTION NO. R-130-06</p>
<p>Notes</p>	<p>The proposed resolution provides for the following:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Amends Resolution Nos. R-647-08, R-32-07, R-131-06 and R-160-05 to exempt four of the 160 units from the affordability requirement in the Rental Regulatory Agreement whereby all units be set aside for individuals and/or families that have incomes equal to or less than 60 percent and 50 percent of area median income so that they can be used to house one manager and three security officers for the Project; Approves the second amendment to the Rental Regulatory Agreement; Authorizes the County Mayor or designee to correct the affordability breakdown amongst the remaining 156 units in the Project; and Waives Resolution No. R-130-06 to provide additional time to the County Mayor or designee to finalize the terms of the amendment to the Rental Regulatory Agreement. <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Resolution No. R-130-06, provides that an item seeking approval of a contract will not be placed on an agenda until the underlying contract is completely negotiated, in final form, and executed by all non-County parties. <p><u>Background</u></p> <p>The BCC, through Resolution Nos. R-647-08, R-32-07, R-131-06 and R-160-05, respectively, allocated to Lafayette Square, Ltd. (Lafayette Square), Documentary Stamp Surtax in the total amount of \$7,697,643.00 for the construction of a 160 unit affordable housing project known as Lafayette Square Apartments (Project) located at 150 N.E. 79th Street, Miami, Florida.</p> <p>As a condition of the Loan, Lafayette Square was required to execute a Miami-Dade County Rental Regulatory Agreement, as amended by the First Amendment, requires, in part, that Lafayette Square maintain the project as an affordable housing project for a minimum of 30 years and includes the affordability requirement that 84 of the units be set aside for individuals and/or families that have incomes equal to or less than 60 percent of area median income, and 76 of the units be set aside for individuals and/or families that have incomes equal to or less than 50 percent of area median income. The Rental Regulatory Agreement further provides that of the total units 24 of the units will be set aside for Section 8 Housing Choice Voucher holders and 25 of the units for large families.</p>

**Board of County Commissioners
March 7, 2017 Meeting
Research Notes**

Item No.	Research Notes
	<p>On January 4, 2016, Lafayette Square sent a letter to Miami-Dade Public Housing and Community Development Department, to request that the County exempt four of the 160 units to be set aside for one management unit and three security officer units. According to Lafayette Square, the reason for this request is due to the high rate of crime in the area.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> On June 18, 2012, Lafayette Square submitted a similar request to the Florida Housing Finance Corporation for the exemption of the four units and on June 21, 2012, the Florida Housing Finance Corporation granted Lafayette Square's request to exempt the four units.
11A9 170279	<p>RESOLUTION DIRECTING THE COUNTY MAYOR OR COUNTY MAYOR'S DESIGNEE: (1) TO ASSESS THE FEASIBILITY OF EXPANDING THE SEA LEVEL RISE EXHIBIT TO BE DISPLAYED IN THE LOBBY OF THE STEPHEN P. CLARK CENTER, AS AUTHORIZED BY RESOLUTION NO. R-807-16, TO INCLUDE THE DISPLAY OF ADDITIONAL EXHIBITS AT VARIOUS OTHER VENUES IN THE COUNTY; (2) TO EXPLORE OPPORTUNITIES FOR ENGAGEMENT AND PARTNERSHIP WITH COMMUNITY AND PHILANTHROPIC ORGANIZATIONS ON SEA LEVEL RISE EDUCATIONAL INITIATIVES; AND (3) TO SEEK GRANTS AND IDENTIFY FUNDING FOR THESE PURPOSES; AND TO PROVIDE A REPORT REGARDING POSSIBLE IMPLEMENTATION</p>
Notes	<p>The proposed resolution directs the County Mayor or County Mayor's designee to:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Assess the feasibility of expanding the sea level rise exhibit, authorized by Resolution No. R-807-16, to include possible additional exhibits displayed at the same time at various other venues, such as the Miami-Dade County Main Library, History Miami, the Miami International Airport, and PortMiami; Explore opportunities for engagement and partnership with community and philanthropic organizations on sea level rise educational initiatives; and Seek grants and identify funding for these purposes. <p>The County Mayor or designee may liaise with the Office of Community Advocacy in carrying out these directives. In addition, the County Mayor or designee will prepare a report regarding the directives described above, to include, at a minimum, a recommendation regarding possible implementation to extent feasible and the fiscal implications associated with such implementation. The report will be provided to the BCC within 180 days of the effective date of this resolution, and be placed on a BCC agenda.</p> <p>In addition, it may be possible to seek and utilize the engagement and partnership of various community and philanthropic organizations, such as the Greater Miami Chamber of Commerce, Beacon Council, and Rockefeller 100 Resilient Cities, on sea level rise educational initiatives, including the display and presentation to the public of exhibits relating to sea level rise.</p> <p><u>Additional Information</u></p> <p>On September 7, 2016, the BCC, through Resolution No. R-807-16, approved and authorized the County Mayor or designee, in possible partnership with Florida International University and the University of Miami, to display an exhibit relating to sea level rise in the secured area of the first floor lobby of the Stephen P. Clark Center. The exhibit may be displayed for up to 14 days from the date of installation.</p>
11A10 170285	<p>RESOLUTION DIRECTING THE COUNTY MAYOR OR COUNTY MAYOR'S DESIGNEE TO ESTABLISH AN ECO-LAB TO ENGAGE STUDENTS ON ISSUES RELATED TO SUSTAINABILITY AND RESILIENCE TO SEA LEVEL RISE; TO COORDINATE WITH THE SCHOOL BOARD OF MIAMI-DADE COUNTY ON SUCH ISSUES; AND TO PREPARE A REPORT FOR THIS BOARD</p>
Notes	<p>The proposed resolution directs the County Mayor or designee to establish an eco-lab in one or more County facilities, including in the Stephen P. Clark Center, in order to engage students with projects related to sustainability and resilience to sea level rise and better educate students and future generations on these important issues. The County Mayor or designee will prepare a report to the BCC on this eco-lab initiative, within one year of the effective date of this resolution. The report may be in the form of a powerpoint presentation, as appropriate, and may contain recommendations from the County Mayor or designee.</p> <p>The County's Office of Resilience and Office of Community Advocacy should be consulted and involved in the establishment of this eco-lab. The County Mayor or designee will also coordinate with the School Board of Miami-Dade County on the development of the curriculum and establishment of the eco-lab, to facilitate and</p>

**Board of County Commissioners
March 7, 2017 Meeting
Research Notes**

Item No.	Research Notes
	allow for collaboration with public, private and charter schools within Miami-Dade County, including partnering with the School Board of Miami-Dade County to expand the eco-lab.
11A11 170417	RESOLUTION SUPPORTING SB 416 AND HB 151, OR SIMILAR LEGISLATION THAT WOULD ALLOW THE USE OF SERVICE ANIMALS, THERAPY ANIMALS, OR FACILITY DOGS IN COURT PROCEEDINGS INVOLVING ABUSE, ABANDONMENT, OR NEGLECT, AND WHEN TAKING TESTIMONY OF INTELLECTUALLY DISABLED PERSONS
Notes	<p>The proposed resolution:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Supports Senate Bill (SB) 416 and House Bill (HB) 151, or similar legislation that would allow the use of service animals, therapy animals, or facility dogs in court proceedings involving abuse, abandonment, or neglect, and when taking testimony of intellectually disabled persons; • Directs the Clerk of the Board to transmit certified copies of this resolution to the Governor, the Senate President, the House Speaker, Senator Bill Montford, Representative Jason T Brodeur, and the Chair and Members of the Miami-Dade State Legislative Delegation; and • Directs the County's state lobbyists to advocate for the legislative action and authorizes and directs the Office of Intergovernmental Affairs to amend the 2017 State Legislature Package to include this item. <p><u>Background</u></p> <p>SB 416 and HB 151 would authorize courts to allow the use of a trained service animal, therapy animal, or facility dog when taking the testimony of a child victim or witness, a sexual offense victim or witness, or a person with an intellectual disability in any proceeding involving a sexual offense or child abuse, abandonment, or neglect.</p> <p>When deciding whether to permit a child victim or witness, a sexual offense victim or witness, or a person with an intellectual disability to testify with the assistance of a trained animal, SB 416 and HB 151 would require courts to consider the age of the child victim or witness, the age of the sexual offense victim or witness at the time the sexual offense occurred, the interests of the child victim or witness or sexual offense victim or witness, the rights of the parties to the litigation, and any other relevant factor that would facilitate the testimony by the child victim or witness or sexual offense victim or witness.</p>
11A12 170435	RESOLUTION URGING THE FLORIDA LEGISLATURE TO ENACT SB 276, HB 275 OR SIMILAR LEGISLATION THAT WOULD CREATE A STATE WORK OPPORTUNITY TAX CREDIT TO PROVIDE AN ADDITIONAL CREDIT AGAINST THE CORPORATE INCOME TAX FOR BUSINESSES THAT HIRE CERTAIN PERSONS CONVICTED OF A FELONY
Notes	<p>The proposed resolution:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Urges the Florida Legislature to enact Senate Bill (SB) 276, House Bill (HB) 275 or similar legislation that would create a state work opportunity tax credit that provides an additional credit against the corporate income tax for businesses that hire certain persons convicted of a felony; • Directs the Clerk of the Board to transmit a certified copy of this resolution to the Governor, Senate President, House Speaker, Senator Randolph Bracy, Representative Ramon Alexander, Representative Patricia Hawkins-Williams, and the Chair and Members of the Miami-Dade County State Legislative Delegation; • Directs the County's state lobbyists to advocate for the passage of the legislation and authorizes and directs the Office of Intergovernmental Affairs to amend the 2017 State Legislative Package to include this item. <p><u>Background</u></p> <p>SB 276 and HB 275 would allow a credit against the corporate income tax to any business that hires a person who has been convicted of a felony if the person is hired within three years after release from a state prison, or to any business that hires a person who has been convicted of a felony and who is on community control or probation. SB 276 and HB 275 would create a credit to businesses that would equal 40 percent of the wages paid to the employee and permit a business to claim credits up to \$2,400 for up to five eligible employees during each taxable period. SB 276 and HB 275 would also create additional incentives for small businesses and minority businesses by increasing the credit to equal 50 percent of the wages paid to the employee and permit a business to claim credits up to \$3,000 for up to five eligible employees during each taxable period.</p>

**Board of County Commissioners
March 7, 2017 Meeting
Research Notes**

Item No.	Research Notes
	<p>Additionally, under SB 276 and HB 275, before filing for the state work opportunity credit, a business must apply for and receive written notification from the Department of Economic Opportunity certifying that each employee for whom the credit is claimed was previously convicted of a felony and has been hired within three years after release from state prison or has been convicted of a felony and is on community control or probation.</p> <p><u>Additional Information</u>¹⁰ The Florida Department of Corrections Annual Report for FY 2014-2015 states that the number of inmates released from the Florida prison system during the period of July 1, 2014 through June 30, 2015 32,668 inmates were released. According to the report, releases have steadily decreased over the last five (5) years. The report also notes that most of the permanent releases (20,458 or 62.6%) were released because their sentences expired and approximately 15.4% (5,030) were released to probation or community control.</p>
11A13 170527	RESOLUTION APPROVING THE 2017 FEDERAL LEGISLATIVE PACKAGE, INCLUDING “URGING” RESOLUTIONS ADOPTED BY THE BOARD TO DATE, GUIDING PRINCIPLES, DEPARTMENTAL LEGISLATIVE REQUESTS AND PRIORITIES OF THE PUBLIC HEALTH TRUST (SEE AGENDA ITEM NO. 11A14)
11A14 170528	RESOLUTION APPROVING 2017 FEDERAL LEGISLATIVE PRIORITIES (SEE AGENDA ITEM NO. 11A13)
Notes	<p><u>11A13 – 170527</u> The proposed resolution:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Approves the 2017 federal legislative package, including “urging” resolutions adopted by the BCC through the February 22, 2017 meeting, guiding principles, departmental legislative requests and priorities of the Public Health Trust; and • Directs the County’s federal lobbyists to advocate for the 2017 federal legislative package. <p><u>11A14 – 170528</u> The proposed resolution:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Approves the County’s federal legislative priorities for 2017 as determined by the BCC; and • Directs the County’s federal lobbyists to advocate for the 2017 federal legislative priorities. <p><u>Background</u> On January 24, 2012, the BCC approved Resolution No. R-59-12, which directed the Office of Intergovernmental Affairs, when it presents the proposed federal or state legislative package respectively to the BCC, to include all “urging” resolutions and other resolutions related to federal or state legislation passed by the BCC to date for the current Congress or session.</p> <p>On September 17, 2013, the approved Resolution No. R-764-13, which directed that the federal and state legislative packages each be presented to the BCC in two separate companion items, one consisting of no more than ten priorities for distribution to the Miami-Dade Legislative Delegation and the other to include guiding principles, BCC “urging” resolutions enacted to date and departmental items for approval by the BCC. Resolution No. R-764-13 provided that, upon the priorities being approved and effective, incorporating any amendments by the BCC, the Chair of the BCC, or a County Commissioner designated by the Chair, will transmit the priorities to the respective federal or state legislative delegation.</p>

¹⁰ http://www.dc.state.fl.us/pub/annual/1415/FDC_AR2014-15.pdf