

## Miami-Dade County Board of County Commissioners

## Office of the Commission Auditor

# Economic Development and Tourism Committee Meeting

March 16, 2017 1:30 P.M. Commission Chamber

## **Research Division**

Office of the Commission Auditor 111 NW First Street, Suite 1030 Miami, Florida 33128 305-375-4354

Item No.	Research Notes
2A	RESOLUTION DIRECTING THE COUNTY MAYOR OR COUNTY MAYOR'S DESIGNEE TO
170529	NEGOTIATE CHANGE ORDER NO. 3 TO MISCELLANEOUS CONSTRUCTION CONTRACT NO. MCC-8-
	10 WITH MUNILLA CONSTRUCTION MANAGEMENT, LLC, EXTENDING THE CONTRACT TIME FOR
	ONE YEAR AND INCREASING THE CONTRACT AMOUNT BY NO MORE THAN \$6,000,000.00; AND
	AUTHORIZING THE COUNTY MAYOR OR COUNTY MAYOR'S DESIGNEE TO EXECUTE SUCH
	CHANGE ORDER WITHOUT NEED FOR SUBSEQUENT BOARD ACTION AND TO EXERCISE
	DELEGATED AUTHORITY DESCRIBED THEREIN
Notes	The proposed resolution provides for the following:
	• Directs the County Mayor or designee to negotiate Change Order No. 3 to Miscellaneous Construction
	Contract No. MCC-8-10 with Munilla Construction Management, LLC (MCM);
	• Increases the contract duration for a year from the effective date of this Resolution;
	• Increases the contract amount by no more than \$6,000,000.00 ( <i>to</i> \$96,125,000 from the original award
	amount of \$50,125,000);
	• Provides for a termination for convenience clause in the contract; and
	• Authorizes the County Mayor or designee to execute such change order without need for subsequent
	BCC action, and to exercise the provisions therein.
	Additional Information
	On December 19, 2011, the BCC through Resolution No. R-1122-11, awarded the MDAD Miscellaneous
	<b>Construction Contract, MCC-8-10, to MCM in the amount of \$50,125,000.</b> The contract provides for a
	licensed general contractor to subcontract projects on a competitive basis to allow MDAD to respond in a timely
	and efficient manner to demands for construction projects at its facilities. Projects include minor renovations,
	refurbishments, repairs, modifications, upgrades, installation of landscaping and related lighting, irrigation and
	maintenance work as well as various types of emergency and periodic maintenance for MDAD airport facilities.
	According to Resolution No. R-1122-11:
	The general contractor attempts to maximize participation of Community Small Business Enterprise (CSBE)
	subcontractors certified by the Small Business Development (SBD) Department. Each work order describes the
	scope of work to be performed and includes the applicable CSBE contract measures for the project.
	• MCC-8-10 has a Small Business Enterprise measure of 18 percent on pre-construction services. MCM
	has achieved 16 percent Small Business Enterprise (Construction) participation to date. This percentage is expected to increase once outstanding projects are awarded upon approval of Change Order No. 1.
	The current participation of Small Business Enterprise (Construction) contractors is approximately 34
	percent. This change order authorizes the issuance of a work order to the Small Business Enterprise
	(Construction) firm for supplemental pre-construction services and project management in an amount
	not to exceed \$617,000.00 to more accurately reflect the intent of the goal.
	The MCC-8-10 contract has already committed to projects in excess of \$45 million, many of which have been
	awarded or are in the bidding process. The available contract allocation has been reduced to approximately
	\$3.82 million for bidding and award of additional projects.
	It was necessary to use this contract to complete several unforeseen critical construction projects with crucial
	time constraints to support the development of the MIA North and South Terminals as well as the Miami
	Intermodal Center (MIC) and the Central Boulevard relocation. In total, nearly 30 percent of the original award
	amount has been committed to support these construction projects:
	<ul> <li>FIS Station Interior Finish \$89,679.60; FIS Security Doors Upgrade \$122,453.00; NTD FIS Station</li> </ul>
	Interior Finish \$868.16; NTD Back-up A/C Units Installation \$66,059.80; Central Boulevard Piers
	Grounding System Relocation \$17,363.20; Central North Terminal Development (NTD) Market Place,
1	Phases II and III \$3,170,291.45; NTD Terminal Operations Supervisors Offices \$3,933.86; NTD
	Ticket Counters Concourse Level \$4,678,417.65; NTD FPL Vaults Fire Rated Damper \$116,706.71;
	South Terminal 5th Floor Mechanical Room Exiting Modifications \$35,526.48; and NTD Baggage
	Handling System (BHS) Phase 3 Mechanical-Electrical \$4,762,925.30.
	•

	Research Notes
Item No.	Research Notes
	CONTRACT MEASURES: Small Business Enterprise (Construction) goal 18% (Pre-Construction Services) \$32,036.00
	CONTRACT MEASURES ACHIEVED TO DATE: MCO Construction and Services, Inc. 16% (\$28,080.00) Participation to date
	During the original agreement, there was a mistake made with the calculation of the goal percentage. The percentage should have been based upon the entire Project Management portion of the contract. However, it was based on the pre-construction work in the amount of \$177,980.00. In order to correct this miscalculation, this change order authorizes the issuance of a Work Order to MCO Construction and Services, Inc. for the entire Project Management port to exceed 18% (\$617,000.00).
	<u>Additional Information- Change Order No. 1</u> On February 18, 2015, the BCC, through Resolution No. R-187-15, approved Change Order No. 1 to the Miscellaneous Construction Contract, MCC-8-10, with Munilla Construction Management (MCM), LLC, increasing the contract by an amount not to exceed \$30 million to \$80,125,000.00 from the original award
	<b>amount of \$50,125,000.00.</b> The term of the Agreement is four (4) years with one (1) additional year to complete all authorized work initiated during the previous four year term of the contract. No Project Order will be issued where the base award amount exceeds \$5,000,000.00 excluding allowance accounts, pre-construction fees and MCC-8 construction fees.
	Additional Information- File No. 141780- Resolution authorizing execution of Change Order No. 1- File No. 141780 was deferred at the October 17, 2014 BCC meeting and withdrawn at the October 21, 2014 BCC meeting, however prior to being withdrawn, File No. 141780 was discussed at the August 25, 2014 Finance Committee meeting as follows:
	• MDAD clarified that the change order was for an additional \$30 million, representing an increase from \$50 million to \$80 million and noted that the initial funding source was North Terminal Capital Improvement funds and reserve maintenance funds.
	• In response to questions as to why the project was underestimated, MDAD explained that MDAD was unaware that they would receive a flat, low cost contract with an 8 percent markup and further explained that large North Terminal emergency rehabilitation funding expenses were incurred. A decision to expand the current contract was made rather than to secure a new agreement at a higher markup.
	• The Committee noted concern that the bid was not originally offered at \$80 million, thus attracting contractors offering lower markups and pointed out that this process encouraged vendors to initially underbid contracts and inflate the contract later, the intended amount of work should have been included in the original bid and that there was no attempt made to rebid the contract.
	• It was explained that MDAD explained that funds were used to cover the cost associated with large emergency expenditures at the North Terminal.
	• The Committee commented that the procurement process encouraged companies to submit low initial bids and then find reasons to raise the contract amount later and pointed out that the proposed change order represented more than 50 percent of the original contract amount, noting that this proposal was not a good policy decision.
	<ul> <li>The Committee inquired whether MDAD or the contractor initiated the request for work order changes or funding and whether the intent was to expand the existing contract to cover maintenance, operations, emergency, and construction costs associated with an existing project and pointed out that this request was associated with ongoing projects and that some of the money from the initial project was redirected to other purposes and that the current request was to replenish funds allocated to the original project that were used for other purposes and that the original scope was not being changed.</li> <li>MDAD initiated the request and that an existing contract was being expanded.</li> </ul>
	<ul> <li><i>MDAD</i> initiated the request and that an existing contract was being expanded.</li> <li>The Committee stated that the proposal could have been documented to reaffirm that the change order was not impacting the previously approved scope of work but to replace funds that were used for other purposes.</li> </ul>
	Additional Information- Change Order No. 2

_	Research Notes
Item No.	Research Notes
	On March 8, 2016, the BCC, through Resolution No. R-228-16, directed the County Mayor or designee to negotiate Change Order No. 2 to Miscellaneous Construction Contract No. MCC-8-10 with Munilla Construction Management, LLC, extending the contract time for one year, increasing the contract amount by no more than \$10,000,000 to \$90,125,000 from the original award amount of \$50,125,000, and providing for a termination for convenience clause.
	The ability to issue new work orders under the MCC was to expire on February 29, 2016. The County had begun the process of procuring a replacement contract, MCC-9.
	Discussion pertaining to Resolution No. R-228-16- Change Order No. 2- at the March 3, 2016 BCC meeting:
	<ul> <li>Commissioners expressed concern regarding continuously extending the contract and how a \$50 million procurement contract ended up becoming a \$90 million contract;</li> <li>MDAD, explained that this change order enabled staff to advance work that needed to be done at MIA</li> </ul>
	that was planned for the future. The advantage to using this contract was the prime administrator's rate was much lower than the current market price;
	<ul> <li>Commissioners requested a commitment for the record that this would be the last request for an extension on this contract;</li> <li>Commissioners inquired what the consequences would be if this was not approved today to which MDAD</li> </ul>
	• Commissioners inquired what the consequences would be if this was not approved today to which MDAD explained that staff was trying to expedite as much construction as possible to accommodate MIA's rapid growth. MDAD reported that 3% growth was projected; however, there was actually 8% growth and stated the advantages to using this contract allowed work orders to be issued immediately on future work; however, MDAD did not want to give the impression that work would stop if this change order was denied, the work would be bid out.
	• Commissioners inquired about MCC 9; whether it had been prepared and released and the amount, to which MDAD responded that the MCC9 was prepared, but not released and the contract amount was \$50 million.
	• MDAD explained that approximately 90 projects and 41 different small businesses were performing on the MCC 8 project; with 37% on direct services indicating \$16.8 million on the Small Business Enterprise (SBE) local portion. MDAD stated that the disadvantaged business enterprise portion had a \$2.3 million injection.
	Additional Information- See Item 3B on this Agenda- ITB-MDAD-MCC-9-16- Rejecting All Bids
2B 170526	RESOLUTION DIRECTING THE MAYOR OR MAYOR'S DESIGNEE, IN CONJUNCTION WITH THE OFFICE OF INTERGOVERNMENTAL AFFAIRS, TO COORDINATE WITH THE NATIONAL ASSOCIATION OF COUNTIES, NATIONAL LEAGUE OF CITIES, FLORIDA ASSOCIATION OF COUNTIES, AND FLORIDA LEAGUE OF CITIES FOR THE PURPOSE OF IDENTIFYING BEST PRACTICES FOR LOCAL GOVERNMENT ENTITIES TO ADDRESS ISSUES RELATED TO AIRBNB- TYPE ENTITIES OPERATING IN THE SHORT-TERM RESIDENTIAL RENTAL MARKET, INCLUDING COLLECTING TAXES AND ENFORCING REGULATIONS; AND TO RESEARCH HOW OTHER LOCAL GOVERNMENT ENTITIES ARE ADDRESSING SUCH ISSUES RELATED TO AIRBNB-TYPE ENTITIES; AND TO PROVIDE A PEROPT.
Notes	AND TO PROVIDE A REPORT The proposed resolution directs the Mayor or designee, in conjunction with the Office of Intergovernmental Affairs, to coordinate with the National Association of Counties, National League of Cities, Florida Association of Counties, and Florida League of Cities for the purpose of identifying best practices for local government entities to address issues related to Airbnb-type entities operating in the short-term residential rental market, including collecting taxes and enforcing regulations; and to research how other local government entities are addressing such issues related to Airbnb-type entities.
	Additionally, the proposed resolution directs the Mayor or designee to prepare and provide a report to the BCC within 90 days on a BCC agenda in accordance with Ordinance No. 14-65.
	Additional Information

**Research Notes** 

	Descende Neder
Item No.	Research Notes
	According to Airbnb.com, <sup>1</sup> when deciding whether to become an Airbnb host, it's important to understand how the laws work in your city. Some cities have laws that restrict your ability to host paying guests for short periods. These laws are often part of a city's zoning or administrative codes. In many cities, you must register, get a permit, or obtain a license before you list your property or accept guests. Certain types of short-term bookings
	may be prohibited altogether. Local governments vary greatly in how they enforce these laws. Penalties may include fines or other enforcement. These rules can be confusing. In some tax jurisdictions, Airbnb will take care of calculating, collecting, and remitting local occupancy tax on your behalf. Occupancy tax is calculated differently in every jurisdiction, and we're moving as quickly as possible to extend this benefit to more hosts around the globe.
2D 170549	RESOLUTION DIRECTING THE MAYOR OR MAYOR'S DESIGNEE TO CREATE PROGRAMMING ON MIAMI-DADE TV TO EDUCATE THE PUBLIC ABOUT SEA LEVEL RISE AND THE COUNTY'S SEA LEVEL RISE RELATED WORK
Notes	The proposed resolution directs the Mayor or designee to create programming for Miami-Dade TV and other communication channels, as appropriate, in consultation with the County's Office of Resilience as to the content of such programming, in order to educate the public about sea level rise, issues related to sustainability and resilience, the recommendations of the Miami-Dade County Sea Level Rise Task Force, and the County's efforts to implement those recommendations and address sea level rise, sustainability and resilience issues.
	<b>Background</b> Miami-Dade County has demonstrated leadership on issues related to sea level rise through the creation of the Miami-Dade County Sea Level Rise Task Force and the County's Office of Resilience. In 2013, the BCC adopted Resolution No. R-599-13 creating the Miami-Dade County Sea Level Rise Task Force.
	The Miami-Dade County Sea Level Rise Task Force presented its recommendations, and the final reports on those recommendations cumulatively contain over 400 pages of information and analysis related to sea level rise, and this information may be of interest to residents and businesses in Miami-Dade County.
	Miami-Dade TV is the County's government access television station, and it is carried by all cable TV systems in Miami-Dade County and provides public information programming, along with televised County meetings. The County could provide an additional public service by making this information on sea level rise, sustainability and resilience available and accessible through Miami-Dade TV and other communication channels. This use of Miami-Dade TV may allow the County to reach a larger audience, to promote education and information about the issues of sea level rise, sustainability and resilience, and this use of Miami-Dade TV may also better inform County residents about the work that the County is undertaking in order to address these important issues.
2E	TOOLS FOR PROCESSING TECHNOLOGY INTERRUPTIONS AT MIA
170539 Notes	The proposed resolution directs the County Mayor or designee to identify tools to assist the United States Customs and Border Protection in preventing future technology interruptions and outages at the Miami International Airport.
	Additionally, the proposed resolution directs the Mayor or designee to prepare and provide a report to the BCC within 90 days on a BCC agenda in accordance with Ordinance No. 14-65.
	<b>Background</b> On January 2, 2017, the United States Customs and Border Protection's (CBP) processing systems experienced an outage for four hours, requiring CBP officials to process international travelers manually. Although CBP had access to national security-related databases and maintained screening according to security standards, the outage caused delays for up to two hours for thousands of international travelers across the country and because the Miami International Airport (MIA) is the second busiest airport in international passenger traffic, the effects of the outage were rather severe at MIA with hundreds of international travelers at MIA missed their connecting flights.
3A 170565	RESOLUTION AUTHORIZING AVIATION DEPARTMENT TO ISSUE INTERIM AIRLINE USE AGREEMENTS TO AIRLINES USING MIAMI INTERNATIONAL AIRPORT UNTIL SUCH TIME AS THIS

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> <u>https://www.airbnb.com/help/article/376/what-legal-and-regulatory-issues-should-i-consider-before-hosting-on-airbnb</u>

tem No.	Research Notes
	BOARD APPROVES A SUCCESSOR AIRLINE USE AGREEMENT TO REPLACE THE CURRENT
	AIRLINE USE AGREEMENT THAT EXPIRES ON APRIL 30, 2017
Notes	The proposed resolution authorizes the Miami-Dade Aviation Department (MDAD) to issue an Interim Airline
	Use Agreement (AUA) to airlines using Miami International Airport (MIA) until the BCC approves a long-term
	successor AUA to replace the current Agreement that expires on April 30, 2017.
	There is no fiscal impact arising from this resolution.
	Background
	The AUA is the primary contractual document between the County and the airlines using MIA. It establishes the
	fees and charges that each airline must pay and sets forth programs for the benefit of the airlines, such as the airlines' rights to approve or disapprove capital improvement projects and participate in an Aviation User Credit
	Program that allows airlines to delay payment of fees and charges until the month after they are incurred, and pa
	a discounted amount of such fees and charges based on timely payment.
	a discounced amount of such rees and charges based on timery payment.
	The current AUA was approved by this BCC pursuant to Resolution No. R-331-01. The AUA was amended and
	restated in 2012 to reflect the April 30, 2017 expiration date, as approved by the BCC pursuant to Resolution No
	R-198-12. The 2012 Amendment was requested by airlines operating at MIA to reflect changes in the aviation
	industry over the past decade and clarify, among other things, the conditions under which an Airline can be four
	to be late in its payments to MDAD.
	For the past year, MDAD and the airlines have been negotiating the terms of the successor AUA that would take
	effect on May 1, 2017. Recently, it became apparent that despite the best efforts of MDAD, the successor AUA
	will not be ready for BCC approval by April 30, 2017.
	The absence of an Interim AUA would hurt MDAD's efforts to attract new airlines to MIA. New airlines will no
	accept the current AUA with less than three months remaining in its term. For airlines already operating at MIA
	is in the best interests of the County and such airlines to have a document effective after April 30 that confirms to
	airlines' payment obligations for operating at MIA.
	The attached Interim AUA simply states that the terms of the existing 2012 Restated AUA will continue to apply
	from May 1, 2017 until such time as the BCC approves a successor AUA.
3B	RESOLUTION REJECTING ALL BIDS RECEIVED IN CONNECTION WITH THE INVITATION TO BID
170493	FOR MISCELLANEOUS CONSTRUCTION CONTRACT, ITB-MDAD- MCC-9-16
Notes	The proposed resolution rejects the six (6) bids received by the Miami-Dade Aviation Department (MDAD) for
	Invitation to Bid (ITB) for Miscellaneous Construction Contract (MCC), ITB No. MDAD-MCC-9-16.
	Background
	The ITB for the subject project was advertised on September 20, 2016 to obtain the services of a licensed Gener
	Contractor who would enter into subcontractor agreements with and provide education and business training for subcontractors (with an emphasis on Small Business Development (SBD) and Certified Community Small
	Business Enterprise (CSBE) subcontractors to perform construction, maintenance and construction services).
	Business Enterprise (COBE) subcontractors to perform construction, maintenance and construction services).
	Bids were received from six (6) firms on November 4, 2016:
	• NV2A Group, LLC;
	• Cherokee Enterprises, Inc.;
	• TGSV Enterprises, Inc.;
	Munilla Construction Management, LLC, d/b/a MCM;
	• GEC Associates, Inc.; and
	Turner Construction Company.
	• Why has it taken so long for the Rejection of Bids to come before the BCC?
	• According to MDAD, the new solicitation cannot be issued until the BCC approves the rejection
	of bids for the current solicitation.

	Research Notes
Item No.	Research Notes
	The ITB asked bidders to bid on hourly rates for various construction management positions and various multipliers which would be applied to work by their subcontractors. During the bid evaluation process, however, it was discovered that the number of hours for the construction management positions under the Preconstruction Services were understated by a significant factor. The amount that the County will spend on construction management is therefore understated in the bids, resulting in the bids being skewed, as differences in bidder hourly rates are significantly smaller than would be accurate. This has also resulted in a bid that is not representative of the costs of needed services from the bidders.
	The matter has been addressed with the MDAD staff responsible for this situation. MDAD intends to correct the number of hours and rebid the contract, which will ensure that all bidders are competing on a level playing field and the County awards this contract to the firm that will provide the lowest price over the life of the contract.
	Additional Information- See Item 2A on this Agenda
3C 170492	RESOLUTION APPROVING PROFESSIONAL SERVICES AGREEMENT BETWEEN MIAMI-DADE COUNTY AND RICONDO & ASSOCIATES, INC., FOR AVIATION PLANNING AND MASTER PLANNING CONSULTANT SERVICES, PROJECT NO. E16-MDAD-04; IN AN AMOUNT NOT TO EXCEED \$5,513,750.00 AND FOR A TERM OF FIVE YEARS; AND AUTHORIZING COUNTY MAYOR OR HIS DESIGNEE TO EXECUTE THE AGREEMENT AND TO EXERCISE THE PROVISIONS THEREOF, INCLUDING THE RENEWAL AND TERMINATION PROVISIONS CONTAINED THEREIN
Notes	The proposed resolution approves the Professional Services Agreement (PSA) for Aviation Planning and Master Planning Consultant Services, E16-MDAD-04, with Ricondo & Associates, Inc., in the amount of \$5,513,750.00 for a five (5) year term, and authorizes the County Mayor or designee to execute the agreement.
	The Consultant will provide a wide variety of airport and aviation master planning services to directly support the Miami-Dade Aviation Department's (MDAD) Aviation Planning Land Use and Grants Division meet federal, state and county regulatory requirements and to supplement the Strategic Airport Master Plan Study for Miami International Airport (MIA) and the County's system of General Aviation Airports (GAAs) which consists of Miami-Opa locka Executive Airport (OPF), Miami Executive Airport (TMB), Miami Homestead General Aviation Airport (X51) and Dade-Collier Training and Transition Airport (TNT).
	<b>Background</b> On June 28, 2016, a Notice to Professional Consultants (NTPC) was issued under full and open competition. On August 25, 2016, the Clerk of the Board received two (2) proposals. In accordance with Chapter 287.055 of the Florida Statutes and Chapter 2-10.4 of the Code of Miami-Dade County, both of which govern certification, selection, and negotiation procedures, the Competitive Selection Committee held a first-tier meeting on September 27, 2016 to review the two (2) submittals. By a majority vote, the Committee elected to waive the second-tier phase and ranked the two (2) responsive proposers.
	The top-ranked firm Ricondo & Associates, Inc. was found by the Selection Committee to have met the qualification requirements. With the approval to move forward with the negotiation process, the Negotiation Committee successfully negotiated an agreement with Ricondo & Associates, Inc. on December 19, 2016.
	Assigned Contract Measures DBE- 25 percent- \$1,250,000.00
	Contract Measures Achieved at Award 27.57 percent
	<ul> <li>DBE Subconsultants</li> <li>ACAI Associates Inc 3%;</li> <li>American Infrastructure Development Inc 4%;</li> <li>Arora Engineers, Inc 5.5%;</li> </ul>
	Brown & Phillips, Inc 1.7%; 6

	Research Notes
Item No.	Research Notes
	• Faith Group, LLC- 2%;
	<ul> <li>Newhouse and Associates, LLC- 11.37%</li> </ul>
	Additional Information
	On December 3, 2013, the BCC, through Resolution No. R-967-13 awarded a Professional Services Agreement, for a five year term, to Ricondo & Associates, Inc. for Aviation Planning Consultant Services in the amount of
	\$825,000.00.
	On May 19, 2015, the BCC, through Resolution No. R-449-15, approved the First Amendment to the Professional
	Services Agreement (Agreement) with Ricondo & Associates, Inc. for Aviation Planning Services for the
	County's System of Airports, increasing the Agreement amount by \$401,000.00 for an adjusted Agreement
	amount of \$1,226,000.00.
	Contract Measures: CBE goal 15% (\$123,441.00)
	Contract Measures Achieved At Award: 15% (\$123,441.00)
	CBE Subconsultants:
	M.C. Harry & Associates, Inc. 7.5% (\$61,720.50)
	F.R. Aleman & Associates, Inc. 7.5% (\$61,720.50)
	Contract Measures Achieved To Date:
	5% CBE goal to date (\$36,375.00); Contract is 87% complete (\$715,220.00)
	F.R. Aleman and Associates: 0%; and M.C. Harry to date: 5% (\$36,375.00)
	• In a letter dated February 2, 2015, Ricondo stated that although the payments to the CBE
	subconsultants have not reached the monetary amount associated with the 15% goal, project work
	equal to nearly the full amount of the 15% measure has already been awarded, and they do not foresee
3D	<i>any problems meeting the goal.</i> RESOLUTION ADDING CERTAIN CAPITAL PROJECTS TO THE APPROVED LIST OF ECONOMIC
162928	STIMULUS PROJECTS PURSUANT TO THE COUNTY'S ECONOMIC STIMULUS PLAN; AND
102/20	AUTHORIZING THE COUNTY MAYOR OR COUNTY MAYOR'S DESIGNEE TO EXERCISE THE
	AUTHORITY UNDER SECTION 2-8.2.7 OF THE COUNTY CODE WITH RESPECT TO ADDED
	PROJECTS
Notes	The proposed resolution approves adding 38 projects to the County's Economic Stimulus Plan (ESP) approved list
	of projects.
	The addition to the County's ESP-approved list of projects will allow the projects to benefit from the expedited
	process currently in place under the ESP program. Ordinance No. 08-92 established the ESP program and
	Resolution No. R-851-08 identified the original list of ESP projects. Section 2-8.2.7 of the Code provides that the
	BCC may add projects to the ESP list as necessary.
	Each project being added to the ESP approved list of projects is funded in the FY 2016-17 Proposed Budget and
	Multi-Year Capital Plan.
	Background
	The projects listed are currently going through different stages of development and, in all cases, the appropriate
	selection process and/or invitation to bid will be conducted in order to acquire the services of consultants and
	contractors. The ESP continues to allow projects to be processed at an accelerated pace, and tracks the number of
<b>3</b> E	jobs that each project is expected to create. RESOLUTION APPROVING AN INTERLOCAL AGREEMENT BETWEEN THE CITY OF SOUTH MIAMI
зе 170401	AND MIAMI-DADE COUNTY TO PROVIDE FILM PERMITTING SERVICES FOR A FIVE-YEAR TERM
1/0401	WITH OPTION TO RENEW FOR ONE ADDITIONAL FIVE-YEAR TERM; AND AUTHORIZING THE
	MAYOR OR MAYOR'S DESIGNEE TO EXECUTE SAME AND EXERCISE THE PROVISIONS
	CONTAINED THEREIN, INCLUDING RENEWAL AND TERMINATION
Notes	The proposed resolution approves an Interlocal Agreement (Agreement) with the City of South Miami (City) by
	the County Mayor or designee to allow the Miami-Dade Office of Film and Entertainment in the Department of

T/ NT	Research Notes	
Item No.	Research Notes	
	Regulatory and Economic Resources to issue permits to film, television, and still photography production companies desiring to use the City's facilities.	
	<b>Fiscal Impact/Funding Source</b> Under the proposed Agreement, the Miami-Dade Office of Film and Entertainment will receive a \$100.00 application fee for each film permit processed on behalf of the City, which assists with the maintenance of the permitting system utilized by County staff	
	<b>Background</b> Ordinance No. 91-50 authorizes the Miami-Dade Film and Entertainment Office to provide one-stop film, television and still photography permitting services for all of the County's municipalities, creating a film-friendly environment which encourages more local production. Without these interlocal agreements, film, television and still photography companies would face obstacles at each municipal boundary with additional permitting, unnecessary paperwork, further man-hours and additional fees.	
	Currently, Miami-Dade County provides these services under agreements with 17 municipalities, allowing efficient processing of permits for most filming locations.	