



Miami-Dade Board of County Commissioners
Office of the Commission Auditor

Board of County Commissioners Meeting

July 6, 2017
9:30 A.M.
Commission Chambers

Office of the Commission Auditor
111 N.W. First Street, Suite 1030
Miami, FL 33128
(305) 375-2524

**Board of County Commissioners
July 6, 2017 Meeting
Research Notes**

Item No.	Research Notes
3B1 171593	RESOLUTION APPROVING CONFIDENTIAL PROJECT TECO AS A QUALIFIED TARGET INDUSTRY BUSINESS PURSUANT TO SECTION 288.106, FLORIDA STATUTES; CONFIRMING THAT THE COMMITMENTS OF LOCAL FINANCIAL SUPPORT NECESSARY FOR CONFIDENTIAL PROJECT TECO EXISTS; AND PROVIDING THAT LOCAL SUPPORT OF UP TO \$15,000.00 FROM GENERAL REVENUE FUNDS WILL BE AVAILABLE AS LOCAL PARTICIPATION IN THE STATE OF FLORIDA QUALIFIED TARGET INDUSTRY TAX REFUND PROGRAM FOR FISCAL YEARS 2018 THROUGH 2022 INCLUSIVE, OR OVER A PERIOD AS DETERMINED BY THE STATE OF FLORIDA IN ITS APPROVAL OF CONFIDENTIAL PROJECT TECO
Notes	<p><u>APPLICABLE LEGISLATION/POLICY</u> This program is governed by Florida Statutes 288.106 Florida Qualified Target Industry Tax Refund Program. The program provides new or expanding businesses in a targeted industry that create at least 10 new jobs paying 115 percent of the state average annual wage the opportunity to receive tax refunds of up to \$3,000 per new job created. The County's 20 percent local match is required when the State determines that the 25 new jobs have been created and have met the average salary threshold required in the State's QTI Tax Refund Program. Miami-Dade County's matching funds are distributed only if the applicant creates the 25 new jobs indicated in the accompanying QTI Tax Refund Program application and complies with all other conditions of the incentive program including the 20 percent local residency requirement for new hires pursuant to Resolution No. R-1175.</p> <p><u>RELEVANT PRECEDENT/HISTORY</u> The Qualified Target Industry (QTI) Tax Refund is a tool available to Florida communities to encourage quality job growth in targeted high value-added businesses. If approved, the applicant may receive refunds on the taxes it pays.</p> <p><u>ANALYSIS/FINDINGS</u> In addition to Miami-Dade County, Duval County, Polk County and City of St. Petersburg among others have availed themselves of Florida Statutes 288.106. Confidential Project Techo has applied for a total of \$75,000.00 in QTI Tax Refund Program incentives, of which 80 percent (\$60,000.00) would be provided by the State and 20 percent (\$15,000.00) is the local match to be provided by Miami-Dade County. The total amount of the incentive award equates to \$3,000.00 per new job. If the accompanying resolution is approved by the Board, Miami-Dade County is committed to providing up to \$15,000.00 in matching funds from Countywide General Fund revenues to be paid over a five-year period beginning in FY 2018-19.</p> <p><u>FISCAL IMPACT</u> Based on the projected capital investment of \$325,000.00 in new real property, the project will generate \$6,439.00 (over a five-year period) in Countywide General Fund ad valorem revenues, which results in a fiscal deficit of \$8,561.00 to the County. However, creating a net positive fiscal impact to the County's Countywide General Fund revenues is not a condition of the award. Additionally, based on the job creation of 25 new jobs with an average salary of \$52,500.00, this project will generate \$8,422,327.00 in direct and indirect wages over the five-year period that the project is eligible for the award.</p>
3B2 171591	RESOLUTION RATIFYING THE EXECUTION OF GRANT AGREEMENT NUMBER S0941 WITH THE FLORIDA DEPARTMENT OF ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION TO PROVIDE UP

**Board of County Commissioners
July 6, 2017 Meeting
Research Notes**

Item No.	Research Notes
	TO \$216,633.28 TO MIAMI-DADE COUNTY TO SUPPORT THE TITLE V AIR POLLUTION CONTROL PROGRAM IN MIAMI-DADE COUNTY; APPROVING GRANT AGREEMENT; AND AUTHORIZING THE MAYOR OR MAYOR'S DESIGNEE TO ACCEPT THESE AND ANY ADDITIONAL FUNDS AND EXECUTE EXTENSIONS OF TIME
Notes	<p><u>APPLICABLE LEGISLATION/POLICY</u> State of Florida Grant Agreement Number S0941 was signed by the Deputy Mayor, as the Mayor's designee, on April 12, 2017, and was fully executed on April 19, 2017. Section 2-9 of the Code of Miami-Dade County authorizes the Mayor to enter into certain contracts with governmental entities on behalf of the County. Section 2-10 of the Code requires that contracts authorized under Section 2-9 be ratified by the Board.</p> <p><u>RELEVANT PRECEDENT/HISTORY</u> Florida Department of Environmental Protection (FDEP) has similar agreements with Broward County, Duval County, Hillsborough County, Palm Beach County, Pinellas County.</p> <p><u>ANALYSIS/FINDINGS</u> Congress established the Title V Operating Permit program as part of the 1990 Clean Air Act amendments. The Florida Department of Environmental Protection is the lead agency responsible for implementing the Clean Air Act in Florida. The Department has assisted in this effort through county air pollution control agencies in Broward, Miami-Dade, Duval, Hillsborough, Orange, Palm Beach, Pinellas and Sarasota counties.</p> <p><u>FISCAL IMPACT</u> The Agreement will provide up to \$216,633.28 to the County, with no requirement for matching funds, for the provision of delegated activities performed by County staff. No additional impacts are anticipated by receipt of these funds on current and future annual County budgets.</p>
4A 171654	ORDINANCE RELATING TO ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION; AMENDING SECTIONS 24-44 AND 8CC-10 OF THE CODE OF MIAMIDADE COUNTY, FLORIDA; REQUIRING THE POSTING OF NOTICE OF CONTAMINATION ON PROPERTY WHERE ANY PERSON RESIDES; REQUIRING CERTAIN INFORMATION TO BE INCLUDED IN THE POSTED NOTICE; REQUIRING LABORATORY RESULTS AND OTHER INFORMATION TO BE PROVIDED TO THE COUNTY; PROVIDING FOR ENFORCEMENT BY CIVIL PENALTY; PROVIDING SEVERABILITY, INCLUSION IN THE CODE, AND AN EFFECTIVE DATE
Notes	<p><u>ISSUE/REQUESTED ACTION</u> The purpose of this ordinance is to require greater and more prompt notification to the County residents about any contamination or pollution they may be subjected to on or near their properties.</p> <p><u>APPLICABLE LEGISLATION/POLICY</u> Sections 24-44 and 8CC-10 of the County Code are being amended.</p> <p><u>RELEVANT PRECEDANT/HISTORY</u> 6/27/2017: Item requires municipal notification by the BCC</p> <p><u>ANALYSIS</u></p>

Board of County Commissioners
July 6, 2017 Meeting
Research Notes

Item No.	Research Notes
	<p>This ordinance will enforce civil penalties to those who commit violations, including “failure to post notice of contaminated site”, “failure to provide Department with laboratory results”, and “other violations of subsection 24-44(2)(j)(v). Each of these violations has a civil penalty of \$500. The item provides clear specifications on the notice of contamination, such as when and where it should be posted.</p> <p>Broward County’s environmental and contamination website includes an interactive map and list that compiles all of the contaminated properties and reports that have been filed. In this way, it is easy for County residents to look up what properties and areas are considered contaminated, but are not necessarily notified directly as this resolution would encourage.</p> <p><u>FISCAL IMPACT</u> There is no fiscal impact associated with this ordinance.</p>
<p>4B 171641</p>	<p>ORDINANCE RELATING TO THE PUBLIC HEALTH TRUST; CREATING SECTION 25A-10 OF THE CODE OF MIAMI-DADE COUNTY, FLORIDA; PROHIBITING CERTAIN CONDUCT AT DESIGNATED FACILITIES OF THE PUBLIC HEALTH TRUST, INCLUDING CONDUCT RELATING TO THE PRESERVATION OF PROPERTY; PROVIDING SEVERABILITY, INCLUSION IN THE CODE, AND AN EFFECTIVE DATE</p>
<p>Notes</p>	<p><u>ISSUE/REQUESTED ACTION</u> Whether the Board should amend Chapter 25A of the Code to create a new section regarding Preservation of Property and Prohibited Conduct at Trust facilities.</p> <p><u>APPLICABLE LEGISLATION/POLICY</u> This Board previously enacted Ordinance No. 10-2010 and Ordinance No. 15-1584, which allow civil citations as a penalty for non-violent misdemeanors.</p> <p>Section 1-5 of the Code provides additional penalties for code violations, including civil penalties for non-violent misdemeanors under chapter 8CC.</p> <p><u>RELEVANT PRECEDENT/HISTORY</u> This ordinance is on the July 6, 2017 Board Agenda for first reading.</p> <p><u>ANALYSIS/FINDINGS</u> The Board desires to maintain the integrity of the campus at the designated facilities of the Public Health Trust, and protect and preserve the designated facilities of the Trust in order to ensure the health, safety, and well-being of all workers, patients, and family members at Trust facilities.</p> <p>The Trust is engaging in a program of community awareness regarding the integrity of the grounds of Trust facilities and the importance of the health, safety, and well-being of all workers, patients, and family members at Trust facilities.</p> <p><u>FISCAL IMPACT - TBD</u></p>
<p>4D</p>	<p>ORDINANCE RELATED TO BOND UNDERWRITERS; AMENDING SECTION 2-10.6 OF THE</p>


**Board of County Commissioners
July 6, 2017 Meeting
Research Notes**

Item No.	Research Notes
171442	CODE OF MIAMI-DADE COUNTY, FLORIDA; PROVIDING MECHANISM BY WHICH UNFILLED POSITIONS ON INITIAL TEAMS FOR NEGOTIATED BOND TRANSACTIONS MAY BE FILLED AT DISCRETION OF COUNTY MAYOR OR DESIGNEE; CLARIFYING THAT EACH OF THE FIRMS SELECTED TO THE COUNTY'S UNDERWRITING POOL MAY SERVE AS SENIOR MANAGER FOR ANY TRANSACTION WITH A PAR AMOUNT EQUAL TO OR LESS THAN \$125 MILLION; PROVIDING SEVERABILITY, INCLUSION IN THE CODE, AND AN EFFECTIVE DATE
Notes	<p><u>APPLICABLE LEGISLATION/POLICY</u> On June 7, 2016 the Board adopted Ordinance No. 16-64 which created Section 2-10.6 of the Code of Miami-Dade County, Florida relating to underwriters on County bond transactions.</p> <p><u>RELEVANT PRECEDENT/HISTORY</u> Ordinance No. 16-64 was enacted to allow the Board to select a new pool of underwriting firms and to make assignments from such pool to negotiated bond transactions based solely on each firm's financial expertise and capabilities, specifically capital strength and ability to underwrite and market bonds effectively. Ordinance No. 16-64 established, among other things, the criteria and process under which firms could apply for and be accepted to the County's underwriting pool, and the mechanism by which such firms would be assigned to initial underwriting teams to work on negotiated bond transactions. The Board now desires to amend Section 2-10.6 of the Code to provide a means by which unfilled positions on such initial underwriting teams may be filled at the discretion of the County Mayor or designee.</p> <p><u>ANALYSIS/FINDINGS</u> The Board amendment of Section 2-10.6 of the Code to provide a mechanism by which unfilled positions on such initial underwriting teams may be filled at the discretion of the County Mayor or designee.</p> <p><u>FISCAL IMPACT</u> There is no fiscal impact.</p>
5A 171150	RESOLUTION REVISING INVENTORY LIST OF AFFORDABLE HOUSING SITES, AFTER A PUBLIC HEARING, IN ACCORDANCE WITH SECTION 125.379, FLORIDA STATUTES, TO REMOVE COUNTYOWNED PROPERTIES LOCATED AT 1395 N.W. 68TH STREET AND 1410 NW 69TH TERRACE, MIAMI, FLORIDA FROM SUCH LIST; APPROVING PURSUANT TO SECTION 125.38, FLORIDA STATUTES, TERMS OF LEASE AGREEMENT BETWEEN MIAMI-DADE COUNTY AND BETHESDA HOUSE OF MERCY, INC., A FLORIDA NOT-FORPROFIT CORPORATION, FOR A 30 YEAR TERM PLUS TWO 10 YEAR OPTIONS TO RENEW, FOR THE PURPOSE OF PROVIDING FAMILY AND CHILD CARE EDUCATION AND TRAINING SERVICES AT AN ANNUAL RENT OF \$870.00 FOR THE INITIAL YEAR, ADJUSTED ANNUALLY BY THREE PERCENT FOR THE REMAINDER OF THE TERM; INCLUDING THE GRANT OF AN OPTION TO PURCHASE AT THE MARKET VALUE OF THE LAND AT THE TIME OF THE PURCHASE; WAIVING BOARD POLICY SET FORTH IN RESOLUTION NO. R-256-13 REQUIRING A LEASE RATHER THAN A DEED WHEN CONVEYING PROPERTY TO NOT-FOR-PROFIT ENTITIES; AND AUTHORIZING THE COUNTY MAYOR OR COUNTY MAYOR'S DESIGNEE TO EXECUTE LEASE AGREEMENT, TO EXERCISE ANY AND ALL RIGHTS CONFERRED THEREIN, AND TO TAKE ALL NECESSARY STEPS TO EFFECTUATE

**Board of County Commissioners
July 6, 2017 Meeting
Research Notes**

Item No.	Research Notes
	THE FOREGOING
Notes	<p><u>ISSUE/REQUESTED ACTION</u> Whether the Board should authorize the leasing of two county properties located adjacent to and across the street from Bethesda House of Mercy, Inc.'s current property, for the expansion of their facility.</p> <p><u>APPLICABLE LEGISLATION/POLICY</u> Waives the Board policy set forth in resolution R-256-13 that requires a lease rather than a deed when conveying property to not-for profit entities.</p> <p><u>RELEVANT PRECEDANT/HISTORY</u> 5/8/2017: Item 2A, No action was taken due to lack of a quorum by the Housing and Social Services Committee 6/12/2017: Item 2A was forwarded to the BCC with a favorable recommendation by the Housing and Social Services Committee; Passed 5 – 0</p> <p><u>ANALYSIS</u> The lease is a standard lease agreement that can benefit the local community from the programs that the not-for profit corporation offers. The two properties in question are currently vacant and not being used, therefore it is in the County's interest for the properties to serve a purpose. After 10 years there is an option for Bethesda House to purchase the properties.</p> <p><u>FISCAL IMPACT</u> n/a</p>
5B 171390	RESOLUTION DECLARING SURPLUS THREE COUNTY-OWNED PROPERTIES LOCATED IN MIAMI, FLORIDA AND REVISING THE INVENTORY LIST OF REAL PROPERTIES, AFTER A PUBLIC HEARING, TO INCLUDE SUCH PROPERTIES IN ACCORDANCE WITH SECTION 125.379(1), FLORIDA STATUTES; AUTHORIZING CONVEYANCE, PURSUANT TO SECTION 125.379(2), FLORIDA STATUTES, OF THE PROPERTIES TO HOUSING PROGRAMS, INC., A FLORIDA NOT-FOR-PROFIT, AT A PRICE OF \$10.00, FOR THE PURPOSE OF DEVELOPING SUCH PROPERTIES WITH AFFORDABLE HOUSING TO BE SOLD TO VERY LOW- LOW- OR MODERATE INCOME HOUSEHOLDS IN ACCORDANCE WITH MIAMI-DADE COUNTY'S INFILL HOUSING INITIATIVE PROGRAM; AUTHORIZING THE CHAIRPERSON OR VICE-CHAIRPERSON OF THE BOARD OF COUNTY COMMISSIONERS TO EXECUTE A COUNTY DEED; AND AUTHORIZING THE COUNTY MAYOR OR COUNTY MAYOR'S DESIGNEE TO TAKE ALL ACTION NECESSARY TO ENFORCE THE PROVISIONS SET FORTH IN SUCH COUNTY DEED AND TO ENSURE PLACEMENT OF APPROPRIATE SIGNAGE
Notes	<p><u>ISSUE/REQUESTED ACTION</u> Whether the County should approve (1) declaring three properties surplus and including them in the Affordable Housing Inventory List; (2) conveying the properties pursuant to Florida law to Housing Programs, Inc. for \$10; (3) executing the deed for the properties; (4) directing the administration to place proper signage on the properties identifying the County's name and name of the district commissioner.</p> <p><u>APPLICABLE LEGISLATION/POLICY</u></p>

**Board of County Commissioners
July 6, 2017 Meeting
Research Notes**

Item No.	Research Notes														
	<p>Administrative Order No. 3-44 (Infill Housing Program Initiative); Florida Statutes Section 125 (disposition of County property for affordable housing); Resolution Nos. R-376-11 and R-333-15 relating to background information including market value of property subject to conveyance; and Resolution No. R-974-09 (requires any resolution authorizing the execution of instruments creating a County interest in real property shall require such instruments to be recorded in the public records of the County and attached by the Clerk of the Board of the authorizing resolution).</p> <p><u>ANALAYSIS/FINDINGS</u></p> <p>Housing Programs, Inc. is an active Florida nonprofit organized exclusively for charitable purposes, including rehabilitation and new construction for housing low to moderate income families with HUD funds and other funding sources. The company contacted Commissioner Jordan to request participating in the Infill Housing Program by rehabilitating three identified properties in district 1. The County acquired these properties via tax deed for nonpayment of taxes.</p> <p>The deed conveying the properties requires the rehabilitation to be completed within two years of the deed’s recordation or the properties revert to the County. A reversionary interest reserves to the County a future ownership right in the event the developer does not fulfill its agreement to develop and sell the home within two years, fails to pay real estate taxes, or fails to maintain the property. However, note that the developer may request a “good cause” extension that would require Board approval.</p> <p>The Infill Housing Program’s main goal is to increase the availability of affordable homes for homeownership for very low-, low- and moderate-income families. Other goals include: maintain a stock of affordable housing for homeownership; redeveloping urban neighborhoods by eliminating the blight of vacant, dilapidated or abandoned properties; generating payment of ad valorem taxes; and, equitably distributing homeownership opportunities within the Urban Infill Target Areas (defined as the unincorporated area of the County located east of and including NW and SW 77 Avenue and its theoretical extensions including the Palmetto Expressway (SR 826), north of (and including) SW 232 Street as well as the Targeted Urban Area and Empowerment Zones. Participating developers will be required to build the home in accordance with the Infill Housing Initiative Guidelines  and the Amendment to IO 3-44.</p> <p>The table below shows the market value of the subject properties.</p> <table><tr><th></th><th>Folio</th><th>Annual Tax Revenue Generated</th><th>Lot Size Sq. Ft.</th><th>Com m Distri ct 1</th><th>2016 Market Value</th><th>Legal Description</th></tr><tr><td>1</td><td>34-2115-003-6650</td><td>\$675</td><td>9,225</td><td>1</td><td>\$26,905.00</td><td>BUNCHE PARK PB 50-20 LOT 11 BLK 26</td></tr></table>		Folio	Annual Tax Revenue Generated	Lot Size Sq. Ft.	Com m Distri ct 1	2016 Market Value	Legal Description	1	34-2115-003-6650	\$675	9,225	1	\$26,905.00	BUNCHE PARK PB 50-20 LOT 11 BLK 26
	Folio	Annual Tax Revenue Generated	Lot Size Sq. Ft.	Com m Distri ct 1	2016 Market Value	Legal Description									
1	34-2115-003-6650	\$675	9,225	1	\$26,905.00	BUNCHE PARK PB 50-20 LOT 11 BLK 26									

**Board of County Commissioners
July 6, 2017 Meeting
Research Notes**

Item No.	Research Notes						
	2	34-2115-004-0170	\$597	7,629	1	\$23,650.00	BUNCHE PARK PB 50-20 LOT 17 BLK 30
	3	34-2108-010-3540	\$1173	9,855	1	\$46,452.00	CAROL CITY 1ST ADDN PB 60-19 LOT 1 BLK 54
	<p>Pursuant to AO No. 8-4, the following process was adhered to in order to declare the properties surplus:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> (1) Subject properties are circulated to County departments and the relevant municipality before conveyance in order to determine if there is a County or municipal need or concern regarding a conveyance. If there is no need for circulated properties, the Internal Services Department, as the receiver of County assets, offers the properties to Public Housing for use in its affordable housing programs. (2) Infill Housing staff determine if lots meet the criteria for developable, residential lots within the Infill Housing Guidelines and then a review of all potential Infill Housing lots will be reviewed by the Affordable Housing Review Committee. <p>With the assistance of the Internal Services Department, a list of eligible developable properties is prepared, and the properties are reviewed. Public Housing and Community Development maintains that Affordable Housing list.</p> <p><u>FISCAL IMPACT</u></p> <p>Pursuant to Section 125.379(2) of the Florida Statutes, the properties shall be conveyed to the developer for a price of \$10.</p>						
5D 171261	<p>RESOLUTION DECLARING SURPLUS FOUR COUNTYOWNED PROPERTIES AND REVISING THE INVENTORY LIST OF REAL PROPERTY, AFTER A PUBLIC HEARING, TO INCLUDE SUCH PROPERTIES ON THE LIST IN ACCORDANCE WITH SECTION 125.379(1), FLORIDA STATUTES; AUTHORIZING THE CONVEYANCE OF A TOTAL OF SEVEN PROPERTIES, INCLUSIVE OF THE FOUR SURPLUS PROPERTIES, TO HABITAT FOR HUMANITY OF GREATER MIAMI, INC., A NOT-FOR-PROFIT 501(C)(3) CORPORATION, AT A PRICE OF \$10.00 PURSUANT TO SECTION 125.379(2), FLORIDA STATUTES, FOR THE DEVELOPMENT OF SUCH PROPERTIES THROUGH THE INFILL HOUSING INITIATIVE PROGRAM; AUTHORIZING THE CHAIRPERSON OR VICECHAIRPERSON OF THE BOARD OF COUNTY COMMISSIONERS TO EXECUTE A COUNTY DEED; DIRECTING THE COUNTY MAYOR OR COUNTY MAYOR'S DESIGNEE TO ENSURE PLACEMENT OF APPROPRIATE SIGNAGE; AND AUTHORIZING THE COUNTY MAYOR OR COUNTY MAYOR'S DESIGNEE TO TAKE ALL ACTION NECESSARY TO EFFECTUATE THE CONVEYANCE OF THE PROPERTIES AND TO ENFORCE THE PROVISIONS AS SET FORTH IN THE COUNTY DEED</p>						

**Board of County Commissioners
July 6, 2017 Meeting
Research Notes**

Item No.	Research Notes																																
Notes	<p><u>ISSUE/REQUESTED ACTION</u></p> <p>Whether the Board should:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none">• Declare four County-owned properties surplus and revise the Inventory List of real property, after a public hearing, to include such properties on the list in accordance with section 125.379(1), Florida Statutes;• Authorize the conveyance of a total of seven properties, inclusive of the four surplus properties, to Habitat for Humanity of Greater Miami, Inc., a not-for-profit 501(c)(3) Corporation for the development of such properties through the Infill Housing Initiative Program; and• Authorize the Chairperson or Vice Chairperson of the Board to execute a County Deed. <p><u>APPLICABLE LEGISLATION/POLICY</u></p> <p>On the Board’s July 6, 2017 agenda for second reading is Item 7J (171180) which is an ordinance proposing amending Section 2-8.6.5 of the Code, codifying in the Code existing county policy that provides for lease rather than conveyance of county property to Not-For-Profit corporations unless other compelling circumstance justify conveyance.</p> <p><u>RELEVANT PRECEDENT/HISTORY</u></p> <p>Three of the Properties were declared surplus by this Board pursuant to Resolution Nos. R-527-12, R-38-02 and R-15-11.</p> <p>This item was forwarded to the Board, with a favorable recommendation by the Housing and Social Services Committee (HSSC) at the June 12, 2017 meeting.</p> <p><u>ANALYSIS/FINGINGS</u></p> <p>The Internal Services Department advised that these properties were all conveyed to the County through tax deeds due to non-payment of taxes at no cost to the County.</p> <p>All seven properties are located in Commissioner District 9 and are listed in the below table:</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Lot Information</p> <table><tr><th>Folio</th><th>Year of Acquisition</th><th>Yearly Maintenance Amount</th><th>2016 Market Value</th></tr><tr><td>30-5032-000-1080</td><td>1988</td><td>\$345</td><td>\$30,840</td></tr><tr><td>30-5032-000-0820</td><td>2014</td><td>\$213</td><td>\$19,500</td></tr><tr><td>30-6912-008-0980</td><td>1981</td><td>\$ 60</td><td>\$ 3,415</td></tr><tr><td>30-6912-008-1040</td><td>1992</td><td>\$310</td><td>\$17,750</td></tr><tr><td>30-6912-008-0990</td><td>2013</td><td>\$310</td><td>\$17,750</td></tr><tr><td>30-6912-004-0980</td><td>2004</td><td>\$160</td><td>\$ 9,188</td></tr><tr><td>30-6912-004-0990</td><td>1968</td><td>\$160</td><td>\$ 9,188</td></tr></table> <p>Habitat for Humanity of Greater Miami, Inc. (Habitat) is a not-for-profit 501 (c) (3) corporation, which</p>	Folio	Year of Acquisition	Yearly Maintenance Amount	2016 Market Value	30-5032-000-1080	1988	\$345	\$30,840	30-5032-000-0820	2014	\$213	\$19,500	30-6912-008-0980	1981	\$ 60	\$ 3,415	30-6912-008-1040	1992	\$310	\$17,750	30-6912-008-0990	2013	\$310	\$17,750	30-6912-004-0980	2004	\$160	\$ 9,188	30-6912-004-0990	1968	\$160	\$ 9,188
Folio	Year of Acquisition	Yearly Maintenance Amount	2016 Market Value																														
30-5032-000-1080	1988	\$345	\$30,840																														
30-5032-000-0820	2014	\$213	\$19,500																														
30-6912-008-0980	1981	\$ 60	\$ 3,415																														
30-6912-008-1040	1992	\$310	\$17,750																														
30-6912-008-0990	2013	\$310	\$17,750																														
30-6912-004-0980	2004	\$160	\$ 9,188																														
30-6912-004-0990	1968	\$160	\$ 9,188																														

**Board of County Commissioners
July 6, 2017 Meeting
Research Notes**

Item No.	Research Notes
	<p>promotes, develops and provides safe and affordable housing to persons with limited financial resources in the County. Habitat submitted to this Board an application, dated March 27, 2016, requesting that the County convey seven parcels of land (Properties) to them for the purpose of developing the Properties with affordable single family homes through the County's Infill Housing Initiative Program (Infill Housing Program). Habitat requested that the County convey seven parcels of land to them for the purpose of developing the Properties with affordable single family homes through the County's Infill Housing Initiative Program (Infill Housing Program).</p> <p>The Properties will be conveyed to Habitat pursuant to a reverter requiring the completion of the construction of single-family homes to be sold to qualified homebuyers in accordance with the Infill Housing Program within two years of the effective date of the conveyance, unless extended at the discretion of the Board.</p> <p><u>FISCAL IMPACT</u></p> <p>The properties are conveyed to Habitat at a price of \$10 pursuant to Section 125.379(2), Florida Statutes, for the construction of single family homes to be sold to qualified households in accordance with the Infill Housing Program.</p>
5E 171366	<p>RESOLUTION APPROVING THE VIZCAYA MUSEUM AND GARDENS MASTER PLAN IN ACCORDANCE WITH THE VIZCAYA MUSEUM AND GARDENS TRUST TRANSITION PLAN AND IMPLEMENTATION GUIDELINES ADOPTED PURSUANT TO RESOLUTION NO. R-649-00; AFTER A PUBLIC HEARING, APPROVING SIGNIFICANT MODIFICATION TO BUILDING BETTER COMMUNITIES GENERAL OBLIGATION BOND PROGRAM PROJECT NO. 290 - "VIZCAYA'S RESTORATION OF MAIN HOUSE AND GARDENS AND CREATION OF NEW EDUCATIONAL CENTER AT VIZCAYA'S VILLAGE" TO MODIFY PROJECT DESCRIPTION</p>
Notes	<p><u>ISSUE/REQUESTED ACTION</u></p> <p>Whether the Board should approve (1) an updated Master Plan for the restoration of Vizcaya Museum and Gardens to strengthen Vizcaya's capacity to meet evolving community needs; and (2) a significant modification to Project No. 290 (i.e., demolishing the non-historic building to be vacated by the Museum of Science and utilize the site to advance the estate).</p> <p><u>APPLICABLE LEGISLATION/POLICY</u></p> <p>Article LXXXI of the County Code (establishes Vizcaya Museum and Gardens Trust to oversee Vizcaya); Resolution No. R-649-00 (adoption of the Trust Transition Plan and Implementation Guidelines which specify the development plans for Vizcaya which are subject to Board approval); Resolution No. R-1157-05 (2005 Master Plan); and Resolution No. R-919-04 (cultural facilities resolution including Project No. 290 – Vizcaya's restoration – as an eligible project for funding).</p> <p><u>RELEVANT PRECEDENT/HISTORY</u></p> <p>This item was forwarded with a favorable recommendation from the 06/14/17 meeting of the Parks and Cultural Affairs Committee. Public comment was heard at the committee; the comment reflected the support of neighborhood organizations for the proposed updated Master Plan.</p> <p>There was also discussion at the Committee regarding the scope of the proposed plan. One of the commissioners asked whether the Master Plan was proposing major alterations to the main house and gardens on the east side of the property, to which Vizcaya staff responded that the main house and gardens would only be maintained and restored.</p>

**Board of County Commissioners
July 6, 2017 Meeting
Research Notes**

Item No.	Research Notes
	<p><u>ANALYSIS/FINDINGS</u></p> <p>Vizcaya Museum and Gardens includes a main house, the gardens and the property across South Miami Avenue on which both historic structures and the non-historic building occupied by the science museum are located. In calendar year 2005, the Board approved a Short Term Development Plan for Vizcaya (2005 Master Plan) to restore, enhance and establish a program of adaptive re-use for the site. This item proposes to update that plan. Note that the updated Master Plan is the product of work coordinated by an expert consultant team with experience in historic properties and design and included public meetings for stakeholder and community input.</p> <p><u>Input from Vizcaya Museum and Gardens</u></p> <p>The 2005 Master Plan approved by the Vizcaya Museum and Gardens Trust addressed capital and programmatic improvements throughout the Vizcaya property. This included maintenance and restoration of the main house and gardens, restoring and reprogramming the historic Vizcaya Village buildings and landscapes, demolishing the non-historic museum building, and establishing new visitor amenities and staff facilities on the southern portion of the Village property (building(s), landscapes, and parking). The 2005 plan also evaluated the need for Vizcaya to expand and professionalize collections conservation and educational programming. The plans differ with respect to 1) the proposed placement and configuration of the above-referenced visitor amenities (buildings, landscapes and parking) and 2) some of the anticipated programming, including the prominent introduction of urban farming into the current plan. In light of the fact that the Miami Science Museum would continue to occupy the southern portion of the Vizcaya Village property for several years, the Vizcaya Trust sought Board approval only for the elements of the 2005 Master Plan pertaining to the east property (main house and gardens) and the historic portions of the Village, with the intent on returning to the Board at a later date for remaining approvals.</p> <p>Within the scope described above, the Vizcaya Trust has substantially advanced the components of the 2005 Master Plan. Specifically, Vizcaya has made important strides in professionalizing collections conservation and educational programming (receiving a prestigious award in 2017 from the American Institute of Conservation for Outstanding Commitment to the Preservation and Care of Collections). So too has it advanced the capital components of the 2005 Master Plan, including replacement of the skylight over the courtyard of the main house with associated stormwater and fire safety systems; rebuilding of the main house Cafe and Shop; repair and/or replacement of deteriorated structural columns in the three prominent loggias of the main house, at the swimming pool and in the basement; restoration of the East and West Gate Lodges and stabilization of other Village buildings (underway); research on and restoration of many historic gardens and native landscapes; rebuilding of the Orchidarium; and numerous infrastructural improvements. The new plan builds upon this progress and expands the scope to encompass the entire Village property.</p> <p>The agenda item is also requesting the Board's approval to modify Project 290. The non-historic museum building is in a deteriorated state and it is not architecturally or programmatically appropriate to Vizcaya's National Historic Landmark architecture or its programmatic needs. In accordance with the Master Plan, the site will be reconfigured with the above-referenced visitor amenities (modestly-scaled and compatibly-designed new buildings, native landscapes and urban farmscapes and parking) to better respect Vizcaya's history and integrity and to better position Vizcaya to serve the public as a cultural hub through an array of educational programs. It should be noted that currently there is extremely limited space at the main house for public cultural and educational programs. The plans for</p>

**Board of County Commissioners
July 6, 2017 Meeting
Research Notes**

Item No.	Research Notes
	<p>the Village will enable Vizcaya to better fulfill its role in interpreting the history of the entire estate and offering more programs (e.g., lectures, concerts, exhibitions, agricultural demonstrations, etc.) for families and children.</p> <p><u>FISCAL IMPACT</u></p> <p>The proposed plan has three phases, with the first two focusing on restoration and revitalization of historic Village buildings and landscapes and the final encompassing the construction of new facilities. Based on architecture, engineering and construction estimates provided by the Master Plan team, Vizcaya will use a portion of its remaining GOB allocation (as planned) in combination with available earned revenues (attributable to maximizing admissions through effective marketing and programming initiatives) to complete the first phase of the Master Plan. The remaining phases will only be completed when funding becomes available. Grants and private funding contributions will be pursued to help accomplish the remaining work.</p>
<p>5H 171332</p>	<p>ORDINANCE GRANTING PETITION OF BEACON LAKES COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT DISTRICT, GENERALLY BOUNDED ON THE NORTH BY NW 25 STREET, ON THE EAST BY NW 117 AVENUE, ON THE SOUTH BY NW 12 STREET, AND ON THE WEST BY NW 137 AVENUE, TO AMEND THE BOUNDARIES OF THE DISTRICT TO CONTRACT ITS TOTAL ACREAGE BY 2 ACRES AND TO EXPAND ITS TOTAL ACREAGE BY 45 ACRES; PROVIDING SEVERABILITY, EXCLUSION FROM THE CODE AND AN EFFECTIVE DATE</p>
<p>Notes</p>	<p><u>ISSUE/REQUESTED ACTION</u></p> <p>Whether the Board should adopt the Ordinance amending the boundaries of the Beacon Lakes Community Development District (CDD).</p> <p><u>APPLICABLE LEGISLATION/POLICY</u></p> <p>Article VIII, Section 6(1) of the Florida Constitution provides for exclusive County Charter authority to establish all governmental units within Miami-Dade County and to provide for their government and prescribe their jurisdiction and powers.</p> <p>Section 1.01(A)(21) of the County's Home Rule Charter grants the Board the authority to exercise all powers and privileges granted to municipalities and counties by the laws of this State.</p> <p><u>RELEVANT PRECEDENT/HISTORY</u></p> <p>The proposed ordinance was adopted on first reading by the Board on June 6, 2017. It is scheduled for public hearing by the Board on July 6, 2017.</p> <p><u>ANALYSIS/FINDINGS</u></p> <p>The amended boundaries of the Beacon Lakes CDD will be contracted by 2 acres and expanded by 45 acres, increasing the total acreage of the CDD from 479 acres to 522 acres. The area of contraction within the boundaries will remove an existing fire station from the CDD. The area of expansion will add industrial development such as a warehouse and distribution center to the CDD.</p> <p><u>FISCAL IMPACT</u></p> <p>Amending the boundaries of the Beacon Lakes CDD will have no fiscal impact to the County. CDD funding is provided by private CDD liens and assessments against affected property and may be</p>

**Board of County Commissioners
July 6, 2017 Meeting
Research Notes**

Item No.	Research Notes
	collected privately or through the annual Combined Real Property tax bill pursuant to an inter-local agreement with the County. Adoption of this Ordinance will not affect the CDD assessments of the individual owners within the original CDD boundaries.
7B 171623	ORDINANCE RELATING TO THE VIZCAYA MUSEUM AND GARDENS TRUST; AMENDING ARTICLE LXXXI OF THE CODE OF MIAMIDADE COUNTY, FLORIDA; DELETING AND REVISING VARIOUS PROVISIONS TO PROVIDE FOR THE WINDING UP OF THE AFFAIRS OF THE VIZCAYA MUSEUM AND GARDENS TRUST; TRANSITIONING THE RESPONSIBILITY FOR THE MANAGEMENT OF VIZCAYA MUSEUM AND GARDENS TO VIZCAYA MUSEUM AND GARDENS TRUST, INC.; PROVIDING FOR SUNSET OF ARTICLE LXXXI FOLLOWING SUCH TRANSITION; PROVIDING THAT THE VIZCAYA MUSEUM AND GARDENS TRUST SHALL CEASE TO OPERATE AT A CERTAIN TIME; APPROVING AN OPERATING AND MANAGEMENT AGREEMENT WITH VIZCAYA MUSEUM AND GARDENS TRUST, INC., FOR THE MANAGEMENT OF VIZCAYA MUSEUM AND GARDENS; AUTHORIZING THE COUNTY MAYOR OR COUNTY MAYOR'S DESIGNEE TO EXECUTE THE OPERATING AND MANAGEMENT AGREEMENT AND TO EXERCISE ALL PROVISIONS CONTAINED THEREIN; PROVIDING SEVERABILITY, INCLUSION IN THE CODE, AND AN EFFECTIVE DATE [SEE ORIGINAL ITEM UNDER FILE NO. 171216]
Notes	<p><u>ISSUE/REQUESTED ACTION</u> Whether the Board should approve the public-private partnership created by this ordinance that would keep the ownership of Vizcaya under Miami-Dade County, while its management would transition to a 501(c)3 not-for-profit. This ordinance would create a governing board that would be in charge of the management.</p> <p><u>APPLICABLE LEGISLATION/POLICY</u> Ordinance 98-112, and Article LXXXI of the County Code.</p> <p><u>RELEVANT PRECEDANT/HISTORY</u> 6/14/2017: Item 1G1, Amended: Forwarded to the BCC with a favorable recommendation with committee amendment(s) by the Parks and Cultural Affairs Committee; Passed 4 – 1</p> <p><u>ANALYSIS</u> This item will create a board that will manage the operations of Vizcaya Museum and Gardens. This board will report to the BCC for any legislation or resolutions requiring their approval. The item breaks down who will make up the governing board, including:</p> <p>13 that will be appointed by one member of the board each; 1 appointed by the Executive mayor; the County manager (or his designee); the President of the Vizcayans; the Chairperson of the Foundation of Villa Vizcaya; President of the guides, the Chairperson of the Black Archives, History and Research Foundation of South Florida, Inc. or designee; 1 from the Deering/Danielson Family chosen by the Trust; the President or chairperson of the Cultural Affairs Council; The director of the Miami-Dade Park and Recreation Department; The Chairperson of the Miami-Dade Hispanic Advisory Board; and lastly, the chairperson of the Miami-Dade Asian American Advisory Board.</p> <p><u>FISCAL IMPACT</u> The Vizcaya Museum and Gardens shall be operated as a proprietary fund. Separate accounts shall be established by the County that will allow for the segregated collection, deposit, maintenance, and</p>

**Board of County Commissioners
July 6, 2017 Meeting
Research Notes**

Item No.	Research Notes
	<p>disbursement of funds derived from the operation of Vizcaya.</p> <p>Vizcaya currently receives \$2.5 million of Convention Development Tax (CDT). In addition to this, Vizcaya will receive the balance of capital funding from the 2004 Building Better Communities General Obligation Bond (BBC-GOB) Program, which currently amounts to \$8.385 million.</p> <p>The implementation of this ordinance will not create a fiscal impact to the County as the agreement provides that the annual operating and capital funding from the county is subject to the availability of funds as determined by the County and annual appropriation by the BCC. In addition, the BBC-GOB capital allocation is only the balance of already authorized funding.</p>
<p>7D 171627</p>	<p>ORDINANCE RELATING TO REGULATION OF VACATION RENTALS; CREATING SECTION 33-28 OF THE CODE OF MIAMI-DADE COUNTY, FLORIDA; REQUIRING A CERTIFICATE OF USE AND ESTABLISHING ZONING REGULATIONS FOR VACATION RENTALS IN THE UNINCORPORATED AREA; PROVIDING REQUIREMENTS FOR ISSUANCE OF CERTIFICATE OF USE AND RENEWAL; PROVIDING VACATION RENTAL STANDARDS AND DUTIES OF PEER-TO-PEER OR PLATFORM ENTITIES AND RESPONSIBLE PARTIES; REQUIRING A BOND UNDER CERTAIN CIRCUMSTANCES; REQUIRING VACATION RENTALS TO COMPLY WITH CERTAIN EXISTING CODE PROVISIONS AND IMPOSING CERTAIN ADDITIONAL CODE REQUIREMENTS INCLUDING REQUIREMENTS RELATED TO MAXIMUM OCCUPANCY, SIGNS, NOISE, PARKING AND PETS; PROHIBITING A SEXUAL PREDATOR OR OFFENDER FROM OCCUPYING A VACATION RENTAL UNDER CERTAIN CIRCUMSTANCES; PROHIBITING A PERSON FROM ALLOWING A SEXUAL PREDATOR OR OFFENDER TO OCCUPY A VACATION RENTAL UNDER CERTAIN CIRCUMSTANCES; AMENDING SECTION 8CC; PROVIDING FOR ENFORCEMENT BY CIVIL PENALTIES; PROVIDING SEVERABILITY, INCLUSION IN THE CODE, AND AN EFFECTIVE DATE [SEE ORIGINAL ITEM UNDER FILE NOS. 171070 AND 171561] [SEE AGENDA ITEM NO. 11A7]</p>
<p>Notes</p>	<p><u>APPLICABLE LEGISLATION/POLICY</u> Chapter 509, Florida Statutes, establishes a regulatory framework for lodging establishments, including vacation rentals and transient public lodging establishments. Policy LU-4C of the County's Comprehensive Development Master Plan ("CDMP") provides, "residential neighborhoods shall be protected from intrusion by uses that would disrupt or degrade the health, safety, tranquility, character, and overall welfare of the neighborhood by creating such impacts as excessive density, noise, light, glare, odor, vibration, dust or traffic."</p> <p><u>RELEVANT PRECEDENT/HISTORY</u> City of Ft. Lauderdale with Ordinance Number C-16-25 and Broward County enacted a similar regulatory framework in 2015.</p> <p><u>ANALYSIS/FINDINGS</u> The Miami and Ft. Lauderdale areas constitute over 50% of the vacation rentals in the state of Florida. The instant ordinance, Section 33-28, provides enforcement of Policy LU-4C of the County's Comprehensive Development Plan by requiring certain standards and duties by peer-to-peer and platform entities. These include: requiring a bond under certain circumstances; requiring vacation rentals to comply with certain existing codes; imposing additional code requirements regarding maximum occupancy, prohibiting a sexual predator or offender from occupying a vacation under certain circumstances and providing enforcement by civil penalties.</p>

**Board of County Commissioners
July 6, 2017 Meeting
Research Notes**

Item No.	Research Notes
	<p><u>FISCAL IMPACT</u></p> <p>The cost of additional expenses is estimated at \$26,341 the first year. This includes additional staff efforts and the development of an on-line registration process. The proposed fee of \$36.70 per applicant is expected to cover this cost.</p>
<p>7F 170708</p>	<p>ORDINANCE RELATING TO BIDS FROM RELATED PARTIES AND BID COLLUSION; AMENDING SECTIONS 2-8.1.1 AND 10-33.02.1 OF THE CODE OF MIAMI-DADE COUNTY, FLORIDA; REVISING THE DEFINITION OF RELATED PARTIES FOR THE PURPOSE OF ESTABLISHING A PRESUMPTION OF COLLUSION AND PRE-AWARD DISCLOSURES; PROVIDING SEVERABILITY, INCLUSION IN THE CODE, AND AN EFFECTIVE DATE</p>
<p>Notes</p>	<p><u>ISSUE/REQUESTED ACTION</u></p> <p>Whether the Board should approve amending the County's Collusion Ordinances to expand the definition of related parties to include familial relationships.</p> <p><u>APPLICABLE LEGISLATION/POLICY</u></p> <p>Section 2-8.1.1 of the County Code (Bids from related parties and bid collusion for the purchase of goods and services, leases, permits, concessions and managing agreements) and Section 10-33.02.1 (Bids precluded from related parties and colluding bidders).</p> <p><u>RELEVANT PRECEDENT/HISTORY</u></p> <p>The Collusion Ordinances were adopted by the Board in March 1991 (see Ord. No. 91-32) and later amended in October 2008 (see Ord. No. 08-113); the amendment added a prohibition against collusive bidding for the purchase of goods and services and a requirement that vendors recommended for award submit an affidavit regarding their relationship to other bidders.</p> <p>This item was (1) adopted on first reading at the April 4, 2017 Board meeting; (2) forwarded to the Board with a favorable recommendation by the Government Operations Committee at its May 9, 2017 meeting; and (3) deferred at both the June 6, 2017 and June 20, 2017 Board meetings.</p> <p><u>ANALYSIS/FINDINGS</u></p> <p>The purpose of the Collusion Ordinances is to deter collusive bidding for County goods and services and construction contracts. When related parties submit a bid or proposal for the same contract, there is a presumption of collusion that may be rebutted by an affirmative showing that such bids or proposals are not collusive. The ordinances require bidders to complete an affidavit attesting whether the bidder is related to any other party submitting a bid or proposal for the same contract prior to award of that contract. Under the ordinances, related parties are "bidders or proposers or the principals, corporate officers and managers thereof which have a direct or indirect ownership interest in another bidder or proposer."</p> <p>The proposed amendment expands that definition to include familial relationships, i.e., "the spouse, domestic partner, parents, stepparents, siblings, children or stepchildren of a bidder or proposer." The intent behind the amendment is to provide an added check on the integrity of the County's procurement process. More specifically, the amendment assists in reducing the occurrence of bid rigging and other forms of anti-competitive practices amongst family members.</p>

**Board of County Commissioners
July 6, 2017 Meeting
Research Notes**

Item No.	Research Notes
	<p>The Internal Services Department (ISD), the department that monitors compliance with the County's Collusion Ordinances, shared that it has no concerns with this item. As the department communicated in the social equity statement, the item provides an additional check on the procurement process, heightening integrity in the administration of the procurement process.</p> <p>Neither Palm Beach County nor Broward County has a comparable collusion ordinance. The State of Florida issues a similar affidavit to its bidders on goods and services contracts.</p> <p><u>FISCAL IMPACT</u></p> <p>As ISD stated in the fiscal impact statement, there is no fiscal impact to the County if this amendment were to be approved. The operational impact is limited to revising the existing collusion affidavit to reflect the specified familial relationships as constituting a related party. The revision would be completed by ISD.</p>
<p>7I 171234</p>	<p>ORDINANCE RELATING TO LOCAL PREFERENCE; AMENDING SECTION 2-8.5 OF THE CODE OF MIAMI-DADE COUNTY, FLORIDA; INCREASING THE MINIMUM NUMBER OF EMPLOYEES A VENDOR MUST EMPLOY IN THE LOCAL BUSINESS LOCATION TO QUALIFY FOR LOCAL PREFERENCE; PROVIDING EXCEPTION FOR SMALL BUSINESS ENTERPRISES; PROVIDING SEVERABILITY, INCLUSION IN THE CODE AND AN EFFECTIVE DATE</p>
<p>Notes</p>	<p><u>ISSUE/REQUESTED ACTION</u></p> <p>Whether the Board should approve amending the County's Local Preference Ordinance to increase the minimum number of employees a vendor must employ in the local business location to qualify for the preference.</p> <p><u>APPLICABLE LEGISLATION/POLICY</u></p> <p>Section 2-8.5 of the County Code (Procedure to provide preference to local business in county contracts).</p> <p><u>RELEVANT PRECEDENT/HISTORY</u></p> <p>The Local Preference Ordinance was adopted by the Board in September 1994 (see Ord. No. 94-166) and has been amended multiple times. Recently, it was amended to require a local business to own or lease a local business location and show that for at least one year prior to bid or proposal submission, such location served as the place of employment for at least one full time employee of the vendor (see Ord. No. 16-112).</p> <p>This item was (1) adopted on first reading at the 5/16/17 Board meeting and (2) had a public hearing before the Government Operations Committee on June 13, 2017; the committee forwarded the item to the Board with a favorable recommendation.</p> <p><u>ANALYSIS/FINDINGS</u></p> <p>The County has enacted a Local Preference Ordinance to provide that the County's purchasing resources be employed in a manner that promotes the economic well-being of Miami-Dade County and stimulate local job creation. The ordinance provides preferences to local businesses with specified ties to the County. This amendment – increasing the minimum number of employees a vendor must employ</p>

**Board of County Commissioners
July 6, 2017 Meeting
Research Notes**

Item No.	Research Notes
	<p>in the local business location for at least a year prior to bid or proposal submission from one to three – is geared at tightening the availability of the local preferences to ensure that its objectives are accomplished and that the preferences are not undermined by companies who wish to take advantage of the available preferences with no intent to adhere to the intent of job creation and economic enhancements.</p> <p>The proposed amendment carves out an exception for certified Small Business Enterprises – “in the event the vendor is a Small Business Enterprise certified pursuant to any County ordinance, the vendor’s local business location must have served as the place of employment for at least one full time employee of the vendor for the continuous period of one year prior to bid or proposal submission.” The owner of the business may be counted towards the full time employee requirement provided that the owner was paid a salary by the business for the one year period prior to bid or proposal submission at the Local Business location.</p> <p>The administration of the preference is primarily conducted by the procurement professionals in ISD’s Procurement Management Services Division. It is 10 percent for bids and five percent for proposals where the price competition or final rankings, respectively, is between a non-local and local vendor.</p> <p>To the claim the preference, a local vendor checks the “Local Preference Certification” box on the Solicitation Submittal Form; the completed form is submitted via BidSync, the County’s electronic solicitation system. The current box does not include language speaking to the number of employees a business must have. If the proposed amendment is approved, ISD will revise this box to include the three full time employee requirement.</p> <p>Note that Miami-Dade County has an Interlocal Agreement with Broward County for reciprocity of local preference. The agreement expires on 9/30/17 and allows Broward firms competing on Miami-Dade County goods and services contracts to claim the preference. Broward County’s ordinance does not require a local business to employee three full time employees to claim the preference. The Interlocal Agreement requires both Counties to maintain substantially similar local preference ordinances. As such, if this amendment is approved, Broward County would have to revise its local preference ordinance to reflect the same amendment in order for the reciprocity agreement to have effect.</p> <p><u>FISCAL IMPACT</u> ISD anticipates that the implementation of this amendment to the Local Preference Ordinance will not have a fiscal impact to the County.</p>
<p>8A1 170006</p>	<p>RESOLUTION APPROVING SUBORDINATION OF MIAMI-DADE COUNTY’S LIEN RIGHTS TO THE SECURITY INTEREST OF MERCANTIL COMMERCEBANK, N.A. IN CERTAIN IDENTIFIED PERSONAL PROPERTY OF AEROTHRUST HOLDINGS, LLC, A TENANT AT MIAMI INTERNATIONAL AIRPORT; APPROVING FORM OF SUBORDINATION DOCUMENT ATTACHED HERETO AND AUTHORIZING COUNTY MAYOR OR COUNTY MAYOR’S DESIGNEE TO EXECUTE SUCH DOCUMENT ON BEHALF OF MIAMI-DADE COUNTY AND TO EXERCISE ALL PROVISIONS THEREOF(Aviation Department)</p>
<p>Notes</p>	<p><u>ISSUE/REQUESTED ACTION</u></p>

**Board of County Commissioners
July 6, 2017 Meeting
Research Notes**

Item No.	Research Notes
	<p>Whether the Board should approve the subordination of Miami-Dade County's lien rights in certain assets of AeroThrust Holding, LLC, a tenant at Miami International Airport, in favor of Mercantil Commercebank, N.A., Lender.</p> <p><u>APPLICABLE LEGISLATION/POLICY</u> Florida Statutes Section 83.08 (Landlord's lien for rent due): every person to whom rent may be due, the person's heirs, executors, administrators or assigns, shall have a lien for such rent upon the property found upon or off the premises leased or rented.</p> <p><u>RELEVANT PRECEDENT/HISTORY</u> Pursuant to Resolution No. R-395-11, on May 3, 2011, the Board approved a settlement agreement among the Chapter 7 Bankruptcy Estate of AeroThrust Corporation (AeroThrust), a former tenant at Miami International Airport (MIA) through its Trustee in Bankruptcy, PNC Bank, AeroThrust Holdings, LLC, the purchaser in Bankruptcy of AeroThrust's assets, and Miami-Dade County through MIA and the Department of Environmental Resources Management (now the Regulatory and Economic Resources Department).</p> <p>Miami-Dade Aviation Department (MDAD) asserted various claims against AeroThrust in the Bankruptcy proceedings relating to environmental cleanup. The settlement agreement directed AeroThrust to work with DERM and MDAD to ensure that the cleanup obligations satisfied all requirements of DERM regarding the closure procedures necessary under the existing Industrial Waste Permits that are applicable to both Building 863 and Building 703A. To resolve the contested issues, under the Settlement Agreement, AeroThrust agreed to:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Execute five-year leases for Buildings 863 and 703A; 2. Contract with an environmental contractor to perform the cleanup work; and 3. Be responsible for environmental costs in excess of \$230,000. <p>According to Jason Wilson, MDAD Division Director of Real Estate Management & Development, the above issues were resolved by AeroThrust.</p> <p>Previously, the Board approved waiver of liens on personal property for companies such as Pan Am Flight Academy (see Resolution No. R-1264-99) and Atlas Air (see Resolution R-486-01).</p> <p>The item was forwarded from the Economic Development and Tourism Committee (EDTC) on 6/15/2017 to the Board with a favorable recommendation.</p> <p><u>ANALYSIS/FINDINGS</u> AeroThrust Holdings, LLC, is an independently owned company providing worldwide engine solutions for over 60 years. The company has produced over 9,000 engines since 1946 and specializes in a range of services from maintenance, repair and engines and parts overhaul to engine sales and leasing (see: http://aerotruth.com/about/). AeroThrust has been a tenant at MIA since January 1, 2011. The purpose of the subordination is to assist AeroThrust grow in the local aviation industry and community. AeroThrust needs a \$7 million loan for improvements to Building 863, which it currently occupies. The value of all of AeroThrust's equipment and parts is \$32 million, so AeroThrust's obligations to MDAD will be covered by the remaining equipment value. Each item of equipment subject to the \$7 million lien subordination is listed in the attachment to the Lien Subordination Agreement. AeroThrust will use</p>

**Board of County Commissioners
July 6, 2017 Meeting
Research Notes**

Item No.	Research Notes
	<p>the \$7 million loan to bring Building 863 up to its 40-year certification standards and address identified fire safety issues.</p> <p>According to MDAD, traditionally, it has been the policy of the Board to approve subordinations or waivers of MDAD's landlord lien rights to help tenants on airport property obtain financing for improving facilities or purchasing additional equipment, especially when the tenant is current in its rent and the required security deposit is on file with MDAD. Because a mortgage cannot be placed on real property at the airport, a bank will typically agree to make the loan to an airport tenant with personal property as collateral, provided the bank will be in a first lien position in the event of a loan default, thus safeguarding the Lender's money. This subordination places MDAD in a second position behind the Lender, offering MDAD an opportunity to recover whatever assets remain if the Lender doesn't have to dispose of all of the assets.</p> <p>The subordination will be in effect for the duration of AeroThrust's occupancy with the County or until the financing agreement between the Lender and AeroThrust is terminated, whichever occurs first, and will carry over to any successor or assignee of the Lender.</p> <p>Commercial loan structures frequently call for either landlord lien waivers or tenant subordination statements. The Landlord's Subordination and Consent will contractually alter the priority of the interests in the collateral personal property. That is the existing leasehold interest will be subordinated. Under such a lien subordination, the landlord retains a subordinated security interest rather than waiving it entirely.</p> <p><u>FISCAL IMPACT</u> The lease agreement with AeroThrust currently generates \$512,474.04 in annual revenue for the County. The approximate value of AeroThrust's personal property that will be released from a future action by the County to assert its Landlord lien rights is \$7.5 million.</p>
8A2 170227	<p>RESOLUTION APPROVING A COVENANT RUNNING WITH THE LAND REQUIRING INSTITUTIONAL AND ENGINEERING CONTROLS AT BUILDING 50, MIAMI-OPA LOCKA EXECUTIVE AIRPORT; AUTHORIZING THE COUNTY MAYOR OR COUNTY MAYOR'S DESIGNEE TO EXECUTE SAME AND RECORD IN PUBLIC RECORDS(Aviation Department)</p>
Notes	<p><u>ISSUE/REQUESTED ACTION</u> Whether the Board should approve a covenant running with the land in favor of the County at Miami-Opa Locka Executive Airport (OPF), Building 50, in District 1.</p> <p><u>APPLICABLE LEGISLATION/POLICY</u> Resolution No. R-974-09 directs that any item authorizing the acceptance or execution of a covenant creating or reserving a real property interest in favor of the County be recorded in the public records of the County within which the real estate is located.</p> <p>Chapter 24-44 of the County Code: Clean-up Target Levels (CTLs) and Procedures for Site Rehabilitation Actions (SRAs).</p> <p><u>RELEVANT PRECEDENT/HISTORY</u> Although contaminated soil was documented in January 2009 in the vicinity of OPF Building 50, new</p>

**Board of County Commissioners
July 6, 2017 Meeting
Research Notes**

Item No.	Research Notes
	<p>construction of Building 50 was still authorized. That construction resulted in an aircraft ramp and taxiway. As a result of this, remediation is not feasible. MDAD requested a No Further Action with Conditions (NFAC) pursuant to Chapter 24 of the Code of Miami-Dade County. On March 9, 2016, the request was approved by RER, allowing the contaminated soil in the vicinity of Building 50 to remain, with certain conditions (i.e., the implementation of institutional and engineering controls).</p> <p>The item was forwarded from EDTC on 6/15/2017 to the Board with a favorable recommendation.</p> <p><u>ANALYSIS/FINDINGS</u></p> <p>Chapter 24 of the County Code defines engineering control as a process or structure which eliminates or reduces the migration of contaminants or eliminates or reduces the exposure of human and environmental receptors to contaminants. It also defines institutional control as a restriction on the use of, or access to, a site to eliminate or minimize exposure to contaminants.</p> <p>According to MDAD, the new construction was authorized despite the evidence of contaminated soil because DERM allows construction at contaminated sites as long as the applicable contaminated soil and groundwater management requirements are followed. Specifically, in this case, the depth of the contamination was below the zone in which construction took place and all applicable soil and groundwater guidelines were followed during construction.</p> <p>Per the covenant running with the land, the specific institutional controls are as follows:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> a) The Property shall not be used for residential purposes. b) The Property shall not be used for a children's nursery, children's day care center, children's school, children's camp, or any other similar facility. c) Groundwater from the Property shall not be used for drinking water purposes. d) Groundwater from the Property shall only be withdrawn for monitoring of pollution. e) Contaminated soil shall not be removed from the Property without prior written approval of the Miami-Dade County Department of Regulatory and Economic Resources, its successors or its assigns. <p>The specific engineering control for this site includes a concrete aircraft ramp and asphalt paving, which serves as a barrier to the contaminated soil. Note that the existing ramp and taxiway are currently in use.</p> <p><u>FISCAL IMPACT</u></p> <p>The Covenant Running with the Land shall be recorded for a fee of approximately \$150.00. Additionally, a permit in the amount of \$150 per year is required. The fees will be paid from the Miami-Dade Aviation Department budget. The recording fees are determined by the Clerk's Office; the standard recording fee is \$10 for the first page and \$8.50 per each additional page. The required operating permit fee for contaminated sites closed with a no further action with conditions is in the DERM fee schedule and is \$150/year or \$1000/ten year.</p>
8A3 171370	<p>RESOLUTION APPROVING PROFESSIONAL SERVICES AGREEMENT BETWEEN MIAMI-DADE COUNTY AND T.Y. LIN INTERNATIONAL, INC., FOR AVIATION PLANNING AND PROGRAMMING CONSULTANT SERVICES, PROJECT NO. E16-MDAD-05, IN AN AMOUNT NOT TO EXCEED \$5,513,750.00 AND FOR A TERM OF FIVE YEARS; AND AUTHORIZING COUNTY MAYOR OR COUNTY MAYOR'S DESIGNEE TO EXECUTE THE AGREEMENT AND TO EXERCISE THE PROVISIONS THEREOF, INCLUDING THE TERMINATION PROVISIONS</p>

Board of County Commissioners
July 6, 2017 Meeting
Research Notes

Item No.	Research Notes																								
	CONTAINED THEREIN(Aviation Department)																								
Notes	<p data-bbox="267 380 649 411"><u>ISSUE/REQUESTED ACTION</u></p> <p data-bbox="267 411 1490 512">Whether the Board should approve a Professional Services Agreement (PSA) with T.Y. Lin International, Inc. for aviation planning and programmatic consultant services for \$5,513,750 for a five-year term.</p> <p data-bbox="267 548 760 579"><u>APPLICABLE LEGISLATION/POLICY</u></p> <p data-bbox="267 579 1490 646">Florida Statutes Chapter 287.055 and Chapter 2-10.4 of the County Code, both govern the acquisition of professional architectural, engineering, landscape architectural or surveying and mapping services.</p> <p data-bbox="267 682 1490 749">Sections 2-8.3 of the County Code relating to delegation of Board authority, and Section 2-8.1 regarding contingency allowances; the mayoral memo shall specify the purpose of the allowance.</p> <p data-bbox="267 785 1490 852">Also see IO No. 3-34 (Formation and Performance of Selection Committees) and AO No. 3-39 (Acquisition of Professional Services).</p> <p data-bbox="267 888 735 919"><u>RELEVANT PRECEDENT/HISTORY</u></p> <p data-bbox="267 919 1490 987">This item was forwarded to the Board with a favorable recommendation from EDTC at its June 15, 2017 meeting.</p> <p data-bbox="267 1022 558 1054"><u>ANALYSIS/FINDINGS</u></p> <p data-bbox="267 1054 1490 1318">On September 7, 2016 a Notice to Professional Consultants (NTPC) was issued to award two separate PSAs for a variety of aviation planning and project programming skills to support the Aviation Department's Aviation Planning Land Use and Grants Division for Miami International Airport and the County's system of general aviation airports. Four proposals were received on October 11, 2016. The selection committee held a first-tier meeting on January 19, 2017 and elected to waive the second-tier phase, recommending the two top-ranked firms – Ricondo & Associates, Inc. and T.Y. Lin International – for award. The negotiation committee successfully negotiated an agreement with both firms on March 31, 2017.</p> <p data-bbox="267 1354 693 1386"><u>Input from the Aviation Department</u></p> <p data-bbox="267 1386 1438 1453">The NTPC explicitly solicited to award two PSAs for the same services. Below is a timeline for the procurement of these services.</p> <table border="1" data-bbox="267 1488 1490 1890"> <tr> <td data-bbox="279 1495 423 1520">09/07/2016</td><td data-bbox="477 1495 703 1520">NTPC – advertised</td></tr> <tr> <td data-bbox="279 1526 423 1551">09/14/2016</td><td data-bbox="477 1526 1271 1551">Project Briefing moved from 9/14 to 09/20/2016 (Addendum No. 1)</td></tr> <tr> <td data-bbox="279 1558 423 1583">09/16/2016</td><td data-bbox="477 1558 1222 1583">Addendum No. 2 to be issued extending due date to 10/11/2016</td></tr> <tr> <td data-bbox="279 1589 423 1614">09/20/2016</td><td data-bbox="477 1589 678 1614">Addendum No. 3</td></tr> <tr> <td data-bbox="279 1621 423 1646">09/26/2016</td><td data-bbox="477 1621 678 1646">Addendum No. 4</td></tr> <tr> <td data-bbox="279 1652 423 1677">10/11/2016</td><td data-bbox="477 1652 646 1677">Proposals Due</td></tr> <tr> <td data-bbox="279 1684 423 1709">01/19/2017</td><td data-bbox="477 1684 630 1709">FIRST TIER</td></tr> <tr> <td data-bbox="279 1715 423 1740">02/24/2017</td><td data-bbox="477 1715 1102 1740">Status email sent – pending authorization to negotiate</td></tr> <tr> <td data-bbox="279 1747 423 1772">03/08/2017</td><td data-bbox="477 1747 678 1772">Status email sent.</td></tr> <tr> <td data-bbox="279 1778 423 1803">03/13/2017</td><td data-bbox="477 1778 1032 1803">ISD routed approved authorization to negotiate.</td></tr> <tr> <td data-bbox="279 1810 423 1835">03/20/2017</td><td data-bbox="477 1810 948 1835">Draft PSAs routed (Ricondo & TY LIN)</td></tr> <tr> <td data-bbox="279 1841 423 1866">03/31/2017</td><td data-bbox="477 1841 1013 1866">Negotiations with Ricondo/TY Lin completed</td></tr> </table>	09/07/2016	NTPC – advertised	09/14/2016	Project Briefing moved from 9/14 to 09/20/2016 (Addendum No. 1)	09/16/2016	Addendum No. 2 to be issued extending due date to 10/11/2016	09/20/2016	Addendum No. 3	09/26/2016	Addendum No. 4	10/11/2016	Proposals Due	01/19/2017	FIRST TIER	02/24/2017	Status email sent – pending authorization to negotiate	03/08/2017	Status email sent.	03/13/2017	ISD routed approved authorization to negotiate.	03/20/2017	Draft PSAs routed (Ricondo & TY LIN)	03/31/2017	Negotiations with Ricondo/TY Lin completed
09/07/2016	NTPC – advertised																								
09/14/2016	Project Briefing moved from 9/14 to 09/20/2016 (Addendum No. 1)																								
09/16/2016	Addendum No. 2 to be issued extending due date to 10/11/2016																								
09/20/2016	Addendum No. 3																								
09/26/2016	Addendum No. 4																								
10/11/2016	Proposals Due																								
01/19/2017	FIRST TIER																								
02/24/2017	Status email sent – pending authorization to negotiate																								
03/08/2017	Status email sent.																								
03/13/2017	ISD routed approved authorization to negotiate.																								
03/20/2017	Draft PSAs routed (Ricondo & TY LIN)																								
03/31/2017	Negotiations with Ricondo/TY Lin completed																								

**Board of County Commissioners
July 6, 2017 Meeting
Research Notes**

Item No.	Research Notes
	<div> <div>04/04/2017</div> <div>Final PSAs routed to ISD for the firms to execute and complete affidavits.</div> </div> <div> <div>04/10/2017</div> <div>Received executed signature pages and affidavits from firms.</div> </div> <div> <div>04/12/2017</div> <div>Award recommendation (draft) re-submitted.</div> </div> <div> <div>04/13/2017</div> <div>Revised award recommendations/reso/tracking form.</div> </div> <div> <div>04/20/2017</div> <div>Revised award recommendation/resolution/requested review of any SBD violations on record.</div> </div> <div> <div>04/21/2017</div> <div>Routed justification/reso/PSAs to Governmental Affairs.</div> </div> <div> <div></div> <div>Economic Development & Tourism Committee scheduled for 6/15/2017</div> </div> <div> <div></div> <div>BCC scheduled for 7/6/2017</div> </div>
	<p>Historically, the Aviation Planning Division has maintained two consultants for planning related services. This arrangement provides more flexibility and efficiency to the department in issuing work and assures that the consultant workload is distributed and that there is always consultant availability. In addition, there is unique planning expertise that may reside with one firm that is not available with the other firm, enabling the Aviation Department to leverage the distinct strengths of two firms to best serve the planning needs for MIA or one of the general aviation airports.</p> <p>The methodology to assign work to the consultants is evaluated by staff and approved by the Division Director of Planning, using the following methodology:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <input type="checkbox"/> Experience, Qualifications, and knowledge specific to the planning services required <input type="checkbox"/> Past performance experience <input type="checkbox"/> Assigned work orders and workload <input type="checkbox"/> Familiarity with scope and project <input type="checkbox"/> Willingness to do the work (manpower and schedule) <input type="checkbox"/> Ability to interface with MDAD and tenants. <p>The range of planning and programming services needed to support projects at MIA and the general aviation airports is very diverse. Under the PSAs, the consultants are expected to deliver a very broad variety of aviation planning and project programming skills and capabilities needed to directly support the work assigned to the Aviation Department's Aviation Planning Land Use and Grants Division as it relates to aviation planning services for the Miami-Dade County airport system, which consist of five airports: Miami International Airport (MIA), Miami-Opa Locka Executive Airport (OPF), Miami Executive Airport (TMB), Miami Homestead General Aviation Airport (X51) and Dade-Collier Training and Transition Airport (TNT). A more detailed listing of possible services is included under the Project Description in the NTPC.</p> <p>The contract amount of each PSA is based on a \$5 million budget (for two Agreements), plus the contingency allowance and the inspector general fee. The \$5 million budget was derived based on a couple of key factors. Specifically, 75 percent of the budget amount (approximately \$7.5 million between the two Agreements) would be used for the programming services associated with the five-year Capital Improvement Program for MIA and the general aviation airports, which totals approximately \$500 million. MDAD estimated the programming services at approximately 1.5 percent of the \$500 million Program cost. The remaining \$2.5 million, between the two Agreements, comprise planning services for MIA and the general aviation airports; this amount is consistent with the amount MDAD has traditionally spent on planning PSAs. The amount of consulting work necessitated by the recent and rapid growth at MIA and other airports, has quickly exhausted this financial cap,</p>

**Board of County Commissioners
July 6, 2017 Meeting
Research Notes**

Item No.	Research Notes
	<p>necessitating the extension and amendment to the previous PSAs.</p> <p>Other large hub airports like MIA have aviation planning contracts that are comparable or greater than the subject Planning PSAs; for example, Fort Lauderdale-Hollywood International Airport has two active Airport Planning contracts, each having a three-year term and a contract capacity of \$4.5 million; Dallas Fort Worth International Airport awarded a three-year airport planning services contract for \$6 million in 2015, which was amended by another \$8 million earlier this year; and the City of Atlanta awarded a five-year Planning Support Services Agreement for Hartsfield-Jackson Atlanta International Airport with an annual budget that averages \$5 million.</p> <p>Under the County's PSAs, the consultants are paid based on individually negotiated lump sum work orders based on the work requested by MDAD. Payment is made at the completion of each work order, which is defined as the successful completion and delivery of specific deliverables.</p> <p><u>FISCAL IMPACT</u> The fiscal impact for this PSA is an amount not to exceed \$5,513,570, which includes the IG fee. The funding source is the MDAD operating fund.</p>
8A4 171368	<p>RESOLUTION APPROVING PROFESSIONAL SERVICES AGREEMENT BETWEEN MIAMI-DADE COUNTY AND RICONDO & ASSOCIATES, INC., FOR AVIATION PLANNING AND PROGRAMMING CONSULTANT SERVICES, PROJECT NO. E16-MDAD-05, IN AN AMOUNT NOT TO EXCEED \$5,513,750.00 AND FOR A TERM OF FIVE YEARS; AND AUTHORIZING COUNTY MAYOR OR COUNTY MAYOR'S DESIGNEE TO EXECUTE THE AGREEMENT AND TO EXERCISE THE PROVISIONS THEREOF, INCLUDING THE TERMINATION PROVISIONS CONTAINED THEREIN(Aviation Department)</p>
Notes	<p><u>ISSUE/REQUESTED ACTION</u> Whether the Board should approve a Professional Services Agreement (PSA) with Ricondo & Associates, Inc. for aviation planning and programmatic consultant services for \$5,513,750 for a five-year term.</p> <p><u>APPLICABLE LEGISLATION/POLICY</u> Florida Statutes Chapter 287.055 and Chapter 2-10.4 of the County Code, both govern the acquisition of professional architectural, engineering, landscape architectural or surveying and mapping services.</p> <p>Sections 2-8.3 of the County Code relating to delegation of Board authority, and Section 2-8.1 regarding contingency allowances; the mayoral memo shall specify the purpose of the allowance.</p> <p>Also see IO No. 3-34 (Formation and Performance of Selection Committees) and AO No. 3-39 (Acquisition of Professional Services).</p> <p><u>RELEVANT PRECEDENT/HISTORY</u> This item was forwarded to the Board with a favorable recommendation from EDTC at its June 15, 2017 meeting.</p> <p><u>ANALYSIS/FINDINGS</u></p>

Board of County Commissioners
July 6, 2017 Meeting
Research Notes

Item No.	Research Notes																																								
	<p>On September 7, 2016 a Notice to Professional Consultants (NTPC) was issued to award two separate PSAs for a variety of aviation planning and project programming skills to support the Aviation Department's Aviation Planning Land Use and Grants Division for Miami International Airport (MIA) and the County's system of general aviation airports. Four proposals were received on October 11, 2016. The selection committee held a first-tier meeting on January 19, 2017 and elected to waive the second-tier phase, recommending the two top-ranked firms – Ricondo & Associates, Inc. and T.Y. Lin International – for award. The negotiation committee successfully negotiated an agreement with both firms on March 31, 2017.</p> <p><u><i>Input from the Aviation Department</i></u></p> <p>The NTPC explicitly solicited to award two PSAs for the same services. Below is a timeline for the procurement of these services.</p> <table border="1" data-bbox="272 747 1490 1455"> <tr><td>09/07/2016</td><td>NTPC – advertised</td></tr> <tr><td>09/14/2016</td><td>Project Briefing moved from 9/14 to 09/20/2016 (Addendum No. 1)</td></tr> <tr><td>09/16/2016</td><td>Addendum No. 2 to be issued extending due date to 10/11/2016</td></tr> <tr><td>09/20/2016</td><td>Addendum No. 3</td></tr> <tr><td>09/26/2016</td><td>Addendum No. 4</td></tr> <tr><td>10/11/2016</td><td>Proposals Due</td></tr> <tr><td>01/19/2017</td><td>FIRST TIER</td></tr> <tr><td>02/24/2017</td><td>Status email sent – pending authorization to negotiate</td></tr> <tr><td>03/08/2017</td><td>Status email sent.</td></tr> <tr><td>03/13/2017</td><td>ISD routed approved authorization to negotiate.</td></tr> <tr><td>03/20/2017</td><td>Draft PSAs routed (Ricondo & TY LIN)</td></tr> <tr><td>03/31/2017</td><td>Negotiations with Ricondo/TY Lin completed</td></tr> <tr><td>04/04/2017</td><td>Final PSAs routed to ISD for the firms to execute and complete affidavits.</td></tr> <tr><td>04/10/2017</td><td>Received executed signature pages and affidavits from firms.</td></tr> <tr><td>04/12/2017</td><td>Award recommendation (draft) re-submitted.</td></tr> <tr><td>04/13/2017</td><td>Revised award recommendations/reso/tracking form.</td></tr> <tr><td>04/20/2017</td><td>Revised award recommendation/resolution/requested review of any SBD violations on record.</td></tr> <tr><td>04/21/2017</td><td>Routed justification/reso/PSAs to Governmental Affairs.</td></tr> <tr><td></td><td>Economic Development & Tourism Committee scheduled for 6/15/2017</td></tr> <tr><td></td><td>BCC scheduled for 7/6/2017</td></tr> </table> <p>Historically, the Aviation Planning Division has maintained two consultants for planning related services. This arrangement provides more flexibility and efficiency to the department in issuing work and assures that the consultant workload is distributed and that there is always consultant availability. In addition, there is unique planning expertise that may reside with one firm that is not available with the other firm, enabling the Aviation Department to leverage the distinct strengths of two firms to best serve the planning needs for MIA or one of the general aviation airports.</p> <p>The methodology to assign work to the consultants is evaluated by staff and approved by the Division Director of Planning, using the following methodology:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <input type="checkbox"/> Experience, Qualifications, and knowledge specific to the planning services required <input type="checkbox"/> Past performance experience 	09/07/2016	NTPC – advertised	09/14/2016	Project Briefing moved from 9/14 to 09/20/2016 (Addendum No. 1)	09/16/2016	Addendum No. 2 to be issued extending due date to 10/11/2016	09/20/2016	Addendum No. 3	09/26/2016	Addendum No. 4	10/11/2016	Proposals Due	01/19/2017	FIRST TIER	02/24/2017	Status email sent – pending authorization to negotiate	03/08/2017	Status email sent.	03/13/2017	ISD routed approved authorization to negotiate.	03/20/2017	Draft PSAs routed (Ricondo & TY LIN)	03/31/2017	Negotiations with Ricondo/TY Lin completed	04/04/2017	Final PSAs routed to ISD for the firms to execute and complete affidavits.	04/10/2017	Received executed signature pages and affidavits from firms.	04/12/2017	Award recommendation (draft) re-submitted.	04/13/2017	Revised award recommendations/reso/tracking form.	04/20/2017	Revised award recommendation/resolution/requested review of any SBD violations on record.	04/21/2017	Routed justification/reso/PSAs to Governmental Affairs.		Economic Development & Tourism Committee scheduled for 6/15/2017		BCC scheduled for 7/6/2017
09/07/2016	NTPC – advertised																																								
09/14/2016	Project Briefing moved from 9/14 to 09/20/2016 (Addendum No. 1)																																								
09/16/2016	Addendum No. 2 to be issued extending due date to 10/11/2016																																								
09/20/2016	Addendum No. 3																																								
09/26/2016	Addendum No. 4																																								
10/11/2016	Proposals Due																																								
01/19/2017	FIRST TIER																																								
02/24/2017	Status email sent – pending authorization to negotiate																																								
03/08/2017	Status email sent.																																								
03/13/2017	ISD routed approved authorization to negotiate.																																								
03/20/2017	Draft PSAs routed (Ricondo & TY LIN)																																								
03/31/2017	Negotiations with Ricondo/TY Lin completed																																								
04/04/2017	Final PSAs routed to ISD for the firms to execute and complete affidavits.																																								
04/10/2017	Received executed signature pages and affidavits from firms.																																								
04/12/2017	Award recommendation (draft) re-submitted.																																								
04/13/2017	Revised award recommendations/reso/tracking form.																																								
04/20/2017	Revised award recommendation/resolution/requested review of any SBD violations on record.																																								
04/21/2017	Routed justification/reso/PSAs to Governmental Affairs.																																								
	Economic Development & Tourism Committee scheduled for 6/15/2017																																								
	BCC scheduled for 7/6/2017																																								

**Board of County Commissioners
July 6, 2017 Meeting
Research Notes**

Item No.	Research Notes
	<div data-bbox="365 317 1071 447"> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <input type="checkbox"/> Assigned work orders and workload <input type="checkbox"/> Familiarity with scope and project <input type="checkbox"/> Willingness to do the work (manpower and schedule) <input type="checkbox"/> Ability to interface with MDAD and tenants. </div> <p data-bbox="269 485 1490 779">The range of planning and programming services needed to support projects at MIA and the general aviation airports is very diverse. Under the PSAs, the consultants are expected to deliver a very broad variety of aviation planning and project programming skills and capabilities needed to directly support the work assigned to the Aviation Department's Aviation Planning Land Use and Grants Division as it relates to aviation planning services for the Miami-Dade County airport system, which consists of five airports: Miami International Airport (MIA), Miami-Opa Locka Executive Airport (OPF), Miami Executive Airport (TMB), Miami Homestead General Aviation Airport (X51) and Dade-Collier Training and Transition Airport (TNT). A more detailed listing of possible services is included under the Project Description in the NTPC.</p> <p data-bbox="269 819 1490 1182">The contract amount of each PSA is based on a \$5 million budget (for two Agreements), plus the contingency allowance and the inspector general fee. The \$5 million budget was derived based on a couple of key factors. Specifically, 75 percent of the budget amount (approximately \$7.5 million between the two Agreements) would be used for the programming services associated with the five-year Capital Improvement Program for MIA and the general aviation airports, which totals approximately \$500 million. MDAD estimated the programming services at approximately 1.5 percent of the \$500 million Program cost. The remaining \$2.5 million, between the two Agreements, comprise planning services for MIA and the general aviation airports; this amount is consistent with the amount MDAD has traditionally spent on planning PSAs. The amount of consulting work necessitated by the recent and rapid growth at MIA and other airports has quickly exhausted this financial cap, necessitating the extension and amendment to the previous PSAs.</p> <p data-bbox="269 1222 1490 1451">Other large hub airports like MIA have aviation planning contracts that are comparable or greater than the subject Planning PSAs; for example, Fort Lauderdale-Hollywood International Airport has two active Airport Planning contracts, each having a three-year term and a contract capacity of \$4.5 million; Dallas Fort Worth International Airport awarded a three-year airport planning services contract for \$6 million in 2015, which was amended by another \$8 million earlier this year; and the City of Atlanta awarded a five-year Planning Support Services Agreement for Hartsfield-Jackson Atlanta International Airport with an annual budget that averages \$5 million.</p> <p data-bbox="269 1491 1490 1585">Under the County's PSAs, the consultants are paid based on individually negotiated lump sum work orders based on the work requested by MDAD. Payment is made at the completion of each work order, which is defined as the successful completion and delivery of specific deliverables.</p> <p data-bbox="269 1625 488 1654"><u>FISCAL IMPACT</u></p> <p data-bbox="269 1659 1490 1719">The fiscal impact for this PSA is an amount not to exceed \$5,513,570, which includes the IG fee. The funding source is the MDAD operating fund.</p>
8A5 171687	RESOLUTION AUTHORIZING DESIGNATED PURCHASE PURSUANT TO SECTION 2.81(B)(3) OF THE MIAMI-DADE COUNTY CODE BY A TWO-THIRDS VOTE OF THE BOARD MEMBERS PRESENT; AUTHORIZING AWARD OF ADDITIONAL TIME ON A MONTH-TO-

**Board of County Commissioners
July 6, 2017 Meeting
Research Notes**

Item No.	Research Notes
	<p>MONTH BASIS UP TO ONE YEAR UNDER CONTRACT NO. MDAD-09-06 FOR NONEXCLUSIVE MANAGEMENT AGREEMENTS FOR THE JANITORIAL SERVICES AGREEMENTS FOR THE MIAMI-DADE AVIATION DEPARTMENT FOR ZONE 1 IN AN AMOUNT NOT TO EXCEED \$27,000,000.00 TO C&W FACILITY SERVICES, INC.; ZONE 2 IN AN AMOUNT NOT TO EXCEED \$3,800,000.00 TO N&K ENTERPRISES, INC.; AND ZONE 3 IN AN AMOUNT NOT TO EXCEED \$2,650,000.00 TO VISTA BUILDING MAINTENANCE SERVICES, INC.; AND AUTHORIZING THE COUNTY MAYOR TO EXECUTE THE FIRST AMENDMENT AND EXERCISE ALL OTHER RIGHTS INCLUDING TERMINATION PROVISIONS CONTAINED THEREIN PURSUANT TO SECTION 2-8.1 OF THE COUNTY CODE AND IMPLEMENTING ORDER 3-38 [SEE ORIGINAL ITEM UNDER FILE NO. 171386]</p>
Notes	<p><u>ISSUE/REQUESTED ACTION</u> Whether the Board should approve authorizing a designated purchase by a two-thirds vote of the members present to award additional time on a monthly basis up to one year under Contract No. MDAD-09-06 for janitorial services for three zones in an amount not to exceed \$27,000,000 for zone 1, \$3,800,000 for zone 2 and \$2,650,000 for zone 3.</p> <p><u>APPLICABLE LEGISLATION/POLICY</u> Section 2-8.1(b)(3) of the County Code, which provides for a designated purchase due to the impracticability of competition. See also Section 2-8.1 of the Code and IO No. 3-38, governing the authority to award and modify contracts.</p> <p><u>RELEVANT PRECEDENT/HISTORY</u> The item was forwarded to the Board with a favorable recommendation, as amended, at the EDTC's June 15, 2017 meeting. The amendment corrects the following scrivener's errors: Page 1, under Recommendation, line 1: add the words "and Miami-Dade County's General Aviation Airports" after "Miami International Airport." Page 1, first sentence under Background, add "Resolution Nos. R-20-09 and R-21-09" after the word(s) "R-19-09."</p> <p><u>ANALYSIS/FINDINGS</u> MDAD provides janitorial services at Miami International Airport through agreements with three vendors for three zones. C&W Facility Services, Inc. serves zone 1, N&K Enterprises, Inc. serves zone 2 and Vista Building Maintenance Services, Inc. serves zone 3. The existing Agreements were procured by MDAD via A.O. No. 3-38 and pursuant to Resolution Nos. R-19-09, R-20-09 and R-21-09, adopted by the Board on January 22, 2009, authorizing the non-exclusive Agreements at MDAD between Miami-Dade County and the firms. MDAD has its own procurement division and due to its unique needs and Federal Aviation Administration and Department of Homeland Security requirements, often handles its own procurement.</p> <p>MDAD is in the process of re-procuring these agreements, which expire on July 31, 2017. Re-procurement delays are attributable to the conversion of the management agreement to a square footage contract, requests from the small business community to extend site visits for zone 2 and extension of the proposal due date on two occasions.</p> <p>The zones are broken into three (3) in order to facilitate the administration of each respective zone and to provide contract award opportunities to certified SBEs. MDAD has summarized the SBE goals per zone below.</p>

**Board of County Commissioners
July 6, 2017 Meeting
Research Notes**

Item No.	Research Notes
	<p><u>ZONE 1 (9,699,547 square feet) SBE Goal 10%</u> The areas to be serviced include the main terminal building and concourses at MIA including the areas of the Landside, Airside and terminal buildings controlled by the County; the Federal Inspection Services (FIS) facilities (U.S. Government office areas); Miami Dade Fire Station and Tunnel gate; elevators; escalators; moving walkways; the County's administrative offices; and unless specifically set forth in the Technical Specifications, exclude areas under exclusive leases to the airlines, such as car rental agencies, concessionaires, the airport hotel, and other tenants. The areas covered do not include any passenger holdrooms, loading bridges, or other areas except those operated and controlled by the County for the common use of all airport operators.</p> <p><u>ZONE 2 (5,589,737 square feet) SBE Set-Aside</u> The areas to be serviced include specified non-terminal buildings and airport properties including public parking garages, employee parking areas and garages, Aviation Department maintenance complex, Taxi Lot, Central Collection Plaza, Miami-Dade Police station, and other outlying buildings.</p> <p><u>ZONE 3 (1,206,179 square feet) SBE Set-Aside</u> The areas to be serviced include specified non-terminal buildings and airport properties, including approximately 14 cargo buildings, other outlying buildings, and the three general aviation airports.</p> <p><u>FISCAL IMPACT</u> Work performed under the extension will be paid per the terms of the agreement from the MDAD operating budget and is estimated as follows for up to one year: Zone 1 (\$27,000,000); Zone 2 (\$3,800,000); and Zone 3 (\$2,650,000).</p>
<p>8C1 171343</p>	<p>RESOLUTION AUTHORIZING THE FUNDING OF 26 GRANTS FOR A TOTAL OF \$122,691 FROM THE DEPARTMENT OF CULTURAL AFFAIRS FISCAL YEAR 2016-2017 COMMUNITY GRANTS PROGRAM – FOURTH QUARTER FOR VARIOUS ENTITIES; WAIVING RESOLUTION NO. R-130-06; AUTHORIZING THE COUNTY MAYOR OR COUNTY MAYOR’S DESIGNEE TO EXECUTE GRANT AGREEMENTS AND TO EXERCISE ALL PROVISIONS, INCLUDING THE CANCELLATION PROVISIONS, CONTAINED THEREIN</p>
<p>Notes</p>	<p><u>ISSUE/REQUESTED ACTION</u> Whether the Board should:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Approve the funding of 26 grants for a total of \$122,691 from the FY 2016-17 Community Grants Program - Fourth Quarter; and • Wave Resolution No. R-130-06 (<i>requiring contracts with non-governmental entities be signed by the other parties before being submitted to the Board of County Commissioners</i>) in order to expedite the allocation of funding support for these time-sensitive tourism-oriented and community events. <p><u>APPLICABLE LEGISLATION/POLICY</u> – N/A</p>

**Board of County Commissioners
July 6, 2017 Meeting
Research Notes**

Item No.	Research Notes																																	
	<p><u>RELEVANT PRECEDENT/HISTORY</u></p> <p>This item was forwarded to the Board with a favorable recommendation by the Parks and Cultural Affairs Committee (PCAC) at its June 14, 2017 meeting.</p> <p><u>ANALYSIS/FINDINGS</u></p> <p>The Community Grants Panel convened on April 27, 2017 to review 26 applications requesting \$181,260 for the Fourth Quarter of the program. The panel recommended funding 26 applicants for a total of \$122,691. The Cultural Affairs Council approved these recommendations at their meeting on May 17, 2017.</p> <p>The Community Grants Program is responsive on a quarterly basis to organizations, which develop small and large-scale community-based programs, projects, events and publications.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none">• The program is particularly sensitive to the needs of: (1) indigenous cultural neighborhood activities and projects encouraging the preservation of heritage, traditions and culture; and (2) social service organizations and cultural groups developing collaborative intervention projects; and• Each applicant organization was evaluated specifically based on the following competitive review criteria: (1) quality of program; (2) administrative capability; (3) marketing strategy; (4) fundraising efforts and (5) geographic location of event. <p><u>FISCAL IMPACT</u></p> <p>Funding for the Community Grants Program comes from the Department of Cultural Affairs' approved departmental revenues, as adopted in the FY2016-17 County budget ordinance.</p> <p>Upon adoption of the FY 2016-17 ordinance, under Grants to/Programs for Artists and Nonprofit Cultural Organizations, a total of \$575,000 was allocated for FY 2016-17 Community Grants. The table below shows the applicants that will be funded in the Fourth Quarter Grants for a total of \$122,691.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">FY 2016-2017 Community Grants Program- Fourth Quarter</p> <table><tr><th colspan="2">Organization</th><th>Amount</th></tr><tr><td>1</td><td>Algo Nuevo Incorporated</td><td>\$4,273</td></tr><tr><td>2</td><td>Area Performance Gallery, Inc.</td><td>\$5,342</td></tr><tr><td>3</td><td>Artefactus Cultural Project, Inc.</td><td>\$5,342</td></tr><tr><td>4</td><td>Artefactus Cultural Project, Inc. a/f/a for Kendall Art Cultural Center</td><td>\$2,848</td></tr><tr><td>5</td><td>Bascomb Memorial Broadcasting Foundation, Inc.</td><td>\$2,849</td></tr><tr><td>6</td><td>Culture and Community Association Inc.</td><td>\$4,273</td></tr><tr><td>7</td><td>Facundo Rivero Performing Arts, Inc.</td><td>\$4,273</td></tr><tr><td>8</td><td>For Art1s Sake, Inc. a/f/a for Extra Virgin Press</td><td>\$3,917</td></tr><tr><td>9</td><td>Fresh Start of Miami-Dade, Inc.</td><td>\$3,205</td></tr><tr><td>10</td><td>Gang Alternative, Inc.</td><td>\$5,342</td></tr></table>	Organization		Amount	1	Algo Nuevo Incorporated	\$4,273	2	Area Performance Gallery, Inc.	\$5,342	3	Artefactus Cultural Project, Inc.	\$5,342	4	Artefactus Cultural Project, Inc. a/f/a for Kendall Art Cultural Center	\$2,848	5	Bascomb Memorial Broadcasting Foundation, Inc.	\$2,849	6	Culture and Community Association Inc.	\$4,273	7	Facundo Rivero Performing Arts, Inc.	\$4,273	8	For Art1s Sake, Inc. a/f/a for Extra Virgin Press	\$3,917	9	Fresh Start of Miami-Dade, Inc.	\$3,205	10	Gang Alternative, Inc.	\$5,342
Organization		Amount																																
1	Algo Nuevo Incorporated	\$4,273																																
2	Area Performance Gallery, Inc.	\$5,342																																
3	Artefactus Cultural Project, Inc.	\$5,342																																
4	Artefactus Cultural Project, Inc. a/f/a for Kendall Art Cultural Center	\$2,848																																
5	Bascomb Memorial Broadcasting Foundation, Inc.	\$2,849																																
6	Culture and Community Association Inc.	\$4,273																																
7	Facundo Rivero Performing Arts, Inc.	\$4,273																																
8	For Art1s Sake, Inc. a/f/a for Extra Virgin Press	\$3,917																																
9	Fresh Start of Miami-Dade, Inc.	\$3,205																																
10	Gang Alternative, Inc.	\$5,342																																

**Board of County Commissioners
July 6, 2017 Meeting
Research Notes**

Item No.	Research Notes		
	11	IFE-ILE, Inc.	\$5,342
	12	Instituto de Cultura Peruana, Inc.	\$4,815
	13	Key Biscayne Community Foundation, Inc.	\$5,342
	14	Miami Light Project, Inc. a/f/a for Flipside Kings	\$5,342
	15	Miami Young Adult Christian Theatre, Inc.	\$4,630
	16	Miami Youth For Chamber Music, Inc.	\$5,342
	17	Moksha Arts Collective, Inc.	\$5,342
	18	Muce Educates Corp.	\$3,917
	19	SBC Community Development Corporation of Richmond Heights, Inc.	\$5,342
	20	Seminole Cultural Arts Theatre, Inc.	\$5,342
	21	South Florida Chamber Ensemble, Inc.	\$5,342
	22	The Copper Bridge Foundation, Inc.	\$5,342
	23	The Opera Atelier, Inc.	\$5,342
	24	Town of Cutler Bay	\$3,561
	25	Urgent, Inc.	\$5,342
	26	Village of Palmetto Bay	\$5,342
		Total	\$122,691
8F2 170746	RESOLUTION AUTHORIZING ADDITIONAL EXPENDITURE AUTHORITY IN A TOTAL AMOUNT UP TO \$1,131,000.00 FOR CONTRACT NO. BW9330-5/20-2 FOR MAINTENANCE SERVICE FOR AUTOMATIC DOORS FOR MULTIPLE COUNTY DEPARTMENTS(Internal Services)		
Notes	<p><u>ISSUE/REQUESTED ACTION</u> Whether the Board should authorize increased expenditure of \$1,131,000 to Contract No. BW9330-5-20/2 for maintenance services for automatic doors for multiple County departments.</p> <p><u>APPLICABLE LEGISLATION/POLICY</u> Section 2-8.1 of the Code and Implementing Order No. 3-38 (Contracts and Purchases Generally), governing the authority to award and modify contracts.</p> <p>Section 29-124(f)(ii) of the Code states that no County funds may be used to pay the costs of a contract where the portion procured by or on behalf of Miami-Dade Transit or for transit-related procurements is valued at over \$1,000,000 unless the Trust has submitted a recommendation to the County Commission regarding said contract award.</p> <p>Here, the additional allocation of \$650,000 requested by the Department of Transportation and Public Works (DTPW), when added to the department's existing allocation (\$841,000) exceeds \$1,000,000. Accordingly, on May 11, 2017, the CITT voted 10-0 to forward this item to the Board.</p>		

**Board of County Commissioners
July 6, 2017 Meeting
Research Notes**

Item No.	Research Notes																													
	<p>On June 13, 2017, this item was forwarded with a favorable recommendation to the Board by the Government Operations Committee.</p> <p><u>RELEVANT PRECEDENT/HISTORY</u></p> <p>In December 2010, pursuant to Resolution No. R-1177-10, this non-competitive contract was approved by the Board for a five-year term plus five, one-year options to renew.</p> <p><u>ANALYSIS/FINDINGS</u></p> <p>The user departments requesting increased spending are Aviation, Internal Services (ISD) and DTPW, and the additional funds will be applied as follows:</p> <table><tr><th>Department</th><th>Existing Cumulative Allocation</th><th>Additional Funds Requested</th><th>Modified Cumulative Allocation</th><th>Purpose</th></tr><tr><td>Aviation</td><td>\$14,560,000</td><td>\$431,000</td><td>\$14,991,000</td><td>1. To accommodate higher maintenance costs associated with aging automatic doors 2. To upgrade various concourse federal inspection stations to meet current federal compliance requirements</td></tr><tr><td>ISD</td><td>618,000</td><td>\$50,000</td><td>668,000</td><td>To maintain recently-acquired buildings</td></tr><tr><td>DTPW</td><td>841,000</td><td>\$650,000</td><td>1,491,000</td><td>To upgrade automatic doors at numerous Metrorail stations</td></tr><tr><td colspan="2"></td><td>\$1,131,000</td><td></td><td></td></tr></table> <p>According to ISD, this is a bid waiver contract because the technology used on the automatic door openers is a hydraulic – electro – controller of which the vendor (Dash-Door) holds the intellectual rights and all the software is proprietary. Also, there are no other contractors in the open market which can repair and/or sell replacement automatic door opener electro-controllers.</p> <p>The vendor services all automatic standard and security doors in the Aviation Dept. The vendor also services automatic doors that lead to the Metrorail platform at the airport’s Car Rental Facility.</p> <p>The item indicates that DTPW failed MDFR fire door code inspections. The timeframe for the department to become compliant depends on the particular issue identified but can take up to 90 days.</p> <p><u>FISCAL IMPACT</u></p> <p>According to the County’s Bid Tracking System, the current term (OTR 2) is valued at \$2,827,000. The contract has a cumulative allocation of \$17,004,000 which reflects modifications under delegated authority during the initial and first option to renew terms totaling \$2,137,000. If this request is approved, the contract will have a modified cumulative value of \$18,135,000. See the table below summarizing the releases under the current option term. The information in the table was provided by ISD.</p>					Department	Existing Cumulative Allocation	Additional Funds Requested	Modified Cumulative Allocation	Purpose	Aviation	\$14,560,000	\$431,000	\$14,991,000	1. To accommodate higher maintenance costs associated with aging automatic doors 2. To upgrade various concourse federal inspection stations to meet current federal compliance requirements	ISD	618,000	\$50,000	668,000	To maintain recently-acquired buildings	DTPW	841,000	\$650,000	1,491,000	To upgrade automatic doors at numerous Metrorail stations			\$1,131,000		
Department	Existing Cumulative Allocation	Additional Funds Requested	Modified Cumulative Allocation	Purpose																										
Aviation	\$14,560,000	\$431,000	\$14,991,000	1. To accommodate higher maintenance costs associated with aging automatic doors 2. To upgrade various concourse federal inspection stations to meet current federal compliance requirements																										
ISD	618,000	\$50,000	668,000	To maintain recently-acquired buildings																										
DTPW	841,000	\$650,000	1,491,000	To upgrade automatic doors at numerous Metrorail stations																										
		\$1,131,000																												

**Board of County Commissioners
July 6, 2017 Meeting
Research Notes**

Item No.	Research Notes																																						
	<table><tr><th>Department</th><th>OTR-2</th><th>Releases</th></tr><tr><td colspan="3">2nd Year- 2017</td></tr><tr><td>Aviation</td><td>\$2,291,516.80</td><td>\$1,841,664.05</td></tr><tr><td>Internal Services</td><td>\$141,630.30</td><td>\$24,695.26</td></tr><tr><td>Library</td><td>\$22,758.40</td><td>\$11,070.00</td></tr><tr><td>Parks</td><td>\$20,320.00</td><td>\$0.00</td></tr><tr><td>Police</td><td>\$93,472.00</td><td>\$26,221.52</td></tr><tr><td>PortMiami</td><td>\$12,192.00</td><td>\$3,924.58</td></tr><tr><td>Public Housing</td><td>\$50,800.00</td><td>\$50,800.00</td></tr><tr><td>Transportation & Public Works</td><td>\$191,211.20</td><td>\$35,215.62</td></tr><tr><td>Vizcaya</td><td>\$2,438.40</td><td>\$0.00</td></tr><tr><td>Totals</td><td>\$2,826,339.10</td><td>\$1,993,591.03</td></tr></table>			Department	OTR-2	Releases	2nd Year- 2017			Aviation	\$2,291,516.80	\$1,841,664.05	Internal Services	\$141,630.30	\$24,695.26	Library	\$22,758.40	\$11,070.00	Parks	\$20,320.00	\$0.00	Police	\$93,472.00	\$26,221.52	PortMiami	\$12,192.00	\$3,924.58	Public Housing	\$50,800.00	\$50,800.00	Transportation & Public Works	\$191,211.20	\$35,215.62	Vizcaya	\$2,438.40	\$0.00	Totals	\$2,826,339.10	\$1,993,591.03
	Department	OTR-2	Releases																																				
	2nd Year- 2017																																						
	Aviation	\$2,291,516.80	\$1,841,664.05																																				
	Internal Services	\$141,630.30	\$24,695.26																																				
	Library	\$22,758.40	\$11,070.00																																				
	Parks	\$20,320.00	\$0.00																																				
	Police	\$93,472.00	\$26,221.52																																				
	PortMiami	\$12,192.00	\$3,924.58																																				
	Public Housing	\$50,800.00	\$50,800.00																																				
	Transportation & Public Works	\$191,211.20	\$35,215.62																																				
	Vizcaya	\$2,438.40	\$0.00																																				
	Totals	\$2,826,339.10	\$1,993,591.03																																				
8F3 170985	RESOLUTION AUTHORIZING ADDITIONAL TIME OF FIVE YEARS AND EXPENDITURE AUTHORITY IN A TOTAL AMOUNT UP TO \$11,167,000.00 FOR PREQUALIFICATION POOL NO. 3881-5/16-1 FOR PURCHASE OF BODY WORK REPAIR FOR COUNTY DEPARTMENTS; AND AUTHORIZING THE COUNTY MAYOR OR COUNTY MAYOR’S DESIGNEE TO SOLICIT PRICING, AWARD CONTRACTS, EXERCISE ALL PROVISIONS OF THE SOLICITATION DOCUMENTS AND ANY RESULTING CONTRACTS PURSUANT TO SECTION 2-8.1 OF THE COUNTY CODE AND IMPLEMENTING ORDER 3-38, AND ADD VENDORS TO THE POOL AT ANY TIME, SUBJECT TO RATIFICATION BY THE BOARD ON A BI-ANNUAL BASIS (Internal Services)																																						
Notes	<u>ISSUE/REQUESTED ACTION</u> Whether the Board should extend the prequalification pool 3881-5/16-1 for Body Work Repair for 5 additional years and increase expenditure authority by \$11,167,000. <u>APPLICABLE LEGISLATION/POLICY</u> Initial pool, with option to renew term pursuant to Resolution No. R-1430-06 on December 19, 2006. Contract pursuant to section 2-8.1 of the County Code and Implementing Order 3-38. Pursuant to Resolution No.R-187-12, due diligence was conducted by ISD in accordance to their Procurement Guidelines. <u>RELEVANT PRECEDANT/HISTORY</u>																																						

**Board of County Commissioners
July 6, 2017 Meeting
Research Notes**

Item No.	Research Notes					
	<p>5/9/2017: Item 3D was forwarded to the BCC with a favorable recommendation by the Government Operations Committee; Passed 3 – 0 6/6/2017: Item 8F2 was deferred by the BCC; Passed 8 – 0</p> <p><u>ANALYSIS</u> This service is necessary for multiple County departments that need repairs for the various automobiles such as police cars, trucks, etc. The pool was and will remain advertised in order to increase the number of prequalified firms. At this time, there have been no added vendors to the list. Currently there are 20 qualified local vendors in the pool, with 18 of these being Miami-Dade County vendors, and two being Broward County vendors.</p> <p><u>FISCAL IMPACT</u> According to the BID Tracking System, in its current term, a total of \$15,890,000 was allocated, of which \$9,149,000 have been released, leaving a balance of \$6,741,000.</p> <p>The proposal is requesting an additional \$11,167,000 to the current cumulative allocation of \$30,432,000, totaling \$41,599,000. The initial term was from February 1, and if approved, this extension will expire on July 31, 2022.</p>					
8F4 171134	RESOLUTION AUTHORIZING ADDITIONAL EXPENDITURE AUTHORITY IN A TOTAL AMOUNT UP TO \$555,000.00 FOR PREQUALIFICATION POOL NO. 1182-0/19 FOR PURCHASE OF TERMITE CONTROL SERVICES FOR COUNTY DEPARTMENTS (Internal Services)					
Notes	<p><u>ISSUE/REQUESTED ACTION</u> Whether the Board should authorize an additional \$555,000 to an existing prequalification pool for termite control services for various County departments.</p> <p><u>APPLICABLE LEGISLATION/POLICY</u> Prequalification Pool No. 1182-0/19 (Termite Control Services). Resolution No. R-187-12, ISD procurement.</p> <p><u>RELEVANT PRECEDANT/HISTORY</u> 6/13/2017: Item 3B was forwarded to the BCC with a favorable recommendation by the Government Operations Committee; Passed 5 – 0</p> <p><u>ANALYSIS</u> OCA identified a similar item, under Resolution No. 171640 (Grounds Maintenance and Pest Control Services) that is slated for July committee and September 7, 2017 Board. This item will also request additional expenditure for a total of \$36,964,000 for another prequalification pool (No.9743-0/23) for grounds maintenance and pest control services for county departments.</p> <p>According to the SBE List, there are 5 additional vendors that currently provide the services required, that were not included in the pool, which only contains 4 vendors. A table of these additional vendors is provided below:</p> <table><tr><td>Certified Small Business Vendor that provides Commodity Code 91059 (Pest Control)</td><td>Contact</td><td>Phone</td></tr></table>			Certified Small Business Vendor that provides Commodity Code 91059 (Pest Control)	Contact	Phone
Certified Small Business Vendor that provides Commodity Code 91059 (Pest Control)	Contact	Phone				

**Board of County Commissioners
July 6, 2017 Meeting
Research Notes**

Item No.	Research Notes		
	Abraham Vanegas Landscaping, Inc.	Abraham Vanegas	(305) 252-3576
	American Contracting, Inc.	Julio Cruz	(305) 759-7000
	El Toro Exterminator of Florida, Inc.	Alejandro Perez	(305) 594-4767
	LCN Group, Inc.	Leighton Brown	(305) 318-2686
	Sharp Shot, Inc.	Bonnie L. Morales	(305) 251-4890
	<u>FISCAL IMPACT</u> The initial award value was \$624,000, but an additional \$241,000 was awarded between December 2014 and June 2016, for a total of \$865,000. In its current term, from the \$865,000, \$535,820 has been released, leaving a balance of \$329,180. This item, would add an additional \$500,000 for the Aviation department, and an additional \$55,000 for the Parks, Recreation, and Open Spaces department.		
8F5 171461	RESOLUTION AUTHORIZING THE COUNTY MAYOR OR COUNTY MAYOR’S DESIGNEE TO ADVERTISE A REQUEST FOR QUALIFICATIONS FOR AND ON BEHALF OF MIAMI-DADE COUNTY TO OBTAIN STATE GOVERNMENTAL REPRESENTATION AND CONSULTING SERVICES IN TALLAHASSEE, FLORIDA, AND TO EXERCISE ALL OTHER RIGHTS CONTAINED THEREIN; APPOINTING AN EVALUATION COMMITTEE AND WAIVING THE REQUIREMENTS OF IMPLEMENTING ORDER 3-34 RELATED TO THE FORMATION AND PERFORMANCE OF SELECTION COMMITTEES; DELEGATING AUTHORITY TO THE CHAIRPERSON OF THIS BOARD TO APPOINT ONE ALTERNATE MEMBER OF EVALUATION COMMITTEE; WAIVING THE REQUIREMENTS OF SECTIONS 2-8.3 AND 2-8.4 OF THE COUNTY CODE, PERTAINING TO BID PROTESTS, BY A TWO-THIRDS VOTE OF THE BOARD MEMBERS PRESENT; WAIVING THE REQUIREMENTS OF SECTION 2-8.5 OF THE COUNTY CODE RELATED TO LOCAL PREFERENCE; AND AUTHORIZING A DESIGNATED PURCHASE PURSUANT TO SECTION 2-8.1(B)(3) OF THE COUNTY CODE BY TWO-THIRDS VOTE OF THE BOARD MEMBERS PRESENT, TO EXTEND THE EXISTING CONTRACTS (RFQ801A-C) ON A MONTH-TO-MONTH BASIS UNTIL THE CONTRACT(S) RESULTING FROM THIS REQUEST FOR QUALIFICATIONS IS AWARDED IN AN ANNUAL AMOUNT NOT TO EXCEED \$290,000.00 IN THE AGGREGATE(Internal Services)		
Notes	<u>ISSUE/REQUESTED ACTION</u> Whether the Board should approve: (1) the advertisement of a Request for Qualifications to obtain state governmental representation and consulting services; (2) appointment of an evaluation committee for selection of up to three contractors; and (3) a designated purchase extending the existing contracts on a month-to-month basis until the successor contracts are awarded in an aggregate amount not to exceed \$290,000. <u>APPLICABLE LEGISLATION/POLICY</u> Section 2-8.1 of the County Code and Implementing Order No. 3-38, governing the authority to award and modify contracts. Resolution No. R-1236-99 (requires all County contracts and subcontracts for state or federal government lobbying be approved by the Board); Resolution No. R-232-01 (requires County lobbyists to limit legislative initiatives and funding requests to those approved by the Board); Resolution No. R-56-10 (requires contract lobbyists to obtain a waiver from the Board); and Resolution No. R-885-06 (prohibits state contract lobbyists from lobbying the Board during the regular state legislative session).		

**Board of County Commissioners
July 6, 2017 Meeting
Research Notes**

Item No.	Research Notes
	<p>Sections 2-11.1 (Conflict of Interest and Code of Ethics Ordinance) and 2-11.1.2 (Lobbying Activities) of the County Code.</p> <p><u>RELEVANT PRECEDENT/HISTORY</u></p> <p>The four-day rule was invoked for this item at the Board’s June 20, 2017 meeting.</p> <p>A prior designated purchase extending the contracts on a month-to-month basis up to a year was approved in July 2016 pursuant to Resolution No. 626-16.</p> <p>The current contracts were approved in December 2011 for a one-year term plus three, one-year options to renew (see Resolution No. R-1113-11).</p> <p><u>Analysis</u></p> <p>The proffered solicitation for state lobbying services would award up to three contracts for a one-year term plus three, one-year options to renew. The solicitation seeks proposals from experienced and qualified law firms, governmental consulting firms, businesses and/or individuals to provide the County with governmental representation before the executive and legislative branches of state government.</p> <p>Under the draft contract, the awardees will receive issue and project assignments based on the County’s annual legislative agenda. The awardees shall meet with the County on a weekly basis during the state’s legislative session to discuss issues important to the County and take action on those issues and prepare monthly reports (weekly during the legislative session) advising the County of the current status of all issues they are tracking that may impact the County. Attachment A to the draft contract includes the relevant state subject areas, such as appropriations, revenue sharing, finance and taxation, immigration, children’s services, everglades restoration, and solid waste/waste to energy.</p> <p>The Local Preference Ordinance is being waived under the replacement contract. According to input received from ISD staff, the services are primarily centered around activities in Tallahassee and thus a local office is not necessary. Moreover, there are no evaluation points to apply the preference to. However, the solicitation includes a Small Business Enterprise Selection Factor.</p> <p>The agenda item designated an evaluation committee through waiver of the requirements of Implementing Order No. 3-34 relating to the formation and performance of selection committees. Moreover, the Board waives the bid protest procedures contained in Sections 2-8.3 and 2-8.4 of the County Code in relation to the selection of awardees by a two-thirds vote of the members present. According to input received from ISD staff, the proffered evaluation and selection process is substantially similar to the prior solicitation. The only notable change is seen in Section 4.7 (Evaluation Committee Recommendation) of the solicitation; the change prescribes that “the Evaluation Committee will make a recommendation to the Board of County Commissioners of which three qualified proposers should be awarded a contract in the best interest of the County;” there will be report from the Committee supporting the recommendation.</p> <p>The resolution is also approving a designated purchase to extend the existing contracts on a monthly basis until the successor contracts are awarded. Per Section 2-8.1(B)(3) of the County Code, the designated purchase requires a two-thirds vote of the Board members present. The current contracts expire on July 15, 2017.</p>

**Board of County Commissioners
July 6, 2017 Meeting
Research Notes**

Item No.	Research Notes
	<p>ISD staff informed OCA that the County has four contracts for governmental representation and consulting services in Washington, DC that are currently extended on a monthly basis.</p> <p><u>FISCAL IMPACT</u></p> <p>As stated in the item, the amount of any contract(s) resulting from the solicitation will be determined by the Board when the evaluation results are presented for consideration and will be contingent upon available funding. The fiscal impact for the extension of the current contracts is \$25,000 per month.</p> <p>ISD advised that the current contracts are valued at \$290,000 per year in the aggregate. The vendors are paid for services performed at their yearly contract rate divided by 12.</p> <p>As of June 30, 2017, the Bid Tracking System, the County's electronic procurement management system, shows that the cumulative value of the contracts is \$1,770,833 for five years and five months. For the current option term, the Bid Tracking System shows that of the \$750,833.37 allocation amount, \$666,648 has been released, leaving a balance of \$84,185.37.</p>
<p>8F6 171136</p>	<p>RESOLUTION AUTHORIZING WAIVER OF BID PROCEDURES BY A TWO-THIRDS VOTE OF THE MEMBERS PRESENT PURSUANT TO SECTION 5.03(D) OF THE MIAMI-DADE COUNTY CHARTER AND SECTION 2-8.1 OF THE COUNTY CODE AND AUTHORIZING THE COUNTY MAYOR OR THE COUNTY MAYOR'S DESIGNEE TO EXERCISE THE SECOND, THIRD AND FOURTH ONE-YEAR OPTION TO RENEW PERIODS FOR CONTRACT NO. BW9162-4/20, FORENSIC ODONTOLOGY SERVICES, WITH A TOTAL CONTRACT VALUE OF UP TO \$544,000.00 FOR THE MEDICAL EXAMINER DEPARTMENT; AND AUTHORIZING THE COUNTY MAYOR OR COUNTY MAYOR'S DESIGNEE TO EXERCISE ALL PROVISIONS OF THE CONTRACT PURSUANT TO SECTION 2-8.1 OF THE COUNTY CODE AND IMPLEMENTING ORDER 3-38 (Internal Services)</p>
<p>Notes</p>	<p><u>ISSUE/REQUESTED ACTION</u></p> <p>Whether the Board should waive competitive bidding procedures to exercise the remaining three, one-year option to renew terms totaling \$330,000 for the County's forensic odontology services contract.</p> <p><u>APPLICABLE LEGISLATION/POLICY</u></p> <p>Section 2-8.1 of the Code, Section 5.03(D) of the Home Rule Charter, and Implementing Order No. 3-38, governing the authority to award and modify contracts.</p> <p>Also see Resolution No. R-98-12 (directs the County Mayor to negotiate better prices on all awarded contracts prior to the exercise of any option to renew); Resolution No. 1433-06 (relates to opportunities for Small Business Enterprise participation prior to the exercise of an option to renew); and Ordinance No. 07-139 (provides that option to renew contracts shall be exempt from Committee review).</p> <p><u>RELEVANT PRECEDANT/HISTORY</u></p> <p>6/14/2017: Item 3A was forwarded to the BCC with a favorable recommendation by the Public Safety and Health Committee; Passed 4 – 0</p>

**Board of County Commissioners
July 6, 2017 Meeting
Research Notes**

Item No.	Research Notes															
	<p><u>ANALYSIS</u></p> <p>Forensic odontology services is a branch of medicine dealing with the proper handling, examination and evaluation of dental evidence. Dr. Souviron provides these services via a bid waiver contract for the County’s Medical Examiner’s Office (ME). The item suggests that professionals with this expertise are rare, rendering competitive contracting difficult. <u>OCA contacted ISD for input and was informed that Dr. Souviron, the vendor, has been providing</u> these services for about 30 years; see Resolution No. R-1562-87, approving a contract with Dr. Souviron in November 1987 for ME for \$6,000 per year.</p> <p>According to the Non-Competitive Review Summary provided by ISD, by contracting with Dr. Souviron the County is saving money due to the fact that his rates are below the national average in the field of forensic odontology. The Review Summary indicates that there are no comparable contracts because most local entities utilize dentists to provide this service on a case-by-case basis. The review concludes that due to the lack of competition available, it is in the County’s best interest to renew the contract with Dr. Souviron for the services.</p> <p>According to ISD, two other forensic odontologists were identified in the region: one in Miami-Dade County, Dr. Silverman, and one in Broward County, Dr. Needell. When contacted, both confirmed that they would be unwilling to participate in a competitive solicitation against Dr. Souviron.</p> <p>OCA conducted independent research and found that according to the Florida Department of Law Enforcement (FDLE), there are a total of 51 state of Florida forensic odontologists currently serving 24 Medical Examiner districts. This list includes Dr. Souviron, who currently serves Medical Examiner districts 11, 16, and 17, which pertain to Miami-Dade County, Monroe County, and Broward County, respectively.</p> <p>The FDLE report shows that in addition to Dr. Souviron, there are three other forensic odontologists that currently serve Miami-Dade County; a table displaying these findings is below.</p> <table><tr><th>Forensic Odontologist</th><th>Districts Served</th><th>Contact Information</th></tr><tr><td>Dr. Richard Souviron, D.D.S., D-ABFO</td><td>11, 16, 17 (Miami-Dade, Monroe, Broward)</td><td>Phone: (305) 445-4956 Souviron4ensic@aol.com</td></tr><tr><td>Dr. William E. Silver, D.D.S, D-AFBO</td><td>11, 17 (Miami-Dade, Broward)</td><td>Phone: (305) 665-5124 billsilver@comcast.net</td></tr><tr><td>Dr. Pablo Johnny Fonseca, D.D.S</td><td>District 11 (Miami-Dade)</td><td>Phone: (305) 444-8863 drpablojfonseca@aol.com</td></tr><tr><td>Dr. Brad Lewis, D.M.D.</td><td>District 11 (Miami-Dade)</td><td>(305) 944-4373 babalewy@aol.com</td></tr></table> <p><u>https://www.fdle.state.fl.us/cms/MEC/Unidentified-Deceased-Initiative-Resources/Documents/2016-Florida-Forensic-Odontologists.aspx</u></p> <p><u>http://myfloridamedicalexaminer.com/</u></p> <p><u>FISCAL IMPACT</u></p> <p>According to the County’s Bid Tracking System, as of June 30, 2017, the current term, valued at \$103,122, has had all of its funds released, leaving no balance. The cumulative value of the initial term, the current term, and all the requested option to renew terms, is \$544,000.</p>	Forensic Odontologist	Districts Served	Contact Information	Dr. Richard Souviron, D.D.S., D-ABFO	11, 16, 17 (Miami-Dade, Monroe, Broward)	Phone: (305) 445-4956 Souviron4ensic@aol.com	Dr. William E. Silver, D.D.S, D-AFBO	11, 17 (Miami-Dade, Broward)	Phone: (305) 665-5124 billsilver@comcast.net	Dr. Pablo Johnny Fonseca, D.D.S	District 11 (Miami-Dade)	Phone: (305) 444-8863 drpablojfonseca@aol.com	Dr. Brad Lewis, D.M.D.	District 11 (Miami-Dade)	(305) 944-4373 babalewy@aol.com
Forensic Odontologist	Districts Served	Contact Information														
Dr. Richard Souviron, D.D.S., D-ABFO	11, 16, 17 (Miami-Dade, Monroe, Broward)	Phone: (305) 445-4956 Souviron4ensic@aol.com														
Dr. William E. Silver, D.D.S, D-AFBO	11, 17 (Miami-Dade, Broward)	Phone: (305) 665-5124 billsilver@comcast.net														
Dr. Pablo Johnny Fonseca, D.D.S	District 11 (Miami-Dade)	Phone: (305) 444-8863 drpablojfonseca@aol.com														
Dr. Brad Lewis, D.M.D.	District 11 (Miami-Dade)	(305) 944-4373 babalewy@aol.com														

**Board of County Commissioners
July 6, 2017 Meeting
Research Notes**

Item No.	Research Notes
	<p>The cumulative requested allocation for the three remaining option to renew terms is \$330,000. The contract allows for a Consumer Price Index (CPI) adjustment of up to three percent annually. During the first term, the vendor was granted an increase of 1.3%. The vendor must request the increase for it to apply.</p>
<p>8F7 171235</p>	<p>RESOLUTION AUTHORIZING LEGACY CONTRACT NO. L9114-1/19, CHILDPLUS SOFTWARE LICENSE, HOSTING, TRAINING, MAINTENANCE AND SUPPORT SERVICES, FOR THE COMMUNITY ACTION AND HUMAN SERVICES DEPARTMENT; AUTHORIZING THE COUNTY MAYOR OR THE COUNTY MAYOR’S DESIGNEE TO EXERCISE THE SINGLE TWO-YEAR OPTION TO RENEW TERM FOR LEGACY CONTRACT NO. L9114-1/19, CHILDPLUS SOFTWARE LICENSE, HOSTING, TRAINING, MAINTENANCE AND SUPPORT SERVICES, FOR THE COMMUNITY ACTION AND HUMAN SERVICES DEPARTMENT, IN A TOTAL AMOUNT NOT TO EXCEED \$140,000.00; AND AUTHORIZING THE COUNTY MAYOR OR THE COUNTY MAYOR’S DESIGNEE TO EXERCISE ALL PROVISIONS OF THE CONTRACT PURSUANT TO SECTION 2-8.1 OF THE COUNTY CODE AND IMPLEMENTING ORDER 3-38(Internal Services)</p>
<p>Notes</p>	<p><u>ISSUE/REQUESTED ACTION</u> Whether the Board should approve: (1) authorizing Legacy Contract No. L9114-1/19 (ChildPlus Software License, Hosting, Training, Maintenance and Support Services); and (2) exercising the sole two-year option to renew term in the amount of \$140,000.</p> <p><u>APPLICABLE LEGISLATION/POLICY</u> Section 2-8.1 of the County Code and Implementing Order No. 3-38, governing the authority to award and modify contracts. More specifically, Section 2-8.1(b)(2) of the Code, which provides for legacy purchases.</p> <p>Also see Resolution No. R-98-12 (directs the County Mayor to negotiate better prices on all awarded contracts prior to the exercise of any option to renew); Resolution No. 1433-06 (relates to opportunities for Small Business Enterprise participation prior to the exercise of an option to renew); and Ordinance No. 07-139 (provides that option to renew contracts shall be exempt from Committee review).</p> <p><u>RELEVANT PRECEDENT/HISTORY</u> The item was heard by the HSSC at its June 12, 2017 meeting and forwarded to the Board with a favorable recommendation. The vote was 3-2.</p> <p><u>Analysis/Findings</u> This legacy contract was approved under delegated authority in July 2014 for \$225,000 for a three-year term plus one, two-year option to renew. Under the contract, Management Information Technology USA, Inc. provides the County hosting, training and ongoing maintenance and support services for the ChildPlus solution. These services enable CAHSD to perform participant and staff record-keeping, generate reports regarding enrollment, demographics, participant and staff development as well as facilitate compliance with federal, State of Florida and local laws governing the Head Start/Early Head Start Programs. The vendor has developed a solution specific to the County’s needs; the solution is the vendor’s proprietary product.</p> <p>The County Code defines a legacy purchases as the purchase of goods and services where competition</p>

**Board of County Commissioners
July 6, 2017 Meeting
Research Notes**

Item No.	Research Notes
	<p>is unavailable, impractical or constrained as a result of the need to continue to operate an existing County system which may not be replaced without substantial expenditure. The mayoral memo suggests that such is the case here. Market research performed by ISD Procurement Management Services identified other public entities using the ChildPlus software.</p> <p><u>FISCAL IMPACT</u> The current term, which expires on July 31, 2017, is valued at \$225,000. The Bid Tracking System shows that of the \$225,000 allocated for the term, \$217,007 has been released, as of June 29, 2017.</p> <p>As indicated in the mayoral memo, note that the \$140,000 value for the OTR term was pre-negotiated prior to the inception of the current term. The vendor agreed to exercise the renewal term in accord with established pricing under the contract, frustrating the intent of Resolution No. R-187-12.</p>
8F8 171281	<p>RESOLUTION AUTHORIZING ADDITIONAL TIME OF FIVE YEARS AND EXPENDITURE AUTHORITY IN A TOTAL AMOUNT UP TO \$14,037,000.00 FOR PREQUALIFICATION POOL NO. 1088-0/17 FOR PURCHASE OF LAW ENFORCEMENT EQUIPMENT AND SUPPLIES FOR VARIOUS COUNTY DEPARTMENTS; AND AUTHORIZING THE COUNTY MAYOR OR COUNTY MAYOR'S DESIGNEE TO SOLICIT PRICING, AWARD CONTRACTS, EXERCISE ALL PROVISIONS OF THE SOLICITATION DOCUMENTS AND ANY RESULTING CONTRACTS PURSUANT TO SECTION 2-8.1 OF THE COUNTY CODE AND IMPLEMENTING ORDER 3-38, AND ADD VENDORS TO THE POOL AT ANY TIME, SUBJECT TO RATIFICATION BY THE BOARD ON A BI-ANNUAL BASIS</p>
Notes	<p><u>ISSUE/REQUESTED ACTION</u> Whether the Board should authorize an extension of <i>Prequalification Pool No. 1088-0/17, Law Enforcement Equipment and Supplies</i>, for five additional years and increase the expenditure authority by \$14,037,000.</p> <p><u>APPLICABLE LEGISLATION/POLICY</u> Section 2-8.1 of the Code and Implementing Order No. 3-38 (Contracts and Purchases Generally), governing the authority to award and modify contracts.</p> <p><u>RELEVANT PRECEDENT/HISTORY</u> Pursuant to Resolution No. R-544-12, this pool was approved by the Board in July 2012 for a five-year term.</p> <p>This item was forwarded to the Board with a favorable recommendation by Public Safety and Health Committee (HSHC) at its June 14, 2017 meeting.</p> <p><u>ANALYSIS/FINDINGS</u> The contract is used by County departments to purchase various law enforcement equipment and supplies, including, but not limited to, ammunition, guns, leg irons, protective gear, rifles, robotics, tear gas, and waist chains.</p> <p>The amount released on the existing allocation (as of June 27, 2017 per the Internal Services Department) for each of the user departments in the pool is as follows:</p>

**Board of County Commissioners
July 6, 2017 Meeting
Research Notes**

Item No.	Research Notes																																			
	Release/Allocation by Departments																																			
	<table><tr><th>Department</th><th>Existing Allocation</th><th>Release</th><th>Balance</th><th>Funding Source</th></tr><tr><td>Aviation</td><td>\$103,333</td><td>\$88,469</td><td>\$14,865</td><td>Proprietary Funds</td></tr><tr><td>Corrections and Rehabilitation</td><td>\$1,366,067</td><td>\$1,110,222</td><td>\$255,845</td><td>General Fund</td></tr><tr><td>Parks, Recreation and Open Spaces</td><td>\$103,333</td><td>\$57,488</td><td>\$45,845</td><td>General Fund</td></tr><tr><td>Police</td><td>\$11,279,284</td><td>\$10,078,921</td><td>\$1,200,363</td><td>General Fund/ Law Enforcement Trust Fund</td></tr><tr><td>Port of Miami</td><td>\$41,333</td><td>\$7,044</td><td>\$34,289</td><td>Proprietary Funds</td></tr><tr><td>Total:</td><td>\$12,893,349</td><td>\$14,037,000</td><td>\$27,139,000</td><td></td></tr></table>	Department	Existing Allocation	Release	Balance	Funding Source	Aviation	\$103,333	\$88,469	\$14,865	Proprietary Funds	Corrections and Rehabilitation	\$1,366,067	\$1,110,222	\$255,845	General Fund	Parks, Recreation and Open Spaces	\$103,333	\$57,488	\$45,845	General Fund	Police	\$11,279,284	\$10,078,921	\$1,200,363	General Fund/ Law Enforcement Trust Fund	Port of Miami	\$41,333	\$7,044	\$34,289	Proprietary Funds	Total:	\$12,893,349	\$14,037,000	\$27,139,000	
	Department	Existing Allocation	Release	Balance	Funding Source																															
	Aviation	\$103,333	\$88,469	\$14,865	Proprietary Funds																															
	Corrections and Rehabilitation	\$1,366,067	\$1,110,222	\$255,845	General Fund																															
	Parks, Recreation and Open Spaces	\$103,333	\$57,488	\$45,845	General Fund																															
	Police	\$11,279,284	\$10,078,921	\$1,200,363	General Fund/ Law Enforcement Trust Fund																															
	Port of Miami	\$41,333	\$7,044	\$34,289	Proprietary Funds																															
	Total:	\$12,893,349	\$14,037,000	\$27,139,000																																
	FISCAL IMPACT																																			
	This prequalification pool expires on September 30, 2017 and has an existing allocation of \$13,102,000. The pool was established with an allocation of \$6,700,000 which was subsequently modified under delegated authority for \$1,763,000 and by the Board for \$4,636,000, resulting in an existing cumulative allocation of \$13,099,000, which has been rounded up to \$13,102,000. The requested additional expenditure authority of \$14,037,000 is based on anticipated usage during the five-year extension period. If this modification is approved, the pool's cumulative allocation for the 10-year term would be \$27,139,000, as detailed in the table below:																																			
	Additional Allocation by Departments																																			
	<table><tr><th>Department</th><th>Existing Cumulative Allocation</th><th>Additional Allocation Requested</th><th>Modified Cumulative Allocation</th><th>Funding Source</th></tr><tr><td>Aviation</td><td>\$104,000</td><td>\$100,000</td><td>\$204,000</td><td>Proprietary Funds</td></tr><tr><td>Corrections and Rehabilitation</td><td>\$1,367,000</td><td>\$1,322,000</td><td>\$2,689,000</td><td>General Fund</td></tr><tr><td>Parks, Recreation and Open Spaces</td><td>\$104,000</td><td>\$100,000</td><td>\$204,000</td><td>General Fund</td></tr><tr><td>Police</td><td>\$11,485,000</td><td>\$12,500,000</td><td>23,985,000</td><td>General Fund/ Law Enforcement Trust Fund</td></tr><tr><td>Port of Miami</td><td>\$42,000</td><td>\$15,000</td><td>\$57,000</td><td>Proprietary Funds</td></tr><tr><td>Total:</td><td>\$13,102,000</td><td>\$14,037,000</td><td>\$27,139,000</td><td></td></tr></table>	Department	Existing Cumulative Allocation	Additional Allocation Requested	Modified Cumulative Allocation	Funding Source	Aviation	\$104,000	\$100,000	\$204,000	Proprietary Funds	Corrections and Rehabilitation	\$1,367,000	\$1,322,000	\$2,689,000	General Fund	Parks, Recreation and Open Spaces	\$104,000	\$100,000	\$204,000	General Fund	Police	\$11,485,000	\$12,500,000	23,985,000	General Fund/ Law Enforcement Trust Fund	Port of Miami	\$42,000	\$15,000	\$57,000	Proprietary Funds	Total:	\$13,102,000	\$14,037,000	\$27,139,000	
	Department	Existing Cumulative Allocation	Additional Allocation Requested	Modified Cumulative Allocation	Funding Source																															
	Aviation	\$104,000	\$100,000	\$204,000	Proprietary Funds																															
	Corrections and Rehabilitation	\$1,367,000	\$1,322,000	\$2,689,000	General Fund																															
	Parks, Recreation and Open Spaces	\$104,000	\$100,000	\$204,000	General Fund																															
Police	\$11,485,000	\$12,500,000	23,985,000	General Fund/ Law Enforcement Trust Fund																																
Port of Miami	\$42,000	\$15,000	\$57,000	Proprietary Funds																																
Total:	\$13,102,000	\$14,037,000	\$27,139,000																																	
8F9 171282	RESOLUTION AUTHORIZING ADDITIONAL EXPENDITURE AUTHORITY IN A TOTAL AMOUNT UP TO \$331,205.00 FOR CONTRACT NO. 102115-BBB FOR SCHOOL BUSES WITH RELATED PARTS AND SERVICES FOR THE CORRECTIONS AND REHABILITATION																																			

Board of County Commissioners
July 6, 2017 Meeting
Research Notes

Item No.	Research Notes
	DEPARTMENT
Notes	<p><u>ISSUE/REQUESTED ACTION</u> Whether the Board should authorize additional expenditure authority of \$332,000 to <i>Contract No. 102115-BBB, School Buses with Related Parts and Services</i>, for the Corrections and Rehabilitation Department (MDCR) for purchase of two additional buses to support its transportation operations.</p> <p><u>APPLICABLE LEGISLATION/POLICY</u> Section 2-8.1 of the Code and Implementing Order No. 3-38 (Contracts and Purchases Generally), governing the authority to award and modify contracts.</p> <p><u>RELEVANT PRECEDENT/HISTORY</u> The County accessed this competitively-established National Joint Powers Alliance contract in February 2017 under delegated authority to purchase six school buses to support inmate transportation bus operations.</p> <p>This item was forwarded to the Board with a favorable recommendation by the Public Safety and Health Committee (HSHC) at its June 14, 2017 meeting.</p> <p><u>ANALYSIS/FINDINGS</u> The department is requesting \$332,000 in increased spending for purchase of two additional buses in FY17-18 to support its transportation operations. These buses will replace aging fleet that has surpassed its useful life. The MDCR advised they have a total of 16 buses, including retired vehicles and those out of service for repair.</p> <p><u>FISCAL IMPACT</u> The contract term expires on November 17, 2019 and has an existing allocation of \$994,000. If this request for \$332,000 in increased expenditure is approved, the contract will have a modified value of \$1,326,000. The requested increase in expenditure will be funded by the General Fund.</p>
8F10 171280	RESOLUTION APPROVING AWARD OF CONTRACT NO. RFP-00429 TO ROYAL AMERICAN MANAGEMENT, INC. FOR THE PROVISION OF PROPERTY MANAGEMENT SERVICES FOR THE PUBLIC HOUSING AND COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT DEPARTMENT IN A TOTAL AMOUNT NOT TO EXCEED \$2,224,000 OVER THE INITIAL THREE-YEAR TERM AND THREE, ONE-YEAR OPTIONS TO RENEW; AND AUTHORIZING THE COUNTY MAYOR OR COUNTY MAYOR'S DESIGNEE TO EXECUTE SAME AND EXERCISE ALL PROVISIONS CONTAINED THEREIN, INCLUDING ANY CANCELLATION, RENEWAL AND EXTENSION PROVISIONS, PURSUANT TO SECTION 2-8.1 OF THE COUNTY CODE AND IMPLEMENTING ORDER 3-38
Notes	<p><u>ISSUE/REQUESTED ACTION</u> Whether the Board should approve a competitive contract award, <i>Contract No. RFP-00429, Property Management Services, to Royal American Management, Inc.</i> for the Public Housing and Community Development Department (PHCD).</p> <p><u>APPLICABLE LEGISLATION/POLICY</u></p>

**Board of County Commissioners
July 6, 2017 Meeting
Research Notes**

Item No.	Research Notes																
	<p>Section 2-8.1 of the Code and Implementing Order No. 3-38 (Contracts and Purchases Generally), governing the authority to award and modify contracts.</p> <p>Pursuant to Resolution No. R-140-15, prior to the re-procurement of the replacement contract, a full review of the scope of services was conducted to ensure the replacement contract reflects the County's current needs.</p> <p><u>RELEVANT PRECEDENT/HISTORY</u> This item was forwarded to the Board with a favorable recommendation by the Housing and Social Services Committee (HSSC) at its June 12, 2017 meeting.</p> <p><u>ANALYSIS/FINDINGS</u> According to the Internal Services Department:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • There was limited competition due to the complexity of the services and the federal government requirements which make the services different than typical property management services; • At the completion of its market research, three firms were identified that could provide these services. However, only two out of the three firms submitted proposals. The other vendor that submitted a proposal was Nan McKay & Associates; and • During the contract extension period approximately \$168,000 has been spent. <p>The awarded vendor has been servicing these location for many years as listed in the below table.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Years of Servicing Affordable Properties</p> <table border="1"> <thead> <tr> <th>Affordable Properties</th><th>Royal American Management, Inc. Servicing Properties Since the Year</th></tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>Lakeside Towers</td><td>1992</td></tr> <tr> <td>Gateway Apts.</td><td>2007</td></tr> <tr> <td>Ingram Terrace</td><td>2007</td></tr> <tr> <td>Park Lake Apts.</td><td>2011</td></tr> <tr> <td>Leisure Villas</td><td>2014</td></tr> <tr> <td>Gran Via</td><td>2014</td></tr> <tr> <td>No Place Like Home- Southern Anchor</td><td>2011</td></tr> </tbody> </table> <p>Under the contract, the vendor will provide property management services for housing developments owned by the County to: non-federal properties, federal subsidy properties, and non-federal properties with a homeownership option. Therefore, the recommended vendor will manage the properties in compliance with all applicable federal, state and local ordinances, regulations, codes and rules.</p> <p><u>FISCAL IMPACT</u> The fiscal impact for the three-year term is \$1,112,000. If the three, one-year option to renew terms are exercised, the estimated cumulative value will be \$2,224,000. There are three current contracts covering these services: RFP736A-F, valued at \$1,711,000; RFP736, valued at \$30,000; and RFP736J,</p>	Affordable Properties	Royal American Management, Inc. Servicing Properties Since the Year	Lakeside Towers	1992	Gateway Apts.	2007	Ingram Terrace	2007	Park Lake Apts.	2011	Leisure Villas	2014	Gran Via	2014	No Place Like Home- Southern Anchor	2011
Affordable Properties	Royal American Management, Inc. Servicing Properties Since the Year																
Lakeside Towers	1992																
Gateway Apts.	2007																
Ingram Terrace	2007																
Park Lake Apts.	2011																
Leisure Villas	2014																
Gran Via	2014																
No Place Like Home- Southern Anchor	2011																

**Board of County Commissioners
July 6, 2017 Meeting
Research Notes**

Item No.	Research Notes
	valued at \$84,000. On April 18, 2017, the Board approved extending these contracts through November 30, 2017 with an additional allocation of \$181,608. Thus, the cumulative value of the current contracts is \$2,006,608.
8F11 171292	RESOLUTION AUTHORIZING ESTABLISHMENT OF PREQUALIFICATION POOL RTQ-00395 IN A TOTAL AMOUNT UP TO \$5,000,000.00 FOR PLAN REVIEW AND INSPECTION SERVICES FOR THE REGULATORY AND ECONOMIC RESOURCES DEPARTMENT FOR A TERM OF FIVE YEARS; AUTHORIZING THE COUNTY MAYOR OR COUNTY MAYOR'S DESIGNEE TO SOLICIT PRICING, AWARD CONTRACTS, EXERCISE ALL PROVISIONS OF THE SOLICITATION DOCUMENTS AND ANY RESULTING CONTRACTS PURSUANT TO SECTION 2-8.1 OF THE CODE OF MIAMI-DADE COUNTY, FLORIDA AND IMPLEMENTING ORDER 3-38, AND ADD VENDORS TO THE POOL AT ANY TIME, SUBJECT TO RATIFICATION BY THE BOARD ON A BIENNIAL BASIS; AND APPROVING CONTRACT NO. RFQ1-00395 TO BUREAU VERITAS NORTH AMERICA, INC., FOR A ONE-YEAR TERM IN AN AMOUNT NOT TO EXCEED \$769,000.00 AND AUTHORIZING THE COUNTY MAYOR OR COUNTY MAYOR'S DESIGNEE TO GIVE NOTICE OF THIS AWARD, ISSUE THE APPROPRIATE PURCHASE ORDER TO GIVE EFFECT TO SAME AND EXERCISE ALL PROVISIONS OF THE CONTRACT PURSUANT TO SECTION 2-8.1 OF THE CODE AND IMPLEMENTING ORDER 3-38
Notes	<p><u>ISSUE/REQUESTED ACTION</u> Whether the Board should approve the establishment of a Prequalification Pool <i>RTQ-00395, Plan Review and Inspection Services</i>, in a total amount of up to \$5,000,000 for the Department of Regulatory and Economic Resources (RER) for a term of five years; and approve contract No. RFQ1-00395 to Bureau Veritas North America, Inc., for a one-year term in an amount not to exceed \$769,000.</p> <p><u>APPLICABLE LEGISLATION/POLICY</u> Section 2-8.1 of the Code and Implementing Order No. 3-38 (Contracts and Purchases Generally), governing the authority to award and modify contracts.</p> <p><u>RELEVANT PRECEDENT/HISTORY</u> Pursuant to Administrative Order No. 4-115 plan review and inspection services must be performed within a specific timeframe.</p> <p>This item was forwarded to the Board with a favorable recommendation by the Government Operations Committee (GOC) at its June 13, 2017 meeting.</p> <p><u>ANALYSIS/FINDINGS</u> This will be the first time the County establishes a pool for these services.</p> <p>In an effort to manage unforeseen circumstances so that adherence to the timeframes set under Administrative Order No. 4-115 are not negatively impacted, the RER will utilize the contract award and prequalification pool on an as-needed basis.</p> <p>The scope of work under this pool includes inspection and plans examination services, excluding engineering services, for building, roofing, electrical, plumbing and mechanical construction project components. These services will only be accessed when current staffing levels cannot support</p>

Board of County Commissioners
July 6, 2017 Meeting
Research Notes

Item No.	Research Notes
	<p>operations due to: (1) extended absences due to Family Medical Leave Act (FMLA) or vacancies by multiple retirement-eligible employees in the various plan review disciplines; (2) increased demand for services due to State-mandated Code changes or projects of large magnitude; and (3) emergency response services due to natural disasters.</p> <p><u>Input from RER</u></p> <p>What is RER's succession planning, if any, for employees eligible for retirement for the plan review disciplines?</p> <p><i>An employee eligible for retirement does not necessarily translate to an employee having announced or set a separation date. To the extent that management is aware that a current employee has a set retirement date, a recruitment process is triggered to ensure a new person is hired immediately after retirement. Additionally, if overlap between the future retiree and the new hire is necessary, the Department will seek an overage position to ensure the desired transition.</i></p> <p>2. Were these staffing concerns considered during the solicitation for countywide contract employee services? If so, explain the outcome.</p> <p><i>As noted in the agenda item, the Department "is unable to find qualified licensed individuals through temporary hiring agencies," which results from these types of positions (i.e., plans processors and inspectors) requiring specialized skills, certifications and licenses.</i></p> <p>3. The item states the scope of work excludes engineering services. Who will provide engineering services, if needed?</p> <p><i>Engineering services will not be provided through this RTQ since it must be in compliance with 287.055, F.S. The County will use other procurement mechanisms (i.e. Equitable Distribution Program, etc.) in place to obtain engineering services. This specific scope of work is for plans review and inspection services.</i></p> <p>4. This item states each work order will be provided for review by the two collective bargaining units prior to issuance. Why are work orders subject to union approval?</p> <p><i>As noted in the agenda item, each work order will be provided for review, not approval, by the two collective bargaining units prior to their issuance. This will serve as a notice to each collective bargaining unit to keep them informed of actions being taken.</i></p> <p>5. How was the allocation for the one-year contract determined, given it will only be accessed in an emergency response to a natural disaster?</p> <p><i>The \$769,000 allocation is the amount bid by the vendor recommended for award, which is their response to the estimated needs.</i></p> <p><u>FISCAL IMPACT</u></p> <p>The fiscal impact for the five-year term of the Pool (RTQ-00395) is \$5,000,000. The fiscal impact for the one-year contract (RFQ1-00395) is \$769,000 and will be funded by proprietary funds.</p>

**Board of County Commissioners
July 6, 2017 Meeting
Research Notes**

Item No.	Research Notes
8F12 171401	RESOLUTION AUTHORIZING ADDITIONAL TIME OF FIVE YEARS AND EXPENDITURE AUTHORITY IN A TOTAL AMOUNT UP TO \$1,331,000.00 FOR PREQUALIFICATION POOL NO. 8076-0/17 FOR PURCHASE OF DRAINAGE MATERIALS FOR MULTIPLE COUNTY DEPARTMENTS; AND AUTHORIZING THE COUNTY MAYOR OR COUNTY MAYOR'S DESIGNEE TO SOLICIT PRICING, AWARD CONTRACTS, EXERCISE ALL PROVISIONS OF THE SOLICITATION DOCUMENTS AND ANY RESULTING CONTRACTS PURSUANT TO SECTION 2-8.1 OF THE COUNTY CODE AND IMPLEMENTING ORDER 3-38, AND ADD VENDORS TO THE POOL AT ANY TIME, SUBJECT TO RATIFICATION BY THE BOARD ON A BI-ANNUAL BASIS
Notes	<p><u>ISSUES/REQUESTED ACTIONS</u> Whether the Board should authorize an additional period of five years and expenditure authority in a total amount up to \$1,331,000.00 for prequalification pool 8076-0/17 for the purchase of drainage materials for County departments and authorizes the County Mayor or County Mayor's designee to (a) solicit pricing and award contracts up to the aggregate amount of the allocation authorized by the Board, (b) exercise all provisions of the solicitation documents and any resulting contracts pursuant to Section 2-8.1 of the County Code and Implementing Order 3-38, and (c) add vendors to the pool at any time, during the term of the pool, subject to ratification by the Board on a bi-annual basis.</p> <p><u>APPLICABLE LEGISLATION/POLICY</u> County Mayor or designees has authority to exercise this contact pursuant to Section 2-8-1 of the County Code and Implementing Order 3-38. This item is placed for Committee review pursuant to Miami-Dade County Code Section 29-124(f). The Board may only consider this item if the Citizens' Independent Transportation Trust has forwarded a recommendation to the Board prior to the date scheduled for Board consideration or 45 days have elapsed since the issuance of this recommendation. If Citizens' Independent Transportation Trust has not forwarded a recommendation and 45 days have not elapsed since the issuance of this recommendation, a withdrawal of this item will be requested.</p> <p><u>RELEVANT PRECEDANT/HISTORY</u> This pool was originally approved by the Board in July 2012 for a five-year term and is used by County departments to purchase various drainage materials from qualified suppliers. The drainage materials covered under this pool include, but are not limited to, closed and open joint pipes, catch basins, frames, grates, top slabs, swale inlets, and accessories. The drainage materials purchased will support departmental projects and ensure ongoing drainage efficiency.</p> <p><u>ANALYSIS/FINDINGS</u> The drainage materials purchased will support departmental projects and ensure ongoing drainage efficiency. The Transportation and Public Works Department is the largest user of this pool, utilizing it to purchase materials for the installation of new storm water drains, repairs, maintenance and other specialized services. The Aviation and Port Miami Departments use the pool to purchase materials to replace and repair damaged and worn grates, pipes, and accessories. The Water and Sewer Department uses the pool to purchase materials to repair and replace drainage pipes, frames and grates, as well as to purchase materials to support routine new installations and Consent Decree projects.</p> <p><u>FISCAL IMPACT</u> This prequalification pool expires on September 30, 2017 and has an existing allocation of \$1,490,000 for five (5) years. If this request for \$1,331,000 is approved, the pool will have a modified cumulative</p>

**Board of County Commissioners
July 6, 2017 Meeting
Research Notes**

Item No.	Research Notes
	value of \$2,821,000 and will expire on September 30, 2022. Requested increase in expenditure authority is based on anticipated usage during the extension term. An examination of the requested increase shows the requested funds are proportionally distributed based on department usage.
8F13 171466	RESOLUTION AUTHORIZING ADDITIONAL EXPENDITURE AUTHORITY IN A TOTAL AMOUNT UP TO \$3,600,000.00 FOR PREQUALIFICATION POOL NO. 5038-1/23 FOR PURCHASE OF PUBLIC SAFETY VEHICLE ACCESSORIES
Notes	<p><u>APPLICABLE LEGISLATION/POLICY</u> The County established this prequalification pool in May 2013 through Resolution No. R-349-13 for a five-year term with a single five-year option to renew. This modification is part of a multi-year purchasing plan for police vehicles to support law enforcement operations. The pool is currently in year three (3), and this allocation will cover the entire pool term, including years four (4) and five (5).</p> <p><u>RELEVANT PRECEDENT/HISTORY</u> The pool is currently in its initial term. County departments use the pool to purchase safety vehicle accessories and related items, primarily for use on emergency and police vehicles, fire rescue equipment, and related purpose vehicles and equipment.</p> <p><u>ANALYSIS/FINDINGS</u> The requested allocation will be used to retrofit an estimated 458 safety vehicles for Fiscal Year 2016-17 and 464 safety vehicles for Fiscal Year 2017-18.</p> <p><u>FISCAL IMPACT</u> The prequalification pool term expires on May 31, 2018 and has an existing allocation of \$7,689,000. If this modification is approved, the pool will have a modified cumulative value of \$11,289,000. The additional allocation requested of 3,600,000 is targeted for police.</p>
8F14 171566	RESOLUTION WAIVING FORMAL BID PROCEDURES PURSUANT TO SECTION 5.03(D) OF THE HOME RULE CHARTER AND SECTION 2-8.1(B)(1) OF THE CODE OF MIAMI-DADE COUNTY AND AWARDED CONTRACT TO ECONOLITE CONTROL PRODUCTS, INC., CONTRACT NO. BW9872-1/20, IN A TOTAL AMOUNT UP TO \$11,134,971.00 FOR THE INITIAL TWO-YEAR TERM PLUS ONE, ONE-YEAR OPTION-TO-RENEW, TO PURCHASE TRAFFIC SIGNAL SYSTEM MODERNIZATION SERVICES FOR THE DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION AND PUBLIC WORKS; BY A TWO-THIRDS VOTE OF THE BOARD MEMBERS PRESENT; AND AUTHORIZING THE COUNTY MAYOR OR COUNTY MAYOR'S DESIGNEE TO EXECUTE SAME AND TO EXERCISE ALL PROVISIONS OF THE CONTRACT, INCLUDING ANY CANCELLATION, RENEWAL AND EXTENSION PROVISIONS, PURSUANT TO SECTION 2-8.1 OF THE COUNTY CODE AND IMPLEMENTING ORDER 3-38 [SEE ORIGINAL ITEM UNDER FILE NO. 170845](Internal Services)
Notes	<p><u>ISSUE/REQUESTED ACTION</u> Whether the Board should approve a bid waiver contract with Econolite Control Products, Inc. in a total amount up to \$11,134,971 for an initial two-year term plus one, one-year option to renew for traffic signal system modernization services.</p>

**Board of County Commissioners
July 6, 2017 Meeting
Research Notes**

Item No.	Research Notes
	<p><u>APPLICABLE LEGISLATION/POLICY</u> Section 5.03(D) of the Home Rule Charter and Section 2-8.1(b)(1) of the County Code; approval of a bid waiver contract is required by a two-thirds vote of the members present.</p> <p>Implementing Order No. 3-38, governing the authority to award and modify contracts.</p> <p>Section 316.0745 of the Florida Statutes (Uniform Signal and Devices).</p> <p><u>RELEVANT PRECEDENT/HISTORY</u> The original item was deferred at the May 11, 2017 meeting of the TPWC. The deferral was requested by the Office of the Inspector General (OIG) for the office to review complaints regarding the proposed bid waiver contract. The substitute item was forwarded to the Board with a favorable recommendation at the June 15, 2017 TPWC meeting.</p> <p>The substitute item differs from the original in that the contract has been modified to: (1) specify that the software and documentation fees are to be paid once during the life of the contract; (2) remove the purchase of new cabinets; (3) include preventive and routine maintenance beyond the warranty period; (4) replace Waze integration with language that will allow for integration with a variety of navigational applications, not limited to Waze; (5) replace the vendor's designated project manager; (6) in lieu of recurring fees, provide that a one-time fee for enhancements will be paid by the County, and (7) update Appendix B – Payment Schedule – to reflect the pricing decrease of \$717, 029 (i.e., original price was \$11,852,000 and the recommended contract price is now \$11,134,971).</p> <p>The above changes reflect the OIG's recommendations and findings regarding the proposed contract with Econolite.</p> <p><u>Analysis/Findings</u> Bid waiver contracts are considered an extraordinary method of award and thus must be in the best interest of the County and approved by a two-thirds vote of the Board members present at a Commission meeting. This bid waiver contract with Econolite provides adaptive signal traffic controllers as well as the supporting hardware, software and associated services required to expand the County's traffic mobility management program. Econolite is the only vendor that manufactures the controllers and associated hardware and software needed to expand the County's existing traffic controller platform. Econolite's controllers are FDOT-approved.</p> <p>In June 2016, the County contracted with Econolite for the deployment of 10 traffic controllers along NW 36 ST corridor, from 7100 block to Northwest 82 AVE. The cost of that project was \$240,000 and was approved under delegated authority. This purchase expands the original deployment to 10 additional corridors.</p> <p>DTPW has enlisted an independent engineer to develop specifications for a competitive large-scale solicitation for the remaining corridors, allowing for a transition to a more diverse system. The advertisement of that solicitation is slated for November and award is slated for early 2018. At the 6/15/17 TPWC, ITD staff informed the committee that while there are proprietary components to the current system, elements of integration will be employed (e.g., the hardware), which will lend itself to future competition for the planned expansion.</p>

**Board of County Commissioners
July 6, 2017 Meeting
Research Notes**

Item No.	Research Notes
	<p>Representatives from Econolite spoke at the 6/15/17 TPWC meeting and stated that its traffic management technology is in place at over 100,000 intersections, with 12 systems deployed in Florida. Econolite stated that it has a local office yet the mayoral memo indicates that there is no local branch in Miami-Dade nor Broward counties.</p> <p><u>FISCAL IMPACT</u> The fiscal impact for the two-year term is \$11,134,971; the funding source is Road Impact Fees. There will be no increase in funding if the one, one-year OTR is exercised as this is a fixed-price contract.</p>
<p>8F15 171580</p>	<p>RESOLUTION APPROVING AMENDMENT NO. 1 TO THE PROFESSIONAL SERVICES AGREEMENT BETWEEN MIAMI-DADE COUNTY AND SBLM ARCHITECTS, P.C. FOR ARCHITECTURAL AND ENGINEERING SERVICES FOR THE MENTAL HEALTH FACILITY RENOVATION, ISD PROJECT NO. A14-ISD-01 GOB ESP, ISD CONTRACT NO. Z000105-A, IN THE AMOUNT OF \$382,957.00, FUNDED FROM BUILDING BETTER COMMUNITIES GENERAL OBLIGATION BOND FUNDS, AND INCREASING THE CONTRACT TIME BY 369 CALENDAR DAYS; AND AUTHORIZING THE COUNTY MAYOR OR COUNTY MAYOR'S DESIGNEE TO EXECUTE SAME</p>
<p>Notes</p>	<p><u>APPLICABLE LEGISLATION/POLICY</u> On January 14, 2015, the County awarded SBLM a contract for design services for the Mental Health Facility Renovation project. The Mental Health Facility is located at 2200 NW 7 Avenue, Miami, Florida. The scope of the contract included renovating and upgrading the Mental Health Diversion Facility, a multi-story building previously known as the South Florida Evaluation and Treatment Center. The facility was leased to the County through a 30-year Lease Agreement with the Board of Trustees of the Internal Improvement Trust Fund of the State of Florida. The lease, which was executed on September 5, 2008, allowed the County to expand its jail diversion programs.</p> <p><u>RELEVANT PRECEDENT/HISTORY</u> This request is brought about due to modifications requested that changed the scope of the project. Modifications include a critical care area and other reasonable changes to the design.</p> <p><u>ANALYSIS/FINDINGS</u> This request is for an increase of \$247,257 for additional professional services required due to revisions to the project's plans, which were requested by the County; * Approves an increase of \$135,700 to replenish the PSA's original contingency allowance account; and * Extends the PSA term by 369 calendar days, from January 20, 2019 through January 24, 2020, the anticipated construction completion date. These requirements, which affected the CSU and the short-term residential treatment floors, consisted of kitchenettes and larger food preparation areas. Moreover, a respite/living room area and a fire command room were added, while dining rooms and visitation rooms in the short-term residential treatment floors were enlarged. Due to the complex nature of the project, involving several separate agencies and private vendors, the County is requesting SBLM to add the services of a professional subconsultant (i.e., integrator).</p> <p><u>FISCAL IMPACT</u> This amendment does not increase the project's overall budgeted amount of \$42.1 million, as shown in the Fiscal Year 2017-18 Proposed Budget and Multi-Year Capital Plan. The \$382,957 being requested</p>

**Board of County Commissioners
July 6, 2017 Meeting
Research Notes**

Item No.	Research Notes
	for Amendment No. 1 will be funded through the reallocation of expenses between current and future project phases using existing Building Better Communities General Obligation Bond Program proceeds.
8F16 171700	RESOLUTION AUTHORIZING WAIVER OF BID PROCEDURES BY A TWO-THIRDS VOTE OF THE MEMBERS PRESENT PURSUANT TO SECTION 5.03(D) OF THE MIAMI-DADE COUNTY CHARTER AND SECTION 2-8.1 OF THE COUNTY CODE AND AUTHORIZING THE COUNTY MAYOR OR THE COUNTY MAYOR'S DESIGNEE TO EXERCISE A ONE-YEAR OPTION TO RENEW PERIOD FOR CONTRACT NO. SS9890-ET, CLEAR INVESTIGATION SOFTWARE, WITH AN ALLOCATION OF UP TO \$240,000.00 FOR THE INFORMATION TECHNOLOGY DEPARTMENT; AND AUTHORIZING THE COUNTY MAYOR OR COUNTY MAYOR'S DESIGNEE TO EXERCISE ALL PROVISIONS OF THE CONTRACT PURSUANT TO SECTION 2-8.1 OF THE COUNTY CODE AND IMPLEMENTING ORDER 3-38 [SEE ORIGINAL ITEM UNDER FILE NO. 171350](Internal Services)
Notes	<p><u>ISSUE/REQUESTED ACTION</u> Whether the Board should waive competitive bidding procedures to exercise the first one-year option to renew term valued at \$240,000 under Contract No. SS9890-ET (Clear Investigation Software) for the Information Technology Department on behalf of the Police Department.</p> <p><u>APPLICABLE LEGISLATION/POLICY</u> Section 2-8.1 of the Code, Section 5.03(D) of the Home Rule Charter, and Implementing Order No. 3-38, governing the authority to award and modify contracts.</p> <p>Also see Resolution No. R-98-12 (directs the County Mayor to negotiate better prices on all awarded contracts prior to the exercise of any option to renew); Resolution No. 1433-06 (relates to opportunities for Small Business Enterprise participation prior to the exercise of an option to renew); and Ordinance No. 07-139 (provides that option to renew contracts shall be exempt from Committee review).</p> <p><u>RELEVANT PRECEDANT/HISTORY</u> The item was amended at the 6/13/17 Infrastructure and Utilities Committee to reflect one, one-year contract renew instead of two, one-year option to renew terms.</p> <p><u>ANALYSIS</u> This contract is managed by the Information Technology Department on behalf of the Police Department. The contract was awarded as a sole source purchase for \$240,000 to West Publishing Corporation in September 2016 for an initial one-year term with two, one-year option to renew terms. The value of each OTR was negotiated at \$240,000 prior to the execution of the contract.</p> <p>The contract provides the Police Department with Clear Investigation Software, a secure web-based investigative interface that allows criminal investigators and analysts to easily access public records and related information to aid in resolving criminal cases. More specifically, the software is used to access the following records and information: credit information, utility data, driver's license data, work affiliations, death filings, household data, phone listings, birth records, vehicle registration records,</p>

**Board of County Commissioners
July 6, 2017 Meeting
Research Notes**

Item No.	Research Notes
	<p>criminal records (warrants, fugitives, sex offenders, traffic, etc.), business data, asset search (real property, vehicles, watercraft, etc.), and comprehensive cellphone data (carrier contact information, names, addresses, types of services, etc.). Market research performed by ISD suggests that the Clear software is the only software that can provide the aforementioned combination of data.</p> <p><u>FISCAL IMPACT</u></p> <p>The contract expires on September 30, 2017 and has an allocation of \$240,000. The value of the requested OTR is also \$240,000. The funding source is Internal Service Funds.</p>
8F17 171477	<p>RESOLUTION APPROVING BY A TWO-THIRDS VOTE OF THE MEMBERS PRESENT, AN EXCEPTION TO THE POLICIES ON SALARIES OF RETIRED COUNTY EMPLOYEES THAT ARE REHIRED, AS SET FORTH IN IMPLEMENTING ORDER NO. 7-44, IN CONNECTION WITH THE HIRING OF MR. FRED SIMMONS, JR. AS A SUBSTITUTE CHIEF NEGOTIATOR AT A SALARY ABOVE THE ENTRY LEVEL FOR THE INTERNAL SERVICES DEPARTMENT TO COMPLETE HIGHLY TECHNICAL PROJECTS</p>
Notes	<p><u>ISSUE/REQUESTED ACTION</u></p> <p>Whether the Board should approve an exception to Implementing Order (IO) No. 7-44 to authorize the hiring of Fred Simmons, Jr. at a salary above the entry level for the position of Substitute Chief Negotiator in the Miami-Dade County Internal Services Department.</p> <p><u>APPLICABLE LEGISLATION/POLICY</u></p> <p>IO No. 7-44 states it is the policy of the County that retirees of the County who seek re-employment with Miami-Dade County shall be subject to the County's regular hiring. However, the Board may grant exceptions to this policy in advance of hiring for the particular classification or classifications in question. Such exceptions require approval by a 2/3 vote of the Board members present.</p> <p>Resolution No. 392-10 created the policy that retirees of the County who seek re-employment with the County shall be subject to the County's regular hiring process, including job applications, testing and interviews where applicable, and if hired shall start at the applicable entry-level salary, and shall receive salary increases, such as longevity and merit pay in the normal course commensurate with other entry-level employees.</p> <p><u>RELEVANT PRECEDENT/HISTORY</u></p> <p>This item was forwarded to the Board with a favorable recommendation by the Public Safety and Health Committee (HSHC) at its June 14, 2017 meeting.</p> <p>The item was four-day ruled at the June 20, 2017 Board meeting.</p> <p><u>ANALYSIS/FINDINGS</u></p> <p>The County's current Chief Negotiator/ERP Spec Developer works in the Procurement Management Services Division of the Internal Services Department (ISD) and earns \$ 108,780 annually (as of 6/29/17 per MDC's Transparency Website). The Chief Negotiator/ERP Spec Developer reports to the ISD Senior Assistant Director. The division's senior management team is comprised of the following positions:</p>

**Board of County Commissioners
July 6, 2017 Meeting
Research Notes**

Item No.	Research Notes
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Chief Procurement Officer; • Division Director; • Procurement Policies & Training Coordinator; • Procurement Analyst; and • Procurement Contracting Managers. <p>The managers oversee the following teams, representing diverse procurement commodities: (1) Information Technology and Back Office Support; (2) Fleet; (3) Transit; (4) Consulting and Professional Services; (5) Industrial Goods and Services; (6) Internal Support and Testing; and (7) Commercial Goods and Services.</p> <p>Mr. Simmons salary in 2013 was \$126,623 annually (as of 6/30/17 per MDC's Transparency Website) in ISD's Procurement. He retired in 2014.</p> <p>The Director of the Department of Human Resources informed the OCA that in the past five years the Board twice waived IO No. 7-44 to re-hire retired County employees. Resolution No. R-437-16 authorized rehiring Thomas Gross as the Radio Communication Services Division Director for the Information Technology Department (ISD). Resolution No. R-636-16 authorized rehiring Rosalind Ray Morgan as a Special Project Administrator for the Department of Solid Waste Management (DSWM).</p> <p><u>FISCAL IMPACT</u></p> <p>This position is funded from Internal Service Funds at the annual rate of \$132,500.</p>
<p>8K1 171375</p>	<p>RESOLUTION GRANTING A TEMPORARY CONSTRUCTION EASEMENT, PURSUANT TO SECTION 125.38, FLORIDA STATUTES, TO MIAMI-DADE EXPRESSWAY AUTHORITY AT ROBERT KING HIGH TOWER PUBLIC HOUSING SITE (PARCEL 704) FOR THE CONSTRUCTION OF IMPROVEMENTS TO STATE ROAD 836/DOLPHIN EXPRESSWAY FOR A PERIOD NOT TO EXCEED 11 AND ONE HALF MONTHS, SUBJECT TO THE MIAMI-DADE EXPRESSWAY AUTHORITY PAYING THE COUNTY \$5,000.00 PER MONTH AND COMPLYING WITH CERTAIN OTHER CONDITIONS; AND AUTHORIZING THE COUNTY MAYOR OR THE COUNTY MAYOR'S DESIGNEE TO EXECUTE SUCH TEMPORARY CONSTRUCTION EASEMENT AGREEMENT AND TO EXERCISE ALL OF THE PROVISIONS CONTAINED THEREIN(Public Housing and Community Development)</p>
<p>Notes</p>	<p><u>ISSUE/REQUESTED ACTION</u></p> <p>Whether the Board should approve granting a temporary construction easement to the Miami-Dade Expressway Authority on County-owned properties located on the Robert King High Tower Public Housing site for the construction of road improvements.</p> <p><u>APPLICABLE LEGISLATION/POLICY</u></p> <p>Section 125.38 of the Florida Statutes allows agencies, such as the Expressway Authority, to request the use of County-owned land when such use is for public benefit.</p> <p>Resolution No. R-974-09 relating to the recordation of instruments of conveyance.</p>

Board of County Commissioners
July 6, 2017 Meeting
Research Notes

Item No.	Research Notes
	<p><u>RELEVANT PRECEDENT/HISTORY</u> Forwarded to the Board with a favorable recommendation by the HSSC.</p> <p><u>ANALYSIS</u> The Expressway Authority has requested a temporary construction easement to allow construction of the improvements to State Road 836 from NW 17 AVE to I-95 which would allow the Expressway Authority to widen the bridge over the Miami River. The Expressway Authority anticipates commencing the project some time in 2018 but no later than September 2022. The easement is intended to provide operational improvements to the bridge to improve traffic flow. The temporary construction easement is specific to the construction of the bridge immediately adjacent to PHCD's site</p> <p><u>Input from PHCD</u> The Resident Council of Robert King High, Haley Sofge, and Martin Fine Villas public housing residential developments, which lie within the 22-acre residential campus, were informed in a meeting with PHCD and the developer held on 4/28/17. None of the Resident Councils' members had objections to the temporary construction easement. They confirmed they would inform all residents they represent. PHCD has not received any other comments from the residents regarding the temporary easement. Additionally, PHCD may have additional meetings if necessary once the actual construction start is known.</p> <p>The easement will only be temporary and is required for construction equipment access during an 11.5-month period. Any damage caused by the work related to the temporary easement will be repaired by the Expressway Authority.</p> <p>The Expressway Authority does not know at this time the exact date when it will need the easement. Due to HUD's restrictions on the timeframe for the temporary easement (12 months or less), it is preferred to leave the date open until the Expressway Authority knows the start date. That way the 11.5 months starts to run on that date.</p> <p>Public housing properties have a declaration of trust with HUD. If site control for a site, or a portion of the site, is going to be provided to an entity via a ground lease, approval is required through a disposition application, if the ground lease is for more than 12 months. Ground leases for less than 12 months can be granted by PHCD for temporary use without HUD disposition approval; however, any ground lease beyond 12 months requires approval from HUD through the disposition application process. For example, public housing projects that involve new construction or major rehabilitation by a developer require a long term ground lease, generally of 75 years or more. This would require a disposition application approval to provide a developer site control so that they develop the property. Additional and more specific information can be found on HUD NOTICE PIH 2012-7 (HA) 2/12/2012, Issued: February 2, 2012.</p> <p><u>FISCAL IMPACT</u> There is no fiscal impact to the County. However, the Expressway Authority has agreed to pay the County \$5,000 per month for a total of up to \$57,500, depending on how long the Expressway Authority requires the easement.</p>

**Board of County Commissioners
July 6, 2017 Meeting
Research Notes**

Item No.	Research Notes
8M1 171682	RESOLUTION AUTHORIZING EXECUTION OF A STANDARD FORM FIRST AMENDED AND RESTATED NON-EXCLUSIVE AGREEMENT FOR COMMITMENT TO USE THE COUNTY SOLID WASTE MANAGEMENT SYSTEM FOR MUNICIPAL SOLID WASTE DISPOSAL BETWEEN MIAMI-DADE COUNTY AND PRIVATE SOLID WASTE HAULERS [SEE ORIGINAL ITEM UNDER FILE NO. 171360](Solid Waste Management Department)
Notes	<p><u>ISSUE/REQUESTED ACTION</u> Whether the Board should approve authorizing execution of a Standard Form First Amended and Restated Non-Exclusive Agreement (Agreement) for Commitment to Use the County’s solid waste system for municipal solid waste disposal between the County and private solid waste haulers.</p> <p><u>APPLICABLE LEGISLATION/POLICY</u> The existing hauler agreement was approved by the Board in 1996 (see Resolution No. R-710-96).</p> <p><u>RELEVANT PRECEDENT/HISTORY</u> The item was forwarded to the Board by the Infrastructure and Utilities Committee at its June 13, 2017 meeting, as amended. The amendment deletes the following language on handwritten page 11 at the end of the first paragraph: “in accordance with section 2 of this Article.”</p> <p><u>ANALYSIS</u> Haulers operating in the County provide residential and commercial waste collection services to municipalities and businesses throughout the County. Several municipalities (contract cities) that have existing long-term waste disposal agreements with the County use haulers to collect waste from their residents, and, in some cases, businesses. This is the first proposed changes to the existing Agreement.</p> <p>There are currently 15 municipalities (contract cities) with a disposal Interlocal Agreement (ILA). The ILA requires the contract cities to deliver all of their residential waste to the County. All residential solid waste collected for the contract city (by a private hauler) shall be delivered to the County. The following municipalities having an ILA with the County for use of the County’s waste management system: Bal Harbour, Bay Harbor Islands, Coral Gables, Homestead, City of Miami, Miami Beach, Miami Shores, Miami Springs, North Bay Village, North Miami, North Miami Beach, South Miami, Surfside, Sweetwater, and West Miami. These municipalities pay the contract rate of \$66.79 per ton, rather than the \$88.06 per ton non-contract rate.</p> <p>One of the proposed improvements to the agreement is an affidavit that haulers complete attesting to the amount of waste collected. Private haulers are currently obligated to provide an annual affidavit. They will still be required to provide the annual affidavit as well as quarterly affidavits to track tons from contract cities and non-contract cities. The ILAs with the cities do not require them to provide an annual affidavit; however, DSWM staff tracks and monitors the tonnages from the contract cities on a weekly basis.</p> <p>Note that under the proposed agreement, there is no waste delivery obligation for the first 12 months of the agreement. This is because not all private haulers entering into a long-term disposal contract with DSWM have a tonnage history. In the past some have provided an estimate of their annual tons which can create a problem for them when they cannot meet the agreed to waste delivery obligation. The interim period will allow for a true accounting of a full year of tonnage history.</p>

**Board of County Commissioners
July 6, 2017 Meeting
Research Notes**

Item No.	Research Notes
	<p><u>FISCAL IMPACT</u></p> <p>The hauler agreements are revenue-generating. In FY 2014-15, the haulers delivered approximately 75,000 tons of waste to the County at the contract rate, which is equivalent to approximately \$5 million in disposal revenue. As of 6/26/17, there are 30 hauler agreements in effect. For FY 2016-17, \$3,574,534 in revenue has been generated thus far from hauler agreements.</p>
<p>8N2 171252</p>	<p>RESOLUTION APPROVING EXECUTION BY THE COUNTY MAYOR OR COUNTY MAYOR'S DESIGNEE OF A COVENANT FOR MAINTENANCE OF ROADWAY AND LANDSCAPING IMPROVEMENTS WITHIN THE PUBLIC RIGHTS-OF-WAY BETWEEN MIAMI-DADE COUNTY AND THE CITY OF SOUTH MIAMI ALONG SW 57 AVENUE FROM SW 74 STREET TO SW 72 STREET; AUTHORIZING THE COUNTY MAYOR OR COUNTY MAYOR'S DESIGNEE TO EXECUTE SAID AGREEMENT AND TO TAKE ALL ACTIONS NECESSARY TO EFFECTUATE SAME; DIRECTING THE COUNTY MAYOR OR COUNTY MAYOR'S DESIGNEE TO RECORD THE COVENANT FOR MAINTENANCE OF ROADWAY AND LANDSCAPING IMPROVEMENTS AND TO PROVIDE A COPY OF SUCH RECORDED DOCUMENT TO THE CLERK OF THE BOARD WITHIN 30 DAYS OF EXECUTION</p>
<p>Notes</p>	<p><u>ISSUE/REQUESTED ACTION</u></p> <p>Whether the Board should authorize the execution of a Covenant for Maintenance of Roadway and Landscaping Improvements within the Public Rights-of-Way between the County and the City of South Miami (City).</p> <p><u>APPLICABLE LEGISLATION/POLICY</u> –N/A</p> <p><u>RELEVANT PRECEDENT/HISTORY</u></p> <p>This item was forwarded to the Board with a favorable recommendation by the Transportation and Public Works Committee (TPSC) at its June 15, 2017 meeting.</p> <p><u>ANALYSIS/FINDINGS</u></p> <p>The County will be installing the roadway and landscaping improvements through roadway construction Project 20160143. The City has requested the Project to include the installation of enhanced pedestrian, roadway, and landscaping elements within the Project's limits. The County agreed to include the enhanced features provided that the City assumes responsibility to maintain and preserve these improvements in perpetuity.</p> <p>The Department of Transportation and Public Works (DTPW) defined the enhanced elements as below:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Installation of enhanced pedestrian elements consist of the construction of both colored concrete pedestrian ramps and colored stamped concrete crosswalks; and • Installation of roadway and landscaping elements consist of the construction of landscaped concrete medians and bulb outs, pavement markings, and milling and resurfacing. <p>Further, the DTPW advised that the County's estimated cost of the project is \$249,870 and the estimated completion is 120 calendar days from issuance of the Notice to Proceed.</p>

**Board of County Commissioners
July 6, 2017 Meeting
Research Notes**

Item No.	Research Notes
	<p>The OCA posed the following question to the DTPW:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> What is the cost of on-going inspections by the Chief, Construction Division who will oversee inspections conducted by DTPW staff to enforce compliance with covenant plans and specifications? Provide a breakdown of the Chief and staff cost to be involved in these inspections. <p>The department advised that estimated cost for construction management is \$70,000.</p> <p>In the event that the City does not comply with the Covenant requirements, the County will give to the City a 60-calendar day written notice for the City to make corrections. The DTPW advised that the Road and Bridge Division would address any issues with non-compliance. Further, failure to address any deficiencies will result in the County making the necessary corrections with the City invoiced for the County's costs.</p> <p><u>FISCAL IMPACT</u></p> <p>There is no fiscal impact to the County related to the Covenant for Maintenance. The County will save the costs associated with the City's maintenance and preservation of the roadway and landscaping improvements. The County will be only responsible for replacing damaged trees for reasons other than maintenance, such as, without limitations, natural disasters, storm events, and traffic accidents.</p>
<p>8N3 171242</p>	<p>RESOLUTION APPROVING THE USE OF CHARTER COUNTY TRANSPORTATION SURTAX FUNDS FOR MISCELLANEOUS CONSTRUCTION CONTRACT (MCC) 7040 PLAN - RPQ NO.: 327079 FOR TRAFFIC MANAGEMENT CENTER PHASE I RECONFIGURATION PROJECT TO R & D ELECTRIC, INC. IN THE AMOUNT OF \$578,197.40(Transportation and Public Works)</p>
<p>Notes</p>	<p><u>ISSUE/REQUESTED ACTION</u></p> <p>Whether the Board should approve the use of Charter County Transportation Surtax Funds in the amount of \$578,197.40 for MCC Construction Contract 7040 Plan – RPQ No. 327079 – for the traffic management center phase 1 reconfiguration project to pay the vendor, R & D Electric, Inc.</p> <p><u>APPLICABLE LEGISLATION/POLICY</u></p> <p>Section 2-8.2.7 of the County Code (Economic Stimulus Ordinance) and Section 2-8.2.7.01 of the Code (MCC Program).</p> <p><u>RELEVANT PRECEDENT/HISTORY</u></p> <p>This item was forwarded to the BCC with a favorable recommendation by the TPWC at its 6/15/17 meeting.</p> <p><u>ANALYSIS</u></p> <p>DTPW required furnishing and installation of two video walls in its recently remodeled Traffic Management Center for real-time monitoring of field traffic conditions of major arterials throughout the County. The project was advertised through the MCC 7040 Program as a RPQ on June 18, 2016. Due to the urgency of the project, it was advertised and awarded with operating funds. Two bids were received and it was determined that R & D Electric, Inc. was the lowest-priced responsive and responsible bidder. Based on the Capital Improvements Information System database, the County has</p>

**Board of County Commissioners
July 6, 2017 Meeting
Research Notes**

Item No.	Research Notes
	<p>completed 11 evaluations for R & D Electric, Inc., with an overall performance rating of 3.0 points out of a possible 4.</p> <p>The immediate installation of the Activu Video Wall solution was crucial to the Traffic Management Center in order to provide traffic engineers the ability to act on safety and security traffic related incidents, and provide visual tools to collaboratively identify, analyze, investigate and resolve traffic events.</p> <p>OCA posed the following questions to DTPW; no response was received as of the publication date of these notes.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Verify that R&D Electric, Inc. holds the following SBD certifications: SBE/GS, SBE/CONS and DBE; 2. This award was made under the MCC 7040 Plan; clarify whether that's a rotational set-aside and summarize the competitive selection process for this award; and 3. Explain how the PTP funds will be applied once awarded; how does the award impact previously applied operating funds; and will the award funds be expended prior to the end of FY 2016-17. <p><u>FISCAL IMPACT</u></p> <p>The fiscal impact for this award is \$578,179.40 (i.e., the base estimate of \$525,634 plus the contingency allowance of \$52,563.40). The total contract period is 120 days.</p>
<p>8N4 171316</p>	<p>RESOLUTION APPROVING CONTRACT AWARD TO DOUGLAS N. HIGGINS, INC. IN THE AMOUNT OF \$2,925,350.00, FOR DESIGN-BUILD SERVICES FOR THE PROJECT ENTITLED "BRIDGE REPLACEMENT AT N. MIAMI AVENUE OVER THE LITTLE RIVER CANAL (BRIDGE NO. 874127)</p>
<p>Notes</p>	<p><u>ISSUE/REQUESTED ACTION</u></p> <p>Whether the Board should approve the award of a contract for Design-Build Services for the Bridge Replacement at N. Miami Avenue over the Little River Canal (<i>Bridge No. 874127</i>) (Project) to Douglas N. Higgins, Inc. (DNHI), in the amount of \$2,925,350.</p> <p><u>APPLICABLE LEGISLATION/POLICY</u> – N/A</p> <p><u>RELEVANT PRECEDENT/HISTORY</u></p> <p>This item was forwarded to the Board with a favorable recommendation by the Transportation and Public Works Committee (TPWC) at its June 15, 2017 meeting.</p> <p><u>ANALYSIS/FINDINGS</u></p> <p>The bridge in question has a sufficiency rating of less than 70, which requires that it be addressed within two years. As such, a Design-Build consultant is needed to replace the existing structure to safely convey vehicular, pedestrian, and bicycle traffic.</p> <p>DNHI will design and construct the replacement of the existing N. Miami Avenue Bridge over the Little River Canal. In compliance with Rule 5.09 of the Rules of Procedure for the Board, the impact of sea level rise has been considered in the project. The new bridge will account for changes in the canal's</p>

**Board of County Commissioners
July 6, 2017 Meeting
Research Notes**

Item No.	Research Notes
	<p>water elevation to the extent that the South Florida Water Management District (SFWMD) has incorporated those considerations into their criteria.</p> <p>The item states the estimated notice to proceed is August 2017, and the Department of Transportation and Public Works (DTPW) advised that the estimated date of completion is 790 calendar days from NTP.</p> <p><u>FISCAL IMPACT</u></p> <p>The total fiscal impact will be approximately \$2,925,350. The base design contract amount is \$400,000, and the base construction contract amount is \$2,367,000 with the total amount being inclusive of contingency amounts. The Project is being funded with Road Impact Fees (RIF).</p>
<p>8N5 171356</p>	<p>RESOLUTION APPROVING SUPPLEMENTAL AGREEMENT NUMBER 3 TO PROFESSIONAL SERVICES AGREEMENT TA02-MR26 WITH URS ENERGY & CONSTRUCTION, INC. AND ASSIGNMENT OF CONTRACT FROM URS TO AECOM ENERGY AND CONSTRUCTION, INC. AND INCREASING THE CONTRACT CEILING BY \$8,250,000.00 AND THE CONTRACT TIME BY FIVE YEARS AND AUTHORIZING THE COUNTY MAYOR OR COUNTY MAYOR'S DESIGNEE TO EXECUTE THE SUPPLEMENTAL AGREEMENT; AND AUTHORIZING THE USE OF CHARTER COUNTY TRANSPORTATION SURTAX FUNDS FOR SUCH PURPOSES(Transportation and Public Works)</p>
<p>Notes</p>	<p><u>ISSUE/REQUESTED ACTION</u></p> <p>Whether the Board should approve Supplemental Agreement No. 3 to Contract TA02-MR26 with URS Energy & Construction, Inc. for non-exclusive professional services in support of project management, warranty support and oversight of Contract No. 654 (new Metrorail vehicles) and assignment of contract URS to AECOM Energy & Construction, Inc., increasing the contract value by \$8,250,000 and extending the contract duration by five years.</p> <p><u>APPLICABLE LEGISLATION/POLICY</u></p> <p>County Code Section 29-124(f) relating to CITT and Section 2-8.3 of the County Code (Manager's Recommendation).</p> <p><u>RELEVANT PRECEDENT/HISTORY</u></p> <p>This item was forwarded to the Board with a favorable recommendation by the TPWC at its 6/15/17 meeting.</p> <p><u>ANALYSIS</u></p> <p>The supplemental agreement is being requested by DTPW to extend the contract term from 14 years to 19 years and amend the contract ceiling by \$8,250,000, resulting in an expiration date of September 2022 and a total contract amount of \$29,733,822. The supplement will ensure engineering services through final acceptance and successful completion of the warranty period for the duration of the agreement.</p> <p>Although the cost of \$8,250,000 for this supplemental agreement was previously allocated for inspection services in the project budget approved through Resolution No. R-924-12, DTPW did not issue a new solicitation since AECOM represented the best value to the County. Under the agreement,</p>

**Board of County Commissioners
July 6, 2017 Meeting
Research Notes**

Item No.	Research Notes
	<p>AECOM would continue to provide engineering consulting services during manufacturing, acceptance testing, commissioning, safety certification, reliability testing and warranty administration through the duration of the supplemental agreement as well as perform quality control inspections for all 136 incoming Metrorail vehicles.</p> <p>Note that URS is a wholly owned subsidiary of AECOM and requested the County's consent to assign this contract to AECOM.</p> <p>OCA posed the following questions to DTPW staff; no response has been received as of the publication date of these notes.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Why is the contract term being extended by five years, and, of the \$8,250,000 in additional funds being requested, how much is projected to be spent per Fiscal Year; what's the project's timeline for completion, including the number of rail vehicles to be delivered and the estimated date for the operation of the vehicles; what accounts for the change orders delaying the delivery of the Metrorail vehicles; 2. The fiscal impact section states that the \$8,250,000 in additional funds was approved via R-924-12, yet there is no specific line item in that award memo speaking to that approval; please clarify; 3. Is DTPW adding services beyond the contract's scope (i.e., inspection services); if so, under what authority is DTPW able to do so without competing those services; 4. Under the Delegated Authority section of the mayoral memo, Section 2-8.3 of the County Code (Manager's Recommendation) is cited; how does that section of the Code apply as that section specifically speaks to a competitive process for selection of a consultant; and 5. The mayoral memo states that AECOM has met all of its obligations on contracts for Metrorail services; please specify those contracts, including the contract number, term, dollar value and a brief statement summarizing the scope of services. <p><u>FISCAL IMPACT</u> The fiscal impact of the supplemental agreement is \$8,250,000.</p>
<p>801 171310</p>	<p>RESOLUTION AUTHORIZING APPROVAL OF SUBORDINATION OF MIAMI-DADE COUNTY UTILITY INTERESTS TO THE CITY OF DORAL TO CONSTRUCT ROADWAY IMPROVEMENTS ALONG N.W. 62 STREET FROM N.W. 107 AVENUE TO N.W. 104 PATH</p>
<p>Notes</p>	<p><u>ISSUE/REQUESTED ACTION</u> Whether the Board should approve a Subordination of the County Utility Interests to the City of Doral, through its Water and Sewer Department (WASD).</p> <p><u>APPLICABLE LEGISLATION/POLICY</u> – N/A This item was forwarded to the Board with a favorable recommendation by the Infrastructure and Utilities Committee (IUC) at its June 13, 2017 meeting.</p> <p><u>ANALYSIS/FINDINGS</u> The City of Doral, during the preparation of plans for road improvements in the vicinity along N. W. 62</p>

**Board of County Commissioners
July 6, 2017 Meeting
Research Notes**

Item No.	Research Notes
	<p>Street, from N.W. 107 Avenue to N.W. 104 Path, determined that a County-owned easement consisting of three parcels, requires subordination by the County to the City to construct the road improvements. The easement is located along the north-south line of the subject property, adjacent to N.W. 62 Street.</p> <p>The purpose of the Subordination Agreement is to allow the City of Doral to construct roadway improvements along N.W. 62 street from N.W. 107 avenue to N.W. 104 path, in which there are County-owned, operated and maintained water and sewer facilities.</p> <p><u>FISCAL IMPACT</u></p> <p>There is no fiscal impact to the County associated with the Subordination Agreement. All expenses related to the upgrade, relocation, removal or replacement of any County-owned water and sewer facility installed in the easement area will be performed at no cost to the County, if the action is initiated by the City of Doral or associated with a future road improvement City project.</p>
<p>802 171255</p>	<p>RESOLUTION AUTHORIZING THE EXECUTION OF JOINT FUNDING AGREEMENT NO. 17ESFL000000108 FOR WATER RESOURCES INVESTIGATIONS WITH THE UNITED STATES GEOLOGICAL SURVEY FOR A TWO-YEAR TERM TO BE FUNDED BY MIAMI-DADE COUNTY IN AN AMOUNT NOT TO EXCEED \$545,000.00; AUTHORIZING THE COUNTY MAYOR OR COUNTY MAYOR'S DESIGNEE TO EXECUTE ANY NECESSARY AMENDMENTS TO THE AGREEMENT, ANY TIME EXTENSION AND TO ACCEPT ADDITIONAL FUNDS THAT MAY BECOME AVAILABLE UNDER THE AGREEMENT; AND AUTHORIZING THE COUNTY MAYOR OR COUNTY MAYOR'S DESIGNEE TO EXERCISE THE PROVISIONS CONTAINED THEREIN</p>
<p>Notes</p>	<p><u>ISSUE/REQUESTED ACTION</u></p> <p>Whether the Board should authorize the execution of this Joint Funding Agreement between the County, through its Water and Sewer Department (WASD), and the United States Geological Survey (USGS) for a two year term, in amount not to exceed \$545,000.</p> <p><u>APPLICABLE LEGISLATION/POLICY</u> – N/A</p> <p><u>RELEVANT PRECEDENT/HISTORY</u></p> <p>This item was forwarded to the Board with a favorable recommendation by the Infrastructure and Utilities Committee (IUC) at its June 13, 2017 meeting.</p> <p><u>ANALYSIS/FINDINGS</u></p> <p>The water resource investigations authorized by this Joint Funding Agreement are required to comply with the County's 20-Year Water Use Permit (Permit). The Permit requires the County to continuously monitor and update the landward movement of the seawater-freshwater interface since this is a transition zone that separates saltwater from fresh water in the Biscayne Aquifer.</p> <p>The purpose of this study is to identify the present location of the saltwater-freshwater interface by using existing monitoring wells and installing new ones in areas where the interface appears to be moving or there is no data to assess its current location.</p> <p>All data collected will be analyzed by the USGS, and a new updated seawater-freshwater interface map</p>

**Board of County Commissioners
July 6, 2017 Meeting
Research Notes**

Item No.	Research Notes
	<p>will be published by the USGS, which will serve to assist the County in making decisions regarding water use, drinking water protection and water supply resiliency.</p> <p>The WASD advised that they will budget \$300,000 in FY 2017-2018 and \$245,000 in FY 2018-2019 for the investigations.</p> <p><u>Fiscal Impact/Funding Source</u></p> <p>The fiscal impact to the County totals \$545,000, which will be funded by WASD Operating Revenues and USGS will contribute \$75,000, making the total dollar amount of this Joint Funding Agreement \$620,000. The agreement will terminate on July 30, 2019.</p>
803 171372	<p>RESOLUTION AUTHORIZING MIAMI-DADE COUNTY WATER AND SEWER DEPARTMENT TO SECURE INDEPENDENT, CERTIFIED PROPERTY APPRAISERS HOLDING MEMBER APPRAISAL INSTITUTE OR ACCREDITED SENIOR APPRAISER, AMERICAN SOCIETY OF APPRAISERS DESIGNATION, TO PERFORM PROPERTY APPRAISALS ON AN AS-NEEDED BASIS IN ACCORDANCE WITH ESTABLISHED COUNTY APPRAISER SELECTION PROCEDURES, IN AN AMOUNT NOT TO EXCEED A BLANKET AMOUNT OF \$100,000.00(Water & Sewer Department)</p>
Notes	<p><u>ISSUE/REQUESTED ACTION</u></p> <p>Whether the Board should approve authorizing WASD to secure independent, certified property appraisers holding Member Appraisal Institute or Accredited Senior Appraiser, American Society of Appraisers designation to perform property appraisals on an as-needed basis in accordance with established County appraiser selection procedures in an amount not to exceed \$100,000.</p> <p><u>APPLICABLE LEGISLATION/POLICY</u></p> <p>The County's established appraiser selection procedures.</p> <p><u>RELEVANT PRECEDENT/HISTORY</u></p> <p>This item was forwarded to the Board with a favorable recommendation by the Infrastructure and Utilities Committee at its June 13, 2017 meeting.</p> <p>See Resolution No. R-671-14 approving WASD's last blanket amount of \$100,000.</p> <p><u>ANALYSIS/FINDINGS</u></p> <p>WASD is requesting approval to hire independent appraisers selected by the County's Appraisal Selection Committee to provide appraisals for WASD projects.</p> <p>According to WASD staff, the County has a process in place to conduct appraisals per A.O. 3-4. This item follows the County's standard procedures as outlined in A.O. 3-4. The selection of property appraisers is conducted by ISD, the CAO, and staff from PA, which all participate in the Appraisal Committee Meetings held by ISD to award the appraisals.</p> <p>WASD uses independent appraisers, rather than the Office of the Property Appraiser, to avoid conflicts of interest.</p> <p>This item has no specific term; the blanket expires when the funds are spent. The Board has already authorized the employment of appraisers for 12 parcels of land needed for the West District Wastewater</p>

**Board of County Commissioners
July 6, 2017 Meeting
Research Notes**

Item No.	Research Notes
	<p>Treatment Plant (WDWWTP) to comply with the State's Ocean Outfall Legislation. WASD cannot project expenditures to the end of FY 2016-2017 as the monies are targeted for the acquisition of the 12 parcels of land needed for the construction of the WDWWTP.</p> <p><u>FISCAL IMPACT</u> The amount of the proposed blanket authorization is up to \$100,000. The funding source is WASD capital or operating revenues.</p>
11A5 171338	<p>RESOLUTION APPROVING A THIRD AMENDMENT TO THE NORTH MIAMI COMMUNITY REDEVELOPMENT AGENCY REDEVELOPMENT AREA INTERLOCAL COOPERATION AGREEMENT TO PROVIDE FOR THE REMOVAL OF THE REQUIREMENT THAT THE NORTH MIAMI COMMUNITY REDEVELOPMENT AGENCY REDUCE THE BOUNDARIES OF THE REDEVELOPMENT AREA; APPROVING TERMS OF, AND AUTHORIZING EXECUTION BY THE COUNTY MAYOR OR COUNTY MAYOR'S DESIGNEE ON BEHALF OF MIAMI-DADE COUNTY OF, A THIRD AMENDMENT TO THE INTERLOCAL COOPERATION AGREEMENT AMONG MIAMI-DADE COUNTY, CITY OF NORTH MIAMI, AND THE NORTH MIAMI COMMUNITY REDEVELOPMENT AGENCY</p>
Notes	<p><u>ISSUE/REQUESTED ACTION</u> Whether the Board should approve the Third Amendment to the Inter-local Agreement and remove the requirement that the Agency reduce the boundaries of the redevelopment area.</p> <p><u>APPLICABLE LEGISLATION/POLICY</u> This Board adopted the following:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Resolution No. R-837-04 approved the finding of necessity and established the Agency; • Resolution No. R-610-05 approved the Agency's Plan (Plan); • Ordinance No. 05-109 created a North Miami Community Redevelopment and Revitalization Trust Fund to fund improvements in the redevelopment area; • Ordinance No. 16-95 amended Ordinance No. 05-109 to extend the sunset provision; • Resolution No. R-1113-08 amended the Plan to incorporate the development and implementation of community policing initiative and define affordable housing guidelines; and • Resolution No. R-1132-16 amended the Inter-local Agreement and the Plan to extend the life of Agency until July 13, 2044, and required the Agency to amend the Plan by July 1, 2017 to reduce the boundaries of the community redevelopment area. <p><u>RELEVANT PRECEDENT/HISTORY</u> This item was forwarded to the Board with a favorable recommendation by the Housing and Social Services Committee (HSSC) at its June 12, 2017 meeting.</p> <p><u>ANALYSIS/FINDINGS</u> On February 14, 2017, the Agency adopted a resolution establishing the Agency did not desire to reduce the boundaries of the Agency. On February 28, 2017, the City adopted a resolution establishing</p>

**Board of County Commissioners
July 6, 2017 Meeting
Research Notes**

Item No.	Research Notes
	<p>that the City supported maintaining the current boundaries.</p> <p><u>FISCAL IMPACT</u> Not reducing the boundaries of the redevelopment area and maintaining the current boundaries will not have a negative fiscal impact on the County because the Agency refunds to the County all portions of the Tax Increment Financing (TIF) revenues paid by the County for portion of the community development area that was proposed to be removed from the community redevelopment area.</p>
11A6 171340	<p>RESOLUTION DECLARING CERTAIN FIREFIGHTING EQUIPMENT SURPLUS PROPERTY; WAIVING, BY A TWO-THIRDS VOTE OF BOARD MEMBERS PRESENT, THE PROCEDURE FOR DISPOSITION OF SURPLUS PROPERTY; AUTHORIZING THE DONATION OF SUCH EQUIPMENT TO THE CITY OF PANAJACHEL, GUATEMALA FIRE DEPARTMENT; AND AUTHORIZING THE COUNTY MAYOR OR COUNTY MAYOR'S DESIGNEE TO EXECUTE A FOREIGN GOVERNMENTAL ENTITY DONATION AGREEMENT, TO EXERCISE ANY AND ALL OTHER RIGHTS CONFERRED THEREIN AND TO TAKE ANY AND ALL ACTIONS NECESSARY TO EFFECTUATE THE FOREGOING</p>
Notes	<p><u>ISSUE/REQUESTED ACTION</u> Whether the Board should declare certain firefighting equipment to be surplus pursuant to chapter 274, Florida Statutes, and section 2-11.2.1 of the County Code.</p> <p><u>APPLICABLE LEGISLATION/POLICY</u> Section 2-11.2.1(b) of the County Code provides a procedure for the disposition of County surplus property, and the Board may waive such procedure by a two-thirds vote of the members present, and may donate surplus property to a foreign governmental entity upon a finding that the property is obsolete and cannot legally be used by another County department, a municipality in Miami-Dade County or an eligible community organization.</p> <p><u>RELEVANT PRECEDENT/HISTORY</u> This item was forwarded to the Board with a favorable recommendation by the Public Safety and Health Committee (HSHC) at its June 14, 2017 meeting.</p> <p><u>ANALYSIS/FINDINGS</u> On May 16, 2017, the County's Fire Chief decommissioned fire protective clothing gear (helmets, boots, coats, and pants) and noted this clothing cannot be legally used by another County department, municipality, or an eligible Community Based Organization in Miami-Dade County. Further, that the continued usage of this fire protection clothing is uneconomical, inefficient, and serves no other useful purpose. The decommissioned clothing is being made available for donation to the Municipality of Panajachel, Guatemala Volunteer Fire Company.</p> <p><u>FISCAL IMPACT</u> The listed residual value of the clothing is \$5,000 for 40 sets of turnout gear (coats and pants), \$500 for 40 helmets, and \$500 for boots.</p>
11A7 171313	<p>RESOLUTION AMENDING IMPLEMENTING ORDER NO. 4-111, FEE SCHEDULE FOR REGULATORY AND ECONOMIC RESOURCES (PLANNING, ZONING, AND PLATTING</p>

**Board of County Commissioners
July 6, 2017 Meeting
Research Notes**

Item No.	Research Notes
	SERVICES), TO PROVIDE FOR CERTIFICATE OF USE APPLICATION FEE FOR VACATION RENTALS
Notes	<p><u>ISSUE/REQUESTED ACTION</u> Whether the Board should amend Implementing Order (IO) No. 4-111 to provide for a certificate of use application fee for vacation rentals.</p> <p><u>APPLICABLE LEGISLATION/POLICY</u> IO No. 4-111 - Fee Schedule for Regulatory and Economic Resources (planning, zoning, and platting services). The amendment adds to IO No. 4-111 subsection V.A. of the IO to provide for an application fee for a Certificate of Use for vacation rentals and the fee would be \$36.70. On the Board's July 6, 2017 agenda for second reading is Item 7D (171627) which is an ordinance proposing to create a new section of the Code to establish regulations and standards for vacation rentals and amends Section 8CC-10 of the Code with respect to civil penalties, which will be enforced by the County.</p> <p><u>RELEVANT PRECEDENT/HISTORY</u> This item was forwarded to the Board with a favorable recommendation by the Economic Development and Tourism Committee (EDTC) at its June 15, 2017 meeting.</p> <p><u>ANALYSIS/FINDINGS</u> To protect residential neighborhoods in the County from disruptive and incompatible uses and to protect the health, safety, and welfare of the community, the Board is in the process of enacting regulations pertaining to vacation rentals; and such regulations will require a Certificate of Use to be obtained before a vacation rental may be offered to transient occupants. The Fiscal Impact Statement for the proposed Ordinance Related to Regulation of Vacation Rentals states:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • There are additional application processing costs associated with the issuance and renewal of the Certificate of Use by the Department of Regulatory and Economic Resources (RER); • Additional staff time will be required to assist Certificate of Use applicants, to process applications, and to conduct required inspections; • Online registration system will be established to further enable a convenient application process for patrons; • It is difficult to determine how many property owners will pursue a Certificate of Use for short-term vacation rentals in unincorporated area; and • Inspection costs required to issue each Certificate of Use, and renewal will be covered by the standard Certificate of Use inspection and re-inspection fees. <p><u>FISCAL IMPACT</u> According to the Fiscal Impact Statement, the cost of staff time and online registration system is estimated at \$26,341 in the first year. The five year estimate indicates personnel costs will grow</p>

**Board of County Commissioners
July 6, 2017 Meeting
Research Notes**

Item No.	Research Notes
	by five percent and operating expense by three percent. The Certificate of Use Application fee of \$36.70 is estimated as sufficient to cover the RER's application, processing and renewal expenses. The revenue is estimated at \$27,525 in the first year.
11A9 171479	RESOLUTION AMENDING IMPLEMENTING ORDER NO. 3-60 FOR THE ADMINISTRATION OF THE WORKFORCE HOUSING PROGRAM; AND AUTHORIZING THE COUNTY MAYOR OR COUNTY MAYOR'S DESIGNEE TO EXERCISE RIGHTS CONTAINED THEREIN [SEE ORIGINAL ITEM UNDER FILE NO. 171146]
Notes	<p><u>APPLICABLE LEGISLATION/POLICY</u> January 25, 2007, the Board enacted Ordinance No. 07-05, which is codified in Chapter 33, Article XIIA and Chapter 17, Article IX of the Code of Miami-Dade County, as amended, establishing the Workforce Housing Development Program and Workforce Housing Development Program Administration (the "Workforce Housing Ordinance"). On October 6, 2015, Resolution No. R-890-15 was adopted creating Implementing Order No. 3-60 related to the administration of the Workforce Housing Development Program ("Implementing Order"). On December 20, 2016, the Board adopted Ordinance No. 16-138, amending the Workforce Housing Ordinance providing additional incentives and guidelines for the development of workforce housing in Miami-Dade County.</p> <p><u>RELEVANT PRECEDENT/HISTORY</u> Workforce Housing is codified at Florida Statutes 420.5095 Community Workforce Housing Innovation Pilot Program and within Miami-Dade County as described above. Additionally several communities throughout Florida have enacted similar Workforce Housing provisions.</p> <p><u>ANALYSIS/FINDINGS</u> It is anticipated that four positions, one Project Manager, one Compliance Officer, one Homeownership Specialist, and one part-time Finance and Budget Administrator will be required to support the functions necessary to administer this ordinance. Additionally two positions, one Senior Planner and one Zoning Services Senior Plans Processor, will be required to support, the functions necessary to administer this ordinance.</p> <p><u>FISCAL IMPACT</u> The proposed ordinance will have an estimated \$287,000 fiscal impact to the Public Housing and Community Development Department and an additional \$158,000 fiscal impact on the Department of Regulatory and Economic Resources or \$445,000 in the first year. The forecast of expenditures: a five percent annual inflationary increase for both salary and fringes, a three percent increase or operating expenses and are subject to change. This expense is not part of the FY 2016-17 Adopted Budget.</p>
11A11 171256	RESOLUTION AMENDING RESOLUTION NO. R-222-14 RELATING TO CONVEYANCE OF SIX COUNTY-OWNED PROPERTIES TO FLORIDA CITY COMMUNITY REDEVELOPMENT AGENCY; AUTHORIZING THE CHAIRPERSON OR THE VICE-CHAIRPERSON OF THE BOARD TO EXECUTE AN AMENDED AND RESTATED COUNTY DEED TO AUTHORIZE THE FLORIDA CITY COMMUNITY REDEVELOPMENT AGENCY TO DEVELOP OR CAUSE THE DEVELOPMENT OF THE PROPERTIES WITH AFFORDABLE MULTIFAMILY HOUSING OR SINGLE HOMES TO BE SOLD OR RENTED TO QUALIFIED HOUSEHOLDS; AND DIRECTING THE COUNTY MAYOR OR THE COUNTY MAYOR'S DESIGNEE TO TAKE ALL ACTIONS NECESSARY TO ENFORCE THE PROVISIONS SET FORTH IN SUCH AMENDED AND

**Board of County Commissioners
July 6, 2017 Meeting
Research Notes**

Item No.	Research Notes
Notes	<p>RESTATED COUNTY DEED</p>
	<p><u>ISSUE/REQUESTED ACTION</u> Whether the Board should amend and restate County Deed to authorize the Agency to develop or have the Properties to be developed with either multifamily or single family affordable housing to be sold, or rented to qualified households.</p> <p><u>APPLICABLE LEGISLATION/POLICY</u> Resolution No. R-222-14 authorized the Board to execute a County Deed conveying six vacant County-owned properties (the “Properties”) to the Florida City Community Redevelopment Agency (the “Agency”) for the purpose of developing such properties with affordable housing for low and moderate income households.</p> <p><u>RELEVANT PRECEDENT/HISTORY</u> Resolution No. R-376-11, approved, by the BCC on May 3, 2011, requires that any resolution authorizing the improvement, rehabilitation or conveyance of County-owned real property appropriate for or to be used for affordable housing.</p> <p>This item was forwarded to the Board with a favorable recommendation by the Housing and Social Services Committee (HSSC) at its June 12, 2017 meeting.</p> <p><u>ANALYSIS/FINDINGS</u> The Internal Services Department (ISD) staff clarified that the six properties were sold to the Agency in 2014 and that the County will not be involved in any real estate transaction conducted by the Agency.</p> <p>The Agency requested that the County agree to amend the County Deed to authorize the Agency to develop or cause the Properties to be developed with either multifamily or single family affordable housing to be sold or rented to qualified households.</p> <p>The Properties were conveyed subject to the following restrictions:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • The Agency assured the County that it will use the Properties in a manner that will promote the public or community welfare, thus complying with the conditions required for the sale of County-owned property as set forth in Florida Statute Section 125.38; • The affordable housing developed on the Properties shall be sold to a qualified low to moderate-income family within five (5) years of conveyance of the Properties; • The County Deed includes covenants running with the land regarding Project Improvements and completion of the Agency’s obligations; • Remedies: In the event that the Agency defaults on the deed restrictions, the County will have the right to exercise the reverter provisions as required by Resolution No. R-461-13. <p><u>FISCAL IMPACT</u> - N/A</p>
11A14 171632	<p>RESOLUTION DIRECTING THE COUNTY MAYOR OR COUNTY MAYOR’S DESIGNEE TO COMMENCE PLANNING FOR RE-PROCUREMENT NO LATER THAN EIGHTEEN MONTHS PRIOR TO THE EXPIRATION OF CONTRACTS AND PREQUALIFICATION POOLS FOR</p>

Board of County Commissioners
July 6, 2017 Meeting
Research Notes

Item No.	Research Notes
	PURCHASES OF GOODS OR SERVICES; AND DIRECTING THE COUNTY MAYOR OR COUNTY MAYOR'S DESIGNEE ON A QUARTERLY BASIS TO IDENTIFY IN WRITING TO THE COMMISSION AUDITOR THOSE CONTRACTS AND PREQUALIFICATION POOLS THAT ARE SET TO EXPIRE NO LATER THAN EIGHTEEN MONTHS PRIOR TO EXPIRATION [SEE ORIGINAL ITEM UNDER FILE NO. 171162]
Notes	<p><u>ISSUE/REQUESTED ACTION</u> Whether the Board should approve the resolution directing (1) the administration to commence re-procurement activities for contracts and pools for the purchase of goods and services that are subject to Board approval no later than 18 months before the expiration of such contracts and pools, including option to renew periods; and (2) the administration on a quarterly basis to notify and identify for the Commission Auditor in writing of the contracts and pools that are set to expire no later than 18 months prior to their expiration; every six months the administration shall report to the Commission Auditor the progress of the re-procurement for those identified pools and contracts.</p> <p><u>APPLICABLE LEGISLATION/POLICY</u> ISD Procurement Management Services has guidelines for advanced acquisition planning, requiring commencement of re-procurement planning no later than 18 months prior to the expiration of a contract and pool.</p> <p>See the following resolutions relating to re-procurement activity: (1) Resolution No. R-140-15, which requires a full review of the scope of services prior to re-procurement of a replacement contract; (2) Resolution No. R-391-17, which requires competitive selections whenever feasible instead of expanding the term or services under existing contracts; and Resolution No. R-841-06, which requires successor contracts or extension of an existing contract to be presented to the Board no later than 30 days before the contract expires.</p> <p><u>RELEVANT PRECEDENT/HISTORY</u> This item was deferred at the May 9, 2017 Government Operations Committee and amended at the June 13, 2017 Government Operations Committee. The amendment does the following: (1) adds a clause noting the importance of County departments working together to provide complete and timely contractual scopes of services, specifications, market research, projections and responses to procurement staff inquiries; (2) changes the two year time period to 18 months; and (3) adds language directing client departments to timely provide County procurement staff draft contractual scopes of services and specifications no later than 18 months before the expiration of such contracts and pools and setting a guideline that client departments respond within two weeks to any question from County procurement contracting officers regarding re-procurements.</p> <p>There was discussion at the 6/13/17 GOC meeting wherein the Committee acknowledged that timely re-procurement is often frustrated due to a lack of cooperation from user departments (i.e., failure to timely provide documentation and respond to inquiries). One Commissioner suggested that there is already a similar resolution in effect.</p> <p><u>ANALYSIS/FINDINGS</u> ISD, Procurement Management Services, has issued guidelines that provide for collaboration with the various County departments in the procurement of a wide range of supplies and services for the on-going and future operations of the County. The guidelines explain the interdepartmental cooperation</p>

Board of County Commissioners
July 6, 2017 Meeting
Research Notes

Item No.	Research Notes
	<p>needed for successful advanced acquisition planning, particularly for large multi-faceted procurements. Advanced acquisition planning usually results in effective competitive solicitations, accurate budgetary projections, timely procurement of goods and services, consideration of multiple products or solutions, and enhanced competition.</p> <p>It is often the case that procurement actions are delayed due to circumstances such as conflicting or unanticipated workloads, redundant requirements, repetitive after-the-fact revision of specifications or solicitation provisions, or initially unconsidered competition or business utilization issues. The best way to minimize the potential for such delays is to identify and resolve such concerns during the initial planning phases for a given purchase. It is ISD-PMS's desire, intent, and goal to work with departments at the earliest point possible in the acquisition cycle. The acquisition cycle begins when a department perceives a need for a given product or service and decides to purchase that supply or service. ISD-PMS can provide a significant value-added service when it works collaboratively with user departments in the early phases of the acquisition cycle. Such early coordination will minimize or even eliminate procurement pitfalls such as those addressed above. It is ISD-PMS's goal to ensure that County purchases are completed in the most effective and timely manner possible.</p> <p>Failure to adhere to principles of advanced acquisition planning – commencing at least 18 months in advance of a needed purchase – results in extending contracts or untimely award of replacement contracts.</p> <p>Untimely re-procurement has recently resulted in designated purchases being approved by the Board to add time and funds to contracts that are about to expire.</p> <p>As communicated in a April 2017 report from the County Mayor to the Commission Districts, in an effort to timely replace contracts, ISD has undertaken an audit of expiring goods and services contracts requiring Board approval to award new, or extend a current contract. The results of the audit showed 26 contracts expiring on or before September 30, 2017.</p> <p>ISD supports this resolution as the 18-month commencement of re-procurement activity requirement is already in place. The only concern shared by ISD staff is the practicability of this requirement for information technology related procurements as technology often rapidly changes and thus developing specifications too far in advance may result in the purchase of outdated products.</p> <p><u>FISCAL IMPACT</u> None determined.</p>
11A17 171423	RESOLUTION DECLARING SURPLUS COUNTY-OWNED PROPERTY LOCATED AT THE KENDALL COMPLEX, 11025 S.W. 84TH STREET, ADMINISTRATION BUILDING, LOCATED IN UNINCORPORATED MIAMI-DADE COUNTY, FLORIDA, AND APPROVING PURSUANT TO FLORIDA STATUTES, SECTION 125.38, TERMS OF THE LEASE AGREEMENT BETWEEN MIAMI-DADE COUNTY AND BE UNIQUE ACADEMY PREP, INC., A FLORIDA NOT-FORPROFIT CORPORATION, FOR THE ADMINISTRATION BUILDING FOR A FIVE YEAR TERM, PLUS TWO FIVE YEAR OPTIONS TO RENEW, FOR THE PURPOSE OF PROVIDING A SPECIAL NEEDS PRIVATE SCHOOL SERVING CHILDREN WITH A VARIETY OF DEVELOPMENTAL DISABILITIES, ENTERING KINDERGARTEN THROUGH 12TH GRADE, AT AN ANNUAL RENT OF \$64,410.00 FOR THE FIRST TWO YEARS, AND

**Board of County Commissioners
July 6, 2017 Meeting
Research Notes**

Item No.	Research Notes
	ADJUSTED ANNUALLY THEREAFTER BY THREE PERCENT FOR EACH SUBSEQUENT YEAR, WITH THE TOTAL GROSS REVENUE TO THE COUNTY FOR THE 15 YEAR PERIOD ESTIMATED TO BE \$1,164,940.14; AUTHORIZING THE COUNTY MAYOR OR COUNTY MAYOR'S DESIGNEE TO EXECUTE THE LEASE AGREEMENT, TO EXERCISE ANY AND ALL RIGHTS CONFERRED THEREIN, TO TAKE ALL NECESSARY STEPS TO EFFECTUATE THE FOREGOING, AND TO PROVIDE AN EXECUTED COPY OF THE LEASE AGREEMENT TO THE PROPERTY APPRAISER'S OFFICE WITHIN 30 DAYS OF ITS EXECUTION
Notes	<p><u>APPLICABLE LEGISLATION/POLICY</u> Florida Statutes, Section 125.38, allows for a Not-For-Profit organized for the purposes of promoting community interest and welfare to lease available county real property from the Board at the Board's discretion. If satisfied that such property is required for such use and is not needed for county purposes, the Board may lease the same to the applicant for such price, whether nominal or otherwise, as the Board may fix, regardless of the actual value of the property.</p> <p><u>RELEVANT PRECEDENT/HISTORY</u> In 2015 the Board passed R-333-15 establishing county policy to require disclosure of market value or market rental in legislative items authorizing the conveyance or lease of county-owned property to promote public disclosure and fiscal responsibility providing for the lease at below market value but requiring the disclosure of current market value.</p> <p><u>ANALYSIS/FINDINGS</u> Be Unique Academy is a Not-For Profit organization providing for the needs of children with a variety of developmental disabilities. Be Unique will utilize the property community interest and welfare purposes specifically providing academic programs special needs school from K-12th grades. There are 12 units available for lease at the property in question. To date six are leased similarly through the county and all are compatible with Be Unique Academy's mission and student base. Other users are: ARC of South Florida, Inc., Carpe Diem Academy, Adults Mankind Organization, Easter Seals South Florida, Inc., Administration Building – Be Unique Academy Prep, Inc. and Cafeteria - School Board of Miami-Dade County.</p> <p><u>FISCAL IMPACT</u> Current annual market rental would range from \$24.00 to \$28.00 per square foot. Property would be leased to Be Unique for a five year term, with two five year options to renew, for an annual rental payment of \$64,410.00 for each of the first two years of the initial term (\$9.50 per square foot), and an approximately three percent increase for each year thereafter, with a total estimated gross revenue to the County of \$1,164,940.14 over the 15 year period.</p>
11A21 171421	RESOLUTION ESTABLISHING A COUNTY POLICY REQUIRING CRIMINAL BACKGROUND CHECKS OF APPLICANTS FOR SPECIAL EVENTS PERMITS FOR EVENTS IN COUNTY PARKS, TO BE CONDUCTED AT THE COST OF THE APPLICANT, AND PROVIDING THAT SUCH PERMITS SHALL NOT BE ISSUED TO (1) PERSONS CONVICTED OF CRIMES IN COUNTY PARKS OR (2) PERSONS PROHIBITED FROM WORKING OR VOLUNTEERING IN COUNTY PARKS UNDER THE SHANNON MELENDI ACT; PROVIDING THAT IF THE APPLICANT FOR THE SPECIAL EVENTS PERMIT IS A BUSINESS ENTITY THEN THE CRIMINAL BACKGROUND CHECK SHALL BE CONDUCTED OF EACH OFFICER OF THE BUSINESS ENTITY; RESCINDING ADMINISTRATIVE ORDER 8-3 AND ADOPTING

**Board of County Commissioners
July 6, 2017 Meeting
Research Notes**

Item No.	Research Notes
	IMPLEMENTING ORDER 8-3 TO EFFECTUATE THIS POLICY; DIRECTING THE COUNTY MAYOR OR COUNTY MAYOR'S DESIGNEE TO EVALUATE AND PREPARE A WRITTEN REPORT ON EXISTING PRACTICES REGARDING THE ISSUANCE OF SPECIAL EVENTS PERMITS AND DEVELOP RECOMMENDATIONS ON HOW THOSE PRACTICES MIGHT BE MODIFIED TO FURTHER PUBLIC SAFETY IN COUNTY PARKS
Notes	<p><u>APPLICABLE LEGISLATION/POLICY</u> The Board approved Administrative Order 8-3, and also enacted the Shannon Melendi Act, codified in Sections 26-38 and 26-39 of the Code of Miami-Dade County, which in Sections 26-38(C)(1)-(4) and 26-39(C)(1)-(4) prohibits persons from working or volunteering in County parks who (1) have been convicted of a violent felony or conspiracy to commit a violent felony within the past 5 years; or (2) have been convicted of a felony involving the trafficking of a controlled substance within the past 5 years; or (3) have 2 or more convictions for a violent felony, for conspiracy to commit a violent felony, or involving the trafficking of a controlled substance; or (4) are sexual offenders or sexual predators.</p> <p><u>RELEVANT PRECEDENT/HISTORY</u> The resolution seeks to rescind AO 8-3 currently in effect and replace it with IO 8-3 to better effectuate this policy.</p> <p><u>ANALYSIS/FINDINGS</u> Replacing AO 8-3 with IO 8-3 would be an effective and accepted method in assisting the enforcement of Sections 36-38 and 26-39.</p> <p><u>FISCAL IMPACT</u> No additional fiscal impact is anticipated. The applicant bears the cost of the background check in the application.</p>