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Note on Data Sources: The following analysis draws on two sources of data. The first is the 2016 American Community Survey (ACS) 5-Year Estimates based on approximately 3.5 million nationwide surveys annually. It was released in December of 2017 and covers the time period from 2012 through 2016. The 5-Year Estimates are published for areas with populations of all sizes and are the most reliable and precise of the ACS period estimates as well as the most comprehensive, albeit the least current. (The 1-year estimates provide data on areas with populations of 65,000+). The ACS estimates provide information about the social and economic needs of communities and are used to help determine how more than $600 billion in federal and state funds are distributed each year. Note that counts of the population are provided by the Census of Population and Housing conducted by the US Census Bureau every 10 years; and official annual estimates of the population are derived from the previous census and from the Census Bureau’s Population Estimates Program.

The second source for the following analysis is the 2011 American Community Survey (ACS) 5-year estimates, released in December of 2012, which covers the time period from 2007 to 2011. This provides non overlapping survey periods for comparison with the 2016 data.

For ease of reference in the following, the 2016 American Community Survey 5-Year Estimates will be referred to as the 2016 ACS or simply as 2016 data, and the 2011 American Community Survey 5-Year Estimates will be referred to as the 2011 ACS or simply as 2011 data.
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Total Population Growth

The total population of Miami-Dade County grew 7.7% between the 2011 and the 2016 ACS. According to the 2016 American Community Survey 5-Year Estimates (ACS), which averages the data collected over the years 2012 through 2016, the total County population stood at 2,664,418.*

Commission District 9 had the largest population in 2016, at 228,242, which was up 8.5% from 2011. Close behind was District 8 with 224,461 persons in 2016, up 12.3% from 2011. District 8 was also the fastest-growing district with that 12.3% growth rate, followed closely by District 12 with a five-year growth rate of 12.2%. Every district gained population between 2011 and 2016, ranging from a low growth rate of 2.2% in District 10 to the aforementioned high of 12.3% in District 8.

Chart 1 and Map 1 summarize the data for all of the commission districts.

* This estimate will be different than the Census Bureau’s official population count released in July of each year. The ACS data is used here for consistency with all of the survey-generated data on the economy, households and housing that will be profiled. This estimate is also different than Miami-Dade County’s own official estimate used in the comprehensive land-use planning process.

Chart 1: Total Population by Gender and Commission District with Percent change
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Population Shares by Race and Ethnicity

Miami-Dade County is nearly unique among all large counties in the U.S. Only four of the counties with a population of at least one million residents had a majority-Hispanic population. These were Cook County, Illinois (51.7%); Bronx County, NY (55.4%); Bexar County (San Antonio), Texas (59.5%); and Miami-Dade County (66.4%). Even given this concentration in Miami-Dade, the degree of concentration in some of the commission districts is astounding.

Three commission districts have populations that were more than 90% Hispanic in 2016. Commission District 13 was 91.5% Hispanic; District 12 was 90.9% Hispanic; and District 6 was 90.2% Hispanic. Two others, District 10 (89.5%) and District 11 (83.8%), were more than 80% Hispanic, while District 5 was 77.9% Hispanic. This represents a slight increase in concentration from the 2011 data.

No districts in 2016 were majority White, not Hispanic, though District 4 had a 44.3% share. Two districts in 2016, District 2 (60.7%) and District 1 (54.9%), were majority Black, not Hispanic, and District 3 had a 46.5% Black, not Hispanic share.

Chart 2 summarizes the data for all of the commission districts.

Chart 2: Population by Race and Ethnicity

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>District</th>
<th>Hispanic or Latino</th>
<th>Black, Not Hispanic</th>
<th>White, Not Hispanic</th>
<th>Other, Not Hispanic</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2016</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2011</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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**POPULATION GROWTH BY RACE AND ETHNICITY**

White, not Hispanic or Latino Population Change

While the total population of Miami-Dade County grew 7.7% between 2011 and 2016, the White, not Hispanic population fell 1.2% countywide over the same time period, and grew in only four of 13 commission districts. According to the 2016 ACS, the total White, not Hispanic population dropped to 385,471 persons in 2016 from 390,139 in 2011. The White, not Hispanic share of the total population fell from 15.8% in 2011 to 14.5% in 2016.

The largest gain in the White, not Hispanic population occurred in Commission District 5, with an increase of 5,496 persons (17.3%). The White, not Hispanic population also increased in Commission District 4, adding 4,251 residents (5.2%); District 3 with an increase of more than 2,782 residents (15.3%); and District 11, up 1,439 residents (7.0%). The biggest decrease was Commission District 7, where the White, not Hispanic population fell 4,716 residents between 2011 and 2016 (-7.0%), followed closely by Commission District 10, down 3,289 residents (-16.9%), and District 8, down 3,252 White, not Hispanic residents (-5.5%).

Map 3 shows the concentration of the White, not Hispanic population by Census block group and commission district. Eighty percent of all block groups had a white, not-Hispanic concentration less than 34%. Ninety percent of the block groups had a White, not Hispanic concentration of less than 50%. Over 84% of the most concentrated White, not Hispanic block groups were in just four commission districts: Commission 4, District 5, District 7 and District 8.

**Chart 3 and Maps 2 and 3** summarize the data for all of the commission districts.
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Map 2: Percent Change in the White, not Hispanic Population of Commission Districts, 2011 to 2016
Map 3: Concentration of the White, Not Hispanic Population by Census Block Group - 2016

Legend
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Black, not Hispanic or Latino Population Change

The Black, not Hispanic or Latino population in Miami-Dade County grew 2.8% between 2011 and 2016, from 431,371 to 443,558 persons, to account for 16.6% of the total population. Seven of the 13 districts lost population. In Commission District 7, the Black, not Hispanic population declined by 3,487 from 64,928 to 61,441 (-5.4%); and Districts 5, 7, 10, 11, 12 and 13 lost another 2,278 Black, not Hispanic residents, combined.

The biggest gain in the Black, not Hispanic population was in Commission District 2, up 8,131 over the period, from 105,590 to 113,721 (7.7%). District 1 added 3,473 persons from 102,807 to 106,280 (3.4%). District 8 added 2,995 (14.6%), and District 3 was up 2,047 (2.3%).

The Black, not Hispanic population remained highly concentrated according to the 2016 data. Districts 1, 2, 3 and 9 accounted for 84% of the total Black, not Hispanic or Latino population. This is unchanged from 2011.

The Black, not Hispanic population was even more concentrated than the White population. Fifty percent of all block groups in the county had populations that were 5% or less Black, not Hispanic. There were 200 block groups, 12% of the total, where the Black, not Hispanic population accounted for two-thirds or more of the total. Nearly nine out of 10 of these block groups were in Commission District 1, District 2 or District 3.

Chart 4 and Maps 4 and 5 summarize the data for all of the commission districts.

Chart 4: Black, Not Hispanic or Latino Population/Percent Change
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Map 5: Concentration of the Black, Not Hispanic Population by Census Block Group - 2016
Hispanic or Latino Population Change

The Hispanic population in Miami-Dade County grew 11.1% between 2011 and 2016, from 1,593,648 to 1,769,923 persons. This represented 66.4% of the county’s total population. Every single commission district experienced growth in the Hispanic or Latino population. In fact, only three districts failed to achieve growth in the Hispanic or Latino population greater than countywide growth. These were District 5 (5.0%), District 10 (4.3%) and District 2 (3.7%).

The largest gains in the Hispanic or Latino population were found in Commission Districts 8, 9, and 12, each with the addition of more than 20,000 Hispanic or Latino residents. District 12 gained 25,618 residents (15.5%). District 8 added 23,360 (20.8%), and District 9 added 21,941 (19.6%).

The 2016 data showed that eight of the commission districts had Hispanic or Latino concentrations in excess of 60%. The concentrations ranged from 60.5% in District 8 up to 91.5% in District 13. District 6 (90.2%) and District 12 (90.9%) also had concentrations in excess of 90% in 2016. The 2014 data showed that only six of the districts had concentrations in excess of 60%, and none had a Hispanic or Latino concentration exceeding 90%.

While just 20% of block groups had a concentration of White or Black not Hispanic population greater than 34% and 32%, respectively, only 20% of block groups had a concentration of Hispanics or Latinos that was less than 34%. Six out of 10 block groups in the county had Hispanic or Latino concentrations greater than 57%, and two out of ten had concentrations greater than 93%. These very highly concentrated block groups were spread across six commission districts: 5, 6, 10, 11, 12 and 13.

Chart 5 and Maps 6 and 7 summarize the data for all of the commission districts.

Chart 5: Hispanic or Latino Population/Percent Change

Dept. of Regulatory and Economic Resources – Planning Research and Economic Analysis Section
Map 6: Percent Change in the Hispanic or Latino Population of Commission Districts, 2011 to 2016
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Map 7: Concentration of the Hispanic or Latino Population by Census Block Group - 2016
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Chart 6 summarizes the population data by age cohorts: under 18 years of age, 18 to 64 years, and 65 years and over. The percentages shown on each bar indicate the share of the total population each cohort represents.

The data shows that in all 13 districts the total of the working-age population increased. That the working-age population is growing is important. In order to support an increasingly older population (the population 65 years and over also increased in all 13 districts) either productivity or wages (or both) must grow, or the size of the workforce must grow. Productivity and wages in Miami-Dade County have grown very little in recent years; however, countywide the 18-to-64-year-old population grew by 8.0% between 2011 and 2016, though the 65-and-over population also grew, by 17.2%.
POPULATION GROWTH BY AGE

Population Change by Age Cohort

Countywide, the population under 18 years old grew by 3,703 children (0.7%) between 2011 and 2016. However, seven districts saw this group decline. This decline was led by Commission District 11 seeing a drop in the number of children of 3,030 (-6.7%), District 2 with a drop of 2,141 (-4.7%) and District 13 with a drop of 1,375 (-3.5%). These declines were offset by the other six districts, led by Commission District 9 with the addition of 4,302 (7.8%) children, District 5 with an additional 2,094 (6.9%), District 4 with a gain of 1,779 (5.1%) and District 6, up 1,743 (5.6%).

The 18-to-64-year-old population added 126,334 (8%) residents countywide between 2011 and 2016. Five districts added more than 10,000 to the working-age population. This was led by Commission District 8, which added 16,404 (13.4%); District 12 added 15,621 (12.8%); District 3 added 14,317 (12.2%); District 11 added 14,008 (10.8%); and District 6 added 12,271 (10.7%) 18-to-64-year-olds.

Countywide, the population 65 years and over increased by 59,705 residents between 2011 and 2016. The largest increases were seen in Commission District 8, up 7,004 (35.8%); District 12, up 6,561 (29%); and District 11, up 6,524 (29.1%).

Chart 7 and Maps 8 through 10 summarize the data for all of the commission districts.

Chart 7: Change in Population by Age Cohort
MAP 8: Percent Change in the Population Under 18 Years of Age of Commission Districts, 2011 to 2016
Map 9: Percent Change in the Population 18 to 64 Years of Age of Commission Districts, 2011 to 2016
Map 10: Percent Change in the Population 65 Years and older of Commission Districts, 2011 to 2016
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**Change in Share of Population Age Cohorts**

In summary, while the working-age population (18 to 64 years) remained nearly unchanged from 2011 at 64.2%, the 2016 data shows that the under-18 share of the population declined from 22.0% in 2011 to 20.6% in 2016. The share of children declined in all 13 districts countywide.

As noted, countywide the 18-to-64-year-old population share remained nearly unchanged, 64% in 2011 and 64.2% in 2016. Nine of the 13 districts in the county experienced the share of the working-age population increasing. The largest increase (1.65%) was in District 3, while the largest decrease was in District 9, at -1.5%.

Countywide, the 65-years-of-age-and-over cohort share increased 1.2% between 2011 and 2016 from 14.0% to 16.2%. The share of elderly increased in all 13 districts. The smallest increase, 0.2%, was experienced in Commission District 6, while the largest increase was found in District 11 at 2.1%.

**Chart 8** summarizes the data for all of the commission districts.

---

**Chart 8: Change in the Share of Population by Age Cohort**
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Median Age

The median age, the age at which exactly half the population is older and half is younger, increased countywide by 1 year, from 37.5 years in 2011 to 38.5 years in 2016. It increased in all 13 commission districts between 2011 and 2016.

Commission District 9 remained the youngest district, with a 2016 median age of 33.5 years, up slightly from 33.2 in 2011. The district with the oldest median age was Commission District 6 at 43.3 years, up from 42.6 years in 2011. It is one of five districts with a median age over 40 years, joined by Commission District 10 (43.0 years), District 4 (42.5 years), District 13 (41.2 years) and District 7 (40.7 years).

Six districts experienced the median age increasing between 2011 and 2016 by two years or more. Commission District 1 had the biggest increase, up 2.7 years; followed by Districts 2, 8, 11 and 13, all up 2.3 years; District 7 up 2.1 years; and District 3 up 2.0 years.

Chart 9 summarizes the data for all of the commission districts.

Chart 9: Median Age
Infographics by Commission District
### Demographics of Miami-Dade

#### Age by Sex

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Age Group</th>
<th>2016 Total</th>
<th>Under 5 years</th>
<th>5 to 17 years</th>
<th>18 to 24 years</th>
<th>25 to 44 years</th>
<th>45 to 64 years</th>
<th>65 to 74 years</th>
<th>75 years and over</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Male</td>
<td>1,289,724</td>
<td>2.6%</td>
<td>14.1%</td>
<td>18.3%</td>
<td>26.9%</td>
<td>26.8%</td>
<td>9.7%</td>
<td>5.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Female</td>
<td>1,373,694</td>
<td>2.7%</td>
<td>14.4%</td>
<td>19.9%</td>
<td>26.3%</td>
<td>26.7%</td>
<td>9.7%</td>
<td>5.1%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- **Estimated Median Age:** 38.5

#### Race

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Race Category</th>
<th>2016 Total</th>
<th>18 years and over</th>
<th>65 years and over</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>White not Hispanic</td>
<td>1,437,642</td>
<td>87.6%</td>
<td>12.4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Black not Hispanic</td>
<td>1,211,535</td>
<td>84.1%</td>
<td>15.9%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other (incl. multiple)</td>
<td>1,024,653</td>
<td>86.5%</td>
<td>13.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hispanic or Latino:</td>
<td>1,212,399</td>
<td>83.1%</td>
<td>16.9%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- **Data Sources:** 2016 ACS 5-year estimates (Released December, 2017); 2011 ACS 5-year estimates (Released December, 2012)
Demographics of District 1

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Age by Sex</th>
<th>2011 Data</th>
<th>2016 Data</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Male</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Under 5 years</td>
<td>6.1%</td>
<td>5.6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5 to 17 years</td>
<td>18.8%</td>
<td>15.8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18 and 24 years</td>
<td>11.6%</td>
<td>10.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25 to 44 years</td>
<td>27.5%</td>
<td>27.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>45 to 64 years</td>
<td>24.8%</td>
<td>27.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>65 and 74 years</td>
<td>7.0%</td>
<td>7.9%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>75 years and over</td>
<td>4.2%</td>
<td>6.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Female</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Under 5 years</td>
<td>5.6%</td>
<td>5.6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5 to 17 years</td>
<td>15.8%</td>
<td>15.8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18 and 24 years</td>
<td>10.1%</td>
<td>10.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25 to 44 years</td>
<td>27.1%</td>
<td>27.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>45 to 64 years</td>
<td>27.2%</td>
<td>27.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>65 and 74 years</td>
<td>7.9%</td>
<td>7.9%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>75 years and over</td>
<td>6.3%</td>
<td>6.3%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Data Sources: 2016 ACS 5-year estimates (Released December, 2017); 2011 ACS 5-year estimates (Released December, 2012)
Demographics of District 2

Data Sources: 2016 ACS 5-year estimates (Released December, 2017); 2011 ACS 5-year estimates (Released December, 2012)
### Demographics of District 3

#### Age by Sex

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Category</th>
<th>Male</th>
<th>Female</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Under 5 years</td>
<td>7.0%</td>
<td>7.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5 to 17 years</td>
<td>14.4%</td>
<td>14.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18 and 24 years</td>
<td>9.3%</td>
<td>10.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25 to 44 years</td>
<td>32.4%</td>
<td>30.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>45 to 64 years</td>
<td>26.2%</td>
<td>24.4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>65 and 74 years</td>
<td>6.6%</td>
<td>7.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>75 years and over</td>
<td>4.1%</td>
<td>6.0%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

#### Race

- White not Hispanic: 10.6%
- Black not Hispanic: 46.5%
- Other (incl. multiple): 1.9%
- Hispanic or Latino: 41.0%

**Data Sources:**
- 2016 ACS 5-year estimates (Released December, 2017)
- 2011 ACS 5-year estimates (Released December, 2012)
# Demographics of District 4

## Age  by Sex

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Age Group</th>
<th>Male</th>
<th>Female</th>
<th>Total</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Under 5 years</td>
<td>48.6%</td>
<td>51.4%</td>
<td>5.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5 to 17 years</td>
<td>42.3%</td>
<td>38.8%</td>
<td>13.7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18 and 24 years</td>
<td>28.3%</td>
<td>27.7%</td>
<td>6.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25 to 44 years</td>
<td>27.9%</td>
<td>27.7%</td>
<td>27.7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>45 to 64 years</td>
<td>28.3%</td>
<td>27.9%</td>
<td>27.9%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>65 and 74 years</td>
<td>10.1%</td>
<td>11.2%</td>
<td>9.6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>75 years and over</td>
<td>7.9%</td>
<td>11.1%</td>
<td>9.6%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

## Race

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Race</th>
<th>Total</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>White not Hispanic</td>
<td>44.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Black not Hispanic</td>
<td>8.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other (incl. multiple)</td>
<td>3.8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hispanic or Latino</td>
<td>43.9%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

## Estimated Median Age: 42.5

**Data Sources:** 2016 ACS 5-year estimates (Released December, 2017); 2011 ACS 5-year estimates (Released December, 2012)
Demographics of District 5

**Estimated Median Age:** 39.5

**Male** 50.0%  **Female** 50.0%

- **Under 5 years** 5.8%
- **5 to 17 years** 9.6%
- **18 and 24 years** 6.9%
- **25 to 44 years** 35.2%
- **45 to 64 years** 24.6%
- **65 and 74 years** 8.7%
- **75 years and over** 9.1%

**Data Sources:** 2016 ACS 5-year estimates (Released December, 2017); 2011 ACS 5-year estimates (Released December, 2012)
Demographics of District 6

**Total 201,919**

**Age by Sex**

- **Male**
  - Under 5 years: 5.0%
  - 5 to 17 years: 11.3%
  - 18 and 24 years: 7.9%
  - 25 to 44 years: 27.2%
  - 45 to 64 years: 28.0%
  - 65 and 74 years: 9.9%
  - 75 years and over: 10.7%
  - Estimated Median Age: 43.3

- **Female**
  - Under 5 years: 4.4%
  - 5 to 17 years: 10.7%
  - 18 and 24 years: 7.5%
  - 25 to 44 years: 26.3%
  - 45 to 64 years: 27.5%
  - 65 and 74 years: 10.9%
  - 75 years and over: 12.8%

**Race**

- White not Hispanic: 7.8%
- Black not Hispanic: 0.8%
- Other (incl. multiple): 1.2%
- Hispanic or Latino: 90.2%

**Data Sources:** 2016 ACS 5-year estimates (Released December, 2017); 2011 ACS 5-year estimates (Released December, 2012)
Demographics of District 7

### Total 2016
- Under 5 years: 5.3%
- 5 to 17 years: 14.4%
- 18 and 24 years: 9.5%
- 25 to 44 years: 26.6%
- 45 to 64 years: 27.1%
- 65 and 74 years: 9.3%
- 75 years and over: 7.8%

**Estimated Median Age:** 40.7

### Age by Sex

#### Male
- Under 5 years: 6.0%
- 5 to 17 years: 15.1%
- 18 and 24 years: 10.1%
- 25 to 44 years: 27.4%
- 45 to 64 years: 26.4%
- 65 and 74 years: 9.6%
- 75 years and over: 6.0%

#### Female
- Under 5 years: 4.6%
- 5 to 17 years: 13.8%
- 18 and 24 years: 8.9%
- 25 to 44 years: 25.8%
- 45 to 64 years: 27.8%
- 65 and 74 years: 9.6%
- 75 years and over: 9.5%

### 18 years and over
- Male: 38.7%
- Female: 41.6%

### 65 years and over
- Male: 5.3%
- Female: 6.9%

### 16 to 64 years
- Male: 33.1%
- Female: 33.5%

### Under 18 Years
- Male: 19.7%
- Female: 19.0%

### 21 years and over
- Male: 75.6%
- Female: 69.7%

### 62 years and over
- Male: 20.7%
- Female: 19.8%

### Race
- White not Hispanic: 29.9%
- Black not Hispanic: 4.5%
- Other (incl. multiple): 4.1%
- Hispanic or Latino: 61.4%

**Data Sources:**
- 2016 ACS 5-year estimates (Released December, 2017)
- 2011 ACS 5-year estimates (Released December, 2012)
Demographics of District 8

Data Sources: 2016 ACS 5-year estimates (Released December, 2017); 2011 ACS 5-year estimates (Released December, 2012)
Demographics of District 9

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Age Group</th>
<th>2016 Total</th>
<th>2011 Data</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Under 5 years</td>
<td>7.1%</td>
<td>7.8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5 to 17 years</td>
<td>19.0%</td>
<td>18.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18 and 24 years</td>
<td>10.2%</td>
<td>9.8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25 to 44 years</td>
<td>28.2%</td>
<td>28.4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>45 to 64 years</td>
<td>24.8%</td>
<td>25.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>65 and 74 years</td>
<td>6.2%</td>
<td>7.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>75 years and over</td>
<td>4.5%</td>
<td>5.3%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Estimated Median Age: 33.5

Data Sources: 2016 ACS 5-year estimates (Released December, 2017); 2011 ACS 5-year estimates (Released December, 2012)
# Demographics of District 10

**Estimated Median Age:** 43.0

### Age by Sex

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Age Group</th>
<th>Male</th>
<th>Female</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Under 5 years</td>
<td>4.7%</td>
<td>4.6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5 to 17 years</td>
<td>12.4%</td>
<td>11.8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18 and 24 years</td>
<td>8.7%</td>
<td>8.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25 to 44 years</td>
<td>26.1%</td>
<td>24.8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>45 to 64 years</td>
<td>28.5%</td>
<td>29.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>65 and 74 years</td>
<td>9.5%</td>
<td>10.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>75 years and over</td>
<td>8.0%</td>
<td>11.3%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Race

- White not Hispanic: 8.2%
- Black not Hispanic: 0.6%
- Other (incl. multiple): 1.6%
- Hispanic or Latino: 89.5%

**Data Sources:**
- 2016 ACS 5-year estimates (Released December, 2017)
- 2011 ACS 5-year estimates (Released December, 2012)
### Demographics of District 11

**Total Population: 214,365**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Age Group</th>
<th>2016 Percentage</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Under 5 years</td>
<td>5.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5 to 17 years</td>
<td>14.4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18 and 24 years</td>
<td>11.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25 to 44 years</td>
<td>27.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>45 to 64 years</td>
<td>28.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>65 and 74 years</td>
<td>7.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>75 years and over</td>
<td>6.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Estimated Median Age:</strong></td>
<td>38.8</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Age by Sex**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Age Group</th>
<th>Male %</th>
<th>Female %</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Under 5 years</td>
<td>4.9%</td>
<td>5.4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5 to 17 years</td>
<td>16.1%</td>
<td>12.8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18 and 24 years</td>
<td>12.0%</td>
<td>10.6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25 to 44 years</td>
<td>28.3%</td>
<td>26.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>45 to 64 years</td>
<td>28.1%</td>
<td>28.9%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>65 and 74 years</td>
<td>6.3%</td>
<td>7.9%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>75 years and over</td>
<td>4.3%</td>
<td>8.2%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Race**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Race Category</th>
<th>Percentage</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>White not Hispanic</td>
<td>10.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Black not Hispanic</td>
<td>2.9%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other (incl. multiple)</td>
<td>3.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hispanic or Latino</td>
<td>83.8%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Data Sources:**

2016 ACS 5-year estimates (Released December, 2017); 2011 ACS 5-year estimates (Released December, 2012)
Demographics of District 12

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>2016</th>
<th>0%</th>
<th>10%</th>
<th>20%</th>
<th>30%</th>
<th>40%</th>
<th>50%</th>
<th>60%</th>
<th>70%</th>
<th>80%</th>
<th>90%</th>
<th>100%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>210,169</td>
<td>0.5%</td>
<td>5.3%</td>
<td>15.2%</td>
<td>9.5%</td>
<td>29.3%</td>
<td>26.7%</td>
<td>7.5%</td>
<td>6.4%</td>
<td>38.4%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Under 5 years</td>
<td>5%</td>
<td>5.3%</td>
<td>15.2%</td>
<td>9.5%</td>
<td>29.3%</td>
<td>26.7%</td>
<td>7.5%</td>
<td>6.4%</td>
<td>38.4%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5 to 17 years</td>
<td>10%</td>
<td>5.3%</td>
<td>15.2%</td>
<td>9.5%</td>
<td>29.3%</td>
<td>26.7%</td>
<td>7.5%</td>
<td>6.4%</td>
<td>38.4%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18 to 24 years</td>
<td>15%</td>
<td>5.3%</td>
<td>15.2%</td>
<td>9.5%</td>
<td>29.3%</td>
<td>26.7%</td>
<td>7.5%</td>
<td>6.4%</td>
<td>38.4%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25 to 44 years</td>
<td>20%</td>
<td>5.3%</td>
<td>15.2%</td>
<td>9.5%</td>
<td>29.3%</td>
<td>26.7%</td>
<td>7.5%</td>
<td>6.4%</td>
<td>38.4%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>45 to 64 years</td>
<td>25%</td>
<td>5.3%</td>
<td>15.2%</td>
<td>9.5%</td>
<td>29.3%</td>
<td>26.7%</td>
<td>7.5%</td>
<td>6.4%</td>
<td>38.4%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>65 to 74 years</td>
<td>30%</td>
<td>5.3%</td>
<td>15.2%</td>
<td>9.5%</td>
<td>29.3%</td>
<td>26.7%</td>
<td>7.5%</td>
<td>6.4%</td>
<td>38.4%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>75 years and over</td>
<td>35%</td>
<td>5.3%</td>
<td>15.2%</td>
<td>9.5%</td>
<td>29.3%</td>
<td>26.7%</td>
<td>7.5%</td>
<td>6.4%</td>
<td>38.4%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Estimated Median Age: 38.4

Age by Sex

Male
- Under 5 years: 48.3%
- 5 to 17 years: 14.8%
- 18 to 24 years: 8.9%
- 25 to 44 years: 28.4%
- 45 to 64 years: 27.3%
- 65 to 74 years: 7.7%
- 75 years and over: 7.6%

Female
- Under 5 years: 51.7%
- 5 to 17 years: 15.7%
- 18 to 24 years: 10.3%
- 25 to 44 years: 30.4%
- 45 to 64 years: 26.1%
- 65 to 74 years: 7.3%
- 75 years and over: 5.1%

Race

White not Hispanic: 5.5%
Black not Hispanic: 1.9%
Other (incl. multiple): 1.6%
Hispanic or Latino: 90.9%

Data Sources: 2016 ACS 5-year estimates (Released December, 2017); 2011 ACS 5-year estimates (Released December, 2012)
Demographics of District 13

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Age Group</th>
<th>2016 Total</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Under 5 years</td>
<td>5.4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5 to 17 years</td>
<td>14.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18 and 24 years</td>
<td>8.7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25 to 44 years</td>
<td>27.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>45 to 64 years</td>
<td>26.7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>65 and 74 years</td>
<td>8.9%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>75 years and over</td>
<td>8.6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Estimated Median Age:</td>
<td>41.2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Data Sources: 2016 ACS 5-year estimates (Released December, 2017); 2011 ACS 5-year estimates (Released December, 2012)
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