

AUDIT AND MANAGEMENT SERVICES DEPARTMENT OVERTOWN TRANSIT VILLAGE

701 NW 1ST COURT – SUITE 8-175

MIAMI, FLORIDA 33136 TELEPHONE: 786-469-5900

FAX: 786-469-5933

July 14, 2022

Mr. Rafael G. Casals Town Manager Town of Cutler Bay 10720 Caribbean Boulevard, Suite 105 Cutler Bay, FL 33189

Re: Final Audit Report – Charter County Transportation System Surtax Review – Town of Cutler Bay

Dear Mr. Casals:

Attached is the above-referenced Final Audit Report that was discussed with your Finance staff. We appreciate the courtesies and assistance extended to our staff during the audit process. Please contact me at (786) 469-5900, should you have any questions.

Sincerely,

Cathy Jackson

Director

CJ:bm

Attachment

c: Javier A. Betancourt, Executive Director, OCITT

Memorandum MIAMI-DADE

Date:

July 6, 2022

To:

Javier A. Betancourt, Executive Director

Office of the Citizens' Independent Transportation Trust (OCITT)

Cathy Juchson

From:

Cathy Jackson, Director

Audit and Management Services Department (AMS)

Subject:

Final Audit Report – Charter County Transportation System Surtax Review –

Town of Cutler Bay

PURPOSE AND SCOPE

We performed a review of the Town of Cutler Bay's (Town) use of Charter County Transportation System Surtax (Surtax) Proceeds remitted by Miami-Dade County (County) for the three years ended September 30, 2021. The primary objective was to ensure Surtax Proceeds were used in compliance with the *Interlocal Agreement for Distribution, Use and Reporting of Charter County Transit System Surtax Proceeds Levied by Miami-Dade County (Interlocal Agreement)*, executed on September 30, 2011. Additionally, we assessed resolution of prior audit findings referenced in our Report dated June 13, 2019 (Exhibit I).

BACKGROUND

County Ordinance No. 02-116, enacted on July 9, 2002, imposed a one-half of one percent Surtax on eligible sales transactions for Transportation-related projects. However, at least 20% of the Surtax Proceeds received by the County must be distributed to municipalities incorporated as of November 5, 2002, on a pro-rata basis using population statistics (Schedule III). The Surtax Program is administered by the Citizens' Independent Transportation Trust (CITT), a group comprised of 15 members appointed by the Board of County Commissioners, County Mayor, and Miami-Dade League of Cities.

At the time of Surtax adoption, there were 31 municipalities eligible for Surtax Proceeds. Subsequently, three new municipalities were incorporated (Cities of Doral, Miami Gardens, and the Town of Cutler Bay), which executed Interlocal and/or Settlement Agreements with the County to receive a share of Surtax Proceeds consistent with other municipalities.

Surtax monies may be used to develop, construct, equip, maintain, operate, or expand Countywide bus systems, fixed guideway rapid transit systems, roads, and bridges, as well as secure such bonds or pay debt service. Further, the Town must apply at least 20% of the Surtax Proceeds to Transit-related projects, such as circulator buses, bus shelters, bus pullout bays, or other related infrastructure. *CITT Resolution No. 09-055*, adopted July 30, 2009, allows for the rollover of unspent Surtax funds for up to five years, provided the municipality's Five-Year Transportation Plan demonstrates how the funds will be used. Additionally, *CITT Resolution No. 15-027*, adopted

May 20, 2015, allows for carryover credits in the event amounts expended are in excess of annual Surtax allocations.

SUMMARY RESULTS

For the three years ended September 30, 2021, the Town received \$5.6 million in Surtax Proceeds and claimed Transit and Transportation-related expenditures totaling \$3 million (Table I). The requirement for municipalities to annually continue the same level of General Fund support for Transportation projects appropriated in their Fiscal Year (FY) 2002 Budget, or Maintenance of Effort, is not applicable, as the Town was not incorporated until November 2005.

Table I Surtax Statistics

Sur	L A	Statistic						
		Fiscal Y	r 30,					
Description		2019		2020		2021	1	All Years
Revenues (Schedule I):								24
Surtax Proceeds	\$	1,933,079	\$	1,702,518	\$	2,008,359	\$	5,643,956
Interest Income	l	32,469	_	22,743	_	7,803	_	63,015
	\$	1,965,548	\$	1,725,261	\$	2,016,162	\$	5,706,971
Claimed Expenditures (Table II):								
Transit	\$	385,407	\$	333,124	\$	509,148	\$	1,227,679
Transportation	l_	723,341	_	863,610	_	206,055	-	1,793,006
Total Claimed (Schedule I)	\$	1,108,748	\$	1,196,734	<u>\$</u>	715,203	\$	3,020,685
Maintenance of Effort (MOE)	<u>\$</u>		<u>\$</u>		<u>\$</u>		<u>\$</u>	
Unspent Surtax Proceeds (Schedule II):								
Transit	\$	568,817	\$	576,197	\$	468,721		
Transportation	_	3,188,781	_	3,709,928		5,118,363		
4	\$	3,757,598	\$	4,286,125	\$	5,587,084		
Key Account Balances as of September 30th:								
CITT Special Revenue Fund (Schedule I):								
Cash and Cash Equivalents	\$	3,644,372	\$	4,150,952	\$	5,539,531		
Restricted Fund Balance	\$	3,757,598	\$	4,286,125	\$	5,587,084		

Overall, the Town satisfactorily complied with Program objectives, and unspent Surtax Proceeds have been appropriately restricted in the *CITT Special Revenue Fund*. The Town should update its Five-Year Transportation Plan to demonstrate how the \$5.6 million in unspent Surtax Proceeds will be utilized, as required per *CITT Resolution No. 09-055*.

These and other findings are more fully discussed in the remainder of this Report, along with the Town's Responses which satisfactorily addressed our findings (Attachment I). Based on the foregoing, we now consider this audit closed. We appreciate the courtesies extended to our staff during the audit process. Please contact me, at (786) 469-5900, if you have any questions.

FINDINGS AND RECOMMENDATIONS

Use of Surtax Proceeds

As mentioned previously, the Town received \$5.6 million in Surtax Proceeds and claimed \$1.2 and \$1.8 million in Transit and Transportation-related expenditures, respectively, during the audit period (Table II).

Table II
Summary of Claimed Transit and Transportation Expenditures

114				_		-	
100		ear l		mbe			
	2019		2020	100	2021	A	Il Years
\$	324,620	\$	324,620	\$	324,620	\$	973,860
	-				184,528		184,528
	42,534		-		-		42,534
	18,253		1,554		-		19,807
l_	-	_	6,950	_	-		6,950
\$	385,407	\$	333,124	\$	509,148	\$	1,227,679
\$	217,158	\$	668,043	\$	-	\$	885,201
	-		86,287		126,997		213,284
	200,715		-		-		200,715
	58,958		53,850		34,257	1	147,065
	116,226		-		-		116,226
	88,968		33,546		-		122,514
	20,100		21,884		22,271		64,255
	3,110		-		22,530		25,640
_	18,106		-	_		l_	18,106
\$	723,341	\$	863,610	\$	206,055	\$	1,793,006
	50,438		34,289		28,208		112,935
					11,535	_	11,535
	50,438	_	34,289	_	39,743	_	124,470
	\$ \$	\$ 324,620 - 42,534 18,253 - \$ 385,407 \$ 217,158 - 200,715 58,958 116,226 88,968 20,100 3,110 18,106 \$ 723,341	\$ 324,620 \$ 42,534 18,253 \$ 385,407 \$ \$ \$ 217,158 \$ \$ 200,715 58,958 116,226 88,968 20,100 3,110 18,106 \$ 723,341 \$ \$ 50,438 \$ 50,438 \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$	S 324,620 S 324,620 C C C C C C C C C	Fiscal Year Ended Septembe 2019 2020 \$ 324,620 \$ 324,620 42,534 - 18,253 1,554 - 6,950 \$ 385,407 \$ 333,124 \$ 217,158 \$ 668,043 \$ 86,287 200,715 - 58,958 53,850 116,226 - 88,968 33,546 20,100 21,884 3,110 - 18,106 - \$ 723,341 \$ 863,610 \$ 50,438 34,289 - -	Fiscal Year Ended September 30, 2019 2020 2021 \$ 324,620 \$ 324,620 \$ 324,620 - 42,534 6,950	2019 2020 2021 A \$ 324,620 \$ 324,620 \$ 324,620 \$ 324,620 \$ 184,528 42,534 -

Source: Town of Cutler Bay General Ledgers and Vendor Invoices

At least 20% of the Surtax Proceeds (\$1.1 million) must be used for Transit-related projects, and the Town claimed \$1.2 million for its Municipal Circulator, *GO Connect* on-demand services, and bus shelters. On-demand services with *GO Connect* began in December 2020, when the Town received a matching grant of \$770,000 from the Florida Department of Transportation (FDOT). The Town properly recorded only its 50% share of *GO Connect* matching costs as Surtax expenditures. As shown on Schedule II, unspent Transit Proceeds totaled \$468,721 as of September 30, 2021.

The remaining 80% (\$4.5 million) was used primarily for drainage, resurfacing, and sidewalk improvements. The Town did not fully utilize Surtax monies received, resulting in unspent Transportation Proceeds of \$5.1 million as of September 30, 2021 (Schedule II).

Recommendation

The Town should submit an updated Five-Year Transportation Plan reflecting planned uses of unspent Surtax Proceeds, per CITT Resolution No. 09-055.

Auditee Response

The Town has updated its Five-Year Transportation Plan and has attached it to this response for review and consideration by the Office of the CITT (Attachment I).

Reporting Requirements

Quarterly use of Surtax Proceeds reported to OCITT varied significantly from expenditures claimed during the audit period.

Recommendation

Prospectively, the Town should submit accurate reports to OCITT.

Auditee Response

After consulting with Ms. Cinta, our Finance Director learned that this recommendation resulted primarily from the costs incurred for the "On Demand/Go Connect" program. The Town accepted a grant to participate in this program and is using Transit-Related Surtax revenues as the grant match for the program. However, the Town is not operating the service. As with its circulator bus service, the Town has entered into an Interlocal Agreement with Miami-Dade County (the "County") for the County to operate the On Demand/Go Connect service on the Town's behalf and then the County would bill the Town for the cost.

The On Demand/Go Connect service commenced in December 2020, however, we have yet to be invoiced by the County as the Interlocal Agreement is still pending approval by the Board of County Commissioners. We were provided with a summary of the cost incurred but not yet billed from inception through October 2021, which we in turn provided to Ms. Cinta. For our independent financial audit, the Town accrued the cost for the period December 2020 through September 2021. However, these costs, totaling \$369,056 for the period (half funded by the grant and half by our Surtax revenues), were not reflected in the quarterly reports submitted to the Office of the CITT since it has been our historical practice to only reflect on the quarterly reports amounts actually expended by the Town. These On Demand/Go Connect costs still have not been "expended" by the Town since we have not yet been billed for these costs by the County.

AMS Response

As shown in Table II, the Town appropriately claimed \$184,528 in FY 2021 for GO Connect on-demand services provided by the Miami Dade County Department of Transportation and Public Works representing 50% of the costs incurred but not billed. Under generally accepted governmental accounting principles these costs, though unbilled but accrued for, are considered expended and thus were allowed in FY 2021.

OTHER MATTERS

The Town in their Response (Attachment I) addressed items that were in the Draft Audit Report sent to them in April 2022 or discussed during subsequent meetings. To assure appropriate resolution, these matters are incorporated herein with our concluding remarks.

1. Expenditures related to a TPO grant for a Mobility Hub study/plan:

In the draft audit report, certain expenditures made by the Town in fiscal years 2019 and 2020 were classified as Transportation-Related "Traffic Studies". The Town recorded these expenditures as Transit-Related pursuant to the nature of the underlying grant project. After clarification from Mr. Daddario as to the nature of the grant project, Ms. Cinta consulted with you, and it was agreed that these expenditures would be reclassified in the final audit report to the Transit-Related category.

AMS Response

The TPO Mobility Study expenses are now classified as Transit-related expenditures (Table II).

2. 5% Administrative Fee:

As noted by Ms. Cinta, the CITT regulations allow jurisdictions to claim 5% administrative fee to cover overhead costs of administering the CITT surtax revenue program. Historically, the Town has never actually claimed the 5% allowed fee. For the period of the audit, that resulted in an unclaimed administrative fee of \$282,198 (Table II) and, as a result, as shown in Table I, the Town's calculated "Restricted Fund Balance" (\$5,587,084) is greater than the audit's calculated "Unspent Surtax Proceeds" (\$5,304,886) by that amount. As discussed between Ms. Cinta and Mr. Daddario, this "difference" is not considered an audit finding or audit issue, but rather simply an unclaimed fee that is electable at the discretion of the Town. At this point in time, the Town does not wish to claim this 5% administrative fee.

AMS Response

As requested, the AMS adjustment for the 5% administrative expense fee for the three-year audit period was removed from Table II and thus, no adjustment was required to the Town's Restricted Fund Balance of \$5,587,084 (Table I and Schedule I).

3. Interest Income:

The Town has historically allocated interest income to both the Transit-Related and Transportation-Related categories, generally following the same split percentage used to allocate the Surtax revenues to those categories. We noted in Schedule II in the draft audit report that all of the interest income was allocated to the Transportation-Related category. After discussion with Ms. Cinta, we understand that allocating all of the interest income to the Transportation-Related category is simply how the CITT auditors rollforward the unspent amounts and there are no requirements in the CITT regulations that prevent us from allocating the interest income between the two categories as we have always done. Again, this "difference" is not considered an audit finding or audit issue, but rather an "alternative practice" in rolling forward the unspent amounts. On a combined basis, our total unspent amounts match those calculated by the audit, except for the unclaimed 5% administrative fee discussed in #2 above.

At this time, the Town will continue its practice of allocating interest income between the Transit-Related and Transportation-Related categories.

AMS Response

We concur that the Town may continue its practice of allocating interest income between the Transit-Related and Transportation-Related categories.

CJ:bm

Attachments

c: Honorable Harvey A. Ruvin, Clerk of the Courts Geri Bonzon-Keenan, County Attorney Edward Marquez, Chief Financial Officer Jimmy Morales, Chief Operations Officer David L. Clodfelter, Director, Office of Management and Budget Rafael G. Casals, Town Manager, Town of Cutler Bay

Charter County Transportation System Surtax Review - Town of Cutler Bay CITT Special Revenue Fund Financial Statements

Balance Shee	ts		
	As	of September 3	30,
Description	2019	2020	2021
Assets:			
Cash and Cash Equivalents	\$ 3,644,372	\$ 4,150,952	\$ 5,539,531
Accounts Receivable, net	502,078	393,971	543,991
Total Assets	\$ 4,146,450	\$ 4,544,923	\$ 6,083,522
Accounts Payable and Accrued Liabilities	\$ 388,852	\$ 258,798	\$ 266,300
Unavailable Revenues	-	-	230,138
Restricted Fund Balance	3,757,598	4,286,125	5,587,084
Total Liabilities and Fund Balance	\$ 4,146,450	\$ 4,544,923	\$ 6,083,522
Statements of Revenues, Expenditures, a			
	Fiscal Ye	ear Ended Septe	ember 30,
Description	2019	2020	2021
Revenues:			
Surtax	\$ 1,933,079	\$ 1,702,518	\$ 2,008,359
Interest	32,469	22,743	7,803
Total Revenues	1,965,548	1,725,261	2,016,162
General Government Expenditures	(607,522)	(1,021,497)	(346,891)
Transfers Out	(501,226)	(175,237)	(368,312)
Total Expenditures and Transfers (Table I)	(1,108,748)	(1,196,734)	(715,203)
Net Change in Fund Balance	856,800	528,527	1,300,959
Restricted Fund Balance, Beginning of Year	2,900,798	3,757,598	4,286,125
Restricted Fund Balance, End of Year	\$ 3,757,598	\$ 4,286,125	\$ 5,587,084

Source: Town of Cutler Bay Audited Financial Statements and General Ledgers

These Financial Statements are not complete without the accompanying Independent Auditors' Reports and Notes.

Charter County Transportation System Surtax Review - Town of Cutler Bay Surtax Proceeds Usage Analysis

Production of the second second		Fiscal Y	ear	Ended Septe	emb	er 30,	
Description		2019		2020		2021	All Years
Revenues (Schedule I):							
Surtax Proceeds 1	\$	1,933,079	\$	1,702,518	\$	2,008,359	\$ 5,643,956
Interest Income	_	32,469		22,743		7,803	 63,015
Total Revenues	\$	1,965,548	\$	1,725,261	\$	2,016,162	\$ 5,706,971
Surtax Uses (Table II):							
Eligible Transit Expenditures	\$	385,407	\$	333,124	\$	509,148	\$ 1,227,679
Eligible Transportation Expenditures	\$	723,341	\$	863,610	\$	206,055	\$ 1,793,006
AMS Analysis:						ar-	
Transit-Related Expenditures:		E .					
Expenditures Available for Surtax Use	\$	385,407	\$	333,124	\$	509,148	\$ 1,227,679
Less 20% Minimum Amount ²	_	(386,616)		(340,504)		(401,672)	 (1,128,792)
(Increase) Decrease in Rollover Amounts	\$	(1,209)	\$	(7,380)	\$	107,476	\$ 98,887
Analysis of Unspent Rollover:				-		-	
Beginning Balance ³	\$	567,608	\$	568,817	\$	576,197	\$ 567,608
Increase (Decrease) in Unspent Amounts	_	1,209	_	7,380		(107,476)	 (98,887)
Remaining Unspent Amount	\$	568,817	\$	576,197	\$	468,721	\$ 468,721
Transportation-Related Expenditures:	e .						
Expenditures Available for Surtax Use	\$	723,341	\$	863,610	\$	206,055	\$ 1,793,006
Less Remaining 80% Amount ²		(1,546,463)		(1,362,014)		(1,606,687)	(4,515,164)
Less Interest Income		(32,469)		(22,743)		(7,803)	 (63,015)
Increase in Rollover Amounts	\$	(855,591)	<u>\$</u>	(521,147)	\$	(1,408,435)	\$ (2,785,173)
Analysis of Unspent Rollover:							
Beginning Balance ³	\$	2,333,190	\$	3,188,781	\$	3,709,928	\$ 2,333,190
Increase in Rollover Amounts		855,591		521,147	y	1,408,435	 2,785,173
Remaining Unspent Amount	<u>\$</u>	3,188,781	\$	3,709,928	\$	5,118,363	\$ 5,118,363

¹ Amounts do not agree with Schedule III due to timing differences.

² At least 20% of the Surtax Proceeds must be used on Transit-related projects, such as circulator buses, and the remaining funds (80%) are earmarked for eligible Transportation projects.

³ Per the June 13, 2019 Audit Report.

Charter County Transportation System Surtax Review Summary of Payments to Municipalities

		Fiscal Year Ended September 30,							
Municipality	2003 to 2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020	2021	All Years	
City of Miami 1	\$ 163,251,890	\$ 16,889,133	\$ 16,523,990	\$ 18,187,632	\$ 19,212,362	\$ 17,998,849	\$ 22,285,992	\$ 274,349,848	
City of Hialeah	94,519,774	9,243,159	9,346,775	9,873,020	10,107,558	8,933,032	10,881,914	152,905,232	
City of Miami Beach	37,554,491	3,670,335	3,678,499	3,925,123	3,963,754	3,458,999	4,266,480	60,517,681	
City of Miami Gardens 2	22,375,665	4,336,722	4,409,954	4,737,286	4,846,211	4,248,977	5,187,794	50,142,609	
City of North Miami	24,592,952	2,454,241	2,473,804	2,665,998	2,700,412	2,348,890	2,955,552	40,191,849	
City of Homestead	20,867,813	2,669,792	2,788,854	2,969,698	3,152,022	2,762,015	3,460,648	38,670,842	
City of Coral Gables	18,755,177	1,955,854	1,981,236	2,091,593	2,132,315	1,893,283	2,298,517	31,107,975	
City of North Miami Beach	17,154,830	1,733,203	1,746,039	1,882,765	1,945,188	1,705,603	2,164,880	28,332,508	
City of Doral ²	9,003,402	2,120,606	2,232,433	2,508,437	2,747,033	2,551,901	3,196,639	24,360,451	
City of Aventura	12,992,928	1,494,036	1,502,980	1,590,870	1,613,705	1,413,111	1,726,374	22,334,004	
Town of Cutler Bay 2	8,525,502	1,721,858	1,769,140	1,899,220	1,935,984	1,696,665	2,061,382	19,609,751	
Town of Miami Lakes 1	11,086,213	1,209,319	1,211,635	1,288,227	1,309,407	1,163,617	1,430,953	18,699,371	
Village of Palmetto Bay	10,074,295	952,951	956,304	1,013,542	1,033,365	902,837	1,104,932	16,038,226	
City of Hialeah Gardens	8,635,855	904,713	922,372	986,599	1,007,122	882,753	1,072,793	14,412,207	
City of Sunny Isles Beach	7,759,606	869,990	866,019	933,221	951,809	841,547	1,055,544	13,277,736	
Village of Pinecrest	7,840,962	737,876	738,316	777,521	790,584	691,410	840,243	12,416,912	
City of Miami Springs	5,682,283	562,418	565,087	601,223	608,639	530,692	646,273	9,196,615	
City of Sweetwater 1	5,070,356	273,778	133,332	466,662	166,116	1,850,267	1,013,554	8,974,065	
City of Opa-locka 1	6,329,004	90,323	195,000	210,000	165,000	1,112,782	469,710	8,571,819	
City of South Miami	4,767,184	546,219	547,720	546,151	541,343	473,555	588,532	8,010,704	
Village of Key Biscayne	4,809,375	502,197	508,734	540,692	550,288	481,895	586,580	7,979,761	
City of Florida City	4,132,139	498,385	504,443	542,769	557,265	488,960	601,470	7,325,431	
Miami Shores Village	4,308,419	419,557	420,697	443,831	447,113	391,399	490,481	6,921,497	
North Bay Village	2,808,576	314,789	328,007	378,523	384,140	335,832	411,904	4,961,771	
City of West Miami	2,445,250	241,053	241,373	279,166	307,465	291,897	355,342	4,161,546	
Town of Surfside	2,314,098	229,428	228,739	234,500	248,902	221,896	273,043	3,750,606	
Town of Bay Harbor Islands	2,209,631	231,953	222,682	234,375	249,414	220,923	274,132	3,643,110	
Bal Harbour Village	1,262,745	114,472	111,421	114,881	125,178	109,190	132,732	1,970,619	
Village of Biscayne Park 1	1,342,176	125,900	126,220	135,905	135,965	10,084	-	1,876,250	
Village of Virginia Gardens	973,380	96,229	96,903	102,910	103,132	90,977	110,807	1,574,338	
Village of El Portal 1,3	1,012,447	6,467	174,873	90,094	89,175	77,404	79,627	1,530,087	
Town of Medley	429,285	34,442	33,529	35,277	35,617	31,486	38,448	638,084	
Town of Golden Beach 1	365,820	36,766	37,221	39,423	39,386	34,961	42,988	596,565	
Indian Creek Village	3,604							3,604	
	\$ 525,257,127	\$ 57,288,164	\$ 57,624,331	\$ 62,327,134	\$ 64,202,969	\$ 60,247,689	\$ 72,106,260	\$ 899,053,674	

Source: Office of the Citizens' Independent Transportation Trust (OCITT) and County General Ledgers

Amounts are net of withholdings of \$76,553 from the Town of Miami Lakes, \$766,362 from the City of Sweetwater, \$21,058 from the Town of Golden Beach, \$2.2 million from the City of Opa-locka, \$3.3 million from the City of Miami, \$255,236 from the Village of Biscayne Park, and \$17,970 from the Village of El Portal due to specific instances of noncompliance.

² Pursuant to the respective Interlocal and/or Settlement Agreements, these Municipalities, which were incorporated after November 2002, are receiving a share of the County's Surtax Proceeds consistent with the other Municipal distributions.

³ Amount in FY 2016 is net of \$84,069 withheld due to instances of noncompliance, which was returned in FY 2017 by OCITT.

Charter County Transportation System Surtax Review – Town of Cutler Bay Status of Prior Audit Findings ¹

Finding	Recommendation	Auditee Response	Current Status
Use of Surtax Proceeds			
The Town of Cutler Bay (Town) was unable to use \$568,000 of Transit and \$2.3 million of Transportation Proceeds during the three years ended September 30, 2018.	reflecting planned uses of unspent	The Town issued a revised Five-Year Transportation Plan. They planned to use unspent Transit funds by FY 2020 and unspent Transportation funds by FY 2023.	<u>Unresolved</u> As of September 30, 2021, unspent Transit and Transportation Proceeds totaled \$468,721 and \$5.1 million, respectively. <i>See Page 3 of the Audit Report.</i>
Reporting Requirements			
Quarterly uses of Surtax Proceeds reported to OCITT varied significantly from expenditures claimed during the audit period.		No response.	Unresolved Similar variances were noted during the current audit. See Page 4 of the Audit Report.

¹ For the full text, see the June 13, 2019 Audit Report and the Town's Response dated July 23, 2019.

Attachment r



OFFICE OF THE TOWN MANAGER

Rafael G. Casals, ICMA-CM, CFM Town Manager

June 6, 2022

Ms. Cathy Jackson
Director
Audit and Management Services Department
Overtown Transit Village
701 N.W. 1 Court, Suite 8-175
Miami, FL 33136

Re: Comments on Draft Audit Report - Charter County Transportation System Surtax Review

Dear Ms. Jackson,

Thank you for allowing us the opportunity to provide you with our comments related to your draft audit report for your recently completed audit of the Town of Cutler Bay's (the "Town") expenditure of CITT Surtax revenues for fiscal years 2019, 2020, and 2021. We would first like to take the opportunity to let you know we appreciated the professionalism of Ms. Terina ("Teri") Cinta, the auditor assigned to the Town's review. Teri was a pleasure to work with during the course of the audit.

Some of the comments we were going to make about the draft audit report have been addressed in consultation between our Finance Director, Robert Daddario, and Ms. Cinta (who, in turn, consulted with you). While none of the following were considered audit "findings", "issues" or "recommendations" related to the audit, I will list them briefly just to put them "on the record":

1. Expenditures related to a TPO grant for a Mobility Hub study/plan:

In the draft audit report, certain expenditures made by the Town in fiscal years 2019 and 2020 were classified as Transportation-Related "Traffic Studies". The Town recorded these expenditures as Transit- Related pursuant to the nature of the underlying grant project. After clarification from Mr. Daddario as to the nature of the grant project, Ms. Cinta consulted with you, and it was agreed that these expenditures would be reclassified in the final audit report to the Transit-Related category.

2. 5% Administrative Fee:

As noted by Ms. Cinta, the CITT regulations allow jurisdictions to claim a 5% administrative fee to cover overhead costs of administering the CITT surtax revenue program. Historically, the Town has never actually claimed the 5% allowed fee. For the period of the audit, that resulted in an unclaimed administrative fee of \$282,198 (Table II) and, as a result, as shown in Table I, the Town's calculated "Restricted Fund Balance" (\$5,587,084) is greater than the audit's calculated "Unspent Surtax Proceeds" (\$5,304,886) by that amount.





OFFICE OF THE TOWN MANAGER

Rafael G. Casals, ICMA-CM, CFM

Town Manager

As discussed between Ms. Cinta and Mr. Daddario, this "difference" is not considered an audit finding or audit issue, but rather simply an unclaimed fee that is electable at the discretion of the Town. At this point in time, the Town does not wish to claim this 5% administrative fee.

3. Interest Income:

The Town has historically allocated interest income to both the Transit-Related and Transportation-Related categories, generally following the same split percentage used to allocate the Surtax revenues to those categories. We noted in Schedule II in the draft audit report that all of the interest income was allocated to the Transportation-Related category. After discussion with Ms. Cinta, we understand that allocating all of the interest income to the Transportation-Related category is simply how the CITT auditors rollforward the unspent amounts and there are no requirements in the CITT regulations that prevents us from allocating the interest income between the two categories as we have always done. Again, this "difference" is not considered an audit finding or audit issue, but rather an "alternative practice" in rolling forward the unspent amounts. On a combined basis, our total unspent amounts match those calculated by the audit, except for the unclaimed 5% administrative fee discussed in #2 above.

At this time, the Town will continue its practice of allocating interest income between the Transit-Related and Transportation-Related categories.

The draft audit report contained two recommendations that we will now address.

Recommendation #1:

Recommendation:

The Town should submit an updated Five-Year Transportation Plan reflecting planned uses of unspent Surtax Proceeds, per CITT Resolution 09-055.

Response:

The Town has updated its Five-Year Transportation Plan and has attached it to this response for review and consideration by the Office of the CITT.

Recommendation #2:

Recommendation:

Quarterly uses of Surtax Proceeds reported to OCITT varied significantly from expenditures claimed during the audit period. Prospectively, the Town should submit accurate reports to OCITT.





OFFICE OF THE TOWN MANAGER

Rafael G. Casals, ICMA-CM, CFM

Town Manager

Response:

After consulting with Ms. Cinta, our Finance Director learned that this recommendation resulted primarily from the costs incurred for the "On Demand/Go Connect" program. The Town accepted a grant to participate in this program and is using Transit-Related Surtax revenues as the grant match for the program. However, the Town is not operating the service. As with its circulator bus service, the Town has entered into an Interlocal Agreement with Miami-Dade County (the "County") for the County to operate the On Demand/Go Connect service on the Town's behalf and then the County would bill the Town for the cost.

The On Demand/Go Connect service commenced in December 2020, however, we have yet to be invoiced by the County as the Interlocal Agreement is still pending approval by the Board of County Commissioners. We were provided with a summary of the cost incurred but not yet billed from inception through October 2021, which we in turn provided to Ms. Cinta. For our independent financial audit, the Town accrued the cost for the period December 2020 through September 2021. However, these costs, totaling \$369,056 for the period (half funded by the grant and half by our Surtax revenues), were not reflected in the quarterly reports submitted to the Office of the CITT since it has been our historical practice to only reflect on the quarterly reports amounts actually expended by the Town. These On Demand/Go Connect costs still have not been "expended" by the Town since we have not yet been billed for these costs by the County.

Thank you again for giving us the opportunity to comment on the draft report before final issuance. If you would like to discuss any of the matters brought up in more detail, me and my staff would be happy to participate in a conference (or Zoom) call with you and the Office of CITT staff.

If you should have any questions or concerns, feel free to contact me at (305) 234-4262 or reasals@cutlerbay-fl.gov

Sincerely,

Rafael G. Casals, ICMA-CM, CFM

Town Manager

Cc: Robert Daddario, Finance Director

Enclosure: PTP/Transportation Five-Year Municipal Transportation Improvement Program & Quarterly Reporting Form



PTP/TRANSPORTATION FIVE-YEAR MUNICIPAL TRANSPORTATION IMPROVEMENT PROGRAM & QUARTERLY REPORTING

<u>listractions</u>. Please complete un-shaded portions of this spreadsheet. Untilde rows at end of spreadsheet as neaded.

MUNICIPALITY	Town of Cutler Bay
CONTACT NAME:	Rafael G. Casals / Town Manager
E-MAIL	Acasals@cutlerbay-fl.gov
REPORT DATE:	October 27, 2021

			1		TP Allocation			FTP Allocation			PTP Allocation	,	E CHARLES	PTP Allocation	THE RESERVE	SALES OF	r PTP Allocation	3 ,		
Project Name and	Project	Estavaled	Total Project Cost	Phase	Total Start Funding	Amount	Phase	Total Start	Amount	Phase	Total Start	Amount	Phase	Total Start Funding	Amount	Phase	Total Star	Amount	Funding	Total
Description	Location	Start/End Date	(1)	(2)	Source		(2)	Source		(4)	Source	Control of the Contro	133	Source		200	Source .		Source	
Cutter Ridge	STORM 250k	Start mmvyy	ELISSUSTS -		CST	1.000.000		PTP			PTP			PTP			616			1,286,099
Section 3 Roadway and	Montega Bay	01/19	31,736,098		OTH (ON)	1,256,099			1				1			1				108,874
Orainaige	Drive, Nicaragua	End mm/yy	OTH (CE): \$108.874		OTH (DESIGN)	110,001							1			1				110,001
Improvement	Drive, Pan	02/22	OTH (Design): \$110,001								Total	No. of Concession, Name of Street, or other Designation, Name of Street, or other Designation, Name of Street,		Total .	Name and Address of the Owner, where the Owner, which is the Owner, where the Owner, which is the Owner, where the Owner, which is the Owner, which i	l	Total		Total	1.504,374
Project	American	Start mm-yy			Total	1,504,974		Total			PTP			PTP		-	PTP		10141	Layerace
Residential		breaking manager to be stated and	\$461.913		CST	427,336	1						1	(A)		1				427,338
Roadway	Town-wide (as per Master)	02/22	5427,338 CET:	ost	OTH (CE)	18,453]							18,453
Resurfacing	(2s per master) Plan)	End min/yy	OTH (OS): \$18,453 OTH (Design):	- Can	OTH (DESIGN)	0										4				0
(Phase VII)		05/22	\$15,522		Total	445,791		Total	-		Total	0		Total	0		Total		Total	445,791
		Start metryly			PYP			PIP			PYP			PYP	And the second section of the		PTP	part protection is		0
Residential	Tame mista	10/22	31,400,000 ———————————————————————————————		CSF			120	1,300,000		- Inches de la constant									1,300,000
Roadway	Town-wide (as per Master		21,300,000 OTH (CE): 150,000		OTH (DESIGN)	50,000		OTH (CCI) OTH (DESIGN)	50,000				1 1			1		 	-	50,000
Resurfacing (Phase VIII)	Plan)	End monlyy	GTH (Design):		On garanary	20,000	- 1	- Contract of the Contract of			ar manadina		1		S15	1				. 0
(Phase Viii)		9/23	850,000		Total	50,000		Total	1,350,000		Total			Total	-		Total	0	Total	1,400,000
		Start mmVyy	\$050,000 ·		PIP-			GST CST			CST	900,000	1	PTP			PTP			900,000
Residential	Town-wide	10/23	\$900,000					OTH (CEI)	-		OTH (CEI)	25,000			-		 			25,000
Roadway Resurfacing	(as per Master	End mm/yy	OTH (CEI): \$24,999.99	PE				ath (DESIGN)	25,000		OTH (DESIGN)	0	1 1							25,000
(Phase IX)	Plan)	9/24	OTH (Design): 324,399,99								Total	925,000		Total	0		Total	DIE BUUCHASSING	Total	950,000
			324,399.99		Total			Foral PTD	25,000	-	PER	420,040		PIP		-	PYP		1 Class	0
Residential		Start mm/yy	\$960,000 CST;								111		1 1	csr'	900,000		-			900,000
Conduction	Town-wide	10/24	\$900,000	PE							OTH (CEI)			OTH (CEI)	25,000					25,000 25,000
Resurtacing	(as per Master Plan)	End mnvyy	07H (CEI): \$24,999.99	-							OTH (DESIGN)	25,000	1 1	OTH (DESIGN)	0		-		-	25,000
(Phase X)		9/25	OTH (Design): \$24,999.99		Total	Native Control		Total	-		Total	25,000		Total	925,000		Total	0	Total	950,000
		Start murkyy	1000.000		PTP			PTP			PTA			PTP			PIP			0
Residential		10/25	\$950,600 GST:					San and the san						OTH (CEI)			GET GTH (GES	900,000		900,000 25,000
Roadway	Town-wide (as per Master	The state of the s	1900,000 OTH (CSI):	PE							-	-		OTH (DESIGN)	25,000	1	OTH (DESIGN)	25,000		25,000
Resurtacing	Plan)	End merlyy	\$24,990.09 OTH (Design):			,							1 1							0
(Phase XI)		9/26	524,595,59		Total	0)		Total	0.9		Total			Total	25,000		Total	925,000	Total	350,000
Manufacture Character	Richfand	Start mm/yy	\$370,000		PTP	and the particular of		212 241	329,000		EI Parameter	-		PIP	-				+	329,000
Whispering Pines Parks Swale	Drive, SW	10/22	CST:					OTH (CE)	45,000											45,000
Improvement	88th Court, SW 188	End mm/yy	(CE): \$45,000	CST				OTH (DESIGN)	5,000											5,000
Project	Street	10/23	GTH (Design): \$5,000	- [-	Total	379,000		Total	THE COLUMN TWO		Total	0		Total	STATE OF THE PARTY	Total) 3	379.000
1		10000			Total	No. of Concession,		10121	37 5,000	-	1770				Designation of the last		100 mg	NAME OF TAXABLE PARTY.	Tenant .	The second second

	T	Start mmyy		1	IPTP		1	070			Intel			OZO			Bassan			
5-4-1-0-4-5-			14,297,994 ———————————————————————————————————		CET	0	1	CST	1,543,997	-	CST	1,543,997		PTP	+	1	PIR		\vdash	3,087,994
Franjo Road JPA Project (Town's	SW 184 Street to Old	9/20	12,087,994 OTH (CE)):	P6	OTH (CEI)	1 0	1	OTH (CEI)	575,000		OTH (CE))	575,000			 	1		+	-	1,150,000
Portion of PTP)	Cutter Road	End mm/yy	\$1,150,000	1 "	OTH (DESIGN)	0	1	OTH (DESIGN)			OTH (DESIGN)	. 0				1				1
1		9/24	OTH (Design). 50	1	Total	and the same of th	l	Total	2,118,997	į.	Total	2,118,597		Total		1	Total			
		Start mentyly	\$292.227		PIP			D10	2,116,221	<u> </u>	PTP	2,610,221		PTP	The second second	-	1001		Total	\$ 4,237,994
	Ingraham		CST;	1			1	The Assessment of the London		ĺ	CET	252,227			1	1	THE REAL PROPERTY.		 	252.227
Ingraham Avenue	Avenue Road From SW 212	TBD	OTH (CE):	CST					1	1	OTH (CEI)	40,000			1	1		1		40,000
Road	Street to SW	End mmyy	\$40,000 OTH (Design):	-						1	OTH (DESIGN)	. 0			1					
	213 Street	TBD	Completed	1	Total	AND DESCRIPTION OF THE PERSON		Total	A STATE OF THE PARTY OF THE PAR		Total	292,227	1	Total	-		Total			
		Start mm/yy		 	PIR		-	PTP			PTP		-	PTP			PTP		Total	\$ 292,227
Traffic Calmino		11/21	\$54,900 CST:	1	CST	22,500					-		i i							22,500
Speed Tables	Town-Wide (as per Master		\$22,500 OTH	PE	OTH (CEI)	16,200				1			1 [1				16,200
Phase 1	Plan)	End min'yy	(0El): \$16,200 OTH (Design):		OTH (DESIGN)	16,200														16,200
		TBD	\$16,200	1	Total	54,900		Total	0		Total	0		Total	0		Total	1	Total	5 51,900
		Start mm/yy	\$269,000		PTP			PTP		-	ete			PTP			PIP		10191	34,700
SW 200th Street	SW 200th	TBD	CST:					cst	200 000				1	-						200,000
and SW 103rd	Street and		\$200,000	PE				OTH (CE)	35,000											35,000
Avenue Traffic	SW 103rd Avenue	End myn/yy	01H (CEI): 135,000					OTH (DESIGN)	34,000											34,000
Circle	Avenue	TBD	OTH (Design): \$34,0000		Total	THE RESERVE OF THE PERSON NAMED IN	. 1	Lotat	269,000		Total	The Paris of the Other Designation of the Othe		Total	ACCUSAGNATION AND		Total		Total :	265.000
SW 82 Avenue	LAP 800k	Start mittilyy			PTP		-	PEP			FTP			PIP			PIRME		-	0
Roadway	SW 82	11/21	3406,752.50 CST:		CST	0		CST	200,000		- Commonweal or Common						The last Car	-	-	200,000
Improvements and	Avenue From		\$200,000 OTH (CEI): \$110,000	PE	OTH (CEI)	0		OTH (CE)	110,000	-										110,000
Traffic Circle (LAP	SW 184 Street to Old	End mm/yy	OTH (Design):		OTH (DESIGN)	95,753		OTH (DESIGN)				i	-							96,753
Project)	Outler Road	TBD	\$96,782,50		Total	96,751		Total	310,000		Total	0		Total	0		Total	0	Total	406,753
Caribbean	LAP 1000k	Start mm/yy			ATP			272	-		RTP			18.70			PIP			0
Boulevard	Caribbean	11/21	\$1,005,650 CST:		CST	- 0					CET CTH (CEI)	650,000 197,700	1							650,000
Complete Streets	Boulevard From SW 184	End mm/yy	\$650,000 OTH	PE	OTH (DEDIGN)	157,950					OTH (DESIGN)	197,700	1					-	-+	197,700 157,950
GAP 3 (LAP	Street to SW	The second line of the last	OTH (Design): \$157,950		POTENTIAL PROPERTY AND ADDRESS OF THE PARTY AN									20.00						0
Project)	87th Avenue	TBD	\$157,950		Total	157,950		Total			Total	647,700		Total	0		Total	9	Total :	1,005,650
Sana David 2 Sub		Start mentyy			PTP	pr 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1			The supplied to the supplied t		FIR			TP			PTP			0
Saga Bay 1.7 Sub- Basin Water	Grant 600k	01/22	\$310,392		ÇST	238,397													\rightarrow	238,397
Quality	Saga Bay Sub	End mm/yy	\$238,397 OTH (CE): \$71,995	cst	OTH (DESIGN)	71,995					-	<u> </u>	-						-+	71,995
Improvement	Basin 1.7		OTH (Design).		a circle and a								t							0
Project		08/22	50		Total .	310,392		Total	0		Total	0		lotal .	0		Fotal	0	Total 5	319,392
0 0 100		Start merelyy			PTE			PTP			ere			YTP.			PTP			0
Saga Bay 1.5 Sub- Basin Water	Grant 250k	01/22	\$896,000		CST			4.14.1	798,000											798,000
	Saga Bay Sub		\$708,000 UTM (CEI): \$100,000	C87	OTH (CE))				100,000				-			-				100,000
Improvement	Basin 1.5	End mm/yy	OTH (Design).		OTH (DESIGN)							-	}		-	1				0
Project		08/22	50		Total	0		Total	898,000	i.	Total	0		otal	0		Total	0	Total S	898,000
(1) FOR CAPITAL PROJECT	S CORE Y				FY 2021-202		N. S. L. C.	FY 2022-202		THE REAL PROPERTY.	FY 2023-202		District Lines	FY 2024-202	3	A. Thingson	FY 2025-202			
(2) PHASE CODES:		Facalbillity Study		10	TAL Projected	4 22175121	TO	TAL Projected	3 5219.067	TO	TAL Projected	3 4 208 824	TOT	AL Projected	1 950,000	TO	TAL Projected	\$ 825,000		
	Pr	Planning			Expenditure	1 200014		Expenditure	3 0.218.991		Expenditure			Expenditure			Expenditure			
	1						No. of	rica de la composición dela composición de la co	ALCO NO.		121 W 201	es regarded at	美國74世紀							
	8	Right of Way Asqu	tsition			WALL BURNE				270	rolected Start	THE REAL PROPERTY.	DTD D	ojected Start		PTD	Projected Start			
		Design Construction		Name and Address of the Owner,	Projected Start			Projected Start PTP Projected		-	PTP Prejected		ASSESSMENT OF THE PERSON NAMED IN	TP Projected	Marie Belleville Street Street Street	THE OWNER OF THE OWNER,	PTP Projected			
	1	Construction Dealgrofficild		2455	PTP Projected Expenditures		1	Expenditures		0.000	Expenditures	100		Expenditures			Expenditures	1		
					PTP Projected			PIP Projected	ALC: THE SECOND		PTP Projected			TP Projected	100		PTP Projected	al messali		
					Unexpended	Water Control	COLUMN TO A	Unexpended	1	ALC: U.S.	Unexpended	1	PRINCIPLE S	Unexpended	DE CONTRACTOR	1	Unexpended			

PTF/TRANSIT
FIVE-YEAR MUNICIPAL TRANSIT-IMPROVEMENT PROGRAM
& QUARTERLY REPORTING

<u>Inalizations</u>: Afficiate, complete unrahades portions of this specificities. Untilide roses at end of spreadeness as needed.

MUNICIPALITY	Town of Cutler Bay	
CONTACT NAME	Rafael G. Casals	
TITLE / POSITIONS	Town Manager	
TELEPHONE	(305) 234-4262	
E-MAIL.	rcasals@cutierbay-fi gov	
REPORT DATE:	October 27, 2021	

PY 201-2012 FY 201-2013 FY 201-2014 FY 201	\$ (2.656.605) \$ (6.616.800) Amount 400,000 404,000 150,000	(Punding Tours)	Total 1923 6
MOE: Total Start S Total S Total Start S Total S	Amount 400,000	Source	
Total Start S Total Start S 194.00 Total S 194.0	Amount 400,000	Source	
Project Standard Control of Standard Standard Standard Control of Stan	Amount 400,000	Source	
Course C	400,000	Source	
Cutler Bay Municipal Circulator Service (Operated by MOTA) Current contract expires in 2021 Cutler Bay Municipal Cutler Bay Municipal AstionarFour (4) Cutler Bay Municipal Circulator Service Circulator Service ON Total 134.838 Total 450.000 Total 140.000 Total 140.000 Total 140.000 Total 150.000	400,000		1,924,62
Culture Bay Municipal Town-Wide Monday Town-Wide Town-Wide Monday Town-Wide Town-Wide Monday Town-Wide T	AND RESIDENCE OF THE PARTY OF T		
Current contract expires in 2021 Saturday Current contract C	AND RESIDENCE OF THE PARTY OF T		
expires in 2021 Saturday on-going Total 114.139 Total 450.000 Total 450.000 Total 450.000 Total 450.000 FIP Cutter Bay Municipal Assumé Fou (4) Sexual Mendey - Sexual Sex	AND RESIDENCE OF THE PARTY OF T		
Cutter Bay Municipal Assumation (4) 107/1/2022 1180,000 FIP 180,000 FIP 180,00	180,000		S
Circulator Service State House (Mode) - THE STATE OF THE SERVICE STATE O			720,00
First Fisher In Francis Grant			
(New Contract) Haus in the PAR) on-going Total 9 Total 182,000 Total 183,000 Total	110,000	Total	700
Stationary April 200 Dec 100 D			
South Dade Transit South Dade 7/16 Transit Way 7/16	***************************************	-	Photosocial and American
Way implementation in Town Discourage Town Fusion SP			
Limits 1/23 Fotal 9 Total 9 Total 9 Total	200	Total	
Bus Sheller/Bench Sustaining Seaton 128,000 128,000			336,00
Replacement Project Super SW 334,000 25,000			25,00 40,00
new shelfers w/ 87th Avenue 500 0004 40,000 40,000			40,00
Fown design section total section form d form form	9	Total	
Smart Town-Wide Statistics 1250,000 FIR 250,000 250,000 (272			750,00
Demonstration (FDOT 4250,000 FG Project - Freebie On 192.5k.			
Demand Service Town Match			
(FOOT Grant) 192.5k) Total 150,000 Total 250,000 Total 0 Total	- 0	[GIA]	700 W
Stationary PIP			
Total Control of Control of Total Contro			
FORE THE PERSON NAMED IN COLUMN TO SERVICE AND ADDRESS OF THE PERSON NAMED ADDRESS OF THE PERSON NAMED IN COLUMN TO SERVICE AND ADDRESS OF		Total	A STATE OF
D) FOR CAPITAL PROJECTS CHA. Y EV 2017-0022 FY 1021-3021 FY 2017-3025			
Dispending Codes: FE: Femiliary Study TOTAL Projected second TOTAL			
PTP Projected Start 1 (1997) PTP Projected Start 1 (1997) PTP Projected Start 2 (1997) PTP Projected Start 3 (1997) PTP PTP PTP PTP PTP PTP PTP PTP PTP PT	\$ (2.414,830)		
Constitution (ass PTP Projected Lass PTP Projected			
On Compatibility Expenditures France Expenditures Section Expenditures Incident Expenditures Ex	3 580 XXI		
Unexpended Unexpended (Unexpended Objected Unexpended Objected Unit Unit Unit Unit Unit Unit Unit Unit	3 12 HOLD		