

Memorandum



Date: December 1, 2021

To: Honorable Chairman Jose "Pepe" Diaz
and Members, Board of County Commissioners

Agenda Item No. 8(F)(3)

From: Daniella Levine Cava
Mayor

Subject: Recommendation for Approval to Award Bus Cleaning and Disinfecting Services

Recommendation

It is recommended that the Board of County Commissioners (Board) approve a competitive contract award, *Contract No. FB-01549, Bus Cleaning and Disinfecting Services*, to Able Business Services, Inc. and National Cleaning Contractors USA, Inc. for the Department of Transportation and Public Works (DTPW) in the amount of \$24,087,000 for regular and COVID-related cleaning and disinfecting. The current contract was awarded under pre-qualification pool 9562-5/22-1, Janitorial Services.

This item is placed for Board review pursuant to Miami-Dade County Code Section 29-124(f)(ii). Since this is a transit-related procurement, with a value of more than \$1 million, even though no Charter County Transportation Surtax Funds will be used to pay the costs, the Board may only consider this item if the Citizens' Independent Transportation Trust (CITT) has forwarded a recommendation to the Board. If CITT has not forwarded a recommendation and 45 days have not elapsed since the issuance of this recommendation, a withdrawal of this item will be requested. It should be noted that the entire allocation requested is for DTPW.

Background

This contract will provide DTPW with regularly scheduled bus cleaning services, as well as daytime and nighttime disinfection services for buses to include disinfection services while the vehicles are in service.

Historically, bus cleaning services have been contracted via the County's pool for Janitorial Services. When the COVID-19 pandemic started, daytime and nighttime disinfecting services were implemented to keep patrons and employees using transportation services safe. Since then, it has been determined that a fixed-price contract for routine daily cleaning and disinfection services would be in the County's best interest. The provision of these services represents a significant increase in costs, due to increased usage for existing services, as well as the addition of disinfection services.

The solicitation includes two groups: Group 1 for Nightly Detail Cleaning and Disinfection Services, which will provide nightly scheduled cleaning of approximately 120 buses, as well as disinfection services of all buses in service for the day; and Group 2 is for Daytime disinfection services for buses that return to County garages throughout the day, as well as disinfection services at 15 strategically placed locations throughout the County while the vehicles are in service.

The solicitation was advertised under full and open competition and over 10,640 bidders were notified of the solicitation via BidSync, with 298 bidders viewing the solicitation. Of the 298 bidders that viewed the solicitation, 26 bids were received in response to the solicitation, including three "No Bids." The solicitation was set-aside for Miami-Dade County Small Business Enterprise (SBE) firms. Of the 26 bids received, 22 bids were local bidders and 15 were certified SBEs at time of the solicitation closing. As the solicitation is a SBE set-aside, only the 15 SBE firms are eligible for award.

Scope

The scope of this item is countywide in nature.

Fiscal Impact/Funding Source

The fiscal impact for the five-year term is \$24,087,000. The allocation under this new contract represents an expanded scope of work, including increased routine cleaning as well as daytime and nighttime disinfection services to prevent the spread of COVID-19. The current contract for routine cleaning services expires on December 31, 2021 and does not include expanded disinfection services. The County has paid on average \$600,000 monthly for these services during the COVID-19 pandemic. The monthly cost for routine cleaning and daytime and nighttime disinfection services under this new contract will be \$400,000.

Department	Allocation	Funding Source	Contract Manager
Transportation and Public Works	\$24,087,000	DTPW Operating	Angela Mathews-Tranumn
Total:	\$24,087,000		

Track Record/Monitor

Vanessa Stroman of the Internal Services Department is the Procurement Contracting Manager.

Delegated Authority

If this item is approved, the County Mayor or County Mayor's designee will have the authority to exercise all provisions of the contract, including any cancellation or extension provisions, pursuant to Section 2-8.1 of the County Code and Implementing Order 3-38.

Vendors Recommended for Award

An Invitation to Bid was issued under full and open competition. Twenty-six bids were received in response to the solicitation, including three "No Bids."

Vendor	Principal Address	Local Address*	Number of Employee Residents	Principal	Award
			1) Miami-Dade 2) Percentage*		
Able Business Services, Inc. (SBE)	1234 NW 79 Street Miami, FL	Same	250	William L. Berry	Group 1
			99%		
National Cleaning Contractors USA, Inc.(SBE)**	14482 SW 38 Lane Miami, FL	Same	61	Guillermo Alfonso	Group 2
			100%		

*Provided pursuant to Resolution No. R-1011-15. Percentage of employee residents is the percentage of vendor's employees who reside in Miami-Dade County as compared to the vendor's total workforce.

**National Cleaning Contractors USA, Inc. did not meet the requirements of Group 1.

Vendors Not Recommended for Award

Vendor	Local Address	Reason for Not Recommending
A Super Cleaning, Corp.	Yes	Deemed non-responsive by the County Attorney's Office for failing to submit the revised price submittal form issued via addendum (Opinion attached)
Astral Cleaning, Corp.	Yes	
Just In Time Water Mitigation, LLC	Yes	
Optell Solutions Group, Inc.	Yes	
Professional Services Management, Inc.	Yes	
Total Connection, Inc.	Yes	
CLO2 Sterilization, Inc.	Yes	Deemed non-responsive by the County Attorney's Office for failing to provide pricing for group 1 (Opinion attached) and deemed non-compliant by Small Business Development relating to SBE Measures for Group 2
EFCO USA, Inc.	Yes	
Melanin Investments, LLC	Yes	Deemed non-responsive by the County Attorney's Office for failing to provide any pricing information (Opinion attached)
Outreach Ventures, LLC	Yes	
J-Mac Cleaning Services, Inc.	Yes	Deemed non-responsive by the County Attorney's Office for failing to provide Certificate of Assurance form related to SBE measures (Opinion attached)
MB Floor Solutions, LLC	Yes	
Beastmode Axiom, LLC d/b/a Axiom Disinfection Services	No	Deemed non-compliant by Small Business Development relating to SBE Measures for Groups 1 and 2
CAC Operations, LLC	No	
Dream Clean, Inc.	Yes	
Enterprise Restorations Inc d/b/a Servicemaster By Enterprise	Yes	
Muma SA, LLC d/b/a USA Building Restoration	Yes	
Pressure Cleaning Service Enterprise, Inc.	Yes	
Water Restoration Guys, Inc.	Yes	
Bioresponse Corp	Yes	
Packplus, Inc. d/b/a Josmar Medical Staffing	Yes	
Omega Maintenance Company	No	
Sanitizing Pros, LLC	No	
Southern Service Solutions, LLC	Yes	
		No Bid*

*A "No Bid" means the vendor responded indicating that it will not be providing an offer.

Due Diligence


Pursuant to Resolution No. R-187-12, due diligence was conducted in accordance with the Internal Services Department's Procurement Guidelines to determine vendor responsibility, including verifying corporate status and that there are no performance and compliance issues. The lists that were referenced included convicted vendors, debarred vendors, delinquent contractors, suspended vendors, and federal excluded parties. There were no adverse findings relating to vendor responsibility.

Pursuant to Resolution No. R-140-15, prior to re-procurement, a full review of the scope of services was conducted to ensure the replacement contract reflects the County's current needs. The review included conducting market research, posting a draft solicitation for industry comment, and holding meetings and drafting sessions with the user department. The scope of services was updated to include nightly disinfection of buses that were in service throughout the day, as well as daytime disinfection services, which would allow buses to be sanitized throughout the day.

Applicable Ordinances and Contract Measures

- The two percent User Access Program provision applies.
- The Small Business Enterprise Set-Aside was applied.
- The Living Wage applies to the services under this contract.

Attachment



Jimmy Morales
Chief Operations Officer

Memorandum



Date: August 5, 2021

To: Robert Mendoza
Procurement Contracting Officer
Miami-Dade Internal Services Department

From: Michael B. Valdes
Assistant County Attorney

Subject: FB-01549 – Bus Cleaning and Disinfecting Services

On June 7, 2021, the Internal Services Department received 23 proposals for RFP No. FB-01549 (Bus Cleaning and Disinfecting Services) (hereinafter “Solicitation”). You have asked this office if the bids of numerous proposals are non-responsive. For ease of reference, the potential responsiveness issues identified have been divided into four categories: (1) failure to provide the correct price sheet and thereby failing to include pricing information for certain items; (2) failure to provide any pricing information for the required items; (3) failure to provide pricing information for certain items within Group 1; and (4) failure to provide the required Certificate of Assurance relating to Small Business Enterprise measures. For the reasons below, it is our opinion that, under the facts provided, the failure to provide pricing information for certain items within Group 1 renders the bid non-responsive only as to Group 1 but any proposal containing one or more of the other listed issues renders the relevant proposal wholly non-responsive.

FACTS

We rely on the information provided in your e-mails regarding this subject, conversations regarding this Solicitation, the terms of the Solicitation itself and Bidders’ bid submissions.

The purpose of the Solicitation is to establish a contract for the purchase of Bus Cleaning and Disinfecting Services for Miami-Dade County (County) on behalf of the Department of Transportation and Public Works (DTPW). This Solicitation was divided into two groups. Group 1 was for “Nightly Detail Cleaning and Disinfecting Services,” and Group 2 was for “Daytime Disinfection and Field Location Services.”

For each Group, award of this contract was to be made to the Bidder who met the Solicitation’s minimum and technical requirements and submitted the lowest priced responsive bid when all items within the group were added in the aggregate. Bidders were also only eligible for award of one group under this contract. Although the initial Solicitation that was sent out on March 5, 2021 included a pricing information sheet to be completed by all prospective Bidders, the County issued an Addendum #4 to the Solicitation on May 11, 2021. Notably, Addendum #4 restated the entire Solicitation to include additional services to the scope of work. As a result, Addendum #4 also included a revised Price Sheet that included additional items in Group 1 and removed a line item previously requested, additionally the price sheet added line items for Group 2.

In addition, this contract was set-aside for certified Small Business Enterprise firms and, accordingly, the relevant Small Business Enterprise measures applied to this contract. And as required by the terms of the Solicitation and Section 2-8.1.1.1.1 of the Code of Miami-Dade County, Florida, Bidders were required to

submit a Certificate of Assurance whereby the Bidder acknowledges: (i) SBE measures apply to the project; and (ii) Bidder will submit its list of certified SBEs to satisfy the measures via Miami-Dade County's web-based system, within the specified time frame.

DISCUSSION

I. Failure to Complete and Submit the Revised Price Submittal Form

As previously stated, the County issued Addendum#4 to this Solicitation which revised the Price Sheet and added new items to Group 1 and created a separate list of items/services in Group 2. The following Bidders submitted the original price sheets, which failed to include pricing information for certain items in Group 1 and the services in Group 2:

- The A Super Cleaning Corp
- Astral Cleaning, Corp.
- Just In Time Water Mitigation, LLC
- Optell Solutions Group, Inc.
- Professional Services Management, Inc
- Total Connection, Inc.

In general, a bid may be rejected or disregarded if there is a material variance between the proposal and the advertisement. A minor variance, however, will not invalidate the proposal. *See Robinson Elec. Co. v. Dade County*, 417 So. 2d 1032, 1034 (Fla. 3d DCA 1982). The determination of whether a variance or irregularity is minor is fact specific and may differ from bid to bid. Florida courts have used a two part test to determine if a specific noncompliance in a bid would constitute a substantial and, thus, nonwaivable issue: (1) whether the effect of the waiver would be to deprive the County of the assurance that the contract would be entered into, performed and guaranteed according to its specific requirements; and (2) whether it would adversely affect competitive bidding by placing a proposer in a position of advantage over other proposers. *See Glatstien v. City of Miami*, 399 So. 2d 1005 (Fla. 3d DCA 1981).

The failure to include a price for a line item in an award determined by the lowest bidder is such a material deviation that is not waivable. *See Matter of: New Shawmut Timber Co.*, B-286881, 2001 CPD P 42, 2001 WL 185214, *1 (Comp. Gen. Feb. 26, 2001) (“The failure to include a price for a line item evidences a bidder’s intent not to be bound or obligated to perform that element of the requirement, and thus generally renders the bid nonresponsive.”); *Matter of: The Jorgensen Forge Corp.*, B-255426, 94-1 CPD P 157, 1994 WL 64911, *2 (Comp. Gen. Feb. 28, 1994) (“Where, as here, an IFB provides that award will be made to the low aggregate bidder, a bid that fails to include a price for every item required by the IFB generally must be rejected as nonresponsive since the bidder would not be obligated to provide the item for which it has provided no price.”).

Because failure to provide pricing information for items in Groups 1 and 2 prevents the County from being assured that these Bidders “ha[ve] unequivocally offered to perform, without exception, ‘the exact thing called for in a solicitation so that acceptance of the bid will bind the contractor to perform in accordance with all of the IFB’s material terms and conditions,’” the bids of the bidders listed above are nonresponsive. *Monument Realty LLC*, 535 F. Supp. 2d at 74 (D.D.C. 2008).

II. Bidder Failed to Provide Any Pricing Information

Under the terms of this solicitation, potential bidders were required to providing pricing information for all items in the price schedule included in the Bid Submittal Form. The following Bidders failed to submit any pricing information with their bid submission: (1) Melanin Investments, LLC, and (2) Outreach Ventures, LLC.

The failure to provide any pricing information renders both of these bids nonresponsive. *See Matter of: New Shawmut Timber Co.*, B-286881, 2001 CPD P 42, 2001 WL 185214, *1 (Comp. Gen. Feb. 26, 2001); *Matter of: The Jorgensen Forge Corp.*, B-255426, 94-1 CPD P 157, 1994 WL 64911, *2 (Comp. Gen. Feb. 28, 1994). By not providing any pricing information in their bid submission, these Bidders provided the County with nothing to review and thereby prevented the County from being assured that Bidders “unequivocally offered to perform, without exception, ‘the exact thing called for in a solicitation so that acceptance of the bid will bind the contractor to perform in accordance with all of the [solicitation’s] material terms and conditions.’” *Monument Realty LLC v. Washington Metro. Area Transit Auth.*, 535 F. Supp. 2d 60, 74 (D.D.C. 2008). Accordingly, the bids of Melanin Investments, LLC, and Outreach Ventures, LLC. are nonresponsive.

III. Bidder Failed to Provide Pricing Information for Group 1

As described above, this Solicitation was divided into two groups with award given to the lowest priced, responsive bid in each group except that bidders would only be eligible for award of one group (i.e., the Awarded Bidder for group 1 would not be eligible for evaluation under Group 2). Because these groups were evaluated and awarded separately, a bidder could be non-responsive for one group but responsive for the other.

Here, the following bidders did not provide certain pricing information for Group 1: (1) CLO2 Sterilization, Inc. and (2) EFCO USA, Inc. For the reasons stated above, the failure by these Bidders to submit pricing information for items in Group 1 renders their bids nonresponsive as to Group 1. *See Matter of: New Shawmut Timber Co.*, B-286881, 2001 CPD P 42, 2001 WL 185214, *1 (Comp. Gen. Feb. 26, 2001); *Matter of: The Jorgensen Forge Corp.*, B-255426, 94-1 CPD P 157, 1994 WL 64911, *2 (Comp. Gen. Feb. 28, 1994). However, as there is no indication that these Bidders failed to submit all of the required pricing information for Group 2, they remain responsive as to Group 2 and can be evaluated for award in that group.

IV. Bidder Failed to Provide Certificate of Assurance relating to SBE measures

This contract was set-aside for certified SBE firms. As a result, Bidders were required to submit a Certificate of Assurance whereby the Bidder acknowledged that (i) SBE measures apply to the project; and (ii) Bidder will submit its list of certified SBEs to satisfy the measures via Miami-Dade County's web-based system, within the specified time frame. This is not only a requirement under the terms of this Solicitation, it is also required under Section 2-8.1.1.1.1 of the County Code. In addition, the required Certificate of Assurance included with the Solicitation, stated, “**This completed form must be submitted with bid documents by all bidders/proposers on a Miami-Dade County project with Small Business Enterprise (“SBE”) program measure(s).**” When completing the form, Bidders were also required to attest that:

I understand that my company will be deemed non-compliant and not eligible for award if I fail to (1) submit this form with my bid/proposal documents and/or (2) submit my company's Utilization Plan which shall list all certified Miami-Dade County Small Business Enterprise firms whom will be subcontracted with to satisfy the project's established SBE measure(s) via BMWS, within the specified timeframe, upon email notification from SBD or BMWS.

Based on information provided, the bids by MB Floor Solutions, LLC and J-Mac Cleaning Services, Inc. did not include a completed Certificate of Assurance with their bid.¹

It is axiomatic that “[i]t is an offeror's responsibility to submit a well-written proposal, with adequately detailed information which clearly demonstrates compliance with the solicitation and allows a meaningful review by the procuring agency.” *Matter of: Orion Tech., Inc.*, B-405077, 2011 CPD P 159 2011 WL 3647315 (Comp. Gen. 2011). In addition, “[b]id-responsiveness determinations focus on whether a bidder has unequivocally offered to perform, without exception, ‘the exact thing called for in a solicitation so that acceptance of the bid will bind the contractor to perform in accordance with all of the IFB's material terms and conditions.’” *Monument Realty LLC v. Wash. Metro. Area Transit Auth.*, 535 F. Supp. 2d 60, 74 (D.D.C. 2008) (*quoting In re: Walashek Indus. & Marine*, B-281577, 99-1 CPD ¶ 30, 1999 WL 43510, *1, (Comp. Gen. Jan. 29, 1999)). *See also Glatstien v. City of Miami*, 399 So. 2d 1005 (Fla. 3d DCA 1981) (a deviation in a bid renders such bid nonresponsive if it: (1) would deprive the County of the assurance that the contract would be entered into, performed and guaranteed according to its specific requirements; and (2) adversely affect competitive bidding by placing a proposer in a position of advantage over other proposers).

By not providing the required Certificate of Assurance in their proposals, MB Floor Solutions, LLC and J-Mac Cleaning Services, Inc., the County has no assurance that these bidders have committed to meet the required SBE measures for this contract. They have, therefore, prevented the County from being assured that these Bidders “ha[ve] unequivocally offered to perform, without exception, ‘the exact thing called for in a solicitation so that acceptance of the bid will bind the contractor to perform in accordance with all of the [solicitation's] material terms and conditions.’” *Monument Realty LLC v. Washington Metro. Area Transit Auth.*, 535 F. Supp. 2d 60, 74 (D.D.C. 2008). Accordingly, the bids of MB Floor Solutions, LLC and J-Mac Cleaning Services, Inc. are nonresponsive.

/s/ Michael B. Valdes

Michael B. Valdes

¹ Although J-Mac Cleaning Services, Inc. submitted a form titled “Certification of Assurance of Compliance” with their bid, this form is not the form that was included with the Solicitation. Instead, it appears that the form submitted by J-Mac Cleaning Services, Inc. is a certification from the U.S. government related to a receipt of a conditional donation from the U.S. Army. It has nothing to do with Miami-Dade County or its Small Business Enterprise measures, and it fails to include any of the averments or information required by the County's Certificate of Assurance. As a result, it is irrelevant for purposes of this Solicitation.



MEMORANDUM
(Revised)

TO: Honorable Chairman Jose "Pepe" Diaz
and Members, Board of County Commissioners

DATE: December 1, 2021

FROM: 
Gen Bonzon-Keenan
County Attorney

SUBJECT: Agenda Item No. 8(F)(3)

Please note any items checked.

- "3-Day Rule" for committees applicable if raised
- 6 weeks required between first reading and public hearing
- 4 weeks notification to municipal officials required prior to public hearing
- Decreases revenues or increases expenditures without balancing budget
- Budget required
- Statement of fiscal impact required
- Statement of social equity required
- Ordinance creating a new board requires detailed County Mayor's report for public hearing
- No committee review
- Applicable legislation requires more than a majority vote (i.e., 2/3's present ____, 2/3 membership ____, 3/5's ____, unanimous ____, CDMP 7 vote requirement per 2-116.1(3)(h) or (4)(c) ____, CDMP 2/3 vote requirement per 2-116.1(3)(h) or (4)(c) ____, or CDMP 9 vote requirement per 2-116.1(4)(c)(2) ____) to approve
- Current information regarding funding source, index code and available balance, and available capacity (if debt is contemplated) required

Approved _____ Mayor
Veto _____
Override _____

Agenda Item No. 8(F)(3)
12-1-21

RESOLUTION NO. _____

RESOLUTION APPROVING AWARD OF CONTRACT NO. FB-01549 GROUP 1 TO ABLE BUSINESS SERVICES, INC., AND CONTRACT NO. FB-01549 GROUP 2 TO NATIONAL CLEANING CONTRACTORS USA, INC. FOR THE PURCHASE OF BUS CLEANING AND DISINFECTING SERVICES FOR THE DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION AND PUBLIC WORKS WITH AN AMOUNT NOT TO EXCEED \$24,087,000.00 FOR A FIVE-YEAR TERM; AND AUTHORIZING THE COUNTY MAYOR OR COUNTY MAYOR'S DESIGNEE TO GIVE NOTICE OF THIS AWARD TO ABLE BUSINESS SERVICES, INC. FOR GROUP 1 AND NATIONAL CLEANING CONTRACTORS USA, INC. FOR GROUP 2, ISSUE THE APPROPRIATE PURCHASE ORDERS TO GIVE EFFECT TO SAME AND EXERCISE ALL PROVISIONS OF THE CONTRACT, INCLUDING ANY CANCELLATION OR EXTENSION PROVISIONS, PURSUANT TO SECTION 2-8.1 OF THE CODE OF MIAMI-DADE COUNTY, FLORIDA AND IMPLEMENTING ORDER 3-38

WHEREAS, this Board desires to accomplish the purposes outlined in the accompanying memorandum, a copy of which is incorporated herein by reference,

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED BY THE BOARD OF COUNTY COMMISSIONERS OF MIAMI-DADE COUNTY, FLORIDA, that this Board approves Award of Contract No. FB-01549 Group 1 to Able Business Services, Inc., and Contract No. FB-01549 Group 2 to National Cleaning Contractors USA, Inc. for the purchase of Bus Cleaning and Disinfecting Services for the Department of Transportation and Public Works with an amount not to exceed \$24,087,000.00 for a Five-Year Term; and authorizes the County Mayor or County Mayor's Designee to give notice of this award to Able Business Services, Inc for Group 1 and National Cleaning Contractors USA, Inc. for Group 2, issue the appropriate purchase orders to

give effect to same and exercise all provisions of the contract, including any cancellation or extension provisions, pursuant to Section 2-8.1 of the Code of Miami-Dade County, Florida and Implementing Order 3-38. A copy of the contract document is on file with and available upon request from the Internal Services Department, Strategic Procurement Division.

The foregoing resolution was offered by Commissioner _____,
who moved its adoption. The motion was seconded by Commissioner _____
and upon being put to a vote, the vote was as follows:

Jose "Pepe" Diaz, Chairman	
Oliver G. Gilbert, III, Vice-Chairman	
Sen. René García	Keon Hardemon
Sally A. Heyman	Danielle Cohen Higgins
Eileen Higgins	Joe A. Martinez
Kionne L. McGhee	Jean Monestime
Raquel A. Regalado	Rebeca Sosa
Sen. Javier D. Souto	

The Chairperson thereupon declared this resolution duly passed and adopted this 1st day of December, 2021. This resolution shall become effective upon the earlier of (1) 10 days after the date of its adoption unless vetoed by the County Mayor, and if vetoed, shall become effective only upon an override by this Board, or (2) approval by the County Mayor of this resolution and the filing of this approval with the Clerk of the Board.

MIAMI-DADE COUNTY, FLORIDA
BY ITS BOARD OF
COUNTY COMMISSIONERS

HARVEY RUVIN, CLERK

By: _____
Deputy Clerk

Approved by County Attorney as
to form and legal sufficiency.

Handwritten signature of Michael B. Valdes in black ink, consisting of the letters 'MBV' in a stylized, cursive font, positioned above a horizontal line.


Michael B. Valdes



Memorandum



To: Honorable Chairman Jose “Pepe” Diaz
and Members, Board of County Commissioners

From: Javier A. Betancourt, Executive Director 

Date: October 29, 2021

Re: **CITT AGENDA ITEM 7C:**
RESOLUTION BY THE CITIZENS’ INDEPENDENT TRANSPORTATION TRUST
RECOMMENDING THE BOARD OF COUNTY COMMISSIONERS (BCC) APPROVE
AWARD OF CONTRACT NO. FB-01549 GROUP 1 TO ABLE BUSINESS SERVICES,
INC., AND CONTRACT NO. FB-01549 GROUP 2 TO NATIONAL CLEANING
CONTRACTORS USA, INC. FOR THE PURCHASE OF BUS CLEANING AND
DISINFECTING SERVICES FOR THE DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION AND
PUBLIC WORKS WITH AN AMOUNT NOT TO EXCEED **\$24,087,000.00** FOR A FIVE-
YEAR TERM; AND AUTHORIZE THE COUNTY MAYOR OR COUNTY MAYOR’S
DESIGNEE TO GIVE NOTICE OF THIS AWARD TO ABLE BUSINESS SERVICES, INC
FOR GROUP 1 AND NATIONAL CLEANING CONTRACTORS USA, INC. FOR GROUP
2, ISSUE THE APPROPRIATE PURCHASE ORDERS TO GIVE EFFECT TO SAME
AND EXERCISE ALL PROVISIONS OF THE CONTRACT, INCLUDING ANY
CANCELLATION OR EXTENSION PROVISIONS, PURSUANT TO SECTION 2-8.1 OF
THE CODE OF MIAMI-DADE COUNTY, FLORIDA AND IMPLEMENTING ORDER 3-38
**(DTPW/ISD – BCC LEGISLATIVE FILE NO. 212312) SURTAX FUNDS ARE NOT
REQUESTED**

On October 28, 2021, the CITT voted (11-0) to forward a favorable recommendation to the Board of County Commissioners (BCC) for the approval of the above referenced item, CITT Resolution No. 21-049. The vote was as follows:

Oscar J. Braynon, Chairperson – Aye
Alfred J. Holzman, 1st Vice-Chairperson – Aye
Robert Wolfarth, 2nd Vice-Chairperson – Aye

Hon. Peggy Bell – Aye
Meg Daly – Aye
Ashley V. Gantt, Esq. – Absent
Marilyn Smith – Aye
Mary Street, Esq. – Aye

Joseph Curbelo – Aye
Glenn J. Downing, CFP® – Aye
Paul J. Schwiep, Esq. – Aye
L. Elijah Stiers, Esq. – Absent
Ernest Thomas – Aye

c: Jimmy Morales, Chief Operations Officer
Bruce Libhaber, Assistant County Attorney