Agenda Item No. 8(F)(1)



Date: December 12, 2023

To: Honorable Chairman Oliver G. Gilbert, III

and Members, Board of County Commissioners

From: Daniella Levine Cava Januella Leune Cava

Mayor

Subject: Professional Services Agreements for Consulting Firm for the Countywide Infrastructure

Investment Program for the Rehabilitation and Renovation Services of ISD Managed

Stephen P. Clark Government Center Building, Contract No. A20-ISD-03B

Executive Summary

This item seeks to gain approval from the Board of County Commissioners (Board) to execute a contract award for a competitively selected Professional Services Agreement (PSA) for professional architectural and engineering services for the Internal Services Department (ISD) managed Stephen P. Clark Government Center (SPCC) Building between Miami-Dade County (County) and AECOM Technical Services, Inc. (AECOM) for a total contract amount not to exceed \$5,500,000. The PSA is funded from Countywide Infrastructure Investment Program (CIIP) program revenues, including various capital projects included in the FY 2022-2023 Adopted Budget and Multi-Year Capital Plan, or other client department funding should it become available. This item establishes a more sustainable and efficient building, improves EV parking, provides ADA improvements, and retrofits existing telecommunications.

AECOM services consist of architectural, engineering and construction administration services providing studies, programming, design criteria, designs, permit applications, cost estimates, construction documents, inspections and construction management. The PSA award allows the consultant to move more efficiently through the various SPCC assignments. Historically, a disproportionate amount of time is spent on initiating a project with design consultants. Approval of this multi-project PSA would significantly reduce the time required to begin addressing critical needs, including the design of unforeseen emergency repairs. ISD expects to gain material efficiencies when using this consultant for similar or repetitive projects such as individual floor remodels.

The Board awarded a second PSA for these services to Bermello, Ajamil & Partners, Inc. on June 21, 2023. This AECOM PSA was not submitted with that board item due to extended negotiations with this competitively selected firm.

Recommendation

This contract award recommendation for the PSA, Contract No. A20-ISD-03B between the County and AECOM for various architectural and engineering (A&E) projects has been prepared by ISD and is recommended for approval for a total contract amount not to exceed \$5,500,000, which is inclusive of a contingency allowance amount of \$500,000.

Exhibit 1 to this memorandum provides a more detailed project description and technical certification requirements. No minimum amount of work or compensation will be guaranteed to the consultant. Service Orders will not be issued under the PSA unless the specific user identifies appropriate budgeted funds.

Additionally, ISD anticipates utilizing this PSA to plan, design, and implement improvements, including structural and electrical repairs and improvements, as well as modernize SPCC to more efficiently manage the infrastructure.

Honorable Chairman Oliver G. Gilbert, III and Members, Board of County Commissioners Page No. 2

Delegated Authority

The authority of the County Mayor or County Mayor's designee to execute and implement the agreement is consistent with those authorities granted under the Code. Additional delegation of authorities requested for this item are as follows:

- Authority to execute PSA;
- Authority to exercise contingency allowance account option limited to 10 percent of the base contract amount:
- Authority to exercise the cancellation provisions in the PSA;
- Authority for the ISD Director to decide any and all disputes;
- Authority to issue service orders; and
- Authority to exercise all other provisions and County rights contained in the PSA.

Scope

The Project consists of non-exclusive, comprehensive professional architectural and engineering and construction administration services for planning, design and post-design services, renovations, modifications, restorations, improvements, construction administration, LEED design, detailed cost estimates, structural threshold inspections, building recommissioning and building evaluations for the ISD managed SPCC under the CIIP as needed. These professional services are required to support and optimize building operations, including but not limited to, the following components: horizontal and vertical circulation systems, telecommunications, parking facilities, restrooms, canopies, mechanical systems, electrical systems, plumbing systems, fire suppression systems, life safety systems (fire pump, fire sprinklers, related equipment), replacement of domestic water risers, improvement or replacement of sanitary and roof drain risers, HVAC systems improvements (coils, pumps, heat exchanger, variable frequency drives, replacement of chillers, cooling towers, related equipment), A/C mechanical rooms, replacement of roof and waterproof exterior envelope, accessibility (ADA), landscaping, building perimeter hardening, operational and security enhancements, access control, video management and access control system improvements, furniture, fixtures, equipment, and all related infrastructure, building and structure as set forth in the service orders.

The Consultant will provide all of the necessary and required services for completion of each project assigned and as specified in each service order, which may include but not be limited to, existing facilities analysis, investigations and surveys, sea level rise considerations, programming, analysis of sustainable systems, LEED certification, fire protection, interior design, audio visual and telecommunications design, permitting, bidding assistance, site visits and inspections, project management, commissioning, and detailed construction cost estimating.

Project Location

The SPCC building is located in Commission District 5 which is represented by Commissioner Eileen Higgins; however, the impact of this item is countywide in nature as the building occupies a variety of County services.

Fiscal Impact/Funding Source

The fiscal impact will not exceed \$5,500,000 for the PSA, which includes a contingency allowance amount of \$500,000 for unforeseen work. There are no operation and maintenance costs as this PSA is for professional services and is funded from CIIP Program Revenues, including various capital projects incorporated in the FY 2022-2023 Adopted Budget and Multi-Year Capital Plan, or other client department funding should it become available. ISD will identify the funding source, additional project cost and accounting information at the time of issuing a service order.

Honorable Chairman Oliver G. Gilbert, III and Members, Board of County Commissioners Page No. 3

#2000001285

Book Page: V. 3 P.331 Funding Year: Adopted Budget

and Multi-Year Capital Plan

Book for FY 2022-2023, All Years' Funding

Track Record/Monitor

Marc Lafrance is responsible for the administration of this PSA for ISD.

Explanation

A Notice to Professional Consultants (NTPC) was advertised on September 18, 2020. Prior to the submission deadline, the solicitation was downloaded 39 times. 252 firms viewed the NTPC, and eighteen proposals were received by the submittal deadline of October 23, 2020. On February 22, 2021, at a First-Tier Meeting, the Competitive Selection Committee (CSC) evaluated and ranked proposals, decided to forego Second-Tier proceedings and recommended the top two ranked firms for negotiation. All firms were evaluated in accordance with Section 2-10.4 of the Miami-Dade County Code, Implementing Order No. I.O. 3-34 and Administrative Order No. A.O. 3-39. Exhibit 2 shows the Negotiation Authorization details of the procurement process.

The negotiation meetings were held between December 8, 2021 and November 2, 2022. At the conclusion of negotiations, the Negotiation Committee arrived at a schedule of rates for AECOM that were considered fair and reasonable to provide the services. Based on the above, it is recommended that this PSA be awarded to AECOM. It is anticipated that the Notice to Proceed for the PSA will be issued within 30 days of Board approval.

Background

ISD operates more than 60 buildings and has up to \$135,178,000 of capital improvement funding to expend over the next five years on new improvements and infrastructure renovations. There are other potential sources of funding that ISD may receive to increase the capital budget. ISD requires designs for their building and infrastructure that are functional, aesthetically pleasing and innovative, while remaining responsive to the unique urgency for delivery and required improvement and that will stand the test of time. The CIIP addresses the County's infrastructure needs by identifying and prioritizing required improvements in affected facilities with the attempt to fashion similarly scoped services and construction in order to procure and contract said services in an expedient and efficient manner.

The Facilities and Infrastructure Management Division (FIMD) manages ISD capital renovation projects. The division currently uses the Equitable Distribution Program (EDP) to obtain professional services. ISD has a need to establish two non-exclusive PSAs to provide professional services for multiple rehabilitation and renovation projects at the County SPCC Building that exceed the EDP cap of \$400,000 (design) and \$500,000 (studies).

The work assignment distribution will be based on workload, capabilities of the team, location of the project, schedule, familiarity with the location and infrastructure, and, organizational conflict of interest mitigation. Service orders will not be issued under the PSA unless the specific user identifies the appropriate Capital Project and budget funds for the cost of services to be charged to the project or activity requiring these services. The Small Business Enterprise-Architecture & Engineering (SBE-A&E) measure is 18 percent. This PSA requirement means that the consultant must subcontract a minimum of 18 percent of the total PSA work to SBE sub-consultants. During the contract term, the consultant is required to report its SBE-A&E performance to the Office of Small Business Development (SBD). AECOM was made aware that one of its SBE sub-consultants was no longer an SBE, and, confirmed that a replacement plan will be in effect before engaging in PSA work.

Honorable Chairman Oliver G. Gilbert, III and Members, Board of County Commissioners Page No. 4

Due Diligence

Due diligence was performed by ISD and the Miami-Dade County Strategic Procurement Department in accordance with Resolution No. R-187-12, Resolution No. R-1181-18, and Procurement Guidelines, to determine consultant's responsibility, including verifying corporate status, performance, and compliance data. The lists that were reviewed include: Sun Biz, convicted vendors, debarred vendors, delinquent contractors, suspended vendors, federal excluded parties, and SBD Violations report. Information was compiled regarding consultant's prior experience with the County. There are 119 evaluations for AECOM listed in the CIIS with an average score of 3.7 out of a possible 4.0 points. There were no adverse findings relating to AECOM's responsibility. SBD Violations, CIIS Evaluations, BTS Non-Performance History, and SBD Firm History reports are attached as Exhibit 3.

Attachments

Carladenise Edwards

Chief Administrative Officer

EXHIBIT 1 ADDITIONAL PROJECT REQUIREMENTS

DUE DILIGENCE – FIRM B: AECOM Technical Services, Inc. (AECOM)

COMPANY PRINCIPAL: Randy Mejeur and Tim Blair

COMPANY QUALIFIER: Eric Thomas Dinges

COMPANY STREET ADDRESS: 2 Alhambra Plaza, Suite 900, Coral Gables, FL 34952

COMPANY EMAIL ADDRESS: tim.blair@aecom.com

YEARS IN BUSINESS: 33

SBD VIOLATION HISTORY: According to SBD's database, AECOM does not have a record of open

or closed small business, wage and/or workforce violations within the

last three years.

SBD FIRM HISTORY REPORT: According to the Firm History Report, as provided by SBD, AECOM

has held 29 contracts as Prime Contractor in the last three years, with a total value of \$169,052,974. Of the 29 contracts, AECOM was awarded 10 Equitable Distribution Program (EDP) contracts, none of which exceed \$500,000. There are 119 evaluations on record for AECOM in CIIS with an average rating of 3.7 out of a possible 4.0

points.

SUBCONSULTANTS: Included below are the subconsultants that AECOM has identified for

the Project.

Gurri Matute, P.A.

O'Donnell & Naccarato, Inc. Hammond & Associates, Inc.

SRS Engineering, Inc.

Curtis + Rogers Design Studio, Inc.

EXP U.S. Services Inc.

EXHIBIT 1 ADDITIONAL PROJECT REQUIREMENTS

PROJECT NAME: Countywide Infrastructure Investment Program (CIIP) for the Rehabilitation

and Renovation Services of ISD Managed Stephen P. Clark Government

Center (SPCC) Building

PROJECT NO.: A20-ISD-03
CONTRACT NO.: A20-ISD-03B

PROJECT DESCRIPTION: Services will include, but not be limited to:

a. The retained consultant(s)/teams of firms will provide comprehensive professional architectural, engineering and construction administration services for planning, design and post-design services, renovations, modifications, restorations, improvements, construction administration, LEED design, detailed cost estimates, structural threshold inspections, building recommissioning and building evaluations. These professional services are required to support and optimize building operations including upgrades to but not limited to the following components: horizontal and vertical circulation systems; telecommunications; parking facilities; restrooms; canopies; mechanical, electrical, plumbing, and fire suppression systems, including life safety (fire pump, fire sprinklers, related equipment); replacing of domestic water risers, improve or replace sanitary and roof drain risers, HVAC system improvements (coils, pumps, heat exchanger, variable frequency drives; replace chillers, cooling towers, related equipment), as well as evaluations of A/C mechanical rooms, replace roof and waterproof exterior envelope, accessibility (ADA); landscaping; building perimeter hardening, operational and security enhancements, access control, video management and access control system improvements; furniture, fixtures and equipment, and all related infrastructure, building and structure, ancillary to the basic work scope.

The Consultant will provide all of the necessary and required services for completion of the project including existing facilities analysis, investigations and surveys, sea level rise considerations, designs, programming, analysis of sustainable systems and LEED certification; fire protection; interior design; civil engineering; structural engineering; mechanical, electrical and plumbing; audio-visual and telecommunication design; environmental and building permitting; bidding assistance; site visits; inspections; construction administration; project management; 40/50 year recertification inspections, for reference, the County's guidelines for General Considerations of Structural Inspection and Electrical Inspection; and commissioning; and any supportive ancillary tasks and services to the primary scope of services to successfully complete all phases of the project. In addition, the Consultant will provide non-engineering services inclusive of detailed construction cost estimating.

All work will be conducted to meet or exceed professional standards and the requirements of all authorities having jurisdiction; comply with ISD Security requirements; as needed, and conform to Miami-Dade County's Sustainable Buildings Program, Implementing Order No. <u>I.O. 8-8</u>.

The County may request Consultant(s) services on an as-needed basis through the issuance of Service Orders for the required work to be performed and the estimated fees to be paid for the services authorized pursuant to the Scope of Services. No minimum amount of work or compensation will be assured to the retained consultants. The County reserves the right to re-use the work products of the retained consultant and to retain other consultants to provide the same or similar services at its sole discretion.

The County reserves the right to assign work at SPCC based on the following rotation schedule:

- Work load
- Capabilities of the team
- Location of the project
- Schedule
- · Familiarity with the location and infrastructure
- Organizational Conflict of Interest mitigation
- b. Estimates of Probable Construction Cost for recommendations at the end each design and construction document phase;
- c. The coordination and integration of all Art-in-Public Places project(s) and the coordination of such design with the appropriate artist(s) and agencies;
- d. The design of facilities that have common boundaries, surfaces, spaces, or that otherwise interface with other facilities or operations being designed, constructed or operated by others not a part of the PSA and will also include the coordination of such design; and
- e. The design of interim/temporary facilities to accommodate operations, pedestrian and/or vehicular traffic, tenants or concessionaires, as needed during construction.

PARTICIPATION RESTRICTIONS:

None

PROJECT SITES: <u>SITE # LOCATION</u> <u>DISTRICT</u>

N/A Stephen P. Clark Government Center (SPCC), 111 NW 1st Street, Miami, FL 33128 folio# 01-4137-023-0020

USING DEPARTMENT: Internal Services Department **MANAGING DEPARTMENT:** Internal Services Department

PTP FUNDING: No ARRA FUNDING: No GOB FUNDING: No

PROJECT TECHNICAL 14.00 Architecture (Prime) CERTIFICATION 18.00 Architectural Construction Management (Prime) **Telecommunications Systems REQUIREMENTS:** 8.00 11.00 General Structural Engineering 12.00 General Mechanical Engineering General Electrical Engineering 13.00 14.00A Interior Design General Civil Engineering 16.00 **Engineering Construction Management** 17.00 Landscape Architecture 20.00 22.00 ADA Title II Consultant

SUSTAINABLE BUILDINGS ORDINANCE (I.O. NO. 8-8):

The Notice to Professional Consultants contained specific language requiring compliance with the Sustainable Buildings Ordinance.

Commissioning/Retro-Commissioning

The applicability of this Ordinance will be reviewed and determined at

the time a Service Order is issued to the Contractor.

SEA LEVEL RISE (Ord. No. 14-79)

The impact of sea level rise will be considered as part of the design when applicable.

ESTIMATED ORIGINAL CONTRACT PERIOD:

Five years. The PSA will remain in full force and effect for five years after its date of execution, or until depletion of the funds allocated to pay for the cost of the services described in the PSA.

27.02

CONTINGENCY PERIOD: N/A

OPTION TO RENEW: No

INSPECTOR GENERAL

(IG) Fee (Ordinance 97-215): Yes

ART IN PUBLIC PLACES: The applicability of this Ordinance will be reviewed and determined at

the time a Service Order is issued to the Contractor.

MINIMUM No.

QUALIFICATIONS EXCEED LEGAL REQUIREMENTS:

The prime firm is preferred to have ten (10) years of experience in architectural and/or engineering planning and/or design; and have been responsible or involved in a primary role for the design of at least two (2) stand-alone commercial buildings over 100,000 square feet in

size.

The project manager should have at least ten (10) years of experience

in architectural/engineering design.

REVIEW COMMITTEE SIGNOFF DATE:

09/23/2020

APPLICABLE WAGES: (RESOLUTION NO. R-54-10) No

REVIEW COMMITTEE ASSIGNED CONTRACT **TYPE**

GOAL ESTIMATED VALUE **COMMENT**

MEASURES:

SBE-A&E

18% \$990,000 I.O. 3-32

MANDATORY CLEARING

HOUSE:

N/A

CONTRACT MANAGER NAME/PHONE/EMAIL:

Julia Aden (305) 375-4296

julia.aden@miamidade.gov

PROJECT MANAGER NAME/PHONE/EMAIL: Marc Lafrance

(305) 375-4365

marc.lafrance@miamidade.gov

RECEIVED BY CLERK Circuit & County Courts Miami-Dade County, Florida FILED FOR RECORD

12:14 pm, 04/07/2021

CLERK OF THE BOARD

EXHIBIT 2

Memorandum

namit 442



Digitally signed by Namita Uppal,

Procurement Officer, o=Miami

Date: 2021.04.01 23:49:10 -04'00

Dade County, ou=Strategic Procurement Division, Internal

Services Department, email=uppaln@miamidade.gov

Chief Procurement Officer DN: cn=Namita Uppal, Chief

Date: April 1, 2021

To: Tara C. Smith, Director

Internal Services Department

Through: Namita Uppal, C.P.M., Chief Procurement Officer

Internal Services Department

From: Justin Espagnol, Consultant Selection Coordinator Justin Espagnol

Chairperson, Competitive Selection Committee

Subject: NEGOTIATION AUTHORIZATION

Internal Services Department

Countywide Infrastructure Investment Program (CIIP) for the Rehabilitation and

Renovation Services of Stephen P. Clark Government Center (SPCC)

ISD Project No. A20-ISD-03

The Competitive Selection Committee has completed the evaluation of the proposals submitted in response to the referenced Internal Services Department solicitation and consistent with the guidelines published in the Notice to Professional Consultants.

ISD Project No.: A20-ISD-03

Project Title: Countywide Infrastructure Investment Program (CIIP) for the Rehabilitation and Renovation Services of Stephen P. Clark Government Center (SPCC)

Scope of Services Summary: ISD is seeking two (2) A/E consultants to perform professional architectural and engineering design services for the rehabilitation and renovation of the Stephen P. Clark Government Center (SPCC) under the Countywide Infrastructure Investment Program (CIIP). Please refer to the attached Scope of Services for additional information.

Experience and Qualifications: The prime firm is preferred to have ten (10) years of experience in architectural and/or engineering planning and/or design; and have been responsible or involved in a primary role for the design of at least two (2) stand-alone commercial buildings over 100,000 square feet in size.

Term and Estimated Cost of Contract: The County intends to retain two (2) qualified consultant(s)/team of firms under separate Non-Exclusive Professional Services Agreements (PSA) each with an effective term of five (5) years. Each PSA has a total maximum contract amount of five million dollars (\$5,000,000.00), exclusive of allowances and fees.

Small Business Enterprise Goal: On July 27, 2020, the Internal Services Department's Small Business Development Division established an 18% Small Business Enterprise – Architectural and Engineering Goal.

Advertisement Date: September 18, 2020

Number of Proposal(s) Received: Eighteen (18) proposals were received by the submittal deadline of October 23, 2020.

Name of Proposer(s): Please refer to the attached List of Respondents.

Internal Services Department Compliance Review: The proposals submitted by ME Engineering Consultants, Inc. and BEA Architects, Inc. did not meet prequalification/technical certification requirements pursuant to the NTPC and were found non-compliant. Consequently, the proposals from ME Engineering Consultants, Inc. and BEA Architects, Inc. were not evaluated by the Competitive Selection Committee.

Small Business Enterprise Compliance Review: Please refer to the attached Compliance Review Memorandum dated December 14, 2020.

Selection Process: The Architectural and Engineering professional services solicitation process is a two-tiered selection process; First Tier is the Evaluation of Experience and Qualifications, and Second Tier is the Oral Presentations. The First Tier is the evaluation of the firms' current statements of experience, qualifications and performance data. The Second Tier is the evaluation of the shortlisted firms' knowledge of project scope, qualifications of team members assigned to the project, and ability to provide the required services within schedule and budget, as demonstrated through an oral presentation from firms deemed responsive and responsible at the First Tier.

Note: The Competitive Selection Committee may waive the Second Tier selection process by a majority vote and base their selection on the results of the First Tier ranking only.

First Tier Results: The First Tier meeting was held on February 22, 2021. The Competitive Selection Committee was tasked with evaluating the experience and qualifications of the Proposers, and scoring and ranking the proposals in accordance with the evaluation criteria outlined in the solicitation. The Competitive Selection Committee scored all responsive and responsible proposals. During the evaluation process, all ties were broken using the standard tie-breaking procedure, as described in Section 3.3, Proposal Evaluation, of the Notice to Professional Consultants. Please refer to the attached First Tier Tabulation Sheet.

Second Tier Results: Based on the Competitive Selection Committee's professional judgement, the information provided in the proposals was deemed sufficient to determine the experience and qualifications of the Proposers. As a result, and by a majority vote, the Competitive Selection Committee decided to forego Second Tier proceedings.

Request for Appointment of Negotiation Committee: Pursuant to Section 2-10.4(6) of the Code of Miami-Dade County, the Internal Services Department hereby requests that the County Mayor or County Mayor's designee approve the following Negotiation Committee:

- Justin Espagnol, A&E Consultant Selection Coordinator, Non-Voting Chairperson, ISD
- Alan Shewchuk, Building Manager, ISD
- Kevin Montero, Program Management Manager, ISD
- Jorge I. Perez, Sr. Registered Architect, ISD

Request for Authorization to Enter Negotiations: Pursuant to Section 2-10.4 (6) of the Code of Miami-Dade County, it is hereby requested that the County Mayor or County Mayor's designee approve the selection of the following two firms for the purpose of negotiating two (2) Non-Exclusive Professional Services Agreements for this solicitation, in accordance with the Competitive Selection Committee's recommendation:

RANKING OF RESPONDENTS

Bermello Ajamil & Partners, Inc.

Final Ranking – 1
Total Adjusted Ordinal Score – 4
Total Adjusted Qualitative Points – 280

AECOM Technical Services, Inc.

Final Ranking – 2 Total Adjusted Ordinal Score – 7 Total Adjusted Qualitative Points – 275

The following firms will serve as alternates:

Zyscovich, Inc.

Final Ranking – 3
Total Adjusted Ordinal Score – 8
Total Adjusted Qualitative Points – 272

R E Chisholm Architects, Inc.

Final Ranking – 4
Total Adjusted Ordinal Score – 17
Total Adjusted Qualitative Points – 252

BC Architects A1A, Inc.

Final Ranking – 5
Total Ordinal Score –23
Total Qualitative Points – 250

M C Harry & Associates, Inc.

Final Ranking – 6
Total Ordinal Score – 23
Total Qualitative Points – 249

CBRE Heery, Inc.

Final Ranking – 7
Total Ordinal Score – 23
Total Qualitative Points – 246

Leo A Daly Company

Final Ranking – 8
Total Ordinal Score – 25
Total Qualitative Points – 251

Wolfberg Alvares & Partners, Inc.

Final Ranking – 9
Total Ordinal Score – 25
Total Qualitative Points – 244

Hellmuth Obata & Kassabaum, Inc.

Final Ranking – 10 Total Ordinal Score – 19 Total Qualitative Points – 256

Perez & Perez Architects Planners, Inc.

Final Ranking – 11 Total Ordinal Score – 25 Total Qualitative Points – 235

Diaz Carreno Scotti & Partners, Inc.

Final Ranking – 12
Total Ordinal Score – 38
Total Qualitative Points – 233

TSAO Design Group, Inc.

Final Ranking – 13
Total Ordinal Score – 39
Total Qualitative Points – 234

Rodriguez Architects, Inc.

Final Ranking – 14
Total Ordinal Score – 39
Total Qualitative Points – 229

V3 Architectural Group, Inc.

Final Ranking – 15 Total Ordinal Score – 41 Total Qualitative Points – 226

Axioma 3, Inc.

Final Ranking – 16
Total Ordinal Score – 43
Total Qualitative Points – 225

Pursuant to the Cone of Silence legislation included in the Conflict of Interest and Code of Ethics Ordinance, and Section 2-11.1 of the County Code, the County Mayor or County Mayor's designee will report to the Board of County Commissioners any of the following instances:

- When negotiations do not commence, or other affirmative action is not taken, within 30 days of the Competitive Selection Committee's recommendation.
- When the County Mayor's or County Mayor's designee's recommendation to award or reject is not made within 90 days from the date of the Competitive Selection Committee's recommendation.

If approved, the Negotiation Committee will proceed with contract negotiations in accordance with Section 2-10.4(6) of the Code of Miami-Dade County, and submit the signed contracts to be presented to the Board of County Commissioners for final approval, no later than 60 days from the date of this memorandum.

If satisfactory agreements cannot be reached within the 60 day period, a report is required to be prepared explaining all issues resulting from the negotiations. If negotiations are proceeding within a reasonable timeframe, then negotiations will to continue and the report will be submitted upon completion. The final contracts and report should be sent to the Internal Services Department, Architectural and Engineering Unit.

Date

Approved:

Digitally signed by
Tara C. Smith
Date: 2021.04.05

Tara C. Smith

Director

Attachments:

- 1. Scope of Services
- 2. List of Respondents
- 3. SBD Compliance Review
- 4. First Tier Tabulation Sheet
- C: Competitive Selection Committee Clerk of the Board of County Commissioners

SCOPE OF SERVICES

The retained consultant(s)/teams of firms shall provide comprehensive professional architectural, engineering and construction administration services for planning, design and post-design services, renovations, modifications, restorations, improvements, construction administration, LEED design, detailed cost estimates, structural threshold inspections, building recommissioning and building evaluations. These professional services are required to support and optimize building operations including upgrades to but not limited to the following components: horizontal and vertical circulation systems; telecommunications; parking facilities; restrooms; canopies; mechanical, electrical, plumbing, and fire suppression systems, including life safety (fire pump, fire sprinklers, related equipment); replacing of domestic water risers, improve or replace sanitary and roof drain risers, HVAC system improvements (coils, pumps, heat exchanger, variable frequency drives; replace chillers, cooling towers, related equipment), as well as evaluations of A/C mechanical rooms, replace roof and waterproof exterior envelope, accessibility (ADA); landscaping; building perimeter hardening, operational and security enhancements, access control, video management and access control system improvements; furniture, fixtures and equipment, and all related infrastructure, building and structure, ancillary to the basic work scope.

The Consultant shall provide all of the necessary and required services for completion of the project including existing facilities analysis, investigations and surveys, sea level rise considerations, designs, programming, analysis of sustainable systems and LEED certification; fire protection; interior design; civil engineering; structural engineering; mechanical, electrical and plumbing; audio-visual and telecommunication design; environmental and building permitting; bidding assistance; site visits; inspections; construction administration; project management; 40/50 year recertification inspections, for reference, the County's guidelines for General Considerations of Structural Inspection and Electrical Inspection; and commissioning; and any supportive ancillary tasks and services to the primary scope of services to successfully complete all phases of the project. In addition, the Consultant shall provide non-engineering services inclusive of detailed construction cost estimating.

All work shall be conducted to meet or exceed professional standards and the requirements of all authorities having jurisdiction; comply with ISD Security requirements; as needed, and conform to Miami-Dade County's Sustainable Buildings Program, Implementing Order No. I.O. 8-8.

The County may request Consultant(s) services on an as-needed basis through the issuance of Work Orders for the required work to be performed and the estimated fees to be paid for the services authorized pursuant to the Scope of Services. No minimum amount of work or compensation will be assured to the retained consultants. The County reserves the right to re-use the work products of the retained consultant and to retain other consultants to provide the same or similar services at its sole discretion.

The County reserves the right to assign work at SPCC based on the following rotation schedule:

- Work load
- Capabilities of the team
- Location of the project
- Schedule
- Familiarity with the location and infrastructure
- Organizational Conflict of Interest mitigation

Project Location: Stephen P. Clark Government Center (SPCC), 111 NW 1st Street, Miami, FL 33128.



LIST OF RESPONDENTS

Ste

Project Name: Countywide Infrastructure Investment Program (CIIP) for the Rehabilitation and Renovation Services of

P. Clark Government Center (SPCC) Building

Project No.: A20-ISD-03

Measures: 18% SBE-A/E

No. of Agreements: 2

Contract Type: PROFESSIONAL SERVICES AGREEMENT

Submittal Date: 10/23/2020

Team No.: 1 Prime Local Preference: Yes

Prime Name: M C HARRY & ASSOCIATES INC FEIN No.: 592281430

Trade Name:

Sub-Consultants Name

a. BLISS & NYITRAY INC

b. TLC ENGINEERING SOLUTIONS INC

c. SDM CONSULTING ENGINEERS INC

d. MILLER LEGG & ASSOCIATES INC

e. ROSS & BARUZZINI INC

Trade Name

Subs FEIN No.

591203311

591228645

592346110

650563467

430787438

Team No.: 2 Prime Local Preference: Yes

Prime Name: LEO A DALY COMPANY FEIN No.: 470363104

Trade Name:

Sub-Consultants Name

a. BOTAS ENGINEERING INC

b. DELTA G CONSULTING ENGINEERS INC

c. MILIAN SWAIN & ASSOCIATES INC

d. LAURA LLERENA & ASSOCIATES INC

e. TLC ENGINEERING SOLUTIONS INC

Trade Name

Subs FEIN No.

650670569

650361739

650094999

650094999

591983295

Team No.: 3 Prime Local Preference: Yes

Prime Name: ME ENGINEERING CONSULTANTS INC FEIN No.: 270515167

Trade Name:

Sub-Consultants Name
Trade Name
Subs FEIN No.

a. 305 CONSULTING ENGINEERS LLC
462245961

Team No.: 4 Prime Local Preference: Yes

Prime Name: WOLFBERG ALVAREZ & PARTNERS INC FEIN No.: 591713092

Trade Name:

Sub-Consultants Name

a. BURNS & MCDONNELL ENGINEERING CO INC

b. BLISS & NYITRAY INC

c. LOUIS J AGUIRRE & ASSOCIATES PA

d. GSLA DESIGN INC.

e. J BONFILL & ASSOCIATES INC

Trade Name

Subs FEIN No.

430956142

591203311

650164013

650410637

650133546

MDC016

LOR V08/9/16

Page 1 of 6



LIST OF RESPONDENTS

Ste

Project Name: Countywide Infrastructure Investment Program (CIIP) for the Rehabilitation and Renovation Services of

P. Clark Government Center (SPCC) Building

Project No.: A20-ISD-03

Measures: 18% SBE-A/E

No. of Agreements: 2

Contract Type: PROFESSIONAL SERVICES AGREEMENT

Submittal Date: 10/23/2020

Team No.: 5 Prime Local Preference: Yes

Prime Name: DIAZ CARRENO SCOTTI & PARTNERS INC FEIN No.: 472252158

Trade Name:

Sub-Consultants Name
Trade Name
Subs FEIN No.

a. BARD RAO & ATHANAS CONSULTING ENGINEERS LLC
b. AVINO & ASSOCIATES INC
c. LAURA LLERENA & ASSOCIATES INC
591983295

Team No.: 6 Prime Local Preference: Yes

Prime Name: CBRE HEERY INC FEIN No.: 580827945

Trade Name:

Sub-Consultants Name	Trade Name	Subs FEIN No.
a. TLC ENGINEERING SOLUTIONS INC		591228645
b. TWR ENGINEERS INC		650993089
c. EAC CONSULTING INC		650519739
d. DIVERSIFIED STRUCTURAL DESIGN, INC.		650214268
e. CURTIS & ROGERS DESIGN STUDIO INC		650294753
f. BECHFRONT LIFE LLC		821282719
g. BEKKA GROUP INC		455612715

Team No.: 7 Prime Local Preference: Yes

Prime Name: BEA ARCHITECTS INC FEIN No.: 651020158

Trade Name:

Sub-Consultants Name	Trade Name	Subs FEIN No.
a. GARTEK ENGINEERING CORPORATION		592032388
b. S & F ENGINEERS INC		651088480
c. COASTAL SYSTEMS INTERNATIONAL INC		650543399
d. SEQUIL SYSTEMS INC		611429151
e. LAURA LLERENA & ASSOCIATES INC		591983295
f. CONVERGENT TECHNOLOGIES DESIGN GROUP INC		522158611
g. INTERIOR ARCHITECTS PC	IA INTERIOR ARCHITECTS PC	223064850
h. GARDINER & THEOBALD, INC.		582055197
i. UNIVERSAL ENGINEERING SCIENCES LLC		591117804

MDC017

LOR V08/9/16 Page 2 of 6



LIST OF RESPONDENTS

Ste

Project Name: Countywide Infrastructure Investment Program (CIIP) for the Rehabilitation and Renovation Services of

P. Clark Government Center (SPCC) Building

Project No.: A20-ISD-03

Measures: 18% SBE-A/E

No. of Agreements: 2

Contract Type: PROFESSIONAL SERVICES AGREEMENT

Submittal Date: 10/23/2020

Team No.: 8 Prime Local Preference: Yes

Prime Name: AECOM TECHNICAL SERVICES INC FEIN No.: 952661922

Trade Name:

 Sub-Consultants Name
 Trade Name
 Subs FEIN No.

 a. GURRI MATUTE PA
 651038126

 b. DOUGLAS WOOD ASSOCIATES INC
 650343713

 c. HAMMOND & ASSOCIATES INC
 650083957

 d. SRS ENGINEERING INC
 650607552

 e. CURTIS & ROGERS DESIGN STUDIO INC
 650294753

 f. EXP US SERVICES INC
 460523964

Team No.: 9 Prime Local Preference: Yes

Prime Name: ZYSCOVICH INC FEIN No.: 592754852

Trade Name: ZYSCOVICH ARCHITECTS

Sub-Consultants Name

a. EXP US SERVICES INC

b. A D A ENGINEERING INC

c. DOUGLAS WOOD ASSOCIATES INC

d. CORDOVA RODRIGUEZ & ASSOCIATES INC

e. CURTIS & ROGERS DESIGN STUDIO INC

Trade Name

Subs FEIN No.

460523964

592064498

650343713

650982262

650982262

Team No.: 10 Prime Local Preference: No

Prime Name: HELLMUTH OBATA & KASSABAUM INC FEIN No.: 431723985

Trade Name:

Sub-Consultants Name
Trade Name
Subs FEIN No.

a. ALLEGUEZ ARCHITECTURE INC
b. HAMMOND & ASSOCIATES INC
c. DOUGLAS WOOD ASSOCIATES INC
d. MILLER LEGG & ASSOCIATES INC
e. SAVINO & MILLER DESIGN STUDIO PA
f. TLC ENGINEERING SOLUTIONS INC

Trade Name
Subs FEIN No.
043639417
650083957
6500843713
650343713
650563467
650412661
591228645

MDC018

LOR V08/9/16

Page 3 of 6



LIST OF RESPONDENTS

Ste

Project Name: Countywide Infrastructure Investment Program (CIIP) for the Rehabilitation and Renovation Services of

P. Clark Government Center (SPCC) Building

Project No.: A20-ISD-03

Measures: 18% SBE-A/E

No. of Agreements: 2

Contract Type: PROFESSIONAL SERVICES AGREEMENT

Submittal Date: 10/23/2020

Team No.: 11 Prime Local Preference: Yes

Prime Name: RODRIGUEZ ARCHITECTS INC FEIN No.: 650197336

Trade Name:

Sub-Consultants Name
Trade Name
Subs FEIN No.

a. KEITH & ASSOCIATES INC
650806421
b. SDM CONSULTING ENGINEERS INC
592346110
c. DDA ENGINEERS PA
650138165
d. HONEYWELL INTERNATIONAL INC
222640650

Team No.: 12 Prime Local Preference: Yes

Prime Name: TSAO DESIGN GROUP INC FEIN No.: 943364738

Trade Name:

Sub-Consultants NameTrade NameSubs FEIN No.a. TLC ENGINEERING SOLUTIONS INC591228645b. MILLER LEGG & ASSOCIATES INC650563467

Team No.: 13 Prime Local Preference: Yes

Prime Name: AXIOMA 3 INC FEIN No.: 650028948

Trade Name: AXIOMA 3 ARCHITECTS

Sub-Consultants Name
Trade Name
Subs FEIN No.

a. 3FM ENGINEERING, INC.
464436733
b. YOUSSEF HACHEM CONSULTING ENGINEERING
c. LANDSCAPE DE LLC
455029273
d. TLC ENGINEERING SOLUTIONS INC
591228645

Team No.: 14 Prime Local Preference: Yes

Prime Name: V3 ARCHITECTURAL GROUP INC FEIN No.: 264004444

Trade Name:

Sub-Consultants Name
Trade Name
Subs FEIN No.

a. BCC ENGINEERING LLC
650540100
b. TLC ENGINEERING SOLUTIONS INC
591228645
c. GSLA DESIGN INC.
650410637

LOR V08/9/16 Page 4 of 6

MDC019



LIST OF RESPONDENTS

Ste

Project Name: Countywide Infrastructure Investment Program (CIIP) for the Rehabilitation and Renovation Services of

P. Clark Government Center (SPCC) Building

Project No.: A20-ISD-03

Measures: 18% SBE-A/E

No. of Agreements: 2

Contract Type: PROFESSIONAL SERVICES AGREEMENT

Submittal Date: 10/23/2020

Team No.: 15 Prime Local Preference: Yes

Prime Name: R E CHISHOLM ARCHITECTS INC FEIN No.: 650131871

Trade Name:

Sub-Consultants Name	Trade Name	Subs FEIN No.
a. HAMMOND & ASSOCIATES INC		650083957
b. A D A ENGINEERING INC		592064498
c. BCC ENGINEERING LLC		650540100
d. LAURA LLERENA & ASSOCIATES INC		591983295
e. TLC ENGINEERING SOLUTIONS INC		591228645
f. ATLAS SAFETY & SECURITY DESIGN INC		650072499

Team No.: 16 Prime Local Preference: Yes

Prime Name: PEREZ & PEREZ ARCHITECTS PLANNERS INC FEIN No.: 592400309

Trade Name:

Sub-Consultants Name	Trade Name	Subs FEIN No.
a. BLISS & NYITRAY INC		591203311
b. SDM CONSULTING ENGINEERS INC		592346110
c. EAC CONSULTING INC		650519739
d. CURTIS & ROGERS DESIGN STUDIO INC		650294753
e. GRAEF-USA INC.	GRAEF	391083592

Team No.: 17 Prime Local Preference: Yes

Prime Name: BERMELLO AJAMIL & PARTNERS INC FEIN No.: 591722486

Trade Name:

Sub-Consultants Name	Trade Name	Subs FEIN No.
a. LOUIS J AGUIRRE & ASSOCIATES PA		650164013
b. NIFAH & PARTNERS CONSULTING ENGINEERS		650604266
c. A & P CONSULTING TRANSPORTATION ENGINEER		650770583
d. EXP US SERVICES INC		460523964
e. BCC ENGINEERING LLC		650540100
f. J BONFILL & ASSOCIATES INC		650133546
g. GANNETT FLEMING INC		251613591
h. BOSCH GROUP INC		650965283
i. SPINNAKER GROUP LLC		832279620

MDC020

LOR V08/9/16 Page 5 of 6



LIST OF RESPONDENTS

Project Name: Countywide Infrastructure Investment Program (CIIP) for the Rehabilitation and Renovation Services of

P. Clark Government Center (SPCC) Building

Project No.: A20-ISD-03

Measures: 18% SBE-A/E

No. of Agreements: 2

Contract Type: PROFESSIONAL SERVICES AGREEMENT

Submittal Date: 10/23/2020

Team No.: 18 Prime Local Preference: Yes

Prime Name: BC ARCHITECTS A1A INC FEIN No.: 650300981

Trade Name:

Sub-Consultants Name	Trade Name	Subs FEIN No.
a. YOUSSEF HACHEM CONSULTING ENGINEERING		203225960
b. TWR ENGINEERS INC		650993089
c. LEITER PEREZ & ASSOCIATES INC		592746730
d. GSLA DESIGN INC.		650410637
e. TLC ENGINEERING SOLUTIONS INC		591228645
f. UNIVERSAL ENGINEERING SCIENCES INC		591117804
g. JOE SNIDER CONSULTING, INC	INTEGRATIVE	821953332

SUSTAINABILITY SOLUTIONS

Ste

LOR V08/9/16 Page 6 of 6

MDC021

DATE: December 14, 2020

TO: Namita Uppal, Chief Procurement Officer

Internal Services Department

FROM: Gary Hartfield, Division Director

Small Business Development Internal Services Department

SUBJECT: Compliance Review

Project No. A20-ISD-03

Rehabilitation and Renovation Services for The Stephen P. Clark Government Center (SPCC) Building

Memorandum MIAMI-DADE

Small Business Development (SBD), a Division of the Internal Services Department, has completed the compliance review for the subject project. The established contract measure for this project is an 18% SBE/A&E sub-consultant goal.

The Strategic Procurement Division of the Internal Services Department submitted proposals that included the Certificate of Assurance for the firms listed below acknowledging the project's SBE measure. All referenced firms also submitted their Utilization Plan (UP) identifying the SBE sub-consultants to fulfill the 18% SBE/A&E goal via the Business Management Workforce System (BMWS). Below are the results of SBD's compliance review.

STATUS:

AECOM Technical Services, Inc.	Compliant
2. AXIOMA 3, INC.	Compliant
3. BC Architects AIA, Inc.	Compliant
4. Bermello, Ajamil & Partners Architects and Engineers, Inc.	c. Compliant
5. CBRE Heery, Inc.	Compliant
6. Diaz, Carreno, Scotti & Partners, Inc.	Compliant
7. Hellmuth, Obata and Kassabaum, Inc	Compliant
8. M.C. HARRY & ASSOCIATES INC	Compliant
9. Perez & Perez Architects Planners, Inc.	Compliant
10. Rodriguez Architects Inc.	Compliant
11. TSAO DESIGN GROUP, INCORPORATED	Compliant
12. V3 Architectural Group, Inc.	Compliant
13. Wolfberg Alvarez and Partners	Compliant
14. ZYSCOVICH INC	Compliant
15. Leo A Daly	Compliant
16. R.E. Chisholm Architects, Inc.	Compliant

SUMMARY:

AECOM Technical Services, Inc. (#1), a non-certified SBE/A&E firm, committed to utilize the following certified SBE/A&E firms: Curtis & Rogers Design Studio, Inc., to perform Technical Certification Category (TC) 20.00 (Landscape Architecture) at 1.00%; Douglas Wood Associates, Inc. to perform TC 11.00 (General Structural Engineering) at 4.00%; Gurri Matute, PA to perform TC's 14.00 (Architecture) and 14.00A (Interior Design) at 7.00%; Hammond & Associates, Inc., to perform TC's 12.00 (General Mechanical Engineering), 13.00 (General Electrical Engineering) and 17.00 (Engineering Construction Management) at 4.00% and SRS Engineering, Inc., to perform TC 16.00 (General Civil Engineering) at 2.00% in satisfaction of the 18% SBE/A&E subconsultant goal. The Utilization Plan (UP) was submitted by AECOM Technical Services, Inc. and confirmed by each subconsultant listed to achieve the SBE/A&E goal of 18%.

AECOM Technical Services, Inc. is in compliance with the 18% SBE/A&E sub-consultant goal measure.

AXIOMA 3, INC. (#2), a certified SBE/A&E firm, committed to perform TC's 14.00A (Interior Design) and 22.00 (ADA Title II Consultant) at 18.00% with its own forces in satisfaction of the SBE/A&E 18% sub-consultant goal. The Utilization Plan (UP) was submitted by AXIOMA 3, INC. and confirmed to achieve the SBE/A&E goal of 18%.

AXIOMA 3, INC. is in compliance with the 18% SBE/A&E sub-consultant goal measure.

BC Architects AIA, Inc. (#3), a certified SBE/A&E firm, committed to perform TC's 14.00 (Architecture), 14.00A (Interior Design), 18.00 (Architectural Construction Management) and 22.00 (ADA Title II Consultant) with its own forces at 8.00%. BC Architects AIA, Inc. also committed to utilize the following certified SBE/A&E firms: GSLA Design, Inc. to perform TC 20.00 (Landscape Architecture) at 1.00%; Leiter, Perez & Associates, Inc. to perform TC 16.00 (General Civil Engineering) at 1.00%;

Namita Uppal A20-ISD-03 December 14, 2020 Page 2 of 3

TWR Engineers, Inc. to perform TC's 8.00 (Telecommunication Systems), 12.00 (General Mechanical Engineering) and 13.00 (General Electrical Engineering) at 5.00% and Youssef Hachem Consulting Engineering, Inc. to perform TC's 11.00 (General Structural Engineering) and 17.00 (Engineering Construction Management) at 3.00% in satisfaction of the 18% SBE/A&E subconsultant goal. The Utilization Plan (UP) was submitted by BC Architects AIA, Inc. and confirmed by each sub-consultant listed to achieve the SBE/A&E goal of 18%.

BC Architects AIA, Inc. is in compliance with the 18% SBE/A&E sub-consultant goal measure.

Bermello, Ajamil & Partners Architects and Engineers, Inc. (#4), a non-certified SBE/A&E firm, committed to utilize the following certified SBE/A&E firms: J. Bonfill & Associates, Inc. to perform TC 22.00 (ADA Title II Consultant) at 1.00%; Louis J. Aguirre & Associates, P.A. to perform TC's 12.00 (General Mechanical Engineering), 13.00 (General Electrical Engineering) and 17.00 (Engineering Construction Management) at 24.00% and Nifah and Partners Consulting Engineers, Inc. to perform TC 11.00 (General Structural Engineering) at 5.00% in satisfaction of the 18% SBE/A&E sub-consultant goal. The Utilization Plan (UP) was submitted by Bermello, Ajamil & Partners Architects and Engineers, Inc. and confirmed by each sub-consultant listed to achieve the SBE/A&E goal of 18%.

Bermello, Ajamil & Partners Architects and Engineers, Inc. is in compliance with the 18% SBE/A&E sub-consultant goal measure.

CBRE Heery, Inc. (#5), a non-certified SBE/A&E firm, committed to utilize the following certified SBE/A&E firms: Curtis & Rogers Design Studio, Inc to perform TC 20.00 (Landscape Architecture) at 1.00%; Diversified Structural Design, Inc. to perform TC's 11.00 (General Structural Engineering) and 17.00 (Engineering Construction Management) at 8.00% and TWR Engineers, Inc. to perform TC's 12.00 (General Mechanical Engineering) and 17.00 (Engineering Construction Management) at 9.00% in satisfaction of the 18% SBE/A&E sub-consultant goal. The Utilization Plan (UP) was submitted by CBRE Heery, Inc. and confirmed by the sub-consultants listed to achieve the SBE/A&E goal of 18%.

CBRE Heery, Inc. is in compliance with the 18% SBE/A&E sub-consultant goal measure.

Diaz, Carreno, Scotti & Partners, Inc. (#6), a certified SBE/A&E firm, committed to perform TC's 11.00 (General Structural Engineering), 14.00 (Architecture), 17.00 (Engineering Construction Management) and 18.00 (Architectural Construction Management) at 18.00% with its own forces in satisfaction of the 18% SBE/A&E sub-consultant goal. The Utilization Plan (UP) was submitted by Diaz, Carreno, Scotti & Partners, Inc. and confirmed to achieve the SBE/A&E goal of 18%.

Diaz, Carreno, Scotti & Partners, Inc. is in compliance with the 18% SBE/A&E sub-consultant goal measure.

Hellmuth, Obata and Kassabaum, Inc (#7), a non-certified SBE/A&E firm, committed to utilize the following certified SBE/A&E firms: Hammond & Associates, Inc. to perform TC's 12.00 (General Mechanical Engineering), 13.00 (General Electrical Engineering) and 17.00 (Engineering Construction Management) at 18.00% in satisfaction of the 18% SBE/A&E sub-consultant goal. The Utilization Plan (UP) was submitted by Hellmuth, Obata and Kassabaum, Inc and confirmed by the sub-consultant listed to achieve the SBE/A&E goal of 18%.

Hellmuth, Obata and Kassabaum, Inc is in compliance with the 18% SBE/A&E sub-consultant goal measure.

M.C. HARRY & ASSOCIATES INC (#8), a certified SBE/A&E firm, committed to utilize the following certified SBE/A&E firms: Miller, Legg & Associates, Inc. to perform TC 16.00 (General Civil Engineering) at 3.00% and SDM Consulting Engineers, Inc. to perform TC's 12.00 (General Mechanical Engineering) and 13.00 (General Electrical Engineering) at 15.00% in satisfaction of the 18% SBE/A&E sub-consultant goal. The Utilization Plan (UP) was submitted by M.C. HARRY & ASSOCIATES INC and confirmed by the sub-consultants listed to achieve the SBE/A&E goal of 18%.

M.C. HARRY & ASSOCIATES INC is in compliance with the 18% SBE/A&E sub-consultant goal measure.

Perez & Perez Architects Planners, Inc. (#9), a non-certified SBE/A&E firm, committed to utilize the following certified SBE/A&E firms: SDM Consulting Engineers, Inc. to perform TC's 12.00 (General Mechanical Engineering) and 13.00 (General Electrical Engineering) at 18.00% and Curtis & Rogers Design Studio, Inc. to perform TC 20.00 (Landscape Architecture) at 2.00% in satisfaction of the 18% SBE/A&E sub-consultant goal. The Utilization Plan (UP) was submitted by Perez & Perez Architects Planners, Inc. and confirmed by the sub-consultants listed to achieve the SBE/A&E goal of 18%.

Perez & Perez Architects Planners, Inc. is in compliance with the 18% SBE/A&E sub-consultant goal measure.

Rodriguez Architects Inc. (#10), a certified SBE/A&E firm, committed to perform TC 14.00 (Architecture) with its own forces at 10.00%. Rodriguez Architects Inc. also committed to utilize SDM Consulting Engineers, Inc., a certified SBE/A&E firm, to perform TC 12.00 (General Mechanical Engineering) at 15.00% in satisfaction of the 18% SBE/A&E sub-consultant goal. The Utilization Plan (UP) was submitted by Rodriguez Architects Inc. and confirmed by the sub-consultant listed to achieve the SBE/A&E goal of 18%.

Namita Uppal A20-ISD-03 December 14, 2020 Page 3 of 3

Rodriguez Architects Inc. is in compliance with the 18% SBE/A&E sub-consultant goal measure.

TSAO DESIGN GROUP, INCORPORATED (#11), a certified SBE/A&E firm, committed to perform TC's 14.00 (Architecture), 14.00A (Interior Design), 18.00 (Architectural Construction Management) and 22.00 (ADA Title II Consultant) with its own forces at 20.00%. TSAO DESIGN GROUP, INCORPORATED also committed to utilize Miller, Legg & Associates, Inc., a certified SBE/A&E firm, to perform TC's 16.00 (General Civil Engineering) and 20.00 (Landscape Architecture) at 10.00% in satisfaction of the 18% SBE/A&E sub-consultant goal. The Utilization Plan (UP) was submitted by TSAO DESIGN GROUP, INCORPORATED and confirmed by the sub-consultant listed to achieve the SBE/A&E goal of 18%.

TSAO DESIGN GROUP, INCORPORATED is in compliance with the 18% SBE/A&E sub-consultant goal measure.

V3 Architectural Group, Inc. (#12), a certified SBE/A&E firm, committed to perform TC's 14.00 (Architecture), 14.00A (Interior Design), 18.00 (Architectural Construction Management) and 22.00 (ADA Title II Consultant) with its own forces at 16.00%. V3 Architectural Group, Inc. also committed to utilize GSLA Design, Inc., a certified SBE/A&E firm, to perform TC 20.00 (Landscape Architecture) at 2.00% in satisfaction of the 18% SBE/A&E sub-consultant goal. The Utilization Plan (UP) was submitted by V3 Architectural Group, Inc. and confirmed by the sub-consultant listed to achieve the SBE/A&E goal of 18%.

V3 Architectural Group, Inc. is in compliance with the 18% SBE/A&E sub-consultant goal measure.

Wolfberg Alvarez and Partners (#13), a non-certified SBE/A&E firm, committed to utilize the following certified SBE/A&E firms: J. Bonfill & Associates, Inc. to perform TC 22.00 (ADA Title II Consultant) at 1.00%; Louis J. Aguirre & Associates, P.A. to perform TC's 12.00 (General Mechanical Engineering), 13.00 (General Electrical Engineering) and 17.00 (Engineering Construction Management) at 16.00% and GSLA Design, Inc. to perform TC 20.00 (Landscape Architecture) at 1.00% in satisfaction of the 18% SBE/A&E sub-consultant goal. The Utilization Plan (UP) was submitted by Wolfberg Alvarez and Partners and confirmed by the sub-consultants listed to achieve the SBE/A&E goal of 18%.

Wolfberg Alvarez and Partners is in compliance with the 18% SBE/A&E sub-consultant goal measure.

ZYSCOVICH INC. (#14), a non-certified SBE/A&E firm committed to utilize the following certified SBE/A&E firms: A.D.A. Engineering, Inc. to perform TC's 8.00 (Telecommunication Systems), 12.00 (General Mechanical Engineering), 13.00 (General Electrical Engineering) and 17.00 (Engineering Construction Management) at 8.00%; Cordova Rodriguez & Associates, Inc. to perform TC 16.00 (General Civil Engineering) at 1.50%; Curtis & Rogers Design Studio, Inc. to perform TC 20.00 (Landscape Architecture) at 1.00% and Douglas Wood Associates, Inc. to perform TC's 11.00 (General Structural Engineering) and 17.00 (Engineering Construction Management) at 7.50% in satisfaction of the SBE/A&E 18% sub-consultant goal. The Utilization Plan (UP) was submitted by Zyscovich Inc. and confirmed by the sub-consultants listed to achieve the SBE/A&E goal of 18%.

Zyscovich Inc. is in compliance with the 18% SBE/A&E sub-consultant goal measure.

Leo A Daly (#15), a non-certified SBE/A&E firm committed to utilize the following certified SBE/A&E firms: Botas Engineering, Inc. to perform TC 11.00 (General Structural Engineering) at 10.00%; Delta G Consulting Engineers, Inc. to perform TC's 12.00 (General Mechanical Engineering) and 13.00 (General Electrical Engineering) at 20.00%; Laura LLerena and Associates to perform TC 20.00 (Landscape Architecture) at 0.35% and Millian, Swain & Associates, Inc. to perform TC's 16.00 (General Civil Engineering) and 17.00 (Engineering Construction Management) at 2.00% in satisfaction of the SBE/A&E 18% sub-consultant goal. The Utilization Plan (UP) was submitted by Leo A Daly and confirmed by the sub-consultants listed to achieve the SBE/A&E goal of 18%.

Leo A Daly is in compliance with the 18% SBE/A&E sub-consultant goal measure.

R.E. Chisholm Architects, Inc. (#16), a certified SBE/A&E firm committed to utilize the following certified SBE/A&E firms: A.D.A. Engineering, Inc. to perform TC's 12.00 (General Mechanical Engineering), 13.00 (General Electrical Engineering) and 16.00 (General Civil Engineering) at 19.00%; Hammond & Associates, Inc. to perform TC 17.00 (Engineering Construction Management) at 7.00% and Laura LLerena and Associates to perform TC 20.00 (Landscape Architecture) at 3.00% in satisfaction of the SBE/A&E 18% sub-consultant goal. The Utilization Plan (UP) was submitted by R.E. Chisholm Architects, Inc. and confirmed by the sub-consultants listed to achieve the SBE/A&E goal of 18%.

R.E. Chisholm Architects, Inc. is in compliance with the 18% SBE/A&E sub-consultant goal measure.

SBD verified no referenced firms were listed on the Compliance Report of Open and Closed Small Business, Wage and/or Workforce Violations as of December 11, 2020.

Please be reminded that SBD's review is specific to the SBE/A&E program. The Strategic Procurement Division of the Internal Services Department is responsible for any other issues that may exist during the Selection Process. Should you have any questions or need any additional information, please do not hesitate to contact Caesar Suarez, SBD Capital Improvement Project Specialist at caesars@miamidade.gov or (305) 375-3141.

	ВРИК	JANIŦ							9				! !					œ		
١	nge & Ranking	Local Pref. Ra				261	237									264				
	ВРИКІИС	ОКВІИАС			i i				2									6		
S	SDINAL SCORE	O .LOA JATOT							23									22		
SORE	DS BVITATIJAL	TOTAL & ADJ. QU					415			249						416			251	
	isparity	а _{Ив} ін	Rounded		57 22 24	. 4 rc	•						28	7 7	4	ω				
	ytinsqei	а мод	Rounded		29 11	- 70 m)						29	= =	7	ო				
	eges	эvА	Rounded		43 17	5 w 4							43	16	ო	4				
	JATOT	Bns			216	2 12	ì						217	84	15	19				
TEE	dadm ,	nsmsə2 noL			42 16	: ro 4	84	10					48	18	4	4 6	9		91	
COMPETITIVE SELECTION COMMITTEE	USAW ,II,	Pearle Khada			43 18	3 4 4	86	4	4	98			38	15	7	3	10		74	
SELECTIC	GSI 'zə	Jorge I. Per			46 16	2 0 u	85	7					43	ა დ	4	4 84	6			
PETITIVE	ero, ISD	Kevin Monte			45 16	2 m m	80	11	7				42	15 16	4	4 %	10	10		
COM	JUK, ISD	lowed2 nsIA			40 18	- 4	80	9		80			46	₈ 6	7	4 86	2	2		
FIRST TIER MEETING Monday, February 22nd 2021	Internal Services Department (ISD) Countywide Infrastructure Investment Program (CIIP) for Rehabilitation and Renovation Services of Stephen P. Clark Center (SPCC)	TABULATION SHEET ISD PROJECT NO. A20-ISD-03	NAME OF FIRM(S)	1 M C HARRY & ASSOCIATES, INC. (LOCAL)	1A - Qualification of firms including team members associated to the project (Max. 50 points)	A - Anitivo of fearn members to interface with the Country (Max. 5 points)	02	Ordinal Scores	Dropped Ordinal Scores	Dropped Qualitative Scores	Tie-Breaker(CSC Ords)-Criterion 1A,2A,3A,4A,5A, then Total Qual. Points for 1A,2A,3A,4A,5A. Tie-Breaker (Total Ord. Score)-Total Adjusted Qual. Points, then Total Qual. Points for 1A,2A,3A,4A,5A	2 LEO A DALY COMPANY (LOCAL)	1A - Qualification of firms including team members associated to the project (Max. 50 points)	ZA - Knowledge and Past Experience of similar type projects (Max. 20 points) 3A - Past Performance of the Firms (Max. 20 points)	4A - Amount of Work Awarded and Paid by the County (Max. 5 points)	5A - Ability of team members to interface with the County (Max 5 points)	Ordinal Scores	Dropped Ordinal Scores	Dropped Qualitative Scores	Tie-Breaker(CSC Ords)-Criterion 1A,2A,3A,4A,5A, then Total Qual. Points for 1A,2A,3A,4A,5A. Tie-Breaker (Total Ord. Score)-Total Adjusted Qual. Points, then Total Qual. Points for 1A,2A,3A,4A,5A

	FIRST TIER MEETING	COM	PETITIVE (SELECTION	COMPETITIVE SELECTION COMMITTEE						386	,			
	Internal Services Department (ISD) Countywide Infrastructure Investment Program (CIIP) for Rehabilitation and Renovation Services of Stephen P. Clark Center (SPCC)	nk, ISD	ası 'o.	dsi 'z	dsaw ,	dAdM	JATO	аде	yparity	yarity	DOS BVITATIJE	DINAL SCORES			
	TABULATION SHEET ISD PROJECT NO. A20-ISD-03	Alan Shewchi	Kevin Monter	Jorge I. Pere	Pearle Khadar	nsmas2 not	DT-BUS	зэхА	sid woJ	eiO AgiH	TOTAL & ADJ. QUA	TOTAL ADJ. ORI	ANIORO ORDINAL F	Local Pref. Ran	
က	ME ENGINEERING CONSULTANTS, INC. (LOCAL) - = (IMINANTED)		ı		ı	Ī		Ī			Ī	ı	ı		
4	WOLFBERG ALVARES & PARTNERS, INC. (LOCAL)														
IV	1A - Qualification of firms including team members associated to the project (Max. 50 points)	35 17	44 18	46 18	35 15	46 15	206 83	41	11	55 22					ļ
טוי	A - Past Performance of the Firms (Max. 20 points) A - Amount of Work Awarded and Paid by the County (Max. 5 points)	15	3 با	ع 3	17	3 7	13	14 ع	5 2	3 2					
CU	A - Ability of team members to interface with the County (Max 5 points)	4 73	4 86	89	4 73	4 85	20	4	ო	ro.	406		N N	256	
	Ordinal Scores	13	4	4	12	6									
	Dropped Ordinal Scores	13	4									25	10	6	
	Dropped Qualitative Scores	73		68							244				
	Tie-Breaker(CSC Ords)-Criterion 14,24,34,44,54, then Total Qual. Points for 14,24,34,44,5A. Tie-Breaker (Total Ord. Score)-Total Adjusted Qual. Points, then Total Qual. Points for 14,24,34,44,5A														
Ω.	DIAZ CARRENO SCOTTI & PARTNERS, INC. (LOCAL)														
	1A - Qualification of firms including team members associated to the project (Max. 50 points)	48	37	38	31	45	199	40	27	53					ļ
	2A - Knowledge and Past Experience of similar type projects (Max. 20 points) 3A - Daet Darformance of the Eirne (May 2) nainte)	2 9	7 2	<u>τ</u> ά	2 4	5 5	69	4 4	o <u>t</u>	2 %					
	4A - Amount of Work Awarded and Paid by the County (Max. 5 points)	ر د	ე დ	ص <u>د</u>	: ო	2	23	2 2	: ო	; o					
	5A - Ability of team members to interface with the County (Max 5 points)	ო	4	ო	ဗ	က	16	က	7	4	ļ		6	245	
		6/	9/	8/	7.7	82					387		<u>~</u>	-24	
	Ordinal Scores	7	13	4	13	12							T	_	П
	Dropped Ordinal Scores	7		14								38	12	12	
	Dropped Qualitative Scores				72	82					233				
	Tie-Breaker(CSC Ords)-Criterion 14,24,3A,4A,5A, then Total Qual. Points for 1A,2A,3A,4A,5A. Tie-Breaker (Total Ord. Score)-Total Adjusted Qual. Points, then Total Qual. Points for 1A,2A,3A,4A,5A														

Countywide infrastructure livestructure investment Program (CIIP) for Rehabilitation and Ranovalde services of Stephner Program (CIIP) for Rehabilitation and Ranovalden Services of Stephner Program (CIIP) for Rehabilitation and Ranovalden Services of Stephner Program (CIIP) for Rehabilitation and Ranovalden Services of Stephner Program (CIIP) for Rehabilitation and Ranovalden Services of Stephner Program (CIIP) for Rehabilitation and Ranovalden Services of Stephner Program (CIIP) for Rehabilitation and Ranovalden Services of Stephner Program (CIIP) for Rehabilitation and Ranovalden Services of Stephner Program (CIIP) for Rehabilitation and Ranovalden Services of Stephner Program (CIIP) for Rehabilitation and Ranovalden Services of Stephner Program (CIIP) for Rehabilitation and Ranovalden Services of Stephner Program (CIIII) for Rehabilitation and Ranovalden Services of Stephner Program (CIIII) for Rehabilitation and Ranovalden Services of Stephner Program (CIIII) for Rehabilitation and Ranovalden Services of Stephner Program (CIIII) for Rehabilitation and Ranovalden Services of Stephner Program (CIIII) for Rehabilitation and Ranovalden Services of Stephner Program (CIIII) for Rehabilitation and Ranovalden Services of Stephner Program (CIIII) for Rehabilitation and Ranovalden Services of Stephner Program (CIIII) for Rehabilitation and Ranovalden Services of Stephner Program (CIIII) for Rehabilitation and Ranovalden Services of Stephner Program (CIIII) for Rehabilitation and Ranovalden Services of Stephner Program (CIIII) for Rehabilitation Services of Stephner Program (CII	FIRST TIER MEETING Monday, February 22nd 2021	CO	APETITIVE	SELECTIC	COMPETITIVE SELECTION COMMITTEE						ОВЕ	s			
### TION SHEET TION SHEET The wild was proposed or the project (Max. 20 points) The project (services Department (ISD) estment Program (CIIP) for Rehabilitation and s of Stephen P. Clark Center (SPCC)	ınk, ISD	dsi ,on	₫Si 'zə	r, WASD	dAdm ,	JAT0	rage	sparity	isparity	OS EVITATIVE	DINAL SCORE	ВАИКІИС		
Sociated to the project (Max. 50 points) (Nax. 5 points) Dropped Ordinal Scores A, then Total Qual. Points for 1A,2A,3A,4A,5A. Dropped Ordinal Scores A, then Total Qual. Points for 1A,2A,3A,4A,5A. Dropped Ordinal Scores A, then Total Qual. Points for 1A,2A,3A,4A,5A. Dropped Ordinal Scores A, then Total Qual. Points for 1A,2A,3A,4A,5A. Dropped Ordinal Scores A, then Total Qual. Points for 1A,2A,3A,4A,5A. Dropped Ordinal Scores A, then Total Qual. Points for 1A,2A,3A,4A,5A. Dropped Ordinal Scores A, then Total Qual. Points for 1A,2A,3A,4A,5A. Dropped Ordinal Scores A, then Total Qual. Points for 1A,2A,3A,4A,5A. Dropped Ordinal Scores A, then Total Qual. Points for 1A,2A,3A,4A,5A. Dropped Ordinal Scores A, then Total Qual. Points for 1A,2A,3A,4A,5A. A, then Total Qual. Points for 1A,2A,3A,4A,5A. Dropped Qualitative Scores A, then Total Qual. Points for 1A,2A,3A,4A,5A. Dropped Qualitative Scores A, then Total Qual. Points for 1A,2A,3A,4A,5A. Dropped Qualitative Scores A, then Total Qual. Points for 1A,2A,3A,4A,5A. Dropped Qualitative Scores A, then Total Qual. Points for 1A,2A,3A,4A,5A. Dropped Qualitative Scores A, then Total Qual. Points for 1A,2A,3A,4A,5A. Dropped Dropped Qualitative Scores A, then Total Qual. Points for 1A,2A,3A,4A,5A. Dropped Dropped Qualitative Scores A, then Total Qual. Points for 1A,2A,3A,4A,5A. Dropped Dropped Qualitative Scores A, then Total Qual. Points for 1A,2A,3A,4A,5A. Dropped Dropped Qualitative Scores A, then Total Qual. Points for 1A,2A,3A,4A,5A. Dropped Dropped Qualitative Scores A, then Total Qual. Points for 1A,2A,3A,4A,5A. Dropped Dropped Qualitative Scores A, then Total Qual. Points for 1A,2A,3A,4A,5A. Dropped	JLATION SHEET IECT NO. A20-ISD-03	Howed2 nsIA	Kevin Monte	Jorge I. Per	Pearle Khada	nsmsə2 nol	r-aus	эхА	Low Di	d dgiH	TOTAL & ADJ. QU	ЯО .Lda Jatot	JANIGRO		
Ordinal Scores Ordinal Scores A, then Total Qual. Points for 1A2AA4A5A. Discipled Outlitative Scores Ordinal Scores A, then Total Qual. Points for 1A2AA4A5A. Discipled Qualitative Scores A, then Total Qual. Points for 1A2AA4A5A. Discipled Qualitative Scores A, then Total Qual. Points for 1A2AA4A5A. Discipled Qualitative Scores A, then Total Qual. Points for 1A2AAA45A. Discipled Qualitative Scores A, then Total Qual. Points for 1A2AAA45A. Discipled Qualitative Scores A, then Total Qual. Points for 1A2AAA45A. Discipled Qualitative Scores A, then Total Qual. Points for 1A2AAA45A. Discipled Qualitative Scores A, then Total Qual. Points for 1A2AAA45A. Discipled Qualitative Scores A, then Total Qual. Points for 1A2AAA45A. Discipled Qualitative Scores A, then Total Qual. Points for 1A2AAA45A. Discipled Qualitative Scores A, then Total Qual. Points for 1A2AAA45A. Discipled Qualitative Scores A, then Total Qual. Points for 1A2AAA45A. Discipled Qualitative Scores A, then Total Qual. Points for 1A2AAAA5A. Discipled Qualitative Scores A, then Total Qual. Points for 1A2AAAA5A. Discipled Qualitative Scores A, then Total Qual. Points for 1A2AAAA5A. Discipled Qualitative Scores A, then Total Qual. Points for 1A2AAAA5A. Discipled Qualitative Scores A, then Total Qual. Points for 1A2AAAA5A. Discipled Qualitative Scores A, then Total Qual. Points for 1A2AAAA5A. Discipled Qualitative Scores A, then Total Qual. Points for 1A2AAAA5A. Discipled Qualitative Scores A, then Total Qual. Points for 1A2AAAA5A. Discipled Qualitative Scores A, then Total Qual. Points for 1A2AAAA5A. Discipled Qualitative Scores A, then Total Qual. Points for 1A2AAAA5A. Discipled Qualitative Scores Discipled Qualitati													Г		
The Residual Points The Residual Points for 1A_A3_A4A_5A	nbers associated to the project (Max. 50 points) rr type projects (Max. 20 points) oints)	42 15	45 18	45 17 16	30 15 18	48 20 20	210 85 83	42 17	28 11	56 23 22					!
Dropped Ordinal Scores S 7 8 14 3 3 3 4 5 4 3 4 4 3 4 4 5 4 4 5 4 4 5 4 5 4 5 4 5 4 5 4 5 4 5 4 5 4 5 4 5 5	County (Max. 5 points) he County (Max 5 points)	2 <mark>7</mark>	3 84	85 85	3 67	5 46	1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	N W	N N	w ro	407			258	
Dropped Qualitative Scores A, then Total Qual. Points for 1A,2A,3A,4A,5A Dropped Qualitative Scores Dropped Qualitative Scores A, then Total Qual. Points for 1A,2A,3A,4A,5A Dropped Qualitative Scores A, then Total Qual. Points for 1A,2A,3A,4A,5A Dropped Qualitative Scores A, then Total Qual. Points for 1A,2A,3A,4A,5A Dropped Qualitative Scores A, then Total Qual. Points for 1A,2A,3A,4A,5A Dropped Qualitative Scores A, then Total Qual. Points for 1A,2A,3A,4A,5A. Dropped Qualitative Scores A, then Total Qual. Points for 1A,2A,3A,4A,5A. Dropped Qualitative Scores A, then Total Qual. Points for 1A,2A,3A,4A,5A. Dropped Qualitative Scores A, then Total Qual. Points for 1A,2A,3A,4A,5A. Dropped Qualitative Scores A, then Total Qual. Points for 1A,2A,3A,4A,5A. Dropped Qualitative Scores A, then Total Qual. Points for 1A,2A,3A,4A,5A. Dropped Qualitative Scores A, then Total Qual. Points for 1A,2A,3A,4A,5A. Dropped Qualitative Scores A, then Total Qual. Points for 1A,2A,3A,4A,5A. Dropped Qualitative Scores A, then Total Qual. Points for 1A,2A,3A,4A,5A. Dropped Qualitative Scores A, then Total Qual. Points for 1A,2A,3A,4A,5A. Dropped Qualitative Scores A, then Total Qual. Points for 1A,2A,3A,4A,5A. Dropped Qualitative Scores A, then Total Qual. Points for 1A,2A,3A,4A,5A. Dropped Qualitative Scores A, then Total Qual. Points for 1A,2A,3A,4A,5A. Dropped Qualitative Scores A, then Total Qual. Points for 1A,2A,3A,4A,5A. Dropped Qualitative Scores A, then Total Qual. Points for 1A,2A,3A,4A,5A. Dropped Qualitative Scores A, then Total Qual. Points for 1A,2A,3A,4A,5A. Dropped Qualitative Scores A, then Total Qual. Points for 1A,2A,3A,4A,5A. Dropped Qualitative Scores A, then Total Qual. Points for 1A,2A,3A,4A,5A. Dropped Qualitative Scores A, then Total Qual. Points for 1A,2A,3A,4A,5A. Dropped Qualitative Scores A, then Total Qual. Points for 1A,2A,3A,4A,5A. Dropped Qualitative Scores A, then Total Qual. Points for 1A,2A,3A,4A,5A. Dropped Qualitative Scores A, then Total Qual.		8	7	8	14	က									
Ab, then Total Qual. Points for 1A,2A,3A,4A,5A Dropped Qualitative Scores Ab, then Total Qual. Points for 1A,2A,3A,4A,5A Dropped Qualitative Scores Ab, then Total Qual. Points for 1A,2A,3A,4A,5A Dropped Qualitative Scores Ab, then Total Qual. Points for 1A,2A,3A,4A,5A Dropped Qualitative Scores Ab, then Total Qual. Points for 1A,2A,3A,4A,5A Dropped Qualitative Scores Ab, then Total Qual. Points for 1A,2A,3A,4A,5A Dropped Qualitative Scores Ab, then Total Qual. Points for 1A,2A,3A,4A,5A Dropped Qualitative Scores Ab, then Total Qual. Points for 1A,2A,3A,4A,5A Dropped Qualitative Scores Ab, then Total Qual. Points for 1A,2A,3A,4A,5A Dropped Qualitative Scores Ab, then Total Qual. Points for 1A,2A,3A,4A,5A Dropped Qualitative Scores Ab, then Total Qual. Points for 1A,2A,3A,4A,5A Dropped Qualitative Scores Ab, then Total Qual. Points for 1A,2A,3A,4A,5A Dropped Qualitative Scores Ab, then Total Qual. Points for 1A,2A,3A,4A,5A Dropped Qualitative Scores Ab, then Total Qual. Points for 1A,2A,3A,4A,5A Dropped Qualitative Scores Ab, then Total Qual. Points for 1A,2A,3A,4A,5A Dropped Completed Comple					14	က						23	8		
Sociated to the project (Max. 50 points) Sociated to the project (Max. 50 points) Sociated to the project (Max. 50 points) 146	Dropped Qualitative Scores 3A,4A,5A, then Total Qual. Points for 1A,2A,3A,4A,5A.				67	8					246				
Sociated to the project (Max. 50 points) 46 49 49 35 50 229 46 31 61 18 20 20 16 20 94 19 13 25 (Max. 5 points) 17 19 18 17 20 94 19 13 25 Inty (Max. 5 points) Dropped Ordinal Scores SA, then Total Qual. Points for 1A,2A,3A,4A,5A 2ual. Points, then Total Qual. Points for 1A,2A,3A,4A,5A															ш
46 49 49 35 50 229 46 31 61 18 20 20 16 20 94 19 13 25 17 19 18 17 20 94 19 12 24 5 5 5 3 5 3 6 445 289 87 94 74 96 3 6 445 289 Dropped Ordinal Scores 1 2 11 2 11 2 289 24,34,44,5A. 1 11 2 445 7 2 2 24,34,44,5A. 1 2 1 2 1 2 2 2	OCAL)												Г		
18 20 20 16 20 94 19 13 25 24 19 17 19 18 12 24 24 24 25 24 24 25 24 24	bers associated to the project (Max. 50 points)	46	49	49	35	20	229	46	31	61					
1	ır type projects (Max. 20 points) ointe,	2 4	20 19	78	16	5 20	94	6 8	5 5	25					
ST ST ST ST ST ST ST ST	omes) 9 County (Max. 5 points)	-	<u>-</u>	2 6	က	3 -	5 œ	2 7	<u> </u>	5 2					
rdinal Scores 3 1 2 11 2	the County (Max 5 points)	5 87	5 94	5 94	3	5 96	23	2	ო	9	445			289	
redinal Scores 1 11 7 2 Itative Scores 74 96 7 2 4A,5A 96 7 2 7		3	1	2	11	2									
Itative Scores 74 96 4A,5A 96 74			_		11							7	2		١
SA,4A,5A, then Total Qual. Points for 1A,2A,3A,4A,5A. Isted Qual. Points, then Total Qual. Points for 1A,2A,3A,4A,5A	a				74	96					275			_	
	3A,4A,5A, then Total Qual. Points for 1A,2A,3A,4A,5A. iusted Qual. Points, then Total Qual. Points for 1A,2A,3A,4A,5A														

	ВРИК	LINAL		!			40.00	•	,	က				 				•		10		
	nge & Ranking	Local Pref. Ra		-			286	202	_								269	243				
	ВИКІИС	ОКВІИАС							ľ	က										2		
S	SDINAL SCORE	TOTAL ADJ. OF							ŀ	∞										19		
ORE	DS BVITATIJAL	TOTAL & ADJ. QU					777	‡			272							403			256	
	isparity	О АріН		09	24	γ ω	9							54	3 5	7 4	o ro					
	isparity	гом р		30	7 7	2 ←	ဂ							27	= ;	- 6	1 6					
	કારકઉક	əvA		45	<u>&</u> 6	2 0	ιΩ							40	1, 1,	≥ «	. n					
	JATOT	-ans		227	89	9 2	23							202	83	4 4	: 4					
тее	dAdM '	กรณธ92 ทoL		50	50	2 6	5	5	- ,	_	97			48	œ ç	<u>0</u> 10	9 4	93	4		93	
COMPETITIVE SELECTION COMMITTEE	ור, WASD	Pearle Khada		37	16	7 2	3	2 0	0 (∞	75			46	œ ç	<u> </u>	n	88	3	က		
SELECTION	GSI 'zə.	Jorge I. Per		47	71	2 2	5 52	5 0	0					42	<u>~</u> 4	8 4	4	98	9			
PETITIVE	ero, ISD	Kevin Monte		45	17	2 6	5	3 6	2					41	9 1	<u>.</u> «	0 4	82	6			
CON	unk, ISD	Alan Shewcl		48	6 6	2 6	5	7, 0	4					25	10	۰ ت	1 (1	54	16	16	54	
FIRST TIER MEETING Monday, February 22nd 2021	Internal Services Department (ISD) Countywide Infrastructure Investment Program (CIIP) for Rehabilitation and Renovation Services of Stephen P. Clark Center (SPCC)	TABULATION SHEET ISD PROJECT NO. A20-ISD-03	9 ZYSCOVICH, INC. (LOCAL)	1A - Qualification of firms including team members associated to the project (Max. 50 points)	2A - Knowledge and Past Experience of similar type projects (Max. 20 points)	The Franching of the Firm (way, 24 points) A - Amount of Work Awarded and Paid by the County (Max. 5 points)	Ability of team members to interface with the County (Max 5 points)		Solution Scotes	Dropped Ordinal Scores	Dropped Qualitative Scores	Tie-Breaker(CSC Ords)-Criterion 1A,2A,3A,4A,5A, then Total Qual. Points for 1A,2A,3A,4A,5A. Tie-Breaker (Total Ord. Score)-Total Adjusted Qual. Points, then Total Qual. Points for 1A,2A,3A,4A,5A	10 HELLMUTH OBATA & KASSABAUM, INC. (NON-LOCAL)	1A - Qualification of firms including team members associated to the project (Max. 50 points)	2A - Knowledge and Past Experience of similar type projects (Max. 20 points)	3A - Fast Performance of the Firms (Max. 20 points) 44 - Amount of Work Awarded and Paid by the County (Max. 5 points)	5A - Ability of team members to interface with the County (Max 5 points)		Ordinal Scores	Dropped Ordinal Scores	Dropped Qualitative Scores	Tie-Breaker(CSC Ords)-Criterion 1A,2A,3A,4A,5A, then Total Qual. Points for 1A,2A,3A,4A,5A. Tie-Breaker (Total Ord. Score)-Total Adjusted Qual. Points, then Total Qual. Points for 1A,2A,3A,4A,5A

	RANK			!		> 80		14							9 0	1	13		
	nge & Ranking			+		218	•	14				<u> </u>			246		13		
	RANKING	ОВПИВІ		i				1				i i					1		
S	SDINAL SCORE	TOTAL ADJ. OF		-				39									39		
эяо:	OS EVITATIAL	TOTAL & ADJ. QL				377			229						379			234	
	isparity	П Авін		9	v 2 2 2 2 2 2 3 2 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3	4						51	5 20	9	4				
	isparity	гом р		25	3 C C c c	ч						26	ę ę	<u>?</u> ო	7				
	rage	эvА		30	5 1 2 4 6	2						38	1 5	5 4	ო				
	JATOT	l-8NS		480	74 77 75	2						191	75	2, 2	16				
TTEE	dAdm '	าธเกรอ2 ทอโ		42	17 16 5	83	11		83			42	12	2 1	3	15			
COMPETITIVE SELECTION COMMITTEE	USAW ,II	Pearle Khada		02	8 2 8 8 6	es e	15	15	9			35	9 6 7	2 v	4 75	6	6		
SELECTI	GSI 'zə	Jorge I. Per		42	4 4 9 5 6	° 8	13					42	9 9	5 4	8 8	12		81	
PETITIVE	ero, ISD	Kevin Monte		30	8 4 2 4 %	ر 73	15					41	2 5	<u>†</u> 4	30 80	12			
COM	JNK, ISD	laweh2 nslA		36	5 2 2 6	ر 76	6	6				31	10	<u>:</u> ო	3	15	15	64	
FIRST TIER MEETING Monday, February 22nd 2021	Internal Services Department (ISD) Countywide Infrastructure Investment Program (CIIP) for Rehabilitation and Renovation Services of Stephen P. Clark Center (SPCC)	TABULATION SHEET ISD PROJECT NO. A20-ISD-03	11 RODRIGUEZ ARCHITECTS, INC. (LOCAL)	4A. Dualification of firms including toom mambors associated to the prefer (May Efficiation)	1A - Equalification of finites including team freminers associated to the project (max. 50 points) 2A - Knowledge and Past Experience of similar type projects (Max. 20 points) 3A - Past Performance of the Firms (Max. 20 points) 4 - A - Amount of Work Awarded and Paid by the County (Max. 5 points)	A - Ability of team members to interface with the county (wax 5 points)	Ordinal Scores	Dropped Ordinal Scores	Dropped Qualitative Scores	Tie-Breaker(CSC Ords)-Criterion 1A,2A,3A,4A,5A, then Total Qual. Points for 1A,2A,3A,4A,5A. Tie-Breaker (Total Ord. Score)-Total Adjusted Qual. Points, then Total Qual. Points for 1A,2A,3A,4A,5A	12 TSAO DESIGN GROUP, INC. (LOCAL)	1A - Qualification of firms including team members associated to the project (Max. 50 points)	2A - Knowledge and Past Experience of similar type projects (Max. 20 points) 3A - Dast Darformance of the Firms (Max. 20 noints)	4A - Amount of Work Awarded and Paid by the County (Max. 5 points)	5A - Ability of team members to interface with the County (Max 5 points)	Ordinal Scores	Dropped Ordinal Scores	Dropped Qualitative Scores	Tie-Breaker(CSC Ords)-Criterion 1A,2A,3A,4A,5A, then Total Qual. Points for 1A,2A,3A,4A,5A. Tie-Breaker (Total Ord. Score)-Total Adjusted Qual. Points, then Total Qual. Points for 1A,2A,3A,4A,5A

FINAL RANK					(0 7	•	16							2		15		
Local Pref. Range & Ranking					236	<u>.</u>		Ι					ċ	215				
	RANKING	ОКВІИАС					16									15		
TOTAL ADJ. ORDINAL SCORES							43									41		
ОВЕ	TOTAL & ADJ. QUALITATIVE SCORE				g e	3		225			i i			383			226	
	High Disparity				13 20 6 5						52	21 -	.	n				
	isparity	Fow D		25	3 3 3 6 5						26	10		,				
	rage	∌vA		27	3 t t 2 3						39	1 9	٠ م <u>٠</u>	4				
	JATO1	r-aus		186	67 76 23 17						194	28	53	<u> </u>				
TEE	dAdm '	nsmsə2 noL		13	3 2 2 1 1 2 2 5	14		80			4 4	7 9	. co.	81	13			
COMPETITIVE SELECTION COMMITTEE	GSAW ,r,	Pearle Khada		32	3 1 15 8	16	16	64			45	- 2	? m =	89	2	7	89	
SELECTION	Jorge I. Perez, ISD			27	3 2 4 5 5	15					35	7 9	, ro c	ر 17 د	16			
PETITIVE	ero, ISD	Kevin Monte		36	11 19 19	14					35	<u> </u>	. ro c	د 68	16	16	89	
COM	JUK, ISD	Alan Shewch		38	3 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2	7	11				35	<u> </u>	, ro r	o 74	12			
FIRST TIER MEETING Monday, February 22nd 2021	Internal Services Department (ISD) Countywide Infrastructure Investment Program (CIIP) for Rehabilitation and Renovation Services of Stephen P. Clark Center (SPCC)	TABULATION SHEET ISD PROJECT NO. A20-ISD-03	13 AXIOMA 3, INC (LOCAL)	11. Oralistication of firms including toam mambase accordated to the aveignt (Max En neints)	2A - Knowledge and Past Experience of similar type projects (Max. 20 points) 2A - Knowledge and Past Experience of similar type projects (Max. 20 points) 3A - Past Performance of the Firms (Max. 20 points) 7A - Amount of Work Awarded and Paid by the County (Max. 5 points)	Ordinal Scores	Dropped Ordinal Scores	Dropped Qualitative Scores	Tie-Breaker(CSC Ords)-Criterion 1A,2A,3A,4A,5A, then Total Qual. Points for 1A,2A,3A,4A,5A. Tie-Breaker (Total Ord. Score)-Total Adjusted Qual. Points, then Total Qual. Points for 1A,2A,3A,4A,5A	14 V3 ARCHITECTURAL GROUP, INC. (LOCAL)	1A - Qualification of firms including team members associated to the project (Max. 50 points)	2A - Niowiedge and rast Experience of similar type projects (max. 20 points) 3A - Past Performance of the Firms (Max. 20 points)	4A - Amount of Work Awarded and Paid by the County (Max. 5 points)	5A - Ability of team members to interrace with the county (wax 5 points)	Ordinal Scores	Dropped Ordinal Scores	Dropped Qualitative Scores	Tie-Breaker(CSC Ords)-Criterion 1A,2A,3A,4A,5A, then Total Qual. Points for 1A,2A,3A,4A,5A. Tie-Breaker (Total Ord. Score)-Total Adjusted Qual. Points, then Total Qual. Points for 1A,2A,3A,4A,5A

Local Pref. Range & Ranking FINAL RANK						ļ	265		4							247		11		
ORDINAL RANKING						- 7	N 10		4							8 8		7	Γ	
		<u> </u>									i i						-			
- 5	DINAL SCORE							17									25	<u> </u>		
3AO:	OS 3VITATIJA(į			422			252						397			235		
	isparity	d dgiH		9	20 24	က၊	S.						29	7 7	: ო	4				
	ytinsqei	гом Б		30	1 1 2	8	m						30	Ξ σ	· -	7				
	rage	∌v A		45	15	ი .	4						44	7,	2 2	က				
	JATO1	L-8NS		226	75	13	19						221	85	7 5	16				
TTEE	dAdm '	nsmae2 noL		49	2 8	ε,	92	2		92			44	4 5	2 2	3	16	16		
COMPETITIVE SELECTION COMMITTEE	dsaw ,r,	Pearle Khada		40	14	ი .	78	9		78			38	5 7	2 ~	4	7			
SELECTIC	Jorge I. Perez, ISD			43	17	က	82	11	11				47	۲ ر	<u>?</u> ო	4	2	2	87	
PETITIVE	ero, ISD	Kevin Monte		46	6 4	7 0	84 3	9					47	5 5	<u>ج</u> د	83	_∞			
COM	JUK, ISD	Iswerl Shewch		48	5 5	71	2 86	4	4				45	£ ¢	2 %	3	10		75	
FIRST TIER MEETING Monday, February 22nd 2021	Internal Services Department (ISD) Countywide Infrastructure Investment Program (CIIP) for Rehabilitation and Renovation Services of Stephen P. Clark Center (SPCC)	TABULATION SHEET ISD PROJECT NO. A20-ISD-03	15 R E CHISHOLM ARCHITECTS, INC. (LOCAL)	1A - Qualification of firms including team members associated to the project (Max. 50 points)	2A - Knowledge and Past Experience of similar type projects (Max. 20 points) 3A - Past Performance of the Firms (Max. 20 points)	A - Amount of Work Awarded and Paid by the County (Max. 5 points)	A - Ability of team members to interface with the County (Max 5 points)	Ordinal Scores	Dropped Ordinal Scores	Dropped Qualitative Scores	Tie-Breaker(CSC Ords)-Criterion 1A,2A,3A,4A,5A, then Total Qual. Points for 1A,2A,3A,4A,5A. Tie-Breaker (Total Ord. Score)-Total Adjusted Qual. Points, then Total Qual. Points for 1A,2A,3A,4A,5A	16 PEREZ & PEREZ ARCHITECTS PLANNERS, INC. (LOCAL)	1A - Qualification of firms including team members associated to the project (Max. 50 points)	ZA - Knowledge and Past Expenence of similar type projects (Max. 20 points) 34 . Past Performance of the Firms (Max. 20 noints)	4A - Amount of Work Awarded and Paid by the County (Max. 5 points)	5A - Ability of team members to interface with the County (Max 5 points)	Ordinal Scores	Dropped Ordinal Scores	Dropped Qualitative Scores	Tie-Breaker(CSC Ords)-Criterion 1A,2A,3A,4A,5A, then Total Qual. Points for 1A,2A,3A,4A,5A. Tie-Breaker (Total Ord. Score)-Total Adjusted Qual. Points, then Total Qual. Points for 1A,2A,3A,4A,5A

Local Pref. Range & Ranking							4	60		7				!				m m		2		
		+			294	266						-				263			I			
	RANKING	ОКВІИАГ								1										9		
тотаг арл. Окрімаг ѕсокеѕ										4										23		
390:	OS EVITATIVE	TOTAL & ADJ. QU						466			280			!				408			250	
	isparity	α dgiH		65	25	26	0 79							26	22	20	9	4				
	ytinsqei	Low Di		33	13	. 13	- ღ							28	1	10	က	7				
	rage	эvА		49	19	£ ,	- 5							42	17	15	4	m				
	JATO1	r-aus		244	98	96	24							211	84	9/	21	16				
TEE	dAdm '	nsmsə2 noL		48	18	19	- 4	06	2	7	90			46	17	16	4	3 86	8		98	
COMPETITIVE SELECTION COMMITTEE	dsaw ,ıı	Pearle Khada		49	20	50 0	ου 10	96	1		96			39	20	17	2	82	2			
SELECTI	Jorge I. Perez, ISD			49	19	50	9 v	92	_					44	12	16	co	ი წ	10			
PETITIVE	Kevin Montero, ISD			48	19	50	5	93	2					47	18	12	2	3	2	2		
CO	JUK, ISD	Alan Shewch		50	19	17	5	92	1	_				35	14	15	S.	3	14	14	72	
FIRST TIER MEETING Monday, February 22nd 2021	Internal Services Department (ISD) Countywide Infrastructure Investment Program (CIIP) for Rehabilitation and Renovation Services of Stephen P. Clark Center (SPCC)	TABULATION SHEET ISD PROJECT NO. A20-ISD-03	17 BERMELLO AJAMIL & PARTNERS, INC. (LOCAL)	1A - Qualification of firms including team members associated to the project (Max. 50 points)	2A - Knowledge and Past Experience of similar type projects (Max. 20 points)	As - Past Performance of the Firms (Max. 20 points)	As - Amount of Work Awarded and Paid by the County (Max. 5 points) As - Ability of team members to interface with the County (Max. 5 points)	÷:	Ordinal Scores	Dropped Ordinal Scores	Dropped Qualitative Scores	Tie-Breaker (CSC Ords)-Criterion 14,24,34,44,54, then Total Qual. Points for 14,24,34,44,54. Tie-Breaker (Total Ord. Score)-Total Adjusted Qual. Points, then Total Qual. Points for 14,24,34,44,54	18 BC ARCHITECTS A1A, INC. (LOCAL)	1A - Oualification of firms including team members associated to the project (Max. 50 points)	2A - Knowledge and Past Experience of similar type projects (Max. 20 points)	3A - Past Performance of the Firms (Max. 20 points)	4A - Amount of Work Awarded and Paid by the County (Max. 5 points)	5A - Ability of team members to interface with the County (Max 5 points)	Ordinal Scores	Dropped Ordinal Scores	Dropped Qualitative Scores	Tie-Breaker (CSC Ords)-Criterion 14,24,34,44,54, then Total Qual. Points for 14,24,34,44,54. Tie-Breaker (Total Ord. Score)-Total Adjusted Qual. Points, then Total Qual. Points for 14,24,34,44,54

EXHIBIT 3


REPORTS

- SBD Violations
- CIIS Evaluations
- BTS Non-Performance History
 - SBD Firm History (BMWS)



Welcome. Please be patient while the report renders.

Use the dropdown list, on the left, to choose your Firm. Then use the yellow, View report button, directly to the right, to see your report.



Evaluation Date Start: End: Get Report

Exit Print



Capital Improvements Information System Contractor Evaluations Report (All Contracts)

			The state of the s				
Dept AV ID ID MT	Contract E13-MDAD-02 EDP-ID-I190038 EDP-ID-I190074 CIP142-1-		Contractor / Architect Name AECOM Technical Services, Inc. AECOM Technical Services, Inc. AECOM Technical Services, Inc. AECOM Technical Services, Inc.	9/23/2021 9/23/2021	J	Period Project conclusion or closeout Completion of study or design Completion of study or design Project conclusion or closeout	Rate 4.0 4.0 4.0 4.0
	TPW16-0E1 (2) (AECOM) PSA FIR DTPW CAPITAL IMPROVEMENT PLAN (2) WO: WO-C-006.R0						4.0
MT	CIP142-1- TPW16-OE1 (2) (AECOM) PSA FIR DTPW CAPITAL IMPROVEMENT PLAN (2) WO: WO-C-021.R0	PSA	AECOM Technical Services, Inc.	1/28/2022	German Arenes	Project conclusion or closeout	4.0
MT	CIP142-1- TPW16-OE1 (2) (AECOM) PSA FIR DTPW CAPITAL IMPROVEMENT PLAN (2) WO: WO-C-002.R0	PSA	AECOM Technical Services, Inc.	4/29/2019	Ahmed Rasheed	Interim	3.9
PE	E14-RER-03- AECOM WO: 002-D14/03- AECO	PSA	AECOM Technical Services, Inc.	8/6/2018	Josue Carias	Interim	<u>2.9</u>
PE	E14-RER-03- AECOM WO: 001R1- D14/03-AE	PSA	AECOM Technical Services, Inc.	5/12/2021	Josue Carias	Project conclusion or closeout	3.6
PE	E14-RER-03- AECOM WO: 008-D14/03- AECO	PSA	AECOM Technical Services, Inc.	5/12/2021	Josue Carias	Project conclusion or closeout	3.7
PE	E01-DERM-04, EP-40	PSA	AECOM Technical Services, Inc.	2/25/2006		Project conclusion or closeout	3.0
PE SP	E01-DERM-01-4 E11-SEA-03 WO: 16	PSA PSA	AECOM Technical Services, Inc. AECOM Technical Services, Inc.	3/1/2006 7/31/2017	Robert Stebbins Jr.,	None Interim	3.0 4.0
SP	EDP-SP-2020- 007	EDP	AECOM Technical Services, Inc.	7/3/2022	Helga Sommer	Interim	<u>4.0</u>
SP	E18-SEA-03 WO: 1	PSA	AECOM Technical Services, Inc.	1/26/2022	Helga Sommer	Interim	<u>4.0</u>
WS	E13-WASD-01- R WO: 12.06	PSA	AECOM Technical Services, Inc.	6/22/2021	Huren An (Jeff)	Completion of study or design	3.9
WS	E13-WASD-01- R WO: 12.04(B)	PSA	AECOM Technical Services, Inc.	9/10/2020	Huren An (Jeff)	Completion of study or design	<u>3.9</u>
WS	E13-WASD-01- R WO: 16.05	PSA	AECOM Technical Services, Inc.	12/14/2020) Huren An (Jeff)	Completion of study or design	3.6
WS	E13-WASD-01- R WO: 12.05	PSA	AECOM Technical Services, Inc.	1/14/2021	Huren An (Jeff)	Completion of study or design	4.0
WS	E13-WASD-01- R WO: <u>6.02(A)</u>	PSA	AECOM Technical Services, Inc.	4/30/2018	Huren An (Jeff)	Completion of study or design	4.0
WS	E13-WASD-01- R WO: 16.04(B)	PSA	AECOM Technical Services, Inc.	3/18/2020	Huren An (Jeff)	Completion of study or design	<u>3.6</u>
WS	E13-WASD-01- R WO: 11.02	PSA	AECOM Technical Services, Inc.	4/9/2020	Huren An (Jeff)	Completion of construction	3.0
WS	<u>E13-WASD-01-</u> <u>R</u>	PSA	AECOM Technical Services, Inc.	6/29/2020	Huren An (Jeff)	Completion of study or design	<u>4.0</u>

	WO: 12.04						
WS	E13-WASD-01- R	PSA	AECOM Technical Services, Inc.	8/9/2019	Huren An (Jeff)	Completion of study or design	<u>3.6</u>
WS	WO: <u>16.04</u> <u>E13-WASD-01-</u> R	PSA	AECOM Technical Services, Inc.	10/2/2019	Huren An (Jeff)	Completion of study or design	<u>4.0</u>
WS	WO: <u>12.04A</u> E13-WASD-01- R	PSA	AECOM Technical Services, Inc.	11/19/2019	9 Huren An (Jeff)	Completion of study or design	<u>3.7</u>
WS	WO: <u>16.04A</u> E13-WASD-01-	PSA	AECOM Technical Services, Inc.	1/18/2019	Huren An (Jeff)	Completion of study or design	3.9
WS	Wo: <u>12.02</u> <u>E13-WASD-01-</u>	PSA	AECOM Technical Services, Inc.	1/18/2019	Huren An (Jeff)	Completion of study or design	<u>4.0</u>
WS	WO: <u>12.02(B)</u> <u>E13-WASD-01-</u> R	PSA	AECOM Technical Services, Inc.	2/7/2019	Huren An (Jeff)	Completion of study or design	<u>3.5</u>
WS	WO: <u>16.03</u> <u>E13-WASD-01-</u> R	PSA	AECOM Technical Services, Inc.	10/4/2018	Huren An (Jeff)	Completion of study or design	<u>4.0</u>
WS	WO: <u>6.02(B)</u> E13-WASD-01-	PSA	AECOM Technical Services, Inc.	1/4/2018	Huren An (Jeff)	Completion of study or design	3.6
WS	Wo: <u>09</u> E13-WASD-01-	PSA	AECOM Technical Services, Inc.	3/14/2017	Huren An (Jeff)	Completion of study or design	3.8
WS	Wo: 9.01 E13-WASD-01-	PSA	AECOM Technical Services, Inc.	7/19/2017	Huren An (Jeff)	Completion of study or design	3.8
WS	Wo: <u>6.02</u> <u>E13-WASD-01-</u> R	PSA	AECOM Technical Services, Inc.	4/17/2017	Huren An (Jeff)	Completion of study or design	3.0
WS	Wo: 11.01 E13-WASD-01-	PSA	AECOM Technical Services, Inc.	4/20/2017	Huren An (Jeff)	Completion of study or design	<u>3.5</u>
WS	Wo: 16.01 E13-WASD-01-	PSA	AECOM Technical Services, Inc.	2/15/2017	Huren An (Jeff)	Completion of study or design	<u>4.0</u>
WS	WO: 6.01 E07-WASD-05 (A)	PSA	AECOM Technical Services, Inc.	7/30/2014	James Ferguson	Interim	<u>3.9</u>
ws	WO: <u>Task No.1</u> E07-WASD-05 (A)	PSA	AECOM Technical Services, Inc.	1/31/2011	James Ferguson	Interim	3.7
WS	WO: Task No.1 E01-WASD-05, Project 2	PSA	AECOM Technical Services, Inc.	8/9/2006	Sylvia Gonzalez	Project conclusion or closeout	<u>4.0</u>
WS	WO: <u>Task 03</u> <u>E04-WASD-05</u> WO: Task 02	PSA	AECOM Technical Services, Inc.	10/15/2007	7 Sylvia Gonzalez	Project conclusion or closeout	<u>2.9</u>
WS	E01-WASD-05, Project 2	PSA	AECOM Technical Services, Inc.	7/21/2006	Rafael J. Ballesteros	Project conclusion or closeout	4.0
ws	WO: <u>Task 04</u> E13-WASD-01-	PSA	AECOM Technical Services, Inc.	12/28/2022	2 CHRISTINE WARTMAN	Interim	3.8
WS WS	WO: <u>14.06</u> <u>E07-WASD-05</u> E07-WASD-05	PSA PSA	AECOM Technical Services, Inc. AECOM Technical Services, Inc.	8/5/2014 8/5/2014	Mario Garcia Mario Garcia	Interim Interim	<u>4.0</u> <u>4.0</u>
WS	(A) E07-WASD-05	PSA	AECOM Technical Services, Inc.	5/5/2015 5/5/2015	Mario Garcia	Project conclusion or closeout	4.0
ws ws	E07-WASD-05 (A) E04-WASD-05	PSA PSA	AECOM Technical Services, Inc. AECOM Technical Services, Inc.	3/11/2011	Mario Garcia Peter M Jelonek	Project conclusion or closeout Completion of study or design	4.0
WS	WO: <u>Task 04</u> E04-WASD-05	PSA	AECOM Technical Services, Inc.		Peter M Jelonek	Completion of study or design	3.8 3.9
ws	WO: <u>08A</u> E04-WASD-05	PSA	AECOM Technical Services, Inc.		Peter M Jelonek	Completion of study or design	3.9
ws	WO: <u>09</u> E04-WASD-05	PSA	AECOM Technical Services, Inc.		Peter M Jelonek	Completion of study or design	4.0
ws	WO: <u>05</u> E04-WASD-05	PSA	AECOM Technical Services, Inc.		Peter M Jelonek	Completion of study or design	3.9
WS	WO: <u>11</u> E04-WASD-05	PSA	AECOM Technical Services, Inc.		Peter M Jelonek	Project conclusion or closeout	3.9
WS	WO: <u>07</u> <u>E07-WASD-09</u>	PSA	AECOM Technical Services, Inc.	8/16/2018		Project conclusion or closeout	3.9
ws	WO: <u>15</u> <u>E07-WASD-09</u>	PSA	AECOM Technical Services, Inc.	10/15/2010) Lin Li	Completion of study or design	3.8
WS	WO: <u>4</u> <u>E07-WASD-09</u>	PSA	AECOM Technical Services, Inc.	10/15/2010) Lin Li	Completion of study or design	3.8
WS	WO: <u>3</u> <u>E07-WASD-09</u> WO: <u>2</u>	PSA	AECOM Technical Services, Inc.	3/2/2010	Lin Li	Interim	3.3


WS	E07-WASD-09 WO: 1	PSA	AECOM Technical Services, Inc.	10/21/2010) Lin Li	Interim	<u>3.6</u>
WS	E07-WASD-09 WO: 6	PSA	AECOM Technical Services, Inc.	1/11/2011	Lin Li	Interim	3.7
WS	E07-WASD-09 WO: 5	PSA	AECOM Technical Services, Inc.	1/11/2011	Lin Li	Interim	3.8
WS	E07-WASD-09 WO: 7	PSA	AECOM Technical Services, Inc.	1/12/2011	Lin Li	Interim	<u>3.9</u>
WS	E07-WASD-09 WO: 10	PSA	AECOM Technical Services, Inc.	9/30/2015	Lin Li	Project conclusion or closeout	<u>3.9</u>
WS	E07-WASD-09 WO: 15	PSA	AECOM Technical Services, Inc.	4/14/2015	Lin Li	Completion of study or design	3.9
WS	E07-WASD-09 WO: 14	PSA	AECOM Technical Services, Inc.	1/2/2014	Lin Li	Completion of study or design	<u>3.9</u>
WS	E07-WASD-09 WO: <u>5</u>	PSA	AECOM Technical Services, Inc.	1/14/2014	Lin Li	Completion of study or design	3.3
WS	E07-WASD-09 WO: 7	PSA	AECOM Technical Services, Inc.	6/16/2014	Lin Li	Completion of construction	3.9
WS	E07-WASD-09 WO: 1	PSA	AECOM Technical Services, Inc.	4/25/2011	Lin Li	Completion of study or design	<u>3.8</u>
WS	<u>E07-WASD-09</u> WO: <u>1</u>	PSA	AECOM Technical Services, Inc.	4/25/2011	Lin Li	Completion of study or design	3.8
WS	E07-WASD-09 WO: 9	PSA	AECOM Technical Services, Inc.	7/22/2011	Lin Li	Completion of study or design	3.8
WS	<u>E07-WASD-09</u> WO: <u>6</u>	PSA	AECOM Technical Services, Inc.	11/30/201	1 Lin Li	Completion of study or design	3.8
WS	E07-WASD-09 WO: <u>11</u>	PSA	AECOM Technical Services, Inc.	6/21/2012	Lin Li	Completion of study or design	<u>3.9</u>
WS	E07-WASD-09 WO: <u>12</u>	PSA	AECOM Technical Services, Inc.	11/9/2012	Lin Li	Completion of study or design	3.8
WS	E07-WASD-09 WO: <u>8</u>	PSA	AECOM Technical Services, Inc.	4/30/2013	Lin Li	Completion of study or design	3.7
WS	E07-WASD-09 WO: <u>14</u>	PSA	AECOM Technical Services, Inc.	7/3/2013	Lin Li	Interim	<u>3.9</u>
WS	E04-WASD-05 WO: <u>10</u>	PSA	AECOM Technical Services, Inc.	1/7/2014	Maria A. Valdes	Project conclusion or closeout	<u>4.0</u>
WS	<u>E13-WASD-01-</u> <u>R</u>	PSA	AECOM Technical Services, Inc.	2/6/2018	Margaret Hawkins Moss	Completion of study or design	3.9
WS	WO: <u>15.02(B)</u> <u>E13-WASD-01-</u> <u>R</u>	PSA	AECOM Technical Services, Inc.	7/15/2020	Margaret Hawkins Moss	Interim	3.5
WS	WO: <u>15.03</u> <u>E13-WASD-01-</u> <u>R</u>	PSA	AECOM Technical Services, Inc.	7/24/2020	Margaret Hawkins Moss	Project conclusion or closeout	3.8
WS	WO: <u>15.03</u> <u>E13-WASD-01-</u> <u>R</u> WO: <u>2.04(B)</u>	PSA	AECOM Technical Services, Inc.	3/30/2020	Sherry Negahban	Completion of study or design	3.4
WS	E13-WASD-01- R WO: 2.04A	PSA	AECOM Technical Services, Inc.	11/22/2019	9 Sherry Negahban	Completion of study or design	3.6
WS	E13-WASD-01- R W0: 2.03	PSA	AECOM Technical Services, Inc.	1/10/2019	Sherry Negahban	Completion of study or design	3.8
WS	E13-WASD-01- R WO: 2.04	PSA	AECOM Technical Services, Inc.	5/7/2019	Sherry Negahban	Completion of study or design	<u>3.5</u>
WS	E13-WASD-01- R	PSA	AECOM Technical Services, Inc.	2/22/2018	Sherry Negahban	Completion of study or design	4.0
WS	WO: <u>2.02(B)</u> <u>E13-WASD-01-</u> <u>R</u>	PSA	AECOM Technical Services, Inc.	6/16/2017	Sherry Negahban	Completion of study or design	4.0
WS	WO: <u>2.02</u> <u>E13-WASD-01-</u> <u>R</u> WO: 02	PSA	AECOM Technical Services, Inc.	2/14/2017	Sherry Negahban	Completion of study or design	4.0
WS	E13-WASD-01- R WO: 15.01	PSA	AECOM Technical Services, Inc.	4/5/2017	Edith D. Brown	Project conclusion or closeout	3.9
WS	E13-WASD-01- R WO: 3.02	PSA	AECOM Technical Services, Inc.	5/16/2017	Daniel Edwards	Project conclusion or closeout	3.8
WS	E13-WASD-01- R WO: 4.02	PSA	AECOM Technical Services, Inc.	7/18/2017	Daniel Edwards	Completion of study or design	3.4
WS	E13-WASD-01- R	PSA	AECOM Technical Services, Inc.	5/17/2016	Daniel Edwards	Completion of study or design	4.0
WS	WO: <u>10</u> <u>E13-WASD-01-</u>	PSA	AECOM Technical Services, Inc.	6/2/2016	Daniel Edwards	Project conclusion or closeout	<u>4.0</u>
	<u>R</u> WO: <u>04</u>						

ws	WO: <u>03</u> <u>E13-WASD-01-</u> R	PSA	AECOM Technical Services, Inc.	2/15/2017 Daniel Edwards	Project conclusion or closeout	3.8
WS	Wo: <u>14.01</u> E13-WASD-01- R	PSA	AECOM Technical Services, Inc.	2/15/2017 Daniel Edwards	Project conclusion or closeout	<u>3.7</u>
ws	Wo: <u>3.01</u> E13-WASD-01- R	PSA	AECOM Technical Services, Inc.	3/7/2017 Daniel Edwards	Completion of study or design	<u>3.7</u>
ws	Wo: <u>3.01</u> E13-WASD-01- R	PSA	AECOM Technical Services, Inc.	3/8/2017 Daniel Edwards	Project conclusion or closeout	<u>4.0</u>
ws	Wo: <u>13</u> <u>E13-WASD-01-</u> R	PSA	AECOM Technical Services, Inc.	8/31/2017 Daniel Edwards	Project conclusion or closeout	<u>3.1</u>
ws	WO: <u>14.02</u> <u>E13-WASD-01-</u> R	PSA	AECOM Technical Services, Inc.	11/14/2017 Daniel Edwards	Completion of study or design	3.6
WS	WO: <u>4.02(A)</u> E13-WASD-01- R	PSA	AECOM Technical Services, Inc.	2/2/2018 Daniel Edwards	Project conclusion or closeout	<u>3.5</u>
WS	WO: <u>01 rev 3</u> <u>E13-WASD-01-</u> <u>R</u>	PSA	AECOM Technical Services, Inc.	3/26/2018 Daniel Edwards	Completion of study or design	<u>3.1</u>
WS	WO: <u>5.02(A)</u> <u>E13-WASD-01-</u> <u>R</u>	PSA	AECOM Technical Services, Inc.	6/22/2018 Daniel Edwards	Completion of study or design	<u>4.0</u>
ws	WO: <u>08</u> <u>E13-WASD-01-</u> <u>R</u>	PSA	AECOM Technical Services, Inc.	2/6/2018 Daniel Edwards	Project conclusion or closeout	3.3
ws	WO: 3.02(A) E13-WASD-01- R	PSA	AECOM Technical Services, Inc.	3/21/2017 Daniel Edwards	Completion of study or design	3.8
WS	WO: <u>05</u> <u>E13-WASD-01-</u> <u>R</u>	PSA	AECOM Technical Services, Inc.	1/16/2019 Daniel Edwards	Completion of study or design	3.8
WS	WO: <u>12</u> <u>E04-WASD-05</u> WO: 05	PSA	AECOM Technical Services, Inc.	3/27/2010 Daniel Edwards	Completion of study or design	<u>4.0</u>
WS	E13-WASD-01- R	PSA	AECOM Technical Services, Inc.	11/12/2018 Daniel Edwards	Completion of study or design	3.3
WS	WO: 3.02(B) E13-WASD-01- R	PSA	AECOM Technical Services, Inc.	11/15/2018 Daniel Edwards	Completion of study or design	3.0
ws	WO: <u>14.02(A)</u> <u>E13-WASD-01-</u> <u>R</u>	PSA	AECOM Technical Services, Inc.	8/6/2019 Daniel Edwards	Completion of study or design	3.4
ws	WO: <u>4.01</u> <u>E13-WASD-01-</u> <u>R</u>	PSA	AECOM Technical Services, Inc.	6/17/2019 Daniel Edwards	Project conclusion or closeout	<u>3.6</u>
WS	WO: <u>5.04</u> <u>E13-WASD-01-</u> <u>R</u>	PSA	AECOM Technical Services, Inc.	1/19/2021 Daniel Edwards	Completion of study or design	3.2
WS	WO: <u>3.04 A</u> <u>E13-WASD-01-</u> <u>R</u>	PSA	AECOM Technical Services, Inc.	1/19/2021 Daniel Edwards	Completion of study or design	<u>3.2</u>
WS	WO: <u>3.04(B)</u> <u>E13-WASD-01-</u> <u>R</u>	PSA	AECOM Technical Services, Inc.	1/19/2021 Daniel Edwards	Interim	3.2
WS	Wo: <u>14.04</u> <u>E13-WASD-01-</u> R	PSA	AECOM Technical Services, Inc.	1/19/2021 Daniel Edwards	Interim	<u>2.9</u>
WS	Wo: <u>14.04A</u> <u>E13-WASD-01-</u> R	PSA	AECOM Technical Services, Inc.	3/16/2021 Daniel Edwards	Completion of study or design	<u>2.7</u>
WS	Wo: <u>14.04(B)</u> <u>E13-WASD-01-</u> R	PSA	AECOM Technical Services, Inc.	3/22/2021 Daniel Edwards	Completion of construction	<u>3.6</u>
WS	WO: <u>14.03</u> <u>E13-WASD-01-</u> <u>R</u>	PSA	AECOM Technical Services, Inc.	10/13/2020 Daniel Edwards	Completion of study or design	<u>2.9</u>
WS	WO: <u>5.04(A)</u> <u>E13-WASD-01-</u> <u>R</u>	PSA	AECOM Technical Services, Inc.	10/13/2020 Daniel Edwards	Completion of study or design	<u>2.7</u>
WS	WO: <u>5.04(B)</u> <u>E13-WASD-01-</u> <u>R</u>	PSA	AECOM Technical Services, Inc.	9/4/2020 Daniel Edwards	Project conclusion or closeout	3.3
WS	WO: <u>3.03</u> <u>E13-WASD-01-</u> <u>R</u>	PSA	AECOM Technical Services, Inc.	9/8/2020 Daniel Edwards	Completion of study or design	3.0
WS	W0: <u>3.04</u> <u>E13-WASD-01-</u> <u>R</u> W0: <u>5.05</u>	PSA	AECOM Technical Services, Inc.	6/21/2021 Daniel Edwards	Project conclusion or closeout	<u>2.5</u>
	wO: <u>3.05</u>					

WS	E13-WASD-01- PSA	AECOM Technical Services, Inc.	12/21/2021 Daniel Edwards	Project conclusion or closeout	3.3
	<u>R</u> WO: <u>5.06</u>				
WS	E13-WASD-01- PSA	AECOM Technical Services, Inc.	3/11/2019 Daniel Edwards	Completion of study or design	<u>3.4</u>
	WO: 14 02(B)				

Evaluation Count: 119 Contractors: 1 Average Evaluation: 3.7

Print Exit



MDC040

Vendor Profile: Contract Assignments





View Summary

To **resort** click on column title. To **filter** click on the drop down menu.

Refresh Table Reset Filters Download

	<u>Current</u> <u>Value</u>	<u>Dates</u>	<u>Assigned Contacts</u>	Prime Contractor	Contract Number & Title	<u>Status</u>	ssignment Type
			All		All ▼	AII ▼	All 🔻
\$1,693,82	\$0	11/1/2020 - 10/31/2024	Abigail Fink Ralph Colarusso		0000004078: CIVIL AD PROBATE COURTHOUSE (A19- ISD-02)	Open	Prime
\$	\$0	2/20/2016 - 2/19/2022	Sheila Wood		8680-10/19-7 0004: PROFESSIONAL TRAINING- PREQUALIFICATION POOL	Open	Prime
\$	\$0	5/1/2015 - 4/30/2020	Carlos Garcia		9217-2/25-1 0001: EMERGENCY MANAGEMENT AND HOMELAND SECURITY PROFESSIONAL SERVICES (PRE- QUALIFICATION POOL)	Open	Prime
\$471,23	\$2,073,100	5/2/2017 - 5/2/2024	Sara Jimenez		999999-15-016: PSA-PROSS FULL SVCS PSA FOR REGION D	Open	Prime
\$1,704,34	\$3,661,664	5/6/2008 - 5/11/2022	Sara Jimenez		A05-GSA-01 GOB195-70311: ARCHITECTURAL/ENGINEERING DESIGN SERVICES FOR THE RENOVATION OF THE PRE- TRIAL DETENTION CENTER-40- YEAR RECERTIFICATION REPAIRS (SIC 871).	Open	Prime
\$	\$1,150,741	11/2/2006 - 12/31/2019	Sara Jimenez		A05-PARK-03 GOB 44-70154,: NF-TRAIL GLADES RANGE, PHASES I AND II (SIC 871)	Open	Prime
\$5,893,40	\$4,221,720	4/8/2008 - 4/7/2021	Sara Jimenez		A06-PARK-01 GOB 0001; NF- MIAMI METROZOO IMPROVEMENTS (SIC 871)	Open	Prime
\$1,258,84	\$1,321,914	5/1/2017 - 4/30/2027	Sara Jimenez		A15-PROS-01 GOB: NF-PROS FULL SERVICES PSA - COASTAL PARKS NORTH	Open	Prime
. 4	\$2,535,654	5/1/2017 - 4/30/2027	Joseph Webb		A15-PROS-07 GOB ESP: NF- PROS FULL SERVICES PSA - WEST KENDALL DISTRICT PARK	Open	Prime
\$49,51	\$0	4/8/2021 - 5/20/2026	PEDRO G HERNANDEZ		<u>CIP215-DTPW19-DE (6):</u> <u>Professional Svc for DTPW Proj</u> <u>- A19-DTPW-01</u>	Open	Prime
) 9	\$0	4/7/2021 - 5/20/2026	PEDRO G HERNANDEZ		CIP215-DTPW19-DE (6)-FDOT: Professional Svc for DTPW Proj - A19-DTPW-01	Open	Prime
) \$	\$0	4/6/2021 -	PEDRO G HERNANDEZ	MDC04	<u>CIP215-DTPW19-DE (6)-FTA:</u>	Open	Prime

		<u>Professional Svc for DTPW Proj</u> - <u>A19-DTPW-01</u>		5/20/2026		
Prime	Open	CIP215-DTPW19-DE(1)-FDOT: Prof. Svcs. for Transit & PW	PEDRO G HERNANDEZ	4/14/2022 - 4/11/2027	\$0	\$0
Prime	Open	CIP215-DTPW19-DE(1)-FTA: Prof. Svcs. for Transit & PW - E19-DTPW-11	PEDRO G HERNANDEZ	4/13/2022 - 4/11/2027	\$0	\$0
Prime	Open	CIP215-DTPW19-DE(1)-NG: Prof. Svcs. for Transit & PW - E19-DTPW-11	PEDRO G HERNANDEZ	4/12/2022 - 4/11/2027	\$0	\$0
Prime	Open	E07-MDAD-01: NF-AVIATION PLANNING CONSULTANT SERVICES (SIC 871)	Sheila Wood	7/17/2008 - 8/8/2013	\$637,500	\$119,089
Prime	Open	E07-WASD-09: NF-DESIGN CRITERIA FOR THE REPLACEMENT OF THE 54- INCH SANITARY SEWAGE FORCE MAIN FROM S. MIAMI BEACH TO THE CENTRAL DIST. WASTEWATER TREATMENT PLANT AND DESIGN CRITERIA FOR THE REPLACEMENT OF A 20-INCH WATER MAIN FROM PORT ISLAND TO FISHER ISLAND (SI	Pedro Hernandez	12/2/2008 - 12/17/2018	\$6,105,000	\$8,238,866
Prime	Open	E08-DERM-01 0001: PROFESSIONAL SERVICES AGREEMENTS FOR ENVIRONMENTAL CLEANUP, COMPLIANCE AND RELATED SERVICES FOR MIAMI-DADE COUNTY FACILITIES (SIC 871)	Babu Madabhushi	3/3/2009 - 3/17/2014	\$2,550,000	\$2,501,270
Prime	Open	E09-DERM-01 0001: PROFESSIONAL SERVICES AGREEMENTS FOR ENVIRONMENTAL CLEANUP, COMPLIANCE AND RELATED SERVICES (SIC 871).	Babu Madabhushi	5/4/2010 - 6/14/2015	\$2,557,500	\$4,677,306
Prime	Open	E11-SEA-03: PROGRAM MANAGEMENT CONSULTANT (PMC) (SIC 871)	Kile Alford	12/4/2012 - 12/12/2017	\$4,235,000	\$5,479,755

1 - 20 of 46 records displayed: Previous Page < Page

Records per page 20

Customer Support
Copyright © 2023 B2Gnow. All rights reserved.

<u>Home</u> | <u>Print This Page</u> | <u>Print To PDF</u> | <u>Translate</u>

Vendor Profile: Contract Assignments





View Summary

To ${\bf resort}$ click on column title. To ${\bf filter}$ click on the drop down menu.

Refresh Table Reset Filters Download

Assignment Type	<u>Status</u>	Contract Number & Title	Prime Contractor	<u>Assigned Contacts</u>	<u>Dates</u>	<u>Current</u> <u>Value</u>	<u>Paic</u> To Date
AII 🔻	AII ▼	AII ▼		All ▼			
Subcontractor (Tier 1)	Closed	E12-MPO-01: NON- EXCLUSIVE PROFESSIONAL SERVICES AGREEMENT (PSA). FOR THE 2040 LONG RANGE TRANSPORTATION PLAN (SIC 871).	Gannett Fleming, Inc.	Pedro Hernandez	10/29/2012 - 10/29/2017	\$0	\$(
Prime	Open	E13-MDAD-02: PAVEMENT MANAGEMENT SYSTEM (SIC 871)		Karin Toon	6/3/2014 - 6/3/2020	\$979,944	\$1,098,732
Prime	Open	E13-WASD-01R: NON-EXCLUSIVE PROFESSIONAL SERVICES AGREEMENT FOR PROGRAM AND CONSTRUCTION MANAGEMENT SERVICES RELATED TO THE WASTEWATER SYSTEMS PRIORITY PROJECTS		Roger Williams Brenda Pagoada	5/21/2014 - 5/21/2024	\$100,770,838	\$127,691,405
Subcontractor (Tier 1)	Open	E13-WASD-10 0001: NF-DESIGN OF UPGRADES FOR WATER DISTRIBUTION AND SANITARY SEWAGE COLLECTION AND TRANSMISSION SYSTEMS	King Engineering Associates Inc.	Pedro Hernandez	2/3/2015 - 2/2/2020	\$0	\$(
Subcontractor (Tier 1)	Open	E13-WASD-10 0001: NF-DESIGN OF UPGRADES FOR WATER DISTRIBUTION AND	King Engineering Associates Inc.	Debbie Williams	2/3/2015 - 2/2/2020	\$0	\$147,794

		SANITARY SEWAGE COLLECTION AND TRANSMISSION SYSTEMS					
Prime	Open	E14-MDT-01: NF- ARCHITECTURAL & ENGINEERING PROFESSIONAL SERVICES FOR STATE ROAD 836 EXPRESS BUS SERVICE PARK & RIDE / BUS TERMINAL		Sheila Wood	5/17/2016 - 5/16/2020	\$1,273,596	\$1,197,696
Prime	Open	E14-RER-03 0002: NF- ENVIRONMENTAL CLEANUP. COMPLIANCE AND RELATED SERVICES FOR MIAMI-DADE COUNTY FACILITIES		Babu Madabhushi	12/6/2016 - 12/20/2021	\$2,574,000	\$660,315
Subcontractor (Tier 1)	Open	E14-WASD-01: NF- PROFESSIONAL ENGINEERING AND GEOLOGICAL SERVICES FOR WATER, WASTEWATER AND RECLAIMED WATER PLANNING SERVICES (C-14TT1001T)	Tetra Tech Inc	Pedro Hernandez	3/16/2015 - 3/15/2018	\$0	\$1,547,578
Prime	Open	E15-SEA-02 / 2016- 007: NON- EXCLUSIVE PROFESSIONAL SERVICES AGREEMENT FOR PROGRAM MANAGEMENT CONSULTANT SERVICES		Kile Alford	1/24/2017 - 1/23/2024	\$2,310,000	\$5,484,432
Subcontractor (Tier 1)	Open	E15-SEA-02 / 2016- 007: NON- EXCLUSIVE PROFESSIONAL SERVICES AGREEMENT FOR PROGRAM MANAGEMENT CONSULTANT SERVICES	AECOM Technical Services, Inc	Sheila Wood	1/24/2017 - 1/23/2024	\$0	\$0
Subcontractor (Tier 1)	Open	E16-DTPW-02 0001: NF-PROFESSIONAL SERVICES AGREEMENT FOR ENGINEERING SERVICES FOR DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORATION AND PUBLIC WORK'S CAPITAL		Sheila Wood C044	3/7/2017 - 3/6/2022	\$0	\$0

Dulana	0	F4.C DTDW 02, 0002;	Carandaria Cara Hirat	2/7/2017	¢10 211 150	#14660.01
Prime	Open	E16-DTPW-02 0002: PROFESSIONAL SERVICES AGREEMENT FOR ENGINEERING SERVICES FOR DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORATION AND PUBLIC WORK'S CAPITAL IMPROVEMENT PLAN (2)	Genevieve Cave-Hunt	3/7/2017 - 3/6/2022	\$18,311,150	\$14,669,81
Prime	Open	E18-SEA-03: PROGRAM MANAGEMENT CONSULTANT SERVICES	Kile Alford	10/29/2019 - 10/29/2027	\$6,831,000	\$9,032,47
Prime	Open	E21-MDAD-01: Pavement Management Program (PMP)	HERNANDEZ PEDRO G	11/15/2022 - 1/23/2029	\$1,874,250	4
Prime	Open	EDP-ID-I190038: I190038 LightSpeed EOC-Fire Parking Garage	Pedro Hernandez	9/19/2019 - 9/19/2024	\$388,236	\$
Prime	Open	EDP-ID-I190074: SPCC Site Security Barrier and Site Pavement replacement	Pedro Hernandez	6/11/2020 - 6/11/2025	\$251,708	\$
Prime	Open	EDP-ID-W190066: W190066 LightSpeed EOC-Fire Parking Garage	Pedro Hernandez	9/19/2019 - 9/19/2024	\$20,000	\$
Prime	Open	EDP-ID-X190005: SPCC Site Security Barrier an dSite Pavement Replacement	Pedro Hernandez	2/14/2020 - 2/14/2025	\$80,000	\$
Prime	Open	EDP-MT-SR-MPS-296: NF-RAIL CROSSINGS IMPROVEMENTS 42ND ST AND 36TH ST	Pedro Hernandez	3/29/2017 - 12/31/2019	\$343,153	\$145,21
Prime	Open	EDP-PR-403502-16- 001: Ludlam Bridge Design Criteria Package for FDOT	Pedro Hernandez	9/7/2022 - 9/7/2027	\$469,881	\$187,65

21 - 40 of 46 records displayed: Previous Page < Page

2 ▼ > Next Page

Records per page

20 ▼

Vendor Profile: Contract Assignments





View Summary

To **resort** click on column title. To **filter** click on the drop down menu.

Refresh Table Reset Filters Download

	<u>Current</u> <u>Value</u>	<u>Dates</u>	Assigned Contacts	ntract Number & Title Prime Contractor	<u>Status</u>	signment Type
			All ▼	III ▼	AII ▼	All 🔻
\$357,26	\$500,000	4/5/2021 - 4/5/2026	Pedro Hernandez	P-SP-2020-007: poring Analysis and a Level Rise Study	Open	Prime
\$	\$500,000	12/15/2022 - 12/15/2027	Pedro Hernandez	P-WS-S-401: EDP rvey - Strategic mmunication and blic Education pport Services for ater and Sewer	Open	Prime
\$	\$250,000	8/4/2020 - 8/4/2025	Pedro Hernandez	P-WS-SR-366: draulic Modeling d Planning pport Services	Open	Prime
\$	\$275,425	9/9/2021 - 9/9/2026	Pedro Hernandez	P-WS-SR-383: CE&I cs. for the 72-inch dundant Water hin Project at John Preston WTP	Open	Prime
\$1,489,57	\$0	10/22/2018 - 10/31/2023	Pedro Hernandez	Q-00769 0003: RK PLANNING D PRE- VELOPMENT SERVI	Open	Prime
\$2,002,52	\$0	1/1/2013 - 3/31/2018	Pedro Hernandez	Q786 0002: PARK ANNING SERVICES	Open	Prime

41 - 46 of 46 records displayed: Previous Page < Page

3 ▼ > Next Page

Records per page

20 ▼

Customer Support

Copyright © 2023 B2Gnow. All rights reserved.

Total (3 yrs): 29 \$169,052,974 EDP (3 yrs): 10 \$ 3,078,403

<u>Home</u> | <u>Print This Page</u> | <u>Print To PDF</u> | <u>Translate</u>



MEMORANDUM

(Revised)

TO:	Honorable Chairman Oliver G. Gilbert, III and Members, Board of County Commissioners	DATE:	December 12, 2023
FROM:	Bonzon-Keenan County Attorney	SUBJECT:	Agenda Item No. 8(F)(1
Pl	ease note any items checked.		
	"3-Day Rule" for committees applicable if ra	aised	
	6 weeks required between first reading and	public hearin	g
	4 weeks notification to municipal officials re hearing	quired prior	to public
	Decreases revenues or increases expenditure	es without bal	ancing budget
	Budget required		
	Statement of fiscal impact required		
	Statement of social equity required		
	Ordinance creating a new board requires de report for public hearing	etailed County	y Mayor's
	No committee review		
	Applicable legislation requires more than a present, 2/3 membership, 3/5's 7 vote requirement per 2-116.1(3)(h) or (4)(c) requirement per 2-116.1(3)(h) or (4)(c) requirement per 2-116.1(4)(c)(2)) to apply the second s	, unanimou c), CDM , or CDMP 9	rs, CDMP P 2/3 vote
	Current information regarding funding sou	rce, index cod	le and available

balance, and available capacity (if debt is contemplated) required

Approved	Mayor	Agenda Item No. 8(F)(1)
Veto		12-12-23
Override		

RESOLUTION NO.

CONTRACT AWARD RESOLUTION APPROVING PROFESSIONAL SERVICES AGREEMENT TO AECOM TECHNICAL SERVICES, INC., TO PROVIDE PROFESSIONAL **SERVICES** FOR COUNTYWIDE **INFRASTRUCTURE** INVESTMENT **PROGRAM** (CIIP) FOR REHABILITATION AND RENOVATION SERVICES OF ISD MANAGED STEPHEN P. CLARK GOVERNMENT CENTER (SPCC) BUILDING, ISD PROJECT NO. A20-ISD-03, CONTRACT NO. A20-ISD-03B, IN AN AMOUNT NOT TO EXCEED \$5,500,000.00, INCLUSIVE OF A CONTINGENCY ALLOWANCE OF \$500,000.00; AND AUTHORIZING THE COUNTY MAYOR OR COUNTY MAYOR'S DESIGNEE TO **EXECUTE** THE AGREEMENT, TO **APPROVE** CONTINGENCY EXPENDITURE LIMITED TO 10 PERCENT OF THE BASE CONTRACT AMOUNT, AND TO EXERCISE ALL PROVISIONS CONTAINED IN THE AGREEMENT

WHEREAS, this Board desires to accomplish the purposes outlined in the accompanying memorandum, a copy of which is incorporated herein by reference,

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED BY THE BOARD OF COUNTY COMMISSIONERS OF MIAMI-DADE COUNTY, FLORIDA, that this Board approves contract award of Professional Services Agreement to AECOM Technical Services, Inc., to provide professional services for Countywide Infrastructure Investment Program (CIIP) for the Rehabilitation and Renovation Services of the Internal Services Department (ISD) Managed Stephen P. Clark Government Center (SPCC) Building, ISD Project No. A20-ISD-03, Contract No. A20-ISD-03B, in an amount not to exceed \$5,500,000.00, inclusive of a contingency allowance of \$500,000.00; and authorizes the County Mayor or County Mayor's designee to execute the agreement, to approve the contingency expenditure limited to 10 percent of the base contract amount, and exercise all provisions contained in the agreement, including but not limited

Agenda Item No. 8(F)(1) Page No. 2

to, exercising the cancellation provisions, deciding all disputes, and issuing service orders. Due to the voluminous size of the agreement, it is not attached. A copy of the agreement is on file with and available upon request from the Internal Services Department.

The foregoing resolution was offered by Commissioner who moved its adoption. The motion was seconded by Commissioner and upon being put to a vote, the vote was as follows:

Oliver G. Gilbert, III, Chairman Anthony Rodríguez, Vice Chairman

Marleine Bastien

Kevin Marino Cabrera

Roberto J. Gonzalez

Danielle Cohen Higgins

Kionne L. McGhee

Juan Carlos Bermudez

Sen. René García

Keon Hardemon

Eileen Higgins

Raquel A. Regalado

Micky Steinberg

The Chairperson thereupon declared this resolution duly passed and adopted this 12th day of December, 2023. This resolution shall become effective upon the earlier of (1) 10 days after the date of its adoption unless vetoed by the County Mayor, and if vetoed, shall become effective only upon an override by this Board, or (2) approval by the County Mayor of this resolution and the filing of this approval with the Clerk of the Board.

MIAMI-DADE COUNTY, FLORIDA BY ITS BOARD OF COUNTY COMMISSIONERS

JUAN FERNANDEZ-BARQUIN, CLERK

By:______
Deputy Clerk

Approved by County Attorney as to form and legal sufficiency.

MRP

Monica Rizo Perez