Memorandum

IAMIDADE	
OUNTY	J

Date:	May 20, 2025	
То:	Honorable Chairman Anthony Rodriguez and Members, Board of County Commissioners	Agenda Item No. 8(N)(8)
From:	Daniella Levine Cava Manuella Leune (av Mayor	
Subject:	Amendment No. 14 to Professional Services Ag Consulting, Inc. and Miami Dade County for the Causeway Project	reement C9620 between EAC Design Phase of the Venetian

Executive Summary

The purpose of this item is to gain authorization from the Miami-Dade Board of County Commissioners (Board) to execute Amendment No. 14 to Professional Services Agreement (PSA) C9620 with EAC Consulting, Inc. (EAC). The amendment facilitates the completion of final design plans for the replacement of 11 bridges under the Venetian Causeway project, increasing the contract capacity by \$1,366,682.82, for a total contract amount not to exceed \$15,113,035.05. More specifically, the amendment addresses changes to the project scope, i.e., island restoration, residential islands roadway improvements, landscaping plans, a conch survey and the relocation of a Water and Sewer Department (WASD) force main. The Department of Transportation and Public Works (DTPW) oversees this project and has coordinated with the Florida Department of Transportation (FDOT) and the Federal Highway Administration (FHWA) to ensure the redesign incorporates resiliency measures (sea level rise and wave action from future storms) and overall safety.

Recommendation

It is recommended that the Board approves Amendment No. 14 to the PSA with EAC to increase contract capacity by \$1,366,682.82 for final design and plans preparation services for the Venetian Causeway, resulting in a maximum total contract amount of \$15,113,035.05.

Scope

The scope of the project is located within Commission Districts 3 and 4, represented by Commissioner Keon Hardemon, and Commissioner Micky Steinberg, respectively. However, the impact of the project is countywide as the Venetian Causeway connects mainland Miami to Miami Beach.

Delegation of Authority

The authority of the County Mayor or the County Mayor's designee to execute Amendment No. 14 to the PSA is consistent with those authorities granted under the County Code. No further delegation is necessary or being requested for this item.

Fiscal Impact/Funding Source

The fiscal impact of this amendment is \$1,366,682.82, which includes a contingency allowance of \$124,243.89 for unforeseen work. There are no operation and maintenance costs as the agreement is for design services.

Honorable Chairman Anthony Rodriguez and Members, Board of County Commissioners Page No. 2

Funding for Amendment No. 14 is found in the following:

- Volume 2 of the Fiscal Year 2024-25 Adopted Budget and Multi-Year Capital Plan (page 188).
- Capital Budget Program No. 2000000266 Venetian Causeway Bridge Replacement Matching Funds, Project No. 3000583.

The revenue sources are:

- Capital Asset Series 2010 Bonds
- Causeway Toll Revenue
- Future Financing
- Mobility Impact Fee

Track Record/Monitor

The project will be managed by Ryan Fisher, P.E., Manager, Highway Bridge Engineering, DTPW.

Background

The Venetian Causeway, built in 1926, spans Biscayne Bay, connecting Miami and Miami Beach via six man-made residential barrier islands linked by 10 fixed bridges and two movable bridges. Listed on the National Register of Historic Places and designated as historic by both the City of Miami and Miami Beach, the Causeway is one of the oldest in the region. Over the last 99 years, the Causeway has faced significant environmental wear, leading to widespread deficiencies in its 12 bridges. Previous rehabilitation projects completed in 1997 and 2008 aimed to restore the bridges to their original capacity and ensure the Causeway's continued safe operation. However, these repairs were expected to last only about 10 years, and the bridges now require further attention.

On May 3, 2022, the Board adopted Resolution No. R-427-22, authorizing the assignment of the Standard PSA between EAC and FDOT to the County, along with Amendment No. 13 to the PSA and a Local Agency Program (LAP) Agreement for final design plans to replace 11 bridges on the Venetian Causeway. The total contract value for all services was \$13,746,352.23.

Under this agreement, EAC has been preparing contract documents for the design and construction of 11 new bridges along the Causeway, from North Bayshore Drive in Miami to Purdy Avenue in Miami Beach. These documents, now 60 percent complete, include plans, specifications, engineering analyses, and other technical documentation in line with County policies and requirements. As design work progressed, unforeseen issues related to environmental, survey, aesthetic, landscaping, roadway design and geotechnical needs have emerged, necessitating additional scope and effort, including roadway design adjustments for the residential islands.

This amendment addresses scope changes, including island restoration, roadway improvements on residential islands, landscaping plans, a conch survey and the relocation of a WASD force main. DTPW oversees this project and has coordinated with FDOT and FHWA to ensure the redesign incorporates resiliency measures, such as addressing sea level rise and storm surge.

Honorable Chairman Anthony Rodriguez and Members, Board of County Commissioners Page No. 3

Upon Board approval, it is expected that the Notice to Proceed for this amendment will be issued within 30 days. Final plans will continue to be developed, with construction anticipated to commence approximately 33 months thereafter, followed by an estimated 48-month construction timeline.

Contract Measures and Due Diligence

The FDOT-EAC PSA did not include a mandatory Disadvantaged Business Enterprise (DBE) goal. DBE firms were encouraged to compete for professional services projects and non-DBE firms were encouraged to use DBE firms as subconsultants. EAC has committed to a 10 percent DBE participation for the additional services.

Subconsultants:

Stantec Consulting Services Inc. Brand Associates, Inc.* Geosol, Inc.* Hardesty & Hanover, LLC Intera Incorporated HBC Engineering Company* Janus Research, Inc. Manuel G. Vera & Associates, Inc.* Berenblum Busch Architects, Inc.* *DBE Firms

Pursuant to Resolution No. R-187-12 and in accordance with the Strategic Procurement Department's Procurement Guidelines, DTPW staff performed due diligence to determine EAC's responsibility. The lists that were referenced included, but were not limited to, convicted vendors, debarred vendors, delinquent contractors, suspended vendors, and federal excluded parties. There were no adverse findings relating to the consultant's responsibility.

Jimmy Morales Chief Operating Officer



MEMORANDUM (Revised)

TO: Honorable Chairman Anthony Rodriguez and Members, Board of County Commissioners

DATE: May 20, 2025

FROM: Bonzon-Keenan County Attorney

SUBJECT: Agenda Item No. 8(N)(8)

Please note any items checked.

 "3-Day Rule" for committees applicable if raised
 6 weeks required between first reading and public hearing
 4 weeks notification to municipal officials required prior to public hearing
 Decreases revenues or increases expenditures without balancing budget
 Budget required
 Statement of fiscal impact required
 Statement of social equity required
 Ordinance creating a new board requires detailed County Mayor's report for public hearing
 No committee review
 Applicable legislation requires more than a majority vote (i.e., 2/3's present, 2/3 membership, 3/5's, unanimous, majority plus one, CDMP 7 vote requirement per 2-116.1(3)(h) or (4)(c), CDMP 2/3 vote requirement per 2-116.1(3) (h) or (4)(c), CDMP 9 vote requirement per 2-116.1(4)(c) (2)) to approve
 Current information regarding funding source, index code and available balance, and available capacity (if debt is contemplated) required

Approved	May	or Agen	da Item No. 8(N)(8)
Veto		5-20-	25
Override			

RESOLUTION NO.

RESOLUTION APPROVING AMENDMENT NUMBER 14 TO AGREEMENT NUMBER C9620 BETWEEN MIAMI-DADE COUNTY AND EAC CONSULTING, INC., IN SUBSTANTIALLY THE FORM ATTACHED HERETO AND MADE A PART HEREOF, FOR THE FINAL DESIGN AND PLANS PREPARATION FOR THE REPLACEMENT OF 11 BRIDGES UNDER THE VENETIAN CAUSEWAY PROJECT. INCREASING THE CONTRACT BY AN AMOUNT NOT TO EXCEED \$1,366,682.82, INCLUSIVE OF A CONTINGENCY AMOUNT OF \$124.243.89. FOR A TOTAL CONTRACT AMOUNT \$15,113,035.05; NOT TO EXCEED AND AUTHORIZING THE COUNTY MAYOR OR COUNTY MAYOR'S DESIGNEE TO EXECUTE THE SAME AND TO EXERCISE THE RIGHTS CONTAINED THEREIN

WHEREAS, this Board desires to accomplish the purposes outlined in the accompanying memorandum, a copy of which is incorporated herein by reference,

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED BY THE BOARD OF COUNTY

COMMISSIONERS OF MIAMI-DADE COUNTY, FLORIDA, that this Board:

Section 1. Approves Amendment Number 14 to Agreement Number C9620 between

Miami-Dade County and EAC Consulting, Inc., in substantially the form attached hereto and made

a part hereof, for the final design and plans preparation for the replacement of 11 bridges under

the Venetian Causeway project, increasing the contract by an amount not to exceed \$1,366,682.82,

inclusive of a contingency amount of \$124,243.89, for a total contract amount not to exceed \$15,113,035.05.

Section 2. Authorizes the County Mayor or County Mayor's designee to execute Amendment Number 14, in substantially the form attached hereto and made a part hereof, for and on behalf of Miami-Dade County and to exercise all rights contained therein.

Agenda Item No. 8(N)(8) Page No. 2

The foregoing resolution was offered by Commissioner

who moved its adoption. The motion was seconded by Commissioner

and upon being put to a vote, the vote was as follows:

Anthony Rodriguez, Chairman
Kionne L. McGhee, Vice ChairmanMarleine BastienJuan Carlos BermudezSen. René GarcíaOliver G. Gilbert, IIIRoberto J. GonzalezKeon HardemonDanielle Cohen HigginsEileen HigginsNatalie Milian OrbisRaquel A. RegaladoMicky SteinbergKeon Hardemon

The Chairperson thereupon declared this resolution duly passed and adopted this 20th day of May, 2025. This resolution shall become effective upon the earlier of (1) 10 days after the date of its adoption unless vetoed by the County Mayor, and if vetoed, shall become effective only upon an override by this Board, or (2) approval by the County Mayor of this resolution and the filing of this approval with the Clerk of the Board.

> MIAMI-DADE COUNTY, FLORIDA BY ITS BOARD OF COUNTY COMMISSIONERS

JUAN FERNANDEZ-BARQUIN, CLERK

By:___

Deputy Clerk

Approved by County Attorney as to form and legal sufficiency.

H

Annery Pulgar Alfonso

MIAMI-DADE COUNTY

AMENDMENT TO

STANDARD PROFESSIONAL SERVICES AGREEMENT

Amendment Number:	14	Amendment Execution Date:
Original Amendment No.:	<u>C9620</u>	Original Agreement Execution Date: 6/28/2012
Consultant Name:	EAC Consulting, Inc.	
FM Numbers:	422713-2-22-01	
FAP No.:	N/A	
Agreement Description:	Venetian Causeway f	rom North Bayshore Drive to Purdy Avenue
Purpose of Amendment:	Add Funds, Incorpora	te attachments to the Contract

This Fourteenth Amendment is made and entered into as of the day and year first above written by and between Miami-Dade County, Florida, a public body, hereinafter referred to as the "COUNTY", and EAC Consulting, Inc., hereinafter referred to as the "CONSULTANT".

WITNESSETH

WHEREAS, the Florida Department of Transportation (FDOT) and the CONSULTANT entered into a Standard Professional Services Agreement Number C9620 (AGREEMENT) to conduct a Project Development and Environment Study for the Venetian Causeway from North Bayshore Drive to Purdy Avenue; and

WHEREAS, FDOT assigned the AGREEMENT to the COUNTY in order for the COUNTY to exercise the option for the CONSULTANT to provide final design and plans preparation services to replace 11 bridges on the Venetian Causeway; and

WHEREAS, the COUNTY and the CONSULTANT agreed to the Assignment of the AGREEMENT; and

WHEREAS, the parties wish to make certain revisions to the AGREEMENT as provided below as a result of unforeseen items that have been found during the design and plan preparation phase related to previously unknown environmental, survey, aesthetic design, landscaping design, roadway design, and geotechnical needs that would enhance the project.

NOW, THEREFORE, the parties hereto do mutually agree to amend the AGREEMENT as follows:

The total maximum limiting amount of \$13,746,352.23 for all services required under the original agreement and all supplements and amendments thereto is herein increased by \$1,366,682.82. The revised total maximum limiting amount for all services is \$15,113,035.05. The services specified in Exhibit "A", Scope of Services of the original agreement are amended and attached as Exhbit "A".

SECTION 6 – MISCELLANEOUS

Section 6.C of the Standard Professional Services Agreement, is revised as follows:

The following attachments are hereby incorporated into this Agreement as part hereof as though fully set forth herein:

Exhibit "A", SCOPE OF SERVICES - Supplemental, with accompanying fee proposal.

MIAMI-DADE COUNTY

STANDARD PROFESSIONAL SERVICES AGREEMENT TERMS

IN WITNESS WHEREOF the parties hereto have caused these presents to be executed the day and

year first above written.

CONSULTANT:

EAC CONSULTING, INC.

MIAMI-DADE COUNTY, FLORIDA BY ITS BOARD OF COUNTY COMMISSIONERS

By: Eurique (rooks Name: Enrique Crooks Title: President

By:_____

Name:

Title: County Mayor or Designee

ATTEST:

HARVEY RUVIN

By:_____

Approved by the County Attorney

as to Form and Legal Sufficiency:

By:	
Name:	
Title	

CONSULTANT ATTEST:

By:

Name: Janine Ortega Title: Office Manager



SCOPE OF SERVICES – Supplemental

Venetian Causeway Bridge Replacement Final Design

Miami-Dade County Department of Transportation and Public Works (DTPW)

Contract No. C9620

PW Project No. 20210011

EAC Project No. 21039. PD01

PURPOSE

Additional services are required to address the changes to the project scope to address island restoration, residential islands roadway improvements, landscaping plans, conch survey and the relocation of a WASD Forcemain (FM).

1.0 PROJECT MANAGEMENT & GENERAL TASKS

- 1. Attend project manager meetings with various departments and authorities related to:
 - a. Utilities Subaqueous
 - b. Structures Design
 - c. Environmental/Permitting Conch
 - d. Landscape Architecture
 - e. Geotechnical Engineering
- 2. Perform field reviews

2.0 DESIGN SURVEY

- 1. Design survey for Grand Venetian and Bella Isla
- 2. Design survey for outfalls and bridges

3.0 ENVIRONMENTAL/PERMITTING

- 1. Initial Conch surveys to get clearance for geotechnical borings
- 2. Additional conch surveys including to the revised USACE guidance.

4.0 LANDSCAPE PLANS

The Task Numbers throughout this Amendment Scope of Services are in reference to the activities identified on the Staff Hour Estimation forms.

Under this Amendment, the CONSULTANT shall provide the following services:

4 and 5 Roadway Analysis and Plans (Activities 4 and 5)

4.12 Traffic Control Analysis

Traffic control analysis to determine the safe access and exit of trucks to the receiving site.

4.14 Traffic Control Quantities

Develop Traffic Control Quantities

4.15 Traffic Control Estimates

Develop one cost estimate and update the final estimate.

5.14 Temporary Traffic Control Plans (TTCP Notes and Details)

Develop one sheet to include TTCP Notes and one sheet for TTCP Details

25 and 26 Landscape Architecture Analysis and Plans (Activities 25 and 26)

25.1 Data Collection

Includes all research required to collect data necessary to complete design analysis.

25.3 Master Design File Setup (Base Files)

Setup master design files which may include: converting drawing files from Microstation to AutoCAD or vice versa, creating base CADD files from provided roadway plans, and acquiring additional information from lighting, utilities, ITS, signage/pavement markings, drainage, maintenance, etc.

25.4 Site Inventory and Analysis

Includes identification of receiving site opportunities and constraints for the proposed projects based on existing site conditions. Site inventory areas are limited to the following roadway and bridge project areas:

Biscayne Island: start STA 134+00.00, end STA 152+00.00 (1,800 ft) (Toll Plaza Area N/A) San Marco Island: start STA 158+20.00, end STA 172+40.00 (1,420 ft) San Marino Island: start STA 182+60.00, end STA 184+70.00 (210 ft) Di Lido Island: start STA 195+60.00, end STA 197+70.00 (210 ft) Bell Isle Island: start STA 231+00.00, end STA 233+60.00 (260 ft)

The above areas include up to nine (9) center medians containing plant materials.

25.7 Final Planting Design

Final Planting Design includes all work in master design files. Planting design includes the new plants, donor site data, and the development of receiving site placement of relocated

plants (includes species/type, size, location). Planting design areas are limited to the following project areas:

Biscayne Island: start STA 134+00.00, end STA 152+00.00 (1,800 ft) (Toll Plaza Area N/A) San Marco Island: start STA 158+20.00, end STA 172+40.00 (1,420 ft) San Marino Island: start STA 182+60.00, end STA 184+70.00 (210 ft) Di Lido Island: start STA 195+60.00, end STA 197+70.00 (210 ft) Bell Isle Island: start STA 231+00.00, end STA 233+60.00 (260 ft)

Up to five (5) spoil islands.

Upon receipt of County approval to use the area known as Venetian Causeway Park as a receiving site, Consultant will provide minor coordination with the County Park Staff to field locate select plant materials designated as acceptable relocates. Consultant is not responsible for the location of above or below ground utilities in these areas prior to excavation/installation of plant materials.

25.13 Cost Estimates

Prepare one (1) final cost estimate for each receiving site to be included with relocation plan submittals.

25.14 Technical or Modified Special Provisions

One (1) minor modification to existing TSPs and MSPs for plant relocation only.

25.15 Other Landscape Services

Inclusion of a design layout plan for coordination with County and other stakeholders regarding potential receiving site areas and to provide a design that reasonably presents a unified design/appearance. Preparation of up to (1) color plan exhibit depicting proposed landscape design/layout.

- 25.16 Quality Assurance/Quality Control
- 25.17 Supervision
- 25.18 Landscape Meetings

Includes coordination meetings with other disciplines (Permit preparation and application; Utilities; Traffic Control; Architecture; Roadway; Structures; Drainage; Lighting; ITS).

25.19 Field Reviews

Includes travel time for trips to field to obtain data necessary for design. Included for

planting, irrigation, and hardscape design.

25.20 Coordination

Includes all efforts to coordinate internally with survey, geotechnical, drainage, structures, lighting, roadway, signals, utilities, rail road, permits, schedules, specifications, bicycle/ pedestrian/ transit, landscape architecture, traffic operations, and other Department offices to produce a final set of construction documents.

26.1 Key Sheets and Signature Sheet

Includes creating and delivering the Key Sheet & Signature Sheet.

26.3 General Notes/Pay Item Notes

Includes creating and delivering the General and Pay Item Notes Sheet.

26.4 Planting Plans for Linear Areas

Includes creating and delivering the Donor Site Disposition Plans and proposed Planting Plans and Tabulation Sheets for Linear Areas at the receiving sites.

Receiving site areas are limited to the following project areas:

Biscayne Island: start STA 134+00.00, end STA 152+00.00 (1,800 ft) (Toll Plaza Area N/A)

San Marco Island: start STA 158+20.00, end STA 172+40.00 (1,420 ft) San Marino Island: start STA 182+60.00, end STA 184+70.00 (210 ft) Di Lido Island: start STA 195+60.00, end STA 197+70.00 (210 ft) Bell Isle Island: start STA 231+00.00, end STA 233+60.00 (260 ft)

Up to five (5) spoil islands.

Upon receipt of County approval to use the area known as Venetian Causeway Park as a receiving site, Consultant will provide minor coordination with the County Park Staff to field locate select plant materials designated as acceptable relocates. Consultant is not responsible for the location of above or below ground utilities in these areas prior to excavation/installation of plant materials.

26.12 Quality Assurance/Quality Control

26.13 Supervision

All design and plans preparation shall be in accordance with Exhibit "A", Scope of Services, of the original Standards Professional Services Agreement.

5.0 WASD FORCEMAIN

Task 1 – Field Investigations and Data Collection

EAC shall perform services to coordinate and gather relevant field information such as data to be utilized for engineering design and the development of construction plans and technical specifications. Included in this task are EAC's efforts to perform all site reconnaissance, field reviews, data gathering and organization necessary to support the development of design.

Task 1.1 – Engineering Services: Topography and Bathymetric Surveying & Mapping

EAC shall coordinate the services of the project team's surveyor, MGV, which will be responsible for providing topography and bathymetric survey and mapping services. Refer to the attached Scope and Fee proposal from MGV for additional details. EAC shall review the completed survey and provide a copy for the review and approval of the MD-WASD surveying section.

Please note that MD-WASD shall provide EAC with a current list of surveying requirements prior to the commencement of the surveying activities.

Deliverables:

- 1) Topography Survey
- 2) Bathymetric Survey

Task 1.2 – Engineering Services: Geotechnical Engineering & Investigations Coordination

EAC shall coordinate the services of the project team's geotechnical engineering firm, GEOS, which will be responsible for providing geotechnical engineering services. Please refer to the attached Scope and Fee proposal from GEOS for additional details. EAC shall review the completed geotechnical engineering report and forward to MD-WASD for acceptance.

Deliverables:

1) Geotechnical Engineering Report

Task 1.3 – Field Reconnaissance and Data Collection Review

EAC shall perform field reconnaissance to become familiarized with the site and identify any constraints that may impede construction. EAC will request as-built records and other existing information, research permit records, undertake all due diligence activities and investigative efforts to retrieve and compile existing conditions information.

Specifically, EAC shall:

- 1) Perform one (1) site visit to the project site
- 2) Request and review as-built records
- 3) Contact utilities identified by Sunshine One Call
- 4) Request information on any planned utilities Planned Utilities from UAOs (within the corridor)
- 5) Request Planned Projects list / description (for upcoming projects within the corridor) from MD-DTPW and adjacent municipalities.

6) Meet with permitting agencies to ascertain and establish their concerns and expectations for the project

Deliverables:

- 1) Preliminary list of permitting agencies
- 2) Preliminary list of utilities agencies within the project corridor
- 3) Project corridor picture records
- 4) PDF Electronic Files of all retrieved as-built information

Task 1.4 – Subsurface Utility Engineering (SUE) coordination

EAC shall coordinate the services of the project team's utility locating firm, MGV, which will be responsible for providing up to 10 Quality Level A Locates. Please refer to the attached Scope and Fee proposal from MGV for additional details. EAC shall review the completed utility locate report and forward to MD-WASD for acceptance.

Deliverables:

1) Utility locate report

Task 2 – Engineering Design Services

Task 2.1 – 30% Design Services

EAC shall undertake the 30% Design Services and prepare the 30% Design submittal & deliverables following established County guidelines and standards. The 30% Design shall be predicated on the recommendations of the Route Analysis effort and shall include a representation of the horizontal alignment of the proposed 6-inch pipeline overlaid on the topography survey base. The base survey shall include all pertinent survey data in addition to a horizontal projection of all existing utilities gathered during the Data Collection task.

EAC's 30% Design services shall encompass:

- 1) 30% Design Kick Off Meeting
- 2) Provide Design Calculations / Design Report (if applicable)
- 3) Perform Field Visits / Reviews
 - a) Documentation of Field Conditions
- 4) Ongoing Utility Coordination Efforts
- 5) Identification of Permit Requirements / Development of Permit Coordination Matrix
- 6) Initiate contacts with permitting agencies, including pre application meeting with MD-DTPW and MD-WASD.
- 7) Development of Design Drawings (Schematic Level)
 - a) General Project Information Sheets
 - i) General Notes
 - ii) SWPPP Notes and Details
 - iii) Horizontal Control Plan Sheets
 - iv) Existing Conditions Plans Sheets
 - b) Pipeline Alignment Plan Sheets
- 8) Recording of Correspondences between EAC, County and other affected interests
- 9) Opinion of Probable Construction Costs
- 10) Design Schedule

11) Develop Preliminary List of Permitting Agencies and Contact Persons

Deliverables:

- 1) 30% Design (Schematic) Plans
- 2) Preliminary Design Calculations Report
- 3) Approvals / Permit Status Log
- 4) Updated Project Design Schedule
- 5) Opinion of Probable Construction Costs reflecting 30% Design progress

Task 2.3 – 90% Design Services

EAC shall continue to the Development of Design phase by reviewing, responding, and implementing the comments received at the 30% Design phase. EAC shall perform the 90% Design Services requirements and prepare the 90% Design submittal & deliverables following established County guidelines and standards. The 90% Design shall reflect the horizontal alignment and vertical profile of the proposed 6-inch pipeline overlaid on the topography survey base horizontally and vertically. The profile of the proposed 6-inch pipeline will be updated to reflect the results of the SUE activities, resolving conflicts, and allowing for successful installation.

The 90% Design deliverable would serve as the permit set and will be considered the "Issued for Permitting (IFP)" set.

EAC's 90% Design services shall encompass:

- 1) Lead 90% Design Kick Off Meeting
- 2) Update Design Calculations / Design Report (where applicable)
- 3) Perform Supplemental Field Visits / Reviews

a) Documentation of Updated Field Conditions and impacts to work completed to date

4) Ongoing Utility Coordination Efforts

Update Permit Coordination Matrix

- a) Ongoing Coordination with Permitting Jurisdictions SUE / Soft Dig Locates Coordination
- 5) Develop Design Drawings (90% Design Development)
 - a) General Project Sheets
 - i) General Notes
 - ii) SWPPP Notes and Details
 - iii) Horizontal Control Plan Sheets
 - iv) Existing Conditions Plans Sheets
 - b) Pipeline Alignment Plan and Layout Sheets
 - i) Plan & Profile Sheets
 - ii) Special Details Sheets
 - c) Roadway Pavement Demolition Layout Plan Sheets
 - d) Roadway Pavement Restoration Plan Sheets, Sections and Details. Includes any pavement design needs required by responsible jurisdictions.
 - e) Pavement Marking Plan Sheets
- 6) Identification of Tree Removal Needs
- 7) Development Construction Specifications / Special Provisions
 - a) Technical Specifications
 - b) Special Provisions

- 8) Recording Correspondences between EAC, County and other affected interests
- Update Opinion of Probable Construction Costs reflecting 90% Design progress Update Design Schedule
 Updated List of Permitting Agencies / Stakeholder Requirements

Deliverables:

- 1) 90% Design (IFP) Plans
- 2) Updated Design Calculations Report
- 3) Approvals / Permit Status Log
- 4) Updated Project Design Schedule
- 5) Updated Opinion of Probable Construction Costs
- 6) Updated Technical Specifications
- 7)

Task 3 – Permitting and Jurisdictional Approvals

Utilizing the permit contacts and log developed as part of the Design Development phase, EAC shall coordinate with each respective jurisdiction directly to ensure that the plan reviews and approvals occur expeditiously. The permit log shall include the costs of securing approvals. These costs or permit fees shall be paid by MD-DPTW.

Task 3.1 – Permitting and Jurisdictional Approvals

EAC shall coordinate the process of submitting plans for dry run and final reviews of permitting agencies. During this process, it is anticipated that it may be necessary to set up pre-permit application meetings with some of these jurisdictions. EAC shall arrange and attend these meetings and will invite MD-DTPW as needed

For the purposes of this scope of services document, EAC has determined that the following jurisdictions will require review of the design plans:

- 1) Miami Dade County Department of Regulatory and Economic Resources (MD-RER)
 - a) Sewer Extension Permit
 - b) Class III Permit
- 2) US Army Corps of Engineers (USACE)
 - a) Right of Way Permit

It is assumed that the permits the USACE right-of-way and MD-RER Class II permits being procured as part of the Venetian Bridge Replacement Project will be expanded to include the proposed work under this contract.

Deliverables:

- 1) Meeting Agenda / Summaries with All Agencies
- 2) Permitting Agencies RFI Log
- 3) Updated 90% Design (IFP) Plans
- 4) Approvals / Permit Status Log

Task 4 – Final Design Services

EAC shall reassemble the plans set based on all approvals from the permitting and jurisdictional

agencies.

Task 4.1 – Final Bid Set Drawings

EAC shall provide signed and sealed copies of the drawings, specifications and special provisions to MD-DPTW to be used during the bidding process. No changes are anticipated to the 100% Design set, unless otherwise requested by MD-DPTW. If made, EAC shall determine if the request constitutes a deviation from the permit set and if permitting needs to be re-undertaken. Furthermore, EAC shall determine if the deviation constitutes a redesign or a design amendment. In both cases, EAC shall coordinate with MD-DPTW to provide approval for amendment services.

Deliverables:

- 1) 100% Design (IFB) Plans
- 1) Final Technical Specifications
- 2) Final Special Provisions
- 3) Updated Opinion of Probable Construction Costs

Task 5 – Bid & Procurement Support Services

EAC shall offer limited support services to MD-DPTW during the procurement phase of the project.

Task 5.1 – Bid Document Compilation

It is assumed that this project is part of a much larger construction project. This task and related activities will be completed by the County's consultant in charge of said project. The task related to this activity include preparing a complete bid package, including the contract plans, specifications, and special provisions including general information / description of the work, special bidders' qualifications, clarification of work / discipline trades on the project, supplemental conditions, staging areas and other miscellaneous processes or supporting documentation needed to assemble a complete Bid Package.

Task 5.2 – Technical Support During Bid & Procurement

EAC shall continue ongoing support to MD-DPTW during the bid and procurement phase by making staff available to the County to serve as a technical resource. Our project personnel would attend a pre-bid meeting and provide needed technical assistance to the County to describe technical details to prospective bidders. EAC's technical support services during procurement includes the following specific tasks:

- 1) Attendance at Pre-Bid Meeting with MD-DPTW and the County (One Pre-Bid Meeting)
- 2) Respond to Bidders Inquiries and Requests for Clarification (Assumes 3 RFIs)
- 3) Assist with developing Addenda, as necessary (Assumes 3 Addenda)
- 4) Respond to MD-DPTW on prospective Bidders Qualifications and Experience after submittal of Bids. EAC shall not engage in services to verify Bidders experience or references.
- 5) Review submitted bids for irregularities as it pertains to the proposed work under this contract.
- 6) Issue Concurrence Memo for selected bidder

Deliverables:

- 1) Pre-Bid RFI Log with Responses to Questions
- 2) Bid Package Addenda (Contract Plans, Specifications & Special Provisions)

3) Concurrence Memorandum for selected bidder

Task 5.3 – Issue for Construction (IFC) Documents

It is assumed that this project is part of a much larger construction project. This task and related activities will be completed by the County's consultant in charge of said project. The task related to this activity include compilation all Addenda issued during the bid procurement phase and develop a set of conformed bid documents comprising Contract Plans, Technical Specifications and Special Provisions. The conformed bid set shall be the project's "Issue for Construction (IFC)" Documents.

Task 6 – Post Design Services

Task 6.1 – Construction Engineering Support

EAC shall offer limited scope of construction administration services with the goal of providing EAC with sufficient involvement during the construction period to facilitate engineering certification services, including shop drawing review, permit clearance, and certification for the project. To achieve this, the following tasks are being proposed:

- 1) EAC shall attend and participate in two (2) monthly construction progress meetings
- 2) EAC shall review and approve shop drawing submittals (up to 5 shop drawings)
- 3) EAC shall review and respond to RFIs (up to 3 RFIs)
- 4) EAC shall maintain a shop drawing and RFI log
- 5) EAC shall visit the site on a weekly basis to verify that the construction is proceeding in substantial conformance with the permitted plans and prepare field reports (based on 2-months)
- 6) EAC shall perform specialty site visits to witness field testing and prepare field report (up to 2 site visits).
- 7) EAC shall perform a final verification walk-through, once the County or its representative has made the determination that the contractor has achieved final completion.
- 8) EAC shall review certified as-builts prepared by a surveyor and mapper that is licensed to practice in the State of Florida and submitted by the Contractor. EAC shall provide comments as necessary until the as-builts are in an acceptable form.
- 9) EAC shall prepare record drawings based on certified as-builts.
- 10) EAC shall close-out existing design related permits.
- 11) EAC shall issue the County with a Certificate of Completion upon the completion of construction.

ASSUMPTIONS RELATING TO THE SCOPE OF WORK

1) Construction Administration Services

EAC's scope does not include full construction administration services. Limited construction support services will be provided as outlined in Task 6. Any additional services would require a separate authorization.

2) Construction Period Assumptions

The assumed construction period for the described scope is 120 days. Any extension to this timeline may require adjustments to the scope or additional services.

6.0 CONCH SURVEY

Surveys for presence/absence of queen conch during geotechnical activities for Bridges 2-13 (including the temporary bridge) in accordance with the USACE permit conditions. It is anticipated that a weekly survey covering a 154m radius around each bridge will be conducted for the duration of drilling activities in the water.

Based on the workplan discussed with Geosol and Nova, both firms will each deploy one rig at a time with 2 active work zones each week. Therefore Stantec will review at least 2 bridges during each weekly survey. Assuming 3 days to complete per boring (SPT and rock core), we have assumed a total of 26 weekly surveys beginning 11/4/24 and 5 additional surveys for weather/equipment or other unforeseen delay.

We assume 3 staff x 8hrs/ day for each survey plus field preparation time and coordination with the driller to determine the weekly activities. Also included are GIS activities to organize data, coordination with agency and County staff as needed throughout the survey period. This time also includes preparation of a final report documenting survey results.

We anticipate weekly surveys between early November 2024 and early May 2025.

7.0 GFRP REINFORCING

In June 2024, EAC received the review comments by FDOT Central Office (CO).



Figure 1 Typical Section (Fixed Bridges)

FDOT CO 60% review comments indicated that the drilled shafts with concrete caps are considered as "Pile Bent" and per SDG required that either stainless steel or GFRP (Glass Fiber

Reinforced Polymer) be used in the cap. After a full discussion in the comment resolution meeting, CO confirmed FDOT's position on the matter.

Per FDOT comments, EAC revaluated the options for the substructure reinforcement considering both structural feasibility and cost impact.

The recommended corrosion protection measures for the fixed bridges are as follows:

Prestressed Beams: Stainless Steel for the prestressing strands and GFRP for the reinforcement

Drilled Shafts and CIP Caps: Carbon Steel (Black steel) for the drilled shafts, GFRP at the interface between the drilled shafts and the caps, and stainless steel for the caps.

This will satisfy FDOT CO comments, be structurally feasible and minimize the cost impact, which is about half a million dollars.

The changes above have resulted in additional design and plan production efforts For all fixed bridges - Bridges 2 thru 9, Bridge 10 fixed spans, and Bridge 11 and 12, please see attached fee estimate for detailed manhours and fees.

8.0 SUBAQUEOUS UTILITY LOCATION

Prework to location infrastructure and coordinate with utility agencies

Subaqueous utility location to allow for the construction of the temporary bridge at Bridge 10. As this is a bascule bridge, there are several existing subaqueous utilities on the north side of Bridge 10 in the vicinity of the proposed temporary bridge. The utility companies are unsure as to the exact location. As such, the services shown on the proposal from DOC Mapping will allow of the location of the existing utilities which will allow for the design of the temporary bridge foundation to avoid impacts.

FEE PROPOSAL

ESTIMATE OF WORK EFFORT FOR TECHNICAL PROPOSALS - FIRM TOTAL

Financial Project Number:

Financial Project Number:	999999-1-32	-01	•									Pn	oject Name:	Enter project i	name & descr	iption
FAP Number:	54321								Date:	2/25/2025		Name of	Consultant:	Enter name of	f prime or sub	consultant
WORK	Hours from "Summary" sheet					EMPLO	OYEE CLASSIFIC	ATION						TOT STAFF H	-AL HOURS	ON CADD
	Firm Total	Project Manager	Senior Engineer	Senior Project Engineer	Project Engineer	Engineer	Designer	Staff Classi- fication 7	Staff Classi- fication 8	Staff Classi- fication 9	Staff Classi- fication 10	Staff Classi- fication 11	Staff Classi- fication 12	RAN	GE	
o project Common and project Conners Tacks	Hours	Hours	Hours	Hours	Hours	Hours	Hours	Hours	Hours	Hours	Hours	Hours	Hours	4 4	4 3 3 3	PERCENT
4. Roadway Analysis	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
5. Roadway Plans	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
6a. Drainage Analysis	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
6b. Drainage Plans	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
6c. Selective C&G	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
7. Utilities	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
8. Environmental Permits, and Env. Clearances	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
9. Structures - Misc. Tasks, Dwgs, Non-Tech.	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
11. Structures - Temporary Bridge	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
12. Structures - Short Span Concrete Bridge	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
13. Structures - Medium Span Concrete Bridge	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
14. Structures - Structural Steel Bridge	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
15. Structures - Segmental Concrete Bridge	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
16. Structures - Movable Span	0	0	0	0	• •	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0		
17. Structures - Retaining Walls	, c		, c		, c		, c	, c	, c					, c	, c	
10 Signing & Devement Marking Analysis			5 C					5 C	5 C							
20. Signing & Pavement Marking Plans	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
21. Signalization Analysis	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
22. Signalization Plans	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
23. Lighting Analysis	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
24. Lighting Plans	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
25. Landscape Analysis	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
26. Landscape Plans	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
27. Survey (Field & Office Support)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
28. Photogrammetry	0		0 0	0	, ,	0	0	0	0 0	0	0	0		0		
29. Mapping			0 0				0 0		0 0	0 0	0					
31 Architecture Development			. .		-		0			.	5	-	5	-		
32. Noise Barriers Impact Design Assessment	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
33. Intelligent Transportation Systems Analysis	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
34. Intelligent Transportation Systems Plans	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
35. Geotechnical	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
TOTALS	111	83	0	0	28	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	111	122	

Page 3 of 9

2/25/2025 6:20 PM

0	Field Survey
_4-person crew days	Estimate:

FIRM TOTAL 111 122

Notes:

This worksheet provides the distribution of a firm's total staff hours for a project.
 Percentages for staff hour distribution by dassification are entered below in rows 64 to 98 of this sheet.
 Total Staff Hours (column 0) may not match staff hours from Summary worksheet (column B) due to rounding. Staff hours calculated for employee classifications are to be adjusted so totals in columns B and O match.
 Formulas under "Total Staff Hours Range" (columns O & P) may be adjusted to provide desired range.

ESTIMATE OF WORK EFFORT FOR TECHNICAL PROPOSALS - FIRM TOTAL

Financial Project Number:

FAP Number:

999999-1-32-01

54321

Name of Consultant: Enter name of prime or subconsultant Project Name Enter project name & description

Date:

2/25/2025

Hours from Summary Summary Sumwary Sumwary Summary Summary Summary Summary Summary Summary Summ	Staff Senior E 19ineer E 0.0% 35.0% 35.0% 35.0%	F Hour Dis Senior Project Engineer 0.0% 0.0% 0.0%	Inibution P Project 25.0% 20.0% 20.0% 20.0%	ercentages Engineer 0.0% 15.0% 15.0% 15.0% 10.0%	- Firm Tot: Designer 0.0% 10.0% 30.0% 30.0%	3 Staff Classi- fication 7 0.0% 0.0% 0.0%	Staff Classi- fication 8 0.0% 0.0% 0.0%	Staff Classi- fication 9 0.0% 0.0%	Staff Classi- fication 10 0.0% 0.0%	Staff Classi- 1 fication 11 0.0% 0.0%	Staff Classi- fication 12 0.0% 0.0%	Total 100.00%
Hours from Summary sitest Hours from Project Common and Project General Tasks Humager 111 Formary 7.0% Second Commary sitest Formary Commary sitest Formary Commary sitest Second Commary si	Senior <u>gineer</u> <u>10,0%</u> <u>10,0%</u> <u>10,0%</u> <u>10,0%</u> <u>10,0%</u> <u>10,0%</u> <u>10,0%</u> <u>10,0%</u> <u>10,0%</u> <u>10,0%</u> <u>10,0%</u> <u>10,0%</u> <u>10,0%</u> <u>10,0%</u> <u>10,0%</u> <u>10,0%</u> <u>10,0%</u> <u>10,0%</u> <u>10,0%</u> <u>10,0%</u> <u>10,0%</u> <u>10,0%</u> <u>10,0%</u> <u>10,0%</u> <u>10,0%</u> <u>10,0%</u> <u>10,0%</u> <u>10,0%</u> <u>10,0%</u> <u>10,0%</u> <u>10,0%</u> <u>10,0%</u> <u>10,0%</u> <u>10,0%</u> <u>10,0%</u> <u>10,0%</u> <u>10,0%</u> <u>10,0%</u> <u>10,0%</u> <u>10,0%</u> <u>10,0%</u> <u>10,0%</u> <u>10,0%</u> <u>10,0%</u> <u>10,0%</u> <u>10,0%</u> <u>10,0%</u> <u>10,0%</u> <u>10,0%</u> <u>10,0%</u> <u>10,0%</u> <u>10,0%</u> <u>10,0%</u> <u>10,0%</u> <u>10,0%</u> <u>10,0%</u> <u>10,0%</u> <u>10,0%</u> <u>10,0%</u> <u>10,0%</u> <u>10,0%</u> <u>10,0%</u> <u>10,0%</u> <u>10,0%</u> <u>10,0%</u> <u>10,0%</u> <u>10,0%</u> <u>10,0%</u> <u>10,0%</u> <u>10,0%</u> <u>10,0%</u> <u>10,0%</u> <u>10,0%</u> <u>10,0%</u> <u>10,0%</u> <u>10,0%</u> <u>10,0%</u> <u>10,0%</u> <u>10,0%</u> <u>10,0%</u> <u>10,0%</u> <u>10,0%</u> <u>10,0%</u> <u>10,0%</u> <u>10,0%</u> <u>10,0%</u> <u>10,0%</u> <u>10,0%</u> <u>10,0%</u> <u>10,0%</u> <u>10,0%</u> <u>10,0%</u> <u>10,0%</u> <u>10,0%</u> <u>10,0%</u> <u>10,0%</u> <u>10,0%</u> <u>10,0%</u> <u>10,0%</u> <u>10,0%</u> <u>10,0%</u> <u>10,0%</u> <u>10,0%</u> <u>10,0%</u> <u>10,0%</u> <u>10,0%</u> <u>10,0%</u> <u>10,0%</u> <u>10,0%</u> <u>10,0%</u> <u>10,0%</u> <u>10,0%</u> <u>10,0%</u> <u>10,0%</u> <u>10,0%</u> <u>10,0%</u> <u>10,0%</u> <u>10,0%</u> <u>10,0%</u> <u>10,0%</u> <u>10,0%</u> <u>10,0%</u> <u>10,0%</u> <u>10,0%</u> <u>10,0%</u> <u>10,0%</u> <u>10,0%</u> <u>10,0%</u> <u>10,0%</u> <u>10,0%</u> <u>10,0%</u> <u>10,0%</u> <u>10,0%</u> <u>10,0%</u> <u>10,0%</u> <u>10,0%</u> <u>10,0%</u> <u>10,0%</u> <u>10,0%</u> <u>10,0%</u> <u>10,0%</u> <u>10,0%</u> <u>10,0%</u> <u>10,0%</u> <u>10,0%</u> <u>10,0%</u> <u>10,0%</u> <u>10,0%</u> <u>10,0%</u> <u>10,0%</u> <u>10,0%</u> <u>10,0%</u> <u>10,0%</u> <u>10,0%</u> <u>10,0%</u> <u>10,0%</u> <u>10,0%</u> <u>10,0%</u> <u>10,0%</u> <u>10,0%</u> <u>10,0%</u> <u>10,0%</u> <u>10,0%</u> <u>10,0%</u> <u>10,0%</u> <u>10,0%</u> <u>10,0%</u> <u>10,0%</u> <u>10,0%</u> <u>10,0%</u> <u>10,0%</u> <u>10,0%</u> <u>10,0%</u> <u>10,0%</u> <u>10,0%</u> <u>10,0%</u> <u>10,0%</u> <u>10,0%</u> <u>10,0%</u> <u>10,0%</u> <u>10,0%</u> <u>10,0%</u> <u>10,0%</u> <u>10,0%</u> <u>10,0%</u> <u>10,0%</u> <u>10,0%</u> <u>10,0%</u> <u>10,0%</u> <u>10,0%</u> <u>10,0%</u> <u>10,0%</u> <u>10,0%</u> <u>10,0%</u> <u>10,0%</u> <u>10,0%</u> <u>10,0%</u> <u>10,0%</u> <u>10,0%</u> <u>10,0%</u> <u>10,0%</u> <u>10,0%</u> <u>10,0%</u> <u>10,0%</u> <u>10,0%</u> <u>10,0%</u> <u>10,0%</u> <u>10,0%</u> <u>10,0%</u> <u>10,0%</u> <u>10,0%</u> <u>10,0%</u> <u>10,0%</u> <u>10,0%</u> <u>10,0%</u> <u>10,0%</u> <u>10,0%</u> <u>10,0%</u> <u>10,0%</u> <u>10,0%</u> <u>10,0%</u> <u>10,0%</u> <u>10,0%</u> <u>10,0%</u> <u>10,0%</u> <u>10</u>	Senior Project 0.0% 0.0% 0.0% 0.0%	Project Engineer 25.0% 20.0% 20.0% 20.0%	Engineer 0.0% 15.0% 15.0% 10.0%	Designer 0.0% 10.0% 30.0% 30.0%	Staff Classi- fication 7 0.0% 0.0%	Staff Classi- fication 8 0.0% 0.0% 0.0%	Staff Classi- fication 9 0.0% 0.0% 0.0%	Staff Classi- fication 10 0.0% 0.0% 0.0%	Staff Classi- fication 11 0.0% 0.0%	Staff Classi- fication 12 0.0% 0.0%	Total 100.00% 100.00%
Filling Filling Manager Eng 3. Project Common and Project General Tasks 111 75.0% 0 3 4. Roadway Analysis 0 15.0% 30 3 5 5. Roadway Plans 0 10.0% 32 3 3 3 6a. Drainage Analysis 0 15.0% 3 3 3 6b. Drainage Plans 0 10.0% 3 3 6c. Selective C&G 0 0.0% 0 7. Utilities 0 15.0% 3	gineer E D.0% 5.0% 30.0% 30.0% 30.0% 30.0%	Engineer 0.0% 0.0% 0.0% 0.0% 0.0%	Engineer 25.0% 25.0% 20.0% 20.0% 0.0%	Engineer 0.0% 15.0% 10.0% 15.0%	Designer 0.0% 10.0% 30.0% 30.0%	fication 7 0.0% 0.0% 0.0% 0.0%	fication 8 0.0% 0.0% 0.0%	fication 9 0.0% 0.0% 0.0%	fication 10 0.0% 0.0% 0.0%	fication 11 0.0% 0.0%	fication 12 0.0% 0.0%	Total 100.00% 100.00%
A. Roadway Analysis 0 15.0% 35 5. Roadway Plans 0 10.0% 30 6. Drainage Analysis 0 15.0% 30 6b. Drainage Plans 0 10.0% 30 6b. Drainage Plans 0 10.0% 30 6c. Selective C&G 0 0.0% 30 6c. Selective C&G 0 10.0% 32 7. Utilities 0 15.0% 32	15.0% 10.0% 15.0% 30.0%	0.0% 0.0% 0.0% 0.0%	25.0% 20.0% 25.0% 20.0%	15.0% 10.0% 15.0%	10.0% 30.0% 30.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	100.00%
5. Roadway Plans 0 10.0% 30 6a. Drainage Analysis 0 15.0% 35 6b. Drainage Plans 0 10.0% 32 6c. Selective C&G 0 0.0% 0 7. Utilities 0 15.0% 35	30.0% 30.0%	0.0% 0.0% 0.0%	20.0% 25.0% 20.0%	10.0% 15.0% 10.0%	30.0% 10.0% 30.0%	0.0%	0.0% 0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	
Ga. Drainage Analysis 0 15.0% 35 6b. Drainage Plans 0 10.0% 30 6c. Selective C&G 0 0.0% 0 7. Utilities 0 15.0% 35	\$5.0% \$0.0%	0.0% 0.0% 0.0%	25.0% 20.0% 0.0%	15.0% 10.0%	10.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	2 2 2 2		100.00%
6b. Drainage Plans 0 10.0% 30 6c. Selective C&G 0 0.0% 0. 7. Utilities 0 15.0% 35	0.0%	0.0%	20.0%	10.0%	30.0%	0.0%	N U V			0.0%	0.0%	100.00%
6c. Selective C&G 0 0.0% 0. 7. Utilities 0 15.0% 35	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	2000		0.070	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	100.00%
7. Utilities 0 15.0% 35				0.070	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.00%
	35.0%	0.0%	10.0%	10.0%	30.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	100.00%
8. Environmental Permits,and Env. Clearances 0 15.0% 35	35.0%	0.0%	10.0%	10.0%	30.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	100.00%
9. Structures - Misc. Tasks, Dwgs, Non-Tech. 0 0.0% 0.	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.00%
10. Structures - Bridge Development Report 0 0.0% 0.	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.00%
11. Structures - Temporary Bridge 0 0.0% 0.	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.00%
12. Structures - Short Span Concrete Bridge 0 0.0% 0.	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.00%
13. Structures - Medium Span Concrete Bridge 0 0.0% 0.	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.00%
14. Structures - Structural Steel Bridge 0 0.0% 0.	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.00%
15. Structures - Segmental Concrete Bridge 0 0.0% 0.	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.00%
16. Structures - Movable Span 0 0.0% 0.	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.00%
17. Structures - Retaining Walls 0 0.0% 0.	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.00%
18. Structures - Miscellaneous 0 0.0% 0.	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.00%
19. Signing & Pavement Marking Analysis 0 15.0% 36	35.0%	0.0%	25.0%	15.0%	10.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	100.00%
20. Signing & Pavement Marking Plans 0 10.0% 3C	30.0%	0.0%	20.0%	10.0%	30.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	100.00%
21. Signalization Analysis 0 20.0% 35	35.0%	0.0%	20.0%	15.0%	10.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	100.00%
22. Signalization Plans 0 0.0% 0.	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.00%
23. Lighting Analysis 0 0.0% 0.	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.00%
24. Lighting Plans 0 0.0% 0.	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.00%
25. Landscape Analysis 0 0.0% 0.	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.00%
26. Landscape Plans 0 0.0% 0.	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.00%
27. Survey (Field & Office Support) 0 0.0% 0.	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.00%
28. Photogrammetry 0 0.0% 0.	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.00%
29. Mapping 0 0.0% 0.	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.00%
30. Terrestrial Mobile LiDAR 0 0.0% 0.	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.00%
31. Architecture Development 0 0.0% 0.	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.00%
32. Noise Barriers Impact Design Assessment 0 0.0% 0.	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.00%
33. Intelligent Transportation Systems Analysis 0 0.0% 0.	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.00%
34. Intelligent Transportation Systems Plans 0 0.0% 0.	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.00%
35. Geotechnical 0 0.0% 0.	0.0%	0 0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.00%

Fight water in the province of the province	$ \frac{1}{10} e^{-1} e^{-$,438.93	\$1,242			Ë	L ESTIMATED FE	GRAND TOTAL																
<pre>Product water in the product of the product of</pre>	The state of the state	00	0\$				Se	Optional Service																
Final matrix for the probability of the probabil	Transmission of the property of the propert	438.93	\$1,242,			_	TIMATED FEE:	SUBTOTAL ES																
Full matrixed base of the provide	Terreteries in the proper table of the prop																							
Full manufactore functione functi	Example view of the standard standar	.00.00	\$100,7		ies	Subaqueous Utilit.	DOC																	
Prior interpretation function for the prior function of the pri	14 Priority Priori	93.19	\$177,0		J	GFRP Reinforcing	EAC																	
Find the province statistication of the province statistica	The proper series of the proper set of the prope	16.14	\$324,1			SA#1 remainder	EAC																	
Figure 2000 and provide a statute interview of the provide a statute interview of t	Figure 10: Contract Providence 2000 and pro	15.10	\$240,0			WASD FM	EAC																	
Proder and provide and prov		00.96	\$139,4			Conch Survey 2	Stantec																	
Prior Encrepand uma Source Encrepand Uma Source <td>a the problem of the problem of</td> <td>175.56</td> <td>\$114,0</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>Conch Survey</td> <td>Stantec</td> <td></td>	a the problem of	175.56	\$114,0			Conch Survey	Stantec																	
Prior Else prior insert avery Prior Bain Prior	Image: Proper interview End proper interview	14.49	\$95,2			Landscaping	Stantec	Subconsultant																
Early produce and source of the source	Image: Proper type:	23.49	\$51,8				SE:	ESTIMATED FE																
Encryption Encryptin Encryption Encryption Encryption Encryption E	Openet integrity Elementation system	00	\$0.			Permits	Stantec	Subconsultant																
Consistence of the consistence of t	Op/End/En Ensity is an end operational operatioperatioperatioperational operational operational operational op	76.74	\$24,5				MG VERA	Subconsultant																
Priorit Ensign regist made droption status Same of causing and status Same of ca	or pipe: Contraction of the section of th	8	\$0.				HBC	Subconsultant																
Prine de la conserve	ta proper and proper a	8	\$0.				GEOSOL	Subconsultant																
Priority and statistical prime Ender proper inverse statistical prime Suit of supprime statistical prime Suit of supprime Supprime Supprime Supprime	to project and provided and a dependent of the provided and provided a		ay \$0.	\$ - /a	days (@	c	it by Prime)	Survey (Field - I				may be hidden.	consultant rows	ant. Unused sub	ach subconsult	enter tee trom e	2. Manually							
Priore in a consistent of the project or operational series in the series of the project or operation of the province of the p	b) Proper. Biological and a discretion of the proper name of discretion of the proper name of the proper	;)		• 	4-person crew	, ,							-		-									
Prioret energiese ranno ranno. Energiese ranno ranno. Consultant same temperature and analysis in the same temperature analysis in the same temperature and ana	In Project Enter project name & description Enter project name & description & descrinerer project name & descrinerer project name & descri	1.26	\$770		5.50%			CDAF																
Prioret	energy: by: Energy: status Energy: st		\$278		3.21%			EXPENSES:				•	Grand Total fee	nt to calculate the	Prime Consultar	to be used by	1. This sheet							
Priget: Enter projet nume di cumuniti cumi enter uniti enter e	Or Piped:Enter project name & descriptionEnter project name & descriptionEnter constructionEnter construc	99	\$62		0.727%	ney):	s Capital Cost Mc	FCCM (Facilities									Notes:							
Priget: Berte project name 2 ousruit. Environ 2 descriptione 2 des	In Propert Energy proper num & description Energy proper num & description <td>5.88</td> <td>\$3,46</td> <td></td> <td>40%</td> <td></td> <td>ARGIN:</td> <td>OPERATING M.</td> <td>L</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>ſ</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td>	5.88	\$3,46		40%		ARGIN:	OPERATING M.	L			ſ												
Project Entry project name & discription State project name & discription Consultant Name & discription State project na	or project server rund server rund se	04.77	\$14.0		161.63%			OVERHEAD:			N: -5	4 - Person Crev												
Project cannot description Consumant project cannot description Consumant project cannot description Stand Casat Stand Casat Stand Casat Stand Casat Stand Casat Stand Casat Stand Casat <th <="" colspan="6" td=""><td>no Project enter project rame el courbin el description enter el courbin e</td><td>4.71</td><td>38.66</td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td>TED COSTS:</td><td>SALARY RELAT</td><td></td><td>ultant</td><td>avs by Subconsi</td><td>Survey Field Da</td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td></th>	<td>no Project enter project rame el courbin el description enter el courbin e</td> <td>4.71</td> <td>38.66</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>TED COSTS:</td> <td>SALARY RELAT</td> <td></td> <td>ultant</td> <td>avs by Subconsi</td> <td>Survey Field Da</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td>						no Project enter project rame el courbin el description enter el courbin e	4.71	38.66				TED COSTS:	SALARY RELAT		ultant	avs by Subconsi	Survey Field Da						
Project name & description Consume of unconsultant consultant consunte consultant consultant consultant consultant consulta	reduct solution to the project name & description Interproject with the project name & description Enter project name & description Enter project name & description State Classifier State S		\$8,664.71	Check =				-	-	-				-		-								
Consident rane & Georption Consident rane of point rane & Georption Consident rane of point consis rane of point consident rane of point consident rane o	of Project Enter project name & description Enter project name & description Enter name of prome or subconsulant consulant Name and prome or subconsulant consulant scale provided name and project name of prome or subconsulant consulant scale provided name and project na	.06	\$8,664.71 \$78		\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$1,585.64	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$7,079.07		Total Staff Cost						
Project name & Gescription Consultant Name	an Projekt enter name discription et courte name			111	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	28	0	0	83	111	Total Staff Hours						
Project merice project mane & description Consultant service on unit consultant service on units of units on units of units on u	a project: Entry project name a description Entry project name a description Substration of prime and county subscription is subscription. Subscription is subscription. County subscription is subscription.	i0/A	\$0 #DI	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	26. Landscape Plans						
Consider consider County County Sand County Sand County Sand County Sand County County County <th "6"staff="" class<="" colspa="</td><td>a project: Entry project name of prome or subconsulant support Support support</td><td>V/0!</td><td>\$0 #DI</td><td>0</td><td>0</td><td>0</td><td>0</td><td>0</td><td>0</td><td>0</td><td>0</td><td>0</td><td>0</td><td>0</td><td>0</td><td>0</td><td>0</td><td>25. Landscape Analysis</td></tr><tr><td>Constant land description Constant land description Staff Classifier Staff Classifier</td><td>of Project. Entir project name & description Vr description state name of prime of prim of</td><td>V/0!</td><td>\$0 #DI</td><td>0</td><td>0</td><td>0</td><td>0</td><td>0</td><td>0</td><td>0</td><td>0</td><td>0</td><td>0</td><td>0</td><td>0</td><td>0</td><td>0</td><td>24. Lighting Plans</td></tr><tr><td>Project: Enter project name & description Staff Classification Enter project name & description Staff Classification Project Staff Classification Project Staff Classification Staff Classification</t</td><td>OProject name & description Consultant Name & description Staff Classi filtation Project name & description Staff Classi filtation Project name & description Staff Classi filtation Project Name & forme Project Project Project Project Name Project Name Controm on Project Project Project Name Controm on Project Project Name Controm on Project Project Name Staff Classi Staff Clas</td><td>V/0!</td><td>\$0 #DI</td><td>0</td><td>0</td><td>0</td><td>0</td><td>0</td><td>0</td><td>0</td><td>0</td><td>0</td><td>0</td><td>0</td><td>0</td><td>0</td><td>0</td><td>23. Lighting Analysis</td></tr><tr><td>Project:
enter name of project name & description
enter name of project name & description
enter name of project name of project inter name of project in</td><td>o Project:
wp: Enter project name & description Enter name of courty
senter name of courts. Sant Classification in
senter name of courts senter name of prome or subconsulant. Name
Senter name of courts senter name of prome or subconsulant. Senter name Nume Start Classification in the range of courts senter name of prome or subconsulant. Senter name Nume Start Classification in the range of courts senter name genter name Senter name Senter</td><td>V/0!</td><td>\$0 #DI</td><td>0</td><td>0</td><td>0</td><td>0</td><td>0</td><td>0</td><td>0</td><td>0</td><td>0</td><td>0</td><td>0</td><td>0</td><td>0</td><td>0</td><td>22. Signalization Plans</td></tr><tr><td>Project: Enter project rame & description Enter project rame & description Staff Classi-
suggegg-1-32-01 Staff Classi-
suggeggg-1-32-01 Staff Classi-
suggegggggggggggggggggggggggggggggggggg</td><td>a Project Enter project name & description Enter project name & description Enter name of county M2: Staff Classification Fronject Sanior Project Sanior Project Engineer Engineer</td><td>V/0!</td><td>\$0 #DI</td><td>0</td><td>0</td><td>0</td><td>0</td><td>0</td><td>0</td><td>0</td><td>0</td><td>0</td><td>0</td><td>0</td><td>0</td><td>0</td><td>0</td><td>21. Signalization Analysis</td></tr><tr><td>Project: Enter project name 4 description Enter project name 4 description Consultant Name Consultant Name<</td><td>Staff classification project name of county Staff classification project name of county County and project subconsultant No:: enter consultant No</td><td>V/0!</td><td>\$0 #DI</td><td>0</td><td>0</td><td>0</td><td>0</td><td>0</td><td>0</td><td>0</td><td>0</td><td>0</td><td>0</td><td>0</td><td>0</td><td>0</td><td>0</td><td>20. Signing & Pavement Marking Plans</td></tr><tr><td>Project:
enter project anne 6 description
entrame of count set
staff Classification
(Staff Classification
(St</td><td>of Project Enter project name of county Staff classification Enter name of county Staff classification Formination of county Staff classification Staff classification</td><td>V/0!</td><td>\$0 #DI</td><td>0</td><td>0</td><td>0</td><td>0</td><td>0</td><td>0</td><td>0</td><td>0</td><td>0</td><td>0</td><td>0</td><td>0</td><td>0</td><td>0</td><td>19. Signing & Pavement Marking Analysis</td></tr><tr><td>Project: Enter project name & description Staff Classification Enter project name & description Staff Classification Staff Classification Finance Senior Project <t</td><td>Saff Classification Enter project name & description Senior Project Senior Project Senior Project Engineer Enginer</td><td>V/0!</td><td>\$0 #DI</td><td>0</td><td>0</td><td>0</td><td>0</td><td>0</td><td>0</td><td>0</td><td>0</td><td>0</td><td>0</td><td>0</td><td>0</td><td>0</td><td>0</td><td>8. Environmental Permits, and Env. Clearances</td></tr><tr><td>Project: Enter project name & description Enter project name & description Consultant Vane: Cont Pai C</td><td>a project anne & description Consultant name & description Consultant name & description Staff Classification Project Senior Project Senior Project Senior Project Staff Classi- <th colspa=" td=""><td>V/0!</td><td>\$0 #DI</td><td>0</td><td>0</td><td>0</td><td>0</td><td>0</td><td>0</td><td>0</td><td>0</td><td>0</td><td>0</td><td>0</td><td>0</td><td>0</td><td>0</td><td>7. Utilities</td></th>	<td>V/0!</td> <td>\$0 #DI</td> <td>0</td> <td>7. Utilities</td>	V/0!	\$0 #DI	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	7. Utilities						
Project: Enter project name & description Staff Classification Toil Staff Senior Project Senior Project Project Regineer Engineer Engineer Engineer Staff Classi- Staf	of Project: Enter project name & description up: Staff Classification Frome of county Senior Senior Senior Senior Project Project Engineer Staff Classi- Staf	V/0!	\$0 #DI	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	6b. Drainage Plans						
Project: Enter project name & description Project: Enter name of prome or subconsultant Vane enter name of prome of subconsultant Vane Fair Classification Tool Salar Sanior Project Senior Project Project Engineer Staff Classi- <	Staff Classification Staff Classification <th< td=""><td>V/0!</td><td>\$0 #DI</td><td>0</td><td>0</td><td>0</td><td>0</td><td>0</td><td>0</td><td>0</td><td>0</td><td>0</td><td>0</td><td>0</td><td>0</td><td>0</td><td>0</td><td>6a. Drainage Analysis</td></th<>	V/0!	\$0 #DI	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	6a. Drainage Analysis						
Project: Enter project name & description Enter project: Enter name of powel name & description enter name of powel name & description Staff Classification Tool ISaff Project Senior Project Project Engineer <	of Project: Enter project name & description ty: enter name of county operation: enter name of county staff Classification Form Senior Senior Senior Senior Senior Senior Project Engineer Engineer Engineer Engineer Engineer Staff Classi- Staff Classi-<	V/0!	\$0 #DI	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	5. Roadway Plans						
Project: Enter project name & description Enter project name & description Consultant Name or prome or subconsultant Vo. Consultant No. Consultant No. Enter consultant No. Enter consultant No. Cost By Rate	a of Project: Enter project name & description ty: enter name of county 999999-1-32-01 No: 5417 Classification Manager Engineer Enginee	V/0!	\$0 #DI	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	4. Roadway Analysis						
Project Enter project name & description Consultant Name: Enter name of prime or subconsultant Vane: Enter name of prime or subconsultant Vane: Staff Classi-Staff Cl	e of Project: Enter project name & description enter name of county suppressive setter name of county suppressive setter name of county suppressive setter name of county suppressive setter and for the set of county suppressive setter	06	\$8,665 \$78	111	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	28	0	0	83	111	3. Project Common and Project General Tasks						
Project Enter project name & description Enter project anne & description Enter project name & description Enter name of county 999999-1-32-01 Enter name of county 999999-1-32-01 Estimator: insert name Froject Senior Project Project Project Engineer Engin	e of Project: Enter project name & description Tige: Enter project name & description Tige: Staff Classification Total Staff Project Staff Classification Total Staff Project Staff Classi Staff Classi- Staff Class	sk	Activity Ta	Activity	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$37.00	\$42.87	\$56.63	\$65.00	\$77.33	\$85.29	Summary - Firm"							
Project: Enter project name & description Consultant Vame: Enter name of prome or subconsultant Vame: Enter name of prome or subconsultant Vame: Consultant No: enter consultant	e of Project: Enter project name & description Consultant Mame: Enter name of prime or subconsultant ity: ty: ty: ty: ty: ty: ty: ty: ty: ty:) Per	Cost By Rate	By	fication 12	fication 11	fication 10	fication 9	fication 8	fication 7			Engineer	Engineer	Engineer	Manager	HS."							
Project: Enter project name & description Consultant Name: Enter norme of subconsultant enter name of county Consultant No: enter consultants proj. number 999999-1-32-01 Date: 2/25/2025 54321 Estimator: insert name	e of Project: Enter project name & description consultant Name: Enter name of prime or subconsultant ity: enter name of county ity: State of county subset name of county Date: 2/25/2025 No.: 54321 Estimator: insert name	rage	Salary Ave	SH	Staff Classi-	Staff Classi-	Staff Classi-	Staff Classi-	Staff Classi-	Staff Classi-	Designer	Engineer	Project	Senior Project	Senior	Project	Total Staff	Staff Classification						
Project: Enter project name & description Consultant Vame: Enter norme or subconsultant enter name of county Consultant No: enter consultant s proj. number S99999-1-32-01 Date: 2/25/2025 Date: 2/25/2025	e of Project: Enter project name & description enter name of county Consultant Name: Enter name of prime or subconsultant ty: Consultant No: enter consultants proj. number gegegeg-1-32-01 Date: 2/25/2025			nsert name	Estimator: i												54321	FAP No.:						
Protect The Protect name & description Consultant Ame: Enter name of prime or subconsultant	and Project Consultant Name. Enter name & description		proj. number	enter consultants 2/25/2025	Date: 2	Cc										of county	enter name 9999999-1-3;	County: FPN:						
			ime or subconsultant	-nter name of nri	ultant Name: F	Cons									rintion	t name & desi	Enter nroiec	Name of Project						

See listing below	41	41	-	LS	Prime Consultant Project Manager Meetings	3.6
	0	0	1	LS	Value Engineering (Multi-Discipline Team) Review	3 <u>.</u> 5
12 months at 2 hour a month related to this supplemental	24	2	12	LS	Contract Maintenance and Project Documentation	3.4
	¢	0	0	Components		0 0 1
	0	Calculated Hours	0	Report	 Estimated Quantities Report Preparation 	2 2 2
	0	12	0	LS	Specifications Package Preparation	3.3.1
					Specifications & Estimates	3.3
	0	0	0	EA	Joint Project Agreements	3.2
	16	ent Subtotal	lic Involvem	3.1 Pub		
	0	0	1	LS	2 Web Site	3.1.12
	0	0	1	LS	1 Other Agency Meetings	3.1.11
	8	8	1	LS	0 Public Meeting Attendance/Followup	3.1.10
	8	8	1	LS	Public Meeting Preparations	3.1.9
	0	0	1	LS	3 PowerPoint Presentation	3.1.8
	0	0	1	LS	7 Renderings and Fly Throughs	3.1.7
	0	0	1	LS	3 Newsletters	3.1.6
	0	0	1	LS	5 Driveway Modification Letters	3.1.5
	0	0	1	LS	Median Modification Letters	3.1.4
	0	0	1	LS	Preparing Mailing Lists	3.1.3
	0	0	1	LS	Notifications	3.1.2
	0	0	1	LS	Community Awareness Plan	3.1.1
					Public Involvement	3.1
Comments	Total Hours	Hours/ Unit	No of Units	Units	k Task	Task No.
					: Signature Block is optional, per District preference	NOTE: S
					Consultant Name	
					FDOT District	
Signature / Date		Name	Print		Representing	
Enter project name & description 999999-1-32-01					<u>67</u>	Estimator

0	<u> </u>	LS	Plans Update	3.7
s/ Unit Total Comments	No of Units Hou	Units	Task	Task No <u>.</u>

Page 7 of 9

Project Activity 3: General Tasks

	0	0	0	ΕA	Phase Reviews
	0	4	0	EA	Progress Meetings
Conch and schedule coordination	5	1	5	EA	Geotechnical
	0	0	0	EA	ITS Analysis
	0	0	0	EA	Noise Barriers
	0	0	0	EA	Architecture
	0	0	0	EA	Terrestrial Mobile LiDAR
	0	0	0	EA	ROW & Mapping
	0	0	0	EA	Photogrammetry
	0	0	0	EA	Survey
Opportunity, Concept and Relocation coordination	16	16	-	EA	Landscape Architecture
	0	2	0	EA	Lighting
	0	0	0	ΕA	Signalization
	0	0	0	EA	Signing & Pavement Marking
	0	0	0	EA	Structures
	10	1	10	EA	Environmental
Coordination for subaqueous locates	10	2	5	ΕA	Utilities
	0	0	0	EA	Selective C&G
	0	2	0	EA	Drainage
	0	4	0	EA	Roadway Analysis
Comments	Total Hours	Hours/ Unit	No of Units	Units	3.6 - List of Project Manager Meetings
	111	Tasks Tota	ject Genera	mon and Pro	3. Project Comr
	0	0		LS	3.13 Other Project General Tasks
Address landscaping changes to drainage design	24	24	1	LS	3.12 Landscape and Existing Vegetation Coordination
	0	0	-	LS	3.11.1 Aeronautical Evaluation
	0	0	1	LS	3.11 Railroad, Transit, and/or Airport Coordination
	0	0	1	LS	3.10 Risk Assessment Workshop
	6	6	1	LS	3.9 Digital Delivery
	0	0	1	LS	3.8 Post Design Services
Comments	Total Hours	Hours/ Unit	No of Units	Units	Task No. Task

Total PM Meeting Hours carries to Task 3.6 above	41		21		roject Manager Meetings	Total Pro
Comments	Total Hours	Hours/ Unit	No of Units	Units	Task	Task No.

<u>Notes:</u> 1. If the hours per meeting vary in length (hours) enter the average in the hour/unit column. 2. Do not double count agency meetings between permitting agencies. 3. Project manager meetings are calculated in each discipline sheet and brought forward to Column D.

Page 9 of 9

LANDSCAPING - STANTEC

5	1
ŝ	\$
ŝ	ĕ.
ŝ	4
9	ç,
2	2
-	ΰ
3	<

Page 9 of 1

			ay be hidden.			4 - Person Crew:	Survey Field Day	\$ <u>2,74</u> 0.30	50	0	0	0			0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0			0	0	0	ə c	0	0	0		0	0	0	0	14	36	ູ ຈວ4 . 9 ວ	200	Engineer 2		
							ys by Subconsult	\$U.UU	0	0	0	0		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0		0	0	0	0	0 0	0	0	0	5 C	0	0	0	0	0	0	\$0.00	200	0		
							ant	\$0.00	0	0	0	0		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0		0	0	0	0	0 0	0	0	0	5 C	0	0	0	0	0	0	\$0.00	200	0		
						_		\$0.00	0	0	0	0 0		0	0	0	0	0 0	0	0	0	0		0	0	0	0	0 0	0	0	0	- c	0	0	0	0	0	0	a0.00	200	0		
Subconsultant: Subconsultant: Subconsultant: Subconsultant:	Subconsultant: Subconsultant: Subconsultant: Subconsultant:	SUBTOTAL ES	Survey (Field - i	EXPENSES: CDAF	FCCM (Facilitie	OVERHEAD: OPERATING M	SALARY RELA	\$0.00	0	0	0	0		0	0	0	0	0 0	0	0	0	0		0	0	0	0	0 0	0	0	0	- c	0	0	0	0	0	0 0	\$0.00	200	0		
	0000	TIMATED FEE:	f by Prime)		s Capital Cost M	ARGIN:	TED COSTS:	\$0.00	0	0	0	0		0	0	0	0	0 0	0	0	0	0		0	0	0	0	0 0	0	0	0	ə c	» o	0	0	0	0	0	\$0.00	200	0		
			0		oney):			\$U.UU	0	0	0	0		0	0	0	0	0 0		0	0	0			0	0	0	0 0	0	0	0			0	0	0	0	0	- 	200	0		
			4-person crew days @	16.31% 5.50%	0.63%	166% 32%	4000/	\$0.00	0	0	0	0 0		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0			0	0	0	0 0	0	0	0		0	0	0	0	0	0	\$0.00	3	0	Louinator.	Consultant No.: Date: Estimator:
			۰ ب					Check =	736	0	0	0		0	0	0	0	56 56	2 O	0	0	0		0	0	0	0	0 0	0	0	0	- c	0	0	0	0	14	36	ACTIVITY	By		SH	enter consulta 11/1/2024 insert name
			/ day					\$29,696.99	e 20 000 00	\$0	\$0	so es	8 8	8	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$2,352	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	g 6	80	\$0	\$0	\$0	so vo	\$0	\$0	\$0 \$0	30 80	8	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$769	\$1.977	ACTIVITY	Cost By		Salary	ants proj. numb
\$0.00 \$0.00 \$0.00	\$0.00 \$0.00	\$95,214.49 \$0.00	\$0.00	\$1,633.33	\$187.09	\$49,350.46 \$9,503.04	\$29,696.99	\$4U.JS	640.95	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!	\$42.01	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!	\$54.93	\$54.93		Rate Per		Average	ér

19. Signing & Pavement Marking Analysis 20. Signing & Pavement Marking Plans 21. Signalization Analysis

18. Structures - Miscellaneous 17. Structures - Retaining Walls 6. Structures - Movable Span 12. Structures - Short Span Concrete Bridge

c

 Structures - Temporary Bridge

Structures - Bridge Development Report

. Structures - Segmental Concrete Bridge

Structures - Structural Steel Bridge Structures - Medium Span Concrete Bridge Environmental Permits, and Env. Clearances Structures - Misc. Tasks, Dwgs, Non-Tech.

Utilities

Selective C&G

24. Lighting Plans

Analysis

0 0 56 630

24

24

 c c

. Lighting Analysis . Signalization Plans

. Photogrammetry . Survey (Field & Office Support)

Mapping

Noise Barriers Impact Design Assessment

Geotechnical

Total Staff Hours Total Staff Cost

c

c c

\$1,067.40

\$3,432.00

Intelligent Transportation Systems Plans Intelligent Transportation Systems Analysis Architecture Development

c

Terrestrial Mobile LiDAR

2. Manually enter fee from each subconsultant. Unused subconsultant rows ma

Notes: 1. This sheet to be used by Prime Consultant to calculate the Grand Total fee.

\$13,030.90 \$9,420.19

<u>5a. Drainage Analysis</u>

vav Plans

Drainage Plans

Project Common and Project General Tasks

Staff Classification

Total Staff Hours From

Chief

Chief Designer

Landscape

Venetian Causeway - Landscape Services Miami-Dade 422713-2-32-01 1/0/1900

ESTIMATE OF

County: FPN: FAP No.

Name of Project:

Page 9 of 2

Subconsultant 0	\$0.00
Subconsultant 0	\$0.00
Subconsultant 0	\$0.00
SUBTOTAL ESTIMATED FEE:	\$95,214.49
Geotechnical Field and Lab Testing	\$0.00
SUBTOTAL ESTIMATED FEE:	\$95,214.49
Optional Services	\$0.00
GBAND TOTAL ESTIMATED EEE:	60 F 21/ A A

ő
⊒
≶
H.
0
Ä
۶
ð.
£
Щ
ΞÏ.
R
ĩ
۳.
ਕ
긆
ö
Ŧ
5
₽
T
R
ĕ
8
ž
S
÷
븘
Ξ
7
H
₽

Project Name: Venetian Causeway - Landscape Service

Financial Project Number:

422713-2-32-01

FAP Number:	0								Date:	11/1/2024		Name of	Consultant:	Stantec Consu	Iting Services	Inc.
WORK	Hours from "Summary" sheet					EMPL	OYEE CLASSIFIC	ATION						TOT STAFF H	AL OURS	ON CADD
		Chief Landscape	Chief Desianer	Landscape Designer	Landscape Designer	Engineer 2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0			
	Firm Total	Architect												RAN	GE	
	Hours	Hours	Hours	Hours	Hours	Hours	Hours	Hours	Hours	Hours	Hours	Hours	Hours			PERCENT
3. Project Common and Project General Tasks	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
4. Roadway Analysis	36	0	0	0	0	36	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	36	40	
5. Roadway Plans	14	0	0	0	0	14	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	14	15	
6a. Drainage Analysis	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
6b. Drainage Plans	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
6c. Selective C&G	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
7. Utilities	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
8. Environmental Permits, and Env. Clearances	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
9. Structures - Misc. Tasks, Dwgs, Non-Tech.	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
10. Structures - Bridge Development Report	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
11. Structures - Temporary Bridge	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
12. Structures - Short Span Concrete Bridge	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
13. Structures - Medium Span Concrete Bridge	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
14. Structures - Structural Steel Bridge	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
15. Structures - Segmental Concrete Bridge	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
16. Structures - Movable Span	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
17. Structures - Retaining Walls	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
18. Structures - Miscellaneous	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
19. Signing & Pavement Marking Analysis	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
20. Signing & Pavement Marking Plans	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
21. Signalization Analysis	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
22. Signalization Plans	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
23. Lighting Analysis	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
24. Lighting Plans	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
25. Landscape Analysis	630	8	48	287	287	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	630	693	
26. Landscape Plans	56	4	4	24	24	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	56	62	
27. Survey (Field & Office Support)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
28. Photogrammetry	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
29. Mapping	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
30. Terrestrial Mobile LiDAR	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
31. Architecture Development	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
32. Noise Barriers Impact Design Assessment	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
33. Intelligent Transportation Systems Analysis	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
34. Intelligent Transportation Systems Plans	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
35. Geotechnical	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
TOTALS	736	12	52	311	311	50	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	736	810	

24_0903-Venetian_Causeway-SHE_LA Svcs - Rev1 Staff Hour Summary - Firm

Field Survey Estimate:

11/1/2024 3:03 PM

4-person crew days

FIRM TOTAL 736 810

 Notes:
 0
 4-person cre

 1. This worksheet provides the distribution of a firm's total staff hours for a project.
 2. Percentages for staff hour distribution by classification are entered below in rows 64 to 98 of this sheet.
 2. Percentages for staff hours (column by classification are entered below in rows 64 to 98 of this sheet.
 3. Total Staff Hours (column by classification are entered below in rows 64 to 98 of this sheet.

 3. Total Staff Hours (column by classification are entered below in rows 64 to 98 of this sheet.
 4. Formulas under "Total Staff Hours Range" (columns B and O match.

 4. Formulas under "Total Staff Hours Range" (columns O & P) may be adjusted to provide desired range.
 4. Formulas under "Total Staff Hours Range" (columns O & P) may be adjusted to provide desired range.

ESTIMATE OF WORK EFFORT FOR TECHNICAL PROPOSALS - FIRM TOTAL

Financial Project Number:

422713-2-32-01 0

11/1/2024

Project Name Venetian Causeway - Landscape Service

				Staff Hour D	istribution	Percentages	s - Firm Tota							
	Hours from "Summary" sheet Firm Total	Chief Landscape	Chief	Landscape	Landscape	1))	•	5	,	•	•	•	
. Project Common and Project General Tasks	0	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.00%
. Roadway Analysis	36	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	100.00%
. Roadway Plans	14	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	100.00%
a. Drainage Analysis	0	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.00%
b. Drainage Plans	0	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.00%
c. Selective C&G	0	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	%0.0	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.00%
. Utilities	0	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.00%
. Environmental Permits, and Env. Clearances	0	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.00%
. Structures - Misc. Tasks, Dwgs, Non-Tech.	0	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.00%
Structures - Bridge Development Report	0	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.00%
 Structures - Temporary Bridge 	0	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.00%
Structures - Short Span Concrete Bridge	0	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.00%
3. Structures - Medium Span Concrete Bridge	0	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.00%
 Structures - Structural Steel Bridge 	0	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.00%
 Structures - Segmental Concrete Bridge 	0	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.00%
. Structures - Movable Span	0	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.00%
. Structures - Retaining Walls	0	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.00%
. Structures - Miscellaneous	0	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.00%
. Signing & Pavement Marking Analysis	0	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.00%
. Signing & Pavement Marking Plans	0	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.00%
. Signalization Analysis	0	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.00%
. Signalization Plans	0	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.00%
. Lighting Analysis	0	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.00%
. Lighting Plans	0	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.00%
. Landscape Analysis	630	2.0%	8.0%	45.0%	45.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	100.00%
. Landscape Plans	56	7.0%	7.0%	43.0%	43.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	100.00%
. Survey (Field & Office Support)	0	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.00%
. Photogrammetry	0	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.00%
. Mapping	0	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.00%
. Terrestrial Mobile LiDAR	0	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.00%
. Architecture Development	0	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.00%
. Noise Barriers Impact Design Assessment	0	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.00%
Intelligent Transportation Systems Analysis	0	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.00%
 Intelligent Transportation Systems Plans 	0	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.00%

35. Geotechnical

0

0.0%

0.0% 0.0%

0.0%

0.0% 0.0% 0.0%

0.0%

0.0% 0.0% 0.0%

0.0% 0.00%

Estim	ator: Luis Lazo			4. Roadwa	y Analysis	Staff Hours	5		Venetian Causeway - Landscape Services 422713-2-32-01	How to Use This Form
	Representing				Print Name				Signature / Date	Video Tutorials - Short Webinars for each Staff Hour Form
	FDOT District								-	
-	Stantec				Luis Lazo, P.E	I.				
ΝΟΤΙ	: Signature Block is optional, per District preferer	nce								Calculated hours represent the expected effort to complete each task based on project parameters and should be considered a
Taak		Р	roject Parame	ter		Staff	Hours		Documentation	starting point for staff hour negotiations. The Consultant and Department staff must jointly determine the appropriate staff
No.	Task	Description	Units	Complexity						hours to fully cover the effort.
	What is the overall project complexity	? (See Roadw	ay Guidelines	Below	Calculated	Department	Consultant	Negotiated	Provide documentation when negotiated hours differ from the calculated hours.	
		Cover	0		0	0	0	0	Not Applicable	1. All items in RED font are for the user to edit cell.
	Tuninal Section Deskops	Typical	0	2-Lane FS	0	0	0	0	Not Applicable	 All cells that are shaded in RED contain a drop down list for the user to make a selection.
4.1	Typical Section Package	Typical	0	2-Lane C &	0	0	0	0	Not Applicable	 Most "Project Parameter" cells will have a brief explanation of what should be inserted in the cell, this information is displayed by selecting
		Typical	0	LA w/ Barrier	0	0	0	0	Not Applicable	a cell and hovering over that cell with your cursor. 4. All cells designed not to be edited by the user have been locked for
4.2	Pavement Type Selection Report	Report	0	a maio-cane o	0	0	0	0	Not Applicable	the users convenience to avoid accidental edits of formulas, text, etc. If you recognize any errors in the locked cells, please contact the Staff
		Report &	0	Below	0	0	0	0	Not Applicable	Hour Forms Manager listed below.
4.3	Pavement Design Package	Pavt Designs	0	Travel/Aux.	0	0	0	0	Not Applicable	
		Pavt Designs	0	Other Roads & Shoulders	0	0	0	0	Not Applicable	
		X-Slope	0.00	Undivided	0	0	0	0	Not Applicable	
4.4	Cross Slope Analysis (lanes and shoulders)	X-Slope	0.00	Divided	0	0	0	0	Not Applicable	
		Concepts for	0	reading	0	0	0	0	Not Applicable	
	Safety Analysis	HSM	0		0	0	0	0	Not Applicable	
4.5		Crash Analysis	0		0	0	0	0	Not Applicable	
4.6	Design Analysis	Monitor Exist. Structures	0	Below	0	0	0	0	Not Applicable	
		Access Management	0.00	Below	0	0	0	0	Not Applicable	
		Roundabout	0	1x1 Roundabout	0	0	0	0	Not Applicable	
4.7	Operational Analysis	Roundabout	0	1x2 Roundabout	0	0	0	0	Not Applicable	
		Roundabout	0	2x2 Roundabout	0	0	0	0	Not Applicable	
4.8	Design Reports	RRR	0		0	0	0	0	Not Applicable	
4.0	besginepita	Other Reports			0	0	0	0	Not Applicable	
		Variation Memo	0		0	0	0	0	Not Applicable	
4.9	Design Variations and Exceptions	Formal Variation	0		0	0	0	0	Not Applicable	
		Design Exception	0		0	0	0	0	Not Applicable	
4.10	Master Design File Setup & Maintenance, Model Management Plan	LS	0	Below	0	0	0	0	Not Applicable	
		Mainline	0.00	Below	0	0	0	0	Not Applicable	
	Horizontal /Vertical Master Design Files	Side Road & Ramps	0.00	Below	0	0	0	0	Not Applicable	
		Frontage Road	0.00	Below	0	0	0	0	Not Applicable	
4.11		Mainline	0.00	Below	0	0	0	0	Not Applicable	
	3D Modeling Development	Ramps	0.00	Below	0	0	0	0	Not Applicable	
		Frontage Road	0.00	Below	0	0	0	0	Not Applicable	
		AMG Files	0	Below	0	0	0	0	Not Applicable	
	TTCP Analysis	LS	1	Below	10	0	10	0	Traffic control analysis to determine the safe access and exit of trucks to the receiving site.	
4.12	TTCP Master Design Files	(Phase-Miles)	0.00	Below	0	0	0	0	Not Applicable	
	TTCD 2D Medeling (Isolated Lagotione)	Pedesinan			0				Not Applicable	
4.40	I light Data Collection & Annhuin	Locations		Balam	0			•	ivu: sppicable	
13		Length (Miles)	0.00	Below	0	0	0		Not Annicable	
	Roadway Quantities for EQ Report	Interchanges	0		0	0			Not Applicable	
4.14		Rest Areas Validation		Below	0	0	0		Not Annicable	
	TTCP Quantities for EQ Report	Maior Phases	1	Simple	6	0	6	0	Develop Traffic Control Quantities	
		Engineer	1	Below	8	0	8	0	Develop one cost estimate and update the final estimate.	
4.15	Cost Estimate	LRE Updates	0	Below	0	0	0	0	Not Applicable	
4.16	Technical or Modified Special Provisions	TSPs & MSPs	0		0	0	0	0	Not Applicable	
4.17	Other Roadway Tasks	Other Analysis			0	0	0	0	Not Applicable	
	1	Roadway	Analysis Tech	inical Subtotal	24	0	24	0		Please contact the Staff Hour Forms Manager below for further
4.18	Quality Assurance/Quality Control	LS	1	5%	2	0	2	0		Ryan Buck, P.E. Project Management Support Engineer
4.19	Supervision	LS	1	5%	2	0	2	0		Ryán.Buck@dot.state.fl.us (850)414-4343
4.20	Roadway Meetings (listed below)	Meetings	3		6	0	6	0		
<u> </u>		Travel Time			0	0	0	0		
4.21	Field Reviews (listed below)	LS Roadway Anel	vsis Non-Tech	nical Subtotel	0	0	0	0		
4.22	Coordination	LS	1	3%	2	0	2	0		
			4. Roadway	Analysis Total	36	0	36	0		1

Technical Meetings	# Meetings Designer	Travel Time (Hours)	# Meetings PM	Documentation
Typical Section	0	0	0	
Pavement Design	0	0	0	
Access Management / Driveways	0	0	0	
15% Line and Grade	0	0	0	
RRR / ECAR Resolution	0	0	0	
Local Governments (cities, counties, MPO)	0	0	0	
Work Zone Traffic Control	0	0	0	
30/60/90/100% Comment Review Meetings	0	0	0	
Utility Coordination	0	0	0	
Other Meetings	3	0	0	1 mtg each receiving site x 3 = 3 mtgs
Subtotal Technical Meetings	3	0	0	
Progress Meetings (if required by FDOT)	0	0		
Phase Review Meetings	0	0		
Total Roadway Meetings	3	0		

Field Reviews	# of Staff	Site Time (per staff)	Travel Time (per staff)	Total Hours
Field Review #1	0	0	0	0
Field Review #2	0	0	0	0
Field Review #3	0	0	0	0
Field Review #4	0	0	0	0
Plans-in-hand Field Review	0	0	0	0
		Total Field	Daviour Hours	0

istima	tor: Luis Lazo			5. Roadw	ay Plans Si	aff Hours			Venetari Causeway - Landicope Services 422713-2-32-01	How to Use This Form
	Representing				Print Name				Signature / Date	Video Tutorials - Short Webinars for each Staff Hour Form
	FDOT District									
	Stantec				Luis Lazo, P.E	L.				
NOTE	: Signature Block is optional, per District preferen	ce								Calculated hours represent the expected effort to complete each task based on project parameters and should be considered a
Task	Test	P	Project Parame	ter		Staff	Hours		Documentation	starting point for staff hour negotiations. The Consultant and Department staff must jointly determine the appropriate staff hours
No.	Täsk	Description	Units	Complexity	Calculated	Department	Consultant	Negotisted	Dravido desumentation when nonstituted hours differ from the coloutated hours	to fully cover the effort.
	What is the overall project complexit	y? (See Roadw	ay Guidelines)	Below	oulculated	Department	Constant	Negotiated	Provoe occumentation when negotiated hours unter norm the calculated hours.	
	Key Sheet		0		0	0	0	0	Not Applicable	 All items in RED font are for the user to edit cell. All cells that are shaded in RED contain a drop down list for the user.
0.1	Signature Sheet		0		0	0	0	0	Not Applicable	to make a selection. 3. Most "Project Parameter" cells will have a brief explanation of what
		Typical Sections w/ CADD	0		0	0	0	0	Not Applicable	should be inserted in the cell, this information is displayed by selecting a cell and hovering over that cell with your cursor.
5.2	Typical Section Sheets	Typical Sections w/o CADD	0		0	0	0	0	Not Applicable	4. An cens designed not to be earlied by are user have been noticed for the users convenience to avoid accidental edits of formulas, text, etc. If you recognize any errors in the locked cells, please contact the Staff
		Partial Sections	0		0	0	0	0	Not Applicable	Hour Forms Manager listed below.
5.3	Cross Slope Correction Details	Pavement Segments	0		0	0	•	0	Not Applicable	
5.4	General Notes/Pay Item Notes		0		0	0	0	0	Not Applicable	
5.5	Project Layout/Model Management		0		0	0	0	0	Not Applicable	
		Length (Miles)	0.00	Below	0	0	0	0	Not Applicable	
5.6	Plan View (Plan Sheets)	Interchange	0		0	0	0	0	Not Applicable	
		Roundabout	0		0	0	0	0	Not Applicable	
		Length (Miles)	0.00	Flush Shoulder	0	0	0	0	Not Applicable	
5.7	Prolie view (PartProlie Sileets)	Length (Miles)	0.00	Curbed	0	0	0	0	Not Applicable	
		Driveway Curb Return	0		0	0	0	0	Not Applicable	
0.0	Special Profiles	Intersection RR Xing	0		0	0	0	0	Not Applicable	
5.9	Sidewalk Profiles	Length (Miles)	0.00		0	0	0	0	Not Applicable	
5.10	Interchange Layout Sheet	Interchange	0	Standard 2 Levels	0	0	0	0	Not Applicable	
		-	0	Complex 3+ Levels	0	0	0	0	Not Applicable	
		Ramp Terminal	0		0	0	0	0	Not Applicable	
5.11	Details	Intersection Layout	0		0	0	0	0	Not Applicable	
		Special	0		0	0	0	0	Not Applicable	
5.12	Soil Survey Sheets		0		0	0	•	0	Not Applicable	
5.13	Cross Sections	Alignments	0		0	0	•	0	Not Applicable	
		TTC Notes	4		4	0	4	0	Develop one sheet to include TTCP Notes	
5.14	Temporary Traffic Control Plan	Length (Miles)	0.00	Below	0	0	•	0	Not Applicable	
		Critical Cross Sections	0		0	0	0	0	Not Applicable	
		TTC Details	4		8	0	8	0	Develop one sheet to include TTCP Details	
5.15	Utility Adjustment Sheets	Length (Miles)	0.00		0	0	0	0	Not Applicable	
5.16	Project Control Sheets		0		0	0	0	0	Not Applicable	
5.17	Utility Verification Data (SUE)		0		0	0	0	0	Not Applicable	
_		Roadway Pla	ins Technical I	Iours Subtotal	12	0	12	0		Please contact the Staff Hour Forms Manager below for further assistance.
5.18	Quality Assurance/Quality Control	%	1	5%	1	0	1	0		Ryan Buck, P.E. Project Management Support Engineer
5.19	Supervision	%	1 Beads	5%	1	0	1	0		Ryan.Buck@dot.state.fl.us
			Roadw	ay riaiis 10tai	14	0	14	Ornies to Summery Tel		(000)#14-9040
Estim	ator:		25	. Landscap	e Analysis	Staff Hou	้ง		Venetian Causeway - Landscape Services 422715-2-32-01	
-------	--	------------------------------	----------------	------------	----------------	------------	------------	-------------	---	
	Representing				Print Name				Signature / Date	
	FDOT District									
	Stantec			Kevi	n G. Mangan, I	Ϋ́Α				
ΝΟΤΕ	: Signature Block is optional, per District preferen	ice								
Task	Tack	Pr	oject Paramete	9r		Staff I	Hours		Documentation	
No.	- WORK	Description	Units	Complexity	Calculated	Department	Consultant	Nerrotiated	Provide documentation when negotiated hours differ from the calculated hours	
	What is the complexity of the planting plan?	(See Landscap	e Guidelines)	Mid	Calculator					
25.1	Data Collection	LS	-	Standard	24	0	24	0	Includes all research required to collect data necessary to complete design analysis.	
25.2	Outdoor Advertising Assessment	Sign Structure	0		0	0	0	0	Not Applicable	
25.3	Master Design File Setup (Base Files)	Ŀs	-	Standard	28	o	24	0	Setup master design files which may include: acquiring CADD files from outside sources if no survey is performed as part of the project, converting drawing files from Microstation to AutoCAD or vice versa, creating base CADD files from a variety of sources, and acquiring additional information from lighting, utilities, ITS, signage/pavement markings, drainage, maintenance, etc.	
		Length (Miles)	2.67	Mid	86	0	80	0	Includes identification of receiving site opportunities and constraints for the proposed projects based on existing site conditions.	
25.4	Site Inventory and Analysis	Area Projects Area (Acre)	0.00	Mid	o	o	o	0	Not Applicable	
י ער	landscane Onnortunity Plan	Mainline Length (Miles)	0.00		0	0	0	0	Not Applicable	
20.0	Lanuscape Oppontunity Fian	Area Projects Area (Acre)	0.00		0	0	0	0	Not Applicable	
		Report Preparation	•	Mid	0	0	•	0	Not Applicable	
25.6	Conceptual Planting Design	Mainline Length (Miles)	0.00	Mid	0	0	0	0	Not Applicable	
		Area Projects Area (Acre)	0.00	Mid	0	0	•	0	Not Applicable	
25.7	Final Planting Design	Mainline Length (Miles)	2.67	Mid	321	0	232	0	Final Planting Design includes all work in master design files. Planting design includes the new plants, donor site data, and the development of receiving site placement of relocated plants (includes species/type, size, location).	
20.1	n mai n rahuniy ncesiyin	Area Projects Area (Acre)	0.00	Mid	0	0	0	0	Not Applicable	
		Feasibility Report	0		0	0	0	0	Not Applicable	
25.8	Conceptual Irrigation Design	Mainline Length (Miles)	0.00		0	0	•	0	Not Applicable	
		Area Projects	•		0	0	•	0	Not Applicable	
25.9	Final Irrigation Design	Mainline (per mile)	0.00		0	0	•	0	Not Applicable	
	ç	Area Projects	•		0	0	0	0	Not Applicable	
25 10	Conceptual Hardscape Design	Report Preparation	•		0	0	•	0	Not Applicable	
		LS	•		0	0	•	0	Not Applicable	
25.11	Final Hardscape Design	LS	•		0	0	•	0	Not Applicable	

	0	630	0	740	Analysis Total	Landscape			
Includes all efforts to coordinate internally with survey, geotechnical, drainage, structures, lighting, roadway, signals, utilities, rail road, permits, schedules, specifications, bicycle/ pedestrian/ transit, landscape architec traffic operations, and other Department offices to produce a final set of construction documents.	•	19	0	22	3%	-	ß	5.20 Coordination	25.20
	0	170	0	178	hnical Subtotal	ysis Non-Tec	andscape Anal		
Includes travel time for trips to field to obtain data necessary for design. Included for planting, imgation, and hardscape design. Based on number of staff per field review X (length of time (hours) on site per staff per field review + travel ti (hours) per staff per field review).	0	96	•	96			ĿS	5.19 Field Reviews (listed below)	25.19
	0	8	0	8			Travel Time		
Includes coordination meetings with other disciplines (Permit preparation and application; Utilities; Traffic Control; Architecture; Roadway; Structures; Drainage; Lighting; ITS)	0	20	0	20		10	Meetings	5.18 Landscape Meetings (listed below)	25.18
	0	23	0	27	5%	1	LS	5.17 Supervision	25.17
	0	23	0	27	5%	1	LS	5.16 Quality Assurance/Quality Control	25.16
	0	441	0	540	hnical Subtotal	Analysis Tec	Landscape		
Inclusion of a design layout plan for coordination with County and other stakeholders regarding potential receiving site areas and to provide a design that reasonably presents a unified design/appearance. (24 hrs). Preparation of up to (1) color plan exhibit depicting proposed landscape design/layout (40 hrs).	0	64	0	64			LS	5.15 Other Landscape Services	25.15
One (1) minor modification to existing TSPs and MSPs for plant relocation only.	0	12	0	12		N	TSPs & MSPs	5.14 Technical or Modified Special Provisions	25.14
Not Applicable	0	0	0	0	Mid	0	LRE Updates		
Prepare one (1) final cost estimate for the receiving sites to be included with relocation plan submittals.	0	5	0	5	Mid	1	Engineer Estimate	5.13 Cost Estimates	25.13
Not Applicable	0	0	0	0	Mid	0	ſS	5.12 Landscape Quantities for EQ Report	25.12

26. Landscape Plans

Estim	ator:			26. Landsca	ape Plans S	taff Hours			Venetian Causeway - Landscape Services 422713-2-32-01
	Representing				Print Name				Signature / Date
	FDOT District								
	Stantec			Kevi	n G. Mangan, P	νLA			
NOTE	: Signature Block is optional, per District preferen	ICe							
Task	Teat	d	roject Paramet	ər		Staff I	Hours		Documentation
No.	1997	Description	Units	Complexity	Calculated	Dopartmont	Concultant	Nonotistod	Describe descenses tables when sometisted heres differ from the solutional heres
	What is the complexity of the planting plan?	(See Landscap	pe Guidelines)	Low	Calculated	Debar timetric	Conscitant	negonated	רוסיוסי עסעווופוומוסוו אופוו ופּעטומיט ווסטי טוופן ווסוו נופ סמטומיט ווסטי.
200	Key Sheet	LS	1		4	0	4	0	Includes creating and delivering the Key Sheet & Signature Sheet.
20.	Signature Sheet	LS	1		2	0	2	0	Includes creating and delivering the Key Sheet & Signature Sheet.
26.2	Plant Schedule (Sheet no longer produced)								
26.3	General Notes/Pay Item Notes	ي م	-	Standard	۵	•	œ	o	Includes creating and delivering the General and Pay Item Notes Sheet.
26.4	Planting Plans For Linear Areas	Linear Project Length (Miles)	2.67	Low	38	0	36	0	Includes creating and delivering the Donor Stte Disposition Plans and proposed Planting Plans and Tabulation Sheets for Linear Areas at the receiving sites.
26.5	Planting Plans for Non-Linear Areas (Stormwater Facilities, Rest Areas, Interchanges, & Toll Plazas)	Area Projects Area (Acre)	0.00	Low	0	0	0	0	Not Applicable
26.6	Planting Details	Details	0		0	0	0	0	Not Applicable
26.7	Irrigation Plans for Linear Areas	Linear Project Length (Miles)	0.00		0	•	•	•	Not Applicable
26.8	Irrigation Plans for Non-Linear Areas (Stormwater Facilities, Rest Areas, Interchanges, & Toll Plazas)	Area Projects Area (Acre)	0.00		0	0	•	•	Not Applicable
26.9	Irrigation Details	Details	0		0	0	•	•	Not Applicable
26.10	Hardscape Plans		0		0	•	•	•	Not Applicable
26.11	Maintenance Plan		0		0	0	0	0	Not Applicable
		Landscape PI	ans Technical I	Hours Subtotal	53	0	50	0	
26.12	Quality Assurance/Quality Control	%	-	5%	ω	0	ω	0	
26.13	Supervision	%	1	5%	ω	0	ы	0	
			26. Landsca	pe Plans Total	59	0	56	0	

CONCH SURVEY – STANTEC

Financial Project Identification Number: 422713-2-32-01

Name of Prime / Subconsultant: Stantec Consulting Services Inc.

Begin Milepost: ____

Project Description: Venetian Causeway Bridge - Final Design Amendment for Queen Conch Survey

End Milepost:

Project Length:

Miles

Federal Aid Project Identification Number:

County: Miami Dade County

MDC041	

Number of Lanes:	Typical Se	ction: (Urban / Rural / Int.)	Lane Configuration:	(Divided / Undivided)
Project Type:	(Minor / Major)	Access Management Classification:	Roadway Classification:	(NHS/FIHS/Off Sys.)
CAP Level:		TCP Level:		
Design Variations: 1		Design Exceptions: 1.	1	
2		2		
ω		ω		
4		4.		
1 01		5.		
Proposed Design Contract Time:	months/days	Date of Negotiation:		
	Est	imated By:	Negotiated By: (nai	me - firm)
	Consultant	FDOT	Consultant	FDOT
3. Project Common & Project General Tasks	Enter name & firm	Enter name & office	Enter name & firm	Enter name & office
4. Roadway Analysis	Enter name & firm	Enter name & office	Enter name & firm	Enter name & office
5. Roadway Plans	Enter name & firm	Enter name & office	Enter name & firm	Enter name & office
6a. Drainage Analysis	Enter name & firm	Enter name & office	Enter name & firm	Enter name & office
7. Utilities	Enter name & firm	Enter name & office	Enter name & firm	Enter name & office
8. Environmental Permits	Stantec	Enter name & office	Enter name & firm	Enter name & office
9. Structures Summary	Enter name & firm	Enter name & office	Enter name & firm	Enter name & office
10. Structures - Bride Development Report	Enter name & firm	Enter name & office	Enter name & firm	Enter name & office
11. Structures - Temporary Bridge	Enter name & firm	Enter name & office	Enter name & firm	Enter name & office
13 Structures - Medium Span Concrete	Enter name & firm	Enter name & office	Enter name & firm	Enter name & office
14. Structures - Structural Steel	Enter name & firm	Enter name & office	Enter name & firm	Enter name & office
15. Structures - Segmental Concrete	Enter name & firm	Enter name & office	Enter name & firm	Enter name & office
16. Structures - Movable Span	Enter name & firm	Enter name & office	Enter name & firm	Enter name & office
17. Structures - Retaining Walls	Enter name & firm	Enter name & office	Enter name & firm	Enter name & office
19. Signing and Pavement Marking Analysis	Enter name & firm	Enter name & office	Enter name & firm	Enter name & office
20. Signing and Pavement Marking Plans	Enter name & firm	Enter name & office	Enter name & firm	Enter name & office
21. Signalization Analysis	Enter name & firm	Enter name & office	Enter name & firm	Enter name & office
22. Signalization Plans	Enter name & firm	Enter name & office	Enter name & firm	Enter name & office
23. Lighting Plans	Enter name & firm	Enter name & office	Enter name & firm	Enter name & office
25. Landscape Architecture Analysis	Enter name & firm	Enter name & office	Enter name & firm	Enter name & office
26. Landscape Architecture Plans	Enter name & firm	Enter name & office	Enter name & firm	Enter name & office
27. Survey	Enter name & firm	Enter name & office	Enter name & firm	Enter name & office
28. Photogrammetry	Enter name & tirm	Enter name & office	Enter name & firm	Enter name & office
30. Terrestrial Mobile LiDAR	Enter name & firm	Enter name & office	Enter name & firm	Enter name & office
31. Architecture Development	Enter name & firm	Enter name & office	Enter name & firm	Enter name & office
32. Noise Barriers Impact Design Assessment	Enter name & firm	Enter name & office	Enter name & firm	Enter name & office
33. Intelligent Transportation Systems Analysis	Enter name & firm	Enter name & office	Enter name & firm	Enter name & office
34. Intelligent Transportation Systems Plans	Enter name & firm	Enter name & office	Enter name & firm	Enter name & office

Project Staff Hour Summary

Name of Consultant:

•

auseway Bridge - Final Design Amendment for Queen Conch Survey

								Proiect S	taff Hours						
Activity	Activity	Enter Firm	Enter Name Sub 1	Sub 2	Sub 3	Sub 4	Sub 5	Sub 6	Sub 7	Sub 8	Sub 9	Sub 10	Sub 11	Sub 12	Tota
ω	Project Common and General Tasks	0													
4	Roadway Analysis	0													
ъ	Roadway Plans	0													
6a	Drainage Analysis	0													
6b	Drainage Plans	0													
7	Utilities	0													
8	Env. Permits, Compliance & Clearances	892													
9	Structures - Summary, Misc. Tasks, Dwgs.	0													
10	BDR	0													
11	Temporary Bridge	0													
12	Short Span Concrete Bridge	0													
13	Medium Span Concrete Bridge	0													
14	Structural Steel Bridge	0													
15	Segmental Concrete Bridge	0													
16	Movable Span	0													
17	Retaining Walls	0													
18	Miscellaneous Structures	0													
19	Signing & Pavement Marking Analysis	0													
20	Signing & Pavement Marking Plans	0													
21	Signalization Analysis	0													
22	Signalization Plans	0													
23	Lighting Analysis	0													
24	Lighting Plans	0													
25	Landscape Architecture Analysis	0													
26	Landscape Architecture Plans	0													
27	Survey - Field and Office Support	0													
28	Photogrammetry	0													
29	Mapping	0													
30	Terrestrial Mobile LiDAR	0													
31	Architecture Development	0													
32	Noise Barriers Impact Design Assessment	0													
33	ITS Analysis	0													
34	ITS Plans	0													
35	Geotechnical	0													
	Project Total	892	,		5	,			,	0	0	0	•	,	ſ
1			0	0	ç	0	0	0	0	•			0	0	

Staff hours for subconsultants are to be entered manually into columns D through O.
 For workbooks prepared by subconsultants, their project hours will be totaled in column C.

ESTIMATE OF WORK EFFORT FOR TECHNICAL PROPOSALS - FIRM TOTAL

Financial Project Number:	422713-2-32	-01										Pr	oject Name:	Venetian Cau	useway Bridg∉	Final Design
FAP Number:	0								Date:	11/1/2024	I	Name of	Consultant:	Stantec Cons	sulting Service	35 Inc.
WORK	Hours from "Summary" sheet					EMPL	OYEE CLASSIFIC	ATION						TO STAFF	TAL HOURS	ON CADD
	Firm Total	Chief Scientist	Senior Specialist	Senior Scientist	Environment lal Specialist	Scientist	Clerical	Staff Classi- fication 7	Staff Classi- fication 8	Staff Classi- fication 9	Staff Classi- fication 10	Staff Classi- fication 11	Staff Classi- fication 12	RAN	NGE	
3. Project General and Project Common Tasks	0 Hours	Hours 0	Hours 0	Hours 0	Hours 0	Hours 0	Hours 0	0 Hours	Hours 0	Hours 0	Hours 0	Hours 0	Hours 0	0	0	PERCENT
4. Roadway Analysis	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
5. Roadway Plans	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
6a. Drainage Analysis	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
6b. Drainage Plans	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
7. Utilities	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
8. Environmental Permits, Compliance & Clearances	892	18	0	27	491	357	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	893	982	
9. Structures - Misc. Tasks, Dwgs, Non-Tech.	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
10. Structures - Bridge Development Report	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
11. Structures - Temporary Bridge	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
12. Structures - Short Span Concrete Bridge	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
13. Structures - Medium Span Concrete Bridge	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
14. Structures - Structural Steel Bridge	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
15. Structures - Segmental Concrete Bridge	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
16. Structures - Movable Span	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
17. Structures - Retaining Walls	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
18. Structures - Miscellaneous	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
19. Signing & Pavement Marking Analysis	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
20. Signing & Pavement Marking Plans	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
21. Signalization Analysis	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
22. Signalization Plans	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
23. Lighting Analysis	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
24. Lighting Plans	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
25. Landscape Architecture Analysis	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
26. Landscape Architecture Plans	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
27. Survey (Field & Office Support)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
28. Photogrammetry	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
29. Mapping	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
30. Terrestrial Mobile LiDAR	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
31. Architecture Development	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
32. Noise Barriers Impact Design Assessment	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
33. Intelligent Transportation Systems Analysis	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
34. Intelligent Transportation Systems Plans	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
35. Geotechnical	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
TOTALS	892	18	0	27	491	357	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	893	982	

Page 3 of 6

Field Survey Estimate: 0 ____4-man crew days

FIRM TOTAL 893 982

Notes:

This worksheet provides the distribution of a <u>Imm's total</u> staff hours for a project.
 Precentages for staff hour distribution by dassification are entered below in rows 63 to 96 of this sheet.
 Total Staff Hours (column 0) may not match staff hours from Summary worksheet (column B) due to rounding. Staff hours calculated for employee dassifications are to be adjusted so totals in columns B and O match.
 Formulas under "Total Staff Hours Range" (column 0 & P) may be adjusted to provide desired range.

ESTIMATE OF WORK EFFORT FOR TECHNICAL PROPOSALS - FIRM TOTAL

Financial Project Number: 422713-2-32-01

FAP Number:

Date: 11/1/2024

Project Name Venetian Causeway Bridge - Final Design

Structure		-		•						Date:	11/1/2024	•	Name o		Stanted Coris
				Ş	taff Hour Di	istribution P	ercentages	s - Firm Tot	a						
Speed Gamma and Paylet Commit Task 0 0.00		Hours from "Summary" sheet Firm Total	Chief Scientist	Senior Specialist	Senior Scientist	Environment lal Specialist	Scientist	Clerical	Staff Classi- fication 7	Staff Classi- fication 8	Staff Classi- fication 9	Staff Classi- fication 10	Staff Classi- fication 11	Staff Classi- fication 12	Total
A Radawi Yahayi G O.M.	3. Project General and Project Common Tasks	0	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.00%
S. Brandsy Prime O OM	4. Roadway Analysis	0	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.00%
Ga. Damage Antwyser G. D. Mange Antwyser G. D. Mang	5. Roadway Plans	0	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.00%
Balanage Plans G G, Manage Plans G G, Manage Plans G, M	6a. Drainage Analysis	0	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.00%
J. Vulsies Oppolane A. Guannee Oppolanee	6b. Drainage Plans	0	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.00%
Eleminante Penets, Compliance À Colearnes 682 2.000 3.000 6.000	7. Utilities	0	%0.0	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	%0.0	%0.0	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.00%
Shuctare, Meig, Taels, More, Teals, 0 0.0%	8. Environmental Permits, Compliance & Clearances	892	2.0%	0.0%	3.0%	55.0%	40.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	100.00%
11 Structures - Bridge Devidement Report 0 0.0%	9. Structures - Misc. Tasks, Dwgs, Non-Tech.	0	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.00%
11. Structures - Tempory Bidge 0 0.05 <t< th=""><th>10. Structures - Bridge Development Report</th><th>0</th><th>0.0%</th><th>0.0%</th><th>0.0%</th><th>0.0%</th><th>0.0%</th><th>0.0%</th><th>0.0%</th><th>0.0%</th><th>0.0%</th><th>0.0%</th><th>0.0%</th><th>0.0%</th><th>0.00%</th></t<>	10. Structures - Bridge Development Report	0	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.00%
12. Structures - Stort Syan Concrete Bridge 0 0.0% <th>11. Structures - Temporary Bridge</th> <th>0</th> <th>0.0%</th> <th>0.00%</th>	11. Structures - Temporary Bridge	0	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.00%
13. Structures - Mediur Sand - Orice Bridge 0 0.06 <th>12. Structures - Short Span Concrete Bridge</th> <th>0</th> <th>0.0%</th> <th>0.00%</th>	12. Structures - Short Span Concrete Bridge	0	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.00%
14. Structures Structures Structures	13. Structures - Medium Span Concrete Bridge	0	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.00%
16. Structures - Segmental Concrete Bridge 0 0.0% <th>14. Structures - Structural Steel Bridge</th> <th>0</th> <td>0.0%</td> <td>0.00%</td>	14. Structures - Structural Steel Bridge	0	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.00%
Id. Structures - Novale Span 0 0.0% <th< th=""><th>15. Structures - Segmental Concrete Bridge</th><th>0</th><td>0.0%</td><td>0.0%</td><td>0.0%</td><td>0.0%</td><td>0.0%</td><td>0.0%</td><td>0.0%</td><td>0.0%</td><td>0.0%</td><td>0.0%</td><td>0.0%</td><td>0.0%</td><td>0.00%</td></th<>	15. Structures - Segmental Concrete Bridge	0	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.00%
17.Structures - Retaining Valls00.0% <th>16. Structures - Movable Span</th> <th>0</th> <td>0.0%</td> <td>0.00%</td>	16. Structures - Movable Span	0	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.00%
19. Structures - Macelianeous 0 0.0%	17. Structures - Retaining Walls	0	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.00%
19. Signing & Pavement Marking Analysis 0 0.0%	18. Structures - Miscellaneous	0	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.00%
20. Signing & Pavement Marking Plans 0 0.0%	19. Signing & Pavement Marking Analysis	0	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.00%
21. Signalization Analysis 0 0.0% 0.	20. Signing & Pavement Marking Plans	0	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.00%
22 Signalization Plans 0 0.0% </th <th>21. Signalization Analysis</th> <th>0</th> <td>0.0%</td> <td>0.00%</td>	21. Signalization Analysis	0	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.00%
21. Liphting Analysis 0 0.0% <th>22. Signalization Plans</th> <th>0</th> <td>0.0%</td> <td>0.00%</td>	22. Signalization Plans	0	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.00%
24. Lighting Plans 0 0.0%	23. Lighting Analysis	0	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.00%
25. Landscape Architecture Analysis 0 0.0%	24. Lighting Plans	0	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.00%
26. Landscape Architecture Plans 0 0.0%	25. Landscape Architecture Analysis	0	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.00%
27. Survey (Field & Office Support) 0 0.0%	26. Landscape Architecture Plans	0	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.00%
28. Phologrammetry 0 0.0%	27. Survey (Field & Office Support)	0	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.00%
29. Mapping 0 0.0%	28. Photogrammetry	0	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	%0.0	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.00%
30. Terrestrial Mobile LiDAR 0 0.0%	29. Mapping	0	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.00%
31. Architecture Development 0 0.0%	30. Terrestrial Mobile LiDAR	0	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.00%
32. Noise Barriers Impact Design Assessment 0 0.0% <td< th=""><th>31. Architecture Development</th><th>0</th><td>0.0%</td><td>0.0%</td><td>0.0%</td><td>0.0%</td><td>0.0%</td><td>0.0%</td><td>0.0%</td><td>0.0%</td><td>0.0%</td><td>0.0%</td><td>0.0%</td><td>0.0%</td><td>0.00%</td></td<>	31. Architecture Development	0	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.00%
33. Intelligent Transportation Systems Analysis 0 0.0%	32. Noise Barriers Impact Design Assessment	0	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.00%
34. Intelligent Transportation Systems Plans 0 0.0% </th <th>33. Intelligent Transportation Systems Analysis</th> <th>0</th> <td>0.0%</td> <td>0.00%</td>	33. Intelligent Transportation Systems Analysis	0	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.00%
35. Geotechnical 0 0.0% 0.0% 0.0% 0.0% 0.0% 0.0% 0.0% 0	34. Intelligent Transportation Systems Plans	0	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.00%
	35. Geotechnical	0	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.00%

Page 6 of 5

GRAND TOTAL ESTIMATED FEE:

\$114,075.56

									ļ											-	M	Đ	C)()4	5															
\$0.00 \$93,275.56 \$20,800.00	\$0.00	\$93,275.56	\$2,629.15	\$4,691.68	\$181.22	\$9,205.02	\$47,802.81	\$28,765.68		\$32.21		#DIV/01		#DIV/0	#DIV/0!	\$32.21	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!																						
	/ day								\$28,765.68	\$28,765.68	ę	é é	÷ć	÷ 5	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$28,766	\$0	\$0	\$0
	с я ,								Check =		893		, c	, a	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	893	0	0	0
	4-man crew da		5.50%	16.31%	0.63%	32%	166%			\$0.00	0	0 0) a	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
s∗ E:	0	ü			Money):					\$0.00	0		, c	> a	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
ield and Lab Te STIMATED FEI LE EXPENSES		STIMATED FEI			es Capital Cost	ARGIN:		ATED COSTS:		\$0.00	0		, c	> a	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Geotechnical F SUBTOTAL E: REIMBURSAB	Survey (Field)	SUBTOTAL E	CDAF	EXPENSES:	FCCM (Facilitie	OPERATING N	OVERHEAD:	SALARY REL/		\$0.00	0		o c	> a	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
										\$0.00	0		o c	, a	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
										\$0.00	0	0 0	o c) a	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
										\$0.00	0		o c) a	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
										5.10	7																											7			

Total Staff Hours Total Staff Cost

\$0.00

,556.0

\$8,67

Notes: 1. This sheet to be used by Subconsultant to calculate its fee.

FAP No.: County: Name of Project: Photogrammetry 24. Lighting Plans 23. Lighting Analysis 22. Signalization Plans 21. Signalization Analysis 20. Signing & Pavement Marking Plans 32. Noise Barriers Impact Design Assessment 30. Terrestrial Mobile LiDAR 26. Landscape Architecture Plans 25. Landscape Architecture Analysis Signing & Pavement Marking Analysis 18. Structures - Miscellaneous 17. Structures - Retaining Walls 16. Structures - Movable Span 15. Structures - Segmental Concrete Bridge 14. Structures - Structural Steel Bridge Structures - Medium Span Concrete Bridge 12. Structures - Short Span Concrete Bridge Structures - Temporary Bridge 10. Structures - Bridge Development Report 6b. Drainage Plans 6a. Drainage Analysis . Structures - Misc. Tasks, Dwgs, Non-Tech. . Environmental Permits, Compliance & Clearances . Roadway Plans . Roadway Analysis . Project General and Project Common Tasks Utilities . Architecture Development . Mapping . Survey (Field & Office Support) Intelligent Transportation Systems Plans Intelligent Transportation Systems Analysis Geotechnica Staff Classification Hours From "SH Summary -Firm" 422713-2-32-01 Miami Dade County Venetian Causeway Bridge - Final Design Amendment for Queen Conch Survey 1/0/1900 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 Chief Scientist Specialist Senior Scientist Senior N C Environmenti al Specialist c Scientist 0 0 Clerical 0 0 0 Staff Classification 7 0 0 0 Staff Classi-fication 8 0 0 Staff Classification 9 0 0 0 Staff Classi-fication 10 0 0 0 Staff Classi-fication 11 0 0 c Consultant Name: Stantec Consulting Services Inc. Consultant No.: Staff Classi-fication 12 Estimator: 0 0 0 Date: 11/1/2024 enter consultants proj. number Activit . . . 망위 Salary Cost By Activity \$ \$ \$

FPN:

ESTIMATE OF WORK EFFORT AND COST - SUBCONSULTANT

Average Rate Per Task

#DIV/0! #DIV/0! #DIV/0!

Project Activity 8: Environmental Permits

ator:	Nicole	Carter/Stantec	

8.2.4 Archeological Surveys

8.3 Agency Verification of Wetland Data

8.6 Prepare USCG Permit Sketches

Prepare Tree Permit Information

8.11 Mitigation Coordination and Meetings

Environmental Clearances/Reevaluations 8.13 Technical support to Department for Environm consultant provides technical support only)

8.14 Preparation of Environmental Clearances and Reeva all documents associated with reevaluation)

8.12 Other Environmental Permits

8.13.1 NEPA or SEIR Reevaluation

8.13.3 Wetland Impact Analysis

8.13.4 Essential Fish Habitat

8.14.1 NEPA or SEIR Reevalua

8.14.3 Wetland Impact Analysis

8.14.4 Essential Fish Habitat

8.16 Asbestos Survey

8.17 Technical Meetings

8.20 Coordination

NMFS USACE

JSCG

USFWS

FFWCC

FDOT

Other Meetings (DERM)

Subtotal Technical Meetings

Progress Meetings (if required by FDOT) Phase Review Meetings Total Meetings

8.13.2 Archaeological and Historical Features

8.13.5 Wildlife and Habitat Impact Analysis

8.13.6 Section 7 or Section 10 Consultation

8.14.2 Archaeological and Historical Features

8.14.5 Wildlife and Habitat Impact Analysis

8.14.6 Section 7 or Section 10 Consultation

8.15 Contamination Impact Analysis

8.18 Quality Assurance/Quality Control

8.19 Supervision Environmental Permits, Complian

Technical Meetings

8.10 Mitigation Design

8.9

 8.4
 Complete And Submit All Required Permit Applications

 8.4.1
 Complete and Submit All Required Wetland Permit Applications

 8.4.2
 Complete and Submit All Required Species Permit Applications

8.5 Prepare Dredge and Fill Sketches (as needed)

 8.7 Prepare Water Management District Right-of-Way Occupancy Permit
 8.8 Prepare Coastal Construction Control Line (CCCL) Permit Application LS

Units

EA

EA EA

EA

EA

EA

EA EA

EA

EA

Cleara

Environmental Permits, Compliance, and Clearances/Reevaluations Technical Subtotal

ns (use

1

1

1

1

1

1

1

1

1

1

1

1

1

1

1

1

1

n cor

1

1

1

1

1

1

1

1

%

%

%

0

0

0

0

0

No of Units Hours/ Unit

onmental Clearances and Reevaluations (use wh

0

0

0

0

0

0

0

0

0

0

0

0

prepare

0

0

0

0

0

0

0

0

0%

0%

al Subto

0%

0

0

0

0

0

0

0

0

0

0

0

0

0

0

0

0

0

0

0

0

0

0

0

0

0

0

0

0

0

0

0

0 892

0

0

0

0

0 892 Total Hours

0

0

0

0

0

0

0

0

0

0

PM Attendance at Meeting Required?

no

no

no

no

no

no

PM attendance at Progress Meetings is manually entered on General Task 3 PM attendance at Phase Review Meetings is manually entered on General Task

no

Subtotal Project Manager Meetings

Total Project Manager Meetings (carries to Tab 3)

Estim

Venetian Causeway 422713-2-32-01

	Representing		Print	Name		Signature / Date
	Miami Dade County					
	(EAC prime) Stantec		Nicole	Carter		
NOTE	Signature Block is optional, per District preferen	ice				
Task No.	Task	Units	No. of Units	Hours/ Units	Total Hours	Comments
	Environmental Permits, Compliances and Cleara	ances				
8.1	Preliminary Project Research	LS	1	0	0	
	Permits					
8.2	Field Work					
8.2.1	Pond Site Alternatives	per pond site	0	0	0	
8.2.2	Establish Wetland Jurisdictional Lines and Assessments	LS	1	0	0	
8.2.3	Species Surveys	LS	1	892	892	Surveys for presense labeance of quiene conch during gedechnical activities for Bridges 2-13 (including the tangorary bridge) is accostance with the UBACE permit conditions. It is anticipated that a weekly survey covering a 15 hm radius accurs of a set bridge with the UBACE permit conditions. It is anticipated that a weekly survey covering the weekly accurs and the table with the conclusted for the durinos of chilling activities in the water. Based on the workplan discussed with Gecool and Nova. both firms will each deploy one ing at a time with 2 active days to complete per boring (BPT and rock core), we have assumed a total of 28 weekly surveys beginning 11/42/4 and 3 additional surveys for verse thereirequipment or other unforseen dealy. We assume 3 staff. Xitral day for each survey pueried. This time also includes preparation time and accordination with the driller to downnet fast an exceled throughout the survey period. This time also includes preparation of a final report documenting surveys results. We anticipate weekly surveys between early November 2024 and early May 2025.

Startec ENV_VenetianCswy FINAL DES_SHE_Amend-Conch survey 10232024rev.xlsx 8. Environmental Permits	

Number

0

4

4

WASD FORCEMAIN - EAC



August 20, 2024 Revised November 18, 2024

Gabriel Delgado, P.E, PMP Project Manager Highway Bridge Engineering Miami-Dade Department of Transportation and Public Works 701 N.W. 1st Court, Suite 1500, Miami FL 33136

Re: Contract No.: C9620 / PW Project No.: 20210011 / EAC Project No.: 21039.PD01-01
 Venetian Causeway Bridge Replacement Final Design
 Bridge 2 (874460) – 6-inch Force Main Removal and Replacement

Dear Mr. Delgado:

Pursuant to Miami-Dade Water and Sewer Department's (MD-WASD) request, EAC Consulting, Inc (EAC) respectfully submits the attached scope and fee proposal to undertake the requisite services to complete the engineering design, jurisdictional permitting/approvals, limited bid/procurement support services and post design services for the proposed replacement 6-inch diameter force main pipeline.

Our services on this Task Order will be supported by sub consultants as follows:

- *Manny Vera & Associates Inc. (MGV)* for Topography Surveying & Mapping and Bathymetry Surveying. Please refer to the attached Scope and Fee proposal from MGV.
- *Geosol (GEOS) (Miami Dade County ISD Certified SBE*) for geotechnical engineering services. Please refer to the attached Scope and Fee proposal from GEOS.

Please note that it is our understanding that Environmental, Roadways Plans, Maintenance of Traffic and related Studies and/or Assessments, are not required for this Task.

For any questions or clarifications regarding the scope of services, schedule or estimated project fees, please feel free to contact me at (305) 265-5400 or by e-mail at egarces@eacconsult.com.

Sincerely,

EAC Consulting, Inc

Eduardo A. Garces, RE., PMP, ENV SP Project Manager

eacconsult.com

Encl. (5):Attachment 1 – Summary Proposal SheetAttachment 2 – Scope of ServicesAttachment 3 – Level of Effort (LOE) / Fee Estimate TabulationAttachment 4 – EAC Consulting, Inc Truth in Negotiation CertificationAttachment 5 – Project Role FormAttachment 6 – Wage Letter CertificationAttachment 7 – Anticipated Project ScheduleAttachment 8 – Sub Consultants Fee Proposals

Cc: Huntley Higgins, P.E. (EAC), File

ATTACHMENT 1

Summary Proposal Sheet



SUMMARY PROPOSAL SHEET

SCOPE OF SERVICES

The Scope of Services for this project is to provide engineering services to the Miami-Dade Water and Sewer Department for the installation of a replacement 6-inch force main along Venetian Causeway Bridge #2 (Bridge No. 874460).

The services covered under this Task Authorization Includes field investigations, topographic surveys, engineering design, development of construction documents (plans & specifications), permitting & jurisdictional approvals, support services during procurement and post design services. See detail **Attachment 2** for additional details.

SCHEDULE

A detailed design and construction schedule is included in **Attachment 5.** Our schedule includes the following milestones shown below:

Task No.	Description	Anticipated Completion Date
1.0	Field Investigations and Data Collection	01/17/2025
2.1	30% Design	01/20/2024
2.2	90% Design	02/14/2025
3.0	Permitting & Jurisdictional Approvals	10/07/2025
4.0	100% Design	10/15/2025

* Assumes Notice to Proceed (NTP) is issued on 09/09/2024

DELIVERABLES

Construction plans, technical specifications, permits, an Opinion of Probable Construction Costs (OPCC) and Bid Form.

FEE SCHEDULE

A detailed fee schedule is included in **Attachment 3**.

Task	Description	Total
No.		Fee
1.1	Topography Surveying & Mapping Engineering Services (LS)	\$4,273.73
1.2	Geotechnical Investigations Engineering Services (LS)	\$5,826.59
1.3	Field Reconnaissance Visits, Data Collection and Review(LS)	\$1,294.67
1.4	Subsurface Utility Engineering (SUE) / Engineering Services (LS)	\$5,324.77
2.1	30% Design (LS)	\$31,615.04
2.2	90% Design (LS)	\$52,599.70
3.1	Permitting & Jurisdictional Approvals (LS)	\$10,795.62
4.1	100% Bid Set (Issue for Bid) (LS)	\$10,911.22
5.1	Bid and Procurement Support Services	Not Included in SOW
5.2	Technical Support During Bid & Procurement (T&M)	\$20,522.78
5.3	Issue for Construction (IFC) Documents	Not Included in SOW
6.1	Construction Engineering Support (T&M)	\$39,435.59
EAC S	ubtotal	\$182,139.32
Topogr	aphy & Bathymetric Surveying (MGV)	\$28,650.80
Utility I	Locates (MGV)	\$9,237.14
Geoteo	chnical Services	\$19,987.84
Labor	Fees Subtotal	\$240,015.10
Reimb	ursable Expenses (NTE)	\$0.00
Gran	d Total	\$240,015.10

COMPENSATION

Our Engineering Fees will be **as shown above**. In accordance with the department's processes, any additional work in excess of the authorized amount will warrant a task revision. No work will be undertaken without an approved task revision. Lastly, monthly invoicing is required as stated in the contract.

ANTICIPATED REVISIONS

Not Applicable.

ATTACHMENT 2

Scope of Services



VENETIAN CAUSEWAY BRIDGE REPLACEMENT FINAL DESIGN

Miami-Dade County Department of Transportation and Public Works (MD-DTPW)

Contract No: C9620 PW Project No. 20210011 EAC Project No. 21039.WW01-01

BRIDGE #2 – 6-INCH FORCE MAIN REMOVAL AND REPLACEMENT

Owner:

Miami Dade Water & Sewer Department Utility Pipeline Engineering & Construction Division 3575 South LeJeune Road Miami, FL 33146

October 14, 2024



DEFINITIONS AND ACRONYMS

BMWS	Business Management Workforce System
CLIENT	Miami Dade County Department of Transportation and Public Works
DIPRA	Ductile Iron Pipe Research Association
EAC	EAC Consulting, Inc and its sub-consultants
FDOT	Florida Department of Transportation
IFB	Issued For Bid
IFC	Issued For Construction
IFP	Issued For Permit
HDD	Horizontal Directional Drill
MD-DPTW	Miami-Dade County Department of Transportation and Public Works
MD-RER	Miami-Dade County Department Regulatory and Economic Resources
MD-WASD	Miami-Dade County Water and Sewer Department
NTP	Notice to Proceed
PMP	Project Management Plan
PSA	Professional Services Agreement
UAO	Utility Agency Owner
USACE	USA Army Corps of Engineers

BACKGROUND AND PROJECT UNDERSTANDING

This project involves the design and preparation of construction documents for the removal of the existing 6-inch force main affixed to Venetian Causeway Bridge #2 (Bridge No. 874460) and the installation of a subaqueous replacement via HDD. Figure 1 below illustrates the project limits and graphical alignment of the proposed replacement 6-inch force main. The replacement pipe is anticipated to be a High-Density Polyethylene (HDPE) pipe, with a 6-inch internal diameter (DR 11), extending approximately 900 linear feet (LF).



Figure 1 - Conceptual 6" FM alignment

The scope of work will be performed in accordance with the PSA No. C9620 executed between Miami Dade County and EAC Consulting, Inc dated July 28, 2022.

The scope of work to be performed by EAC includes the following tasks.

- 1) Field Investigations and Data Collection
 - a) Surveying & Mapping
 - b) Geotechnical Investigations
 - c) Field Reconnaissance, Data Collection and Review
 - d) Subsurface Utility Engineering (SUE)
- 2) Development of Design Documents
- 3) Permitting & Jurisdictional Approvals
- 4) 100% Design Bid Set
- 5) Bid & Procurement Services
- 6) Post Design Services



SCOPE OF WORK (SOW)

Task 1 – Field Investigations and Data Collection

EAC shall perform services to coordinate and gather relevant field information such as data to be utilized for engineering design and the development of construction plans and technical specifications. Included in this task are EAC's efforts to perform all site reconnaissance, field reviews, data gathering and organization necessary to support the development of design.

Task 1.1 – Engineering Services: Topography and Bathymetric Surveying & Mapping

EAC shall coordinate the services of the project team's surveyor, MGV, which will be responsible for providing topography and bathymetric survey and mapping services. Refer to the attached Scope and Fee proposal from MGV for additional details. EAC shall review the completed survey and provide a copy for the review and approval of the MD-WASD surveying section.

Please note that MD-WASD shall provide EAC with a current list of surveying requirements prior to the commencement of the surveying activities.

Deliverables:

- 1) Topography Survey
- 2) Bathymetric Survey

Task 1.2 – Engineering Services: Geotechnical Engineering & Investigations Coordination

EAC shall coordinate the services of the project team's geotechnical engineering firm, GEOS, which will be responsible for providing geotechnical engineering services. Please refer to the attached Scope and Fee proposal from GEOS for additional details. EAC shall review the completed geotechnical engineering report and forward to MD-WASD for acceptance.

Deliverables:

1) Geotechnical Engineering Report

Task 1.3 – Field Reconnaissance and Data Collection Review

EAC shall perform field reconnaissance to become familiarized with the site and identify any constraints that may impede construction. EAC will request as-built records and other existing information, research permit records, undertake all due diligence activities and investigative efforts to retrieve and compile existing conditions information.

Specifically, EAC shall:

- 1) Perform one (1) site visit to the project site
- 2) Request and review as-built records
- 3) Contact utilities identified by Sunshine One Call
- 4) Request information on any planned utilities Planned Utilities from UAOs (within the corridor)
- 5) Request Planned Projects list / description (for upcoming projects within the corridor) from MD-DTPW and adjacent municipalities.
- 6) Meet with permitting agencies to ascertain and establish their concerns and expectations for the



project

Deliverables:

- 1) Preliminary list of permitting agencies
- 2) Preliminary list of utilities agencies within the project corridor
- 3) Project corridor picture records
- 4) PDF Electronic Files of all retrieved as-built information

Task 1.4 – Subsurface Utility Engineering (SUE) coordination

EAC shall coordinate the services of the project team's utility locating firm, MGV, which will be responsible for providing up to 10 Quality Level A Locates. Please refer to the attached Scope and Fee proposal from MGV for additional details. EAC shall review the completed utility locate report and forward to MD-WASD for acceptance.

Deliverables:

1) Utility locate report

Task 2 – Engineering Design Services

Task 2.1 – 30% Design Services

EAC shall undertake the 30% Design Services and prepare the 30% Design submittal & deliverables following established County guidelines and standards. The 30% Design shall be predicated on the recommendations of the Route Analysis effort and shall include a representation of the horizontal alignment of the proposed 6-inch pipeline overlaid on the topography survey base. The base survey shall include all pertinent survey data in addition to a horizontal projection of all existing utilities gathered during the Data Collection task.

EAC's 30% Design services shall encompass:

- 1) 30% Design Kick Off Meeting
- 2) Provide Design Calculations / Design Report (if applicable)
- 3) Perform Field Visits / Reviews
 - a) Documentation of Field Conditions
- 4) Ongoing Utility Coordination Efforts
- 5) Identification of Permit Requirements / Development of Permit Coordination Matrix
- 6) Initiate contacts with permitting agencies, including pre application meeting with MD-DTPW and MD-WASD.
- 7) Development of Design Drawings (Schematic Level)
 - a) General Project Information Sheets
 - i) General Notes
 - ii) SWPPP Notes and Details
 - iii) Horizontal Control Plan Sheets
 - iv) Existing Conditions Plans Sheets
 - b) Pipeline Alignment Plan Sheets
- 8) Recording of Correspondences between EAC, County and other affected interests
- 9) Opinion of Probable Construction Costs



- 10) Design Schedule
- 11) Develop Preliminary List of Permitting Agencies and Contact Persons

Deliverables:

- 1) 30% Design (Schematic) Plans
- 2) Preliminary Design Calculations Report
- 3) Approvals / Permit Status Log
- 4) Updated Project Design Schedule
- 5) Opinion of Probable Construction Costs reflecting 30% Design progress

Task 2.3 – 90% Design Services

EAC shall continue to the Development of Design phase by reviewing, responding, and implementing the comments received at the 30% Design phase. EAC shall perform the 90% Design Services requirements and prepare the 90% Design submittal & deliverables following established County guidelines and standards. The 90% Design shall reflect the horizontal alignment and vertical profile of the proposed 6-inch pipeline overlaid on the topography survey base horizontally and vertically. The profile of the proposed 6-inch pipeline will be updated to reflect the results of the SUE activities, resolving conflicts, and allowing for successful installation.

The 90% Design deliverable would serve as the permit set and will be considered the "Issued for Permitting (IFP)" set.

EAC's 90% Design services shall encompass:

- 1) Lead 90% Design Kick Off Meeting
- 2) Update Design Calculations / Design Report (where applicable)
- 3) Perform Supplemental Field Visits / Reviews
 - a) Documentation of Updated Field Conditions and impacts to work completed to date
- 4) Ongoing Utility Coordination Efforts Update Permit Coordination Matrix
 - a) Ongoing Coordination with Permitting Jurisdictions SUE / Soft Dig Locates Coordination
- 5) Develop Design Drawings (90% Design Development)
 - a) General Project Sheets
 - i) General Notes
 - ii) SWPPP Notes and Details
 - iii) Horizontal Control Plan Sheets
 - iv) Existing Conditions Plans Sheets
 - b) Pipeline Alignment Plan and Layout Sheets
 - i) Plan & Profile Sheets
 - ii) Special Details Sheets
 - c) Roadway Pavement Demolition Layout Plan Sheets
 - d) Roadway Pavement Restoration Plan Sheets, Sections and Details. Includes any pavement design needs required by responsible jurisdictions.
 - e) Pavement Marking Plan Sheets
- 6) Identification of Tree Removal Needs
- 7) Development Construction Specifications / Special Provisions



- a) Technical Specifications
- b) Special Provisions
- 8) Recording Correspondences between EAC, County and other affected interests
- 9) Update Opinion of Probable Construction Costs reflecting 90% Design progress Update Design Schedule Updated List of Permitting Agencies / Stakeholder Requirements

Deliverables:

- 1) 90% Design (IFP) Plans
- 2) Updated Design Calculations Report
- 3) Approvals / Permit Status Log
- 4) Updated Project Design Schedule
- 5) Updated Opinion of Probable Construction Costs
- 6) Updated Technical Specifications

Task 3 – Permitting and Jurisdictional Approvals

Utilizing the permit contacts and log developed as part of the Design Development phase, EAC shall coordinate with each respective jurisdiction directly to ensure that the plan reviews and approvals occur expeditiously. The permit log shall include the costs of securing approvals. These costs or permit fees shall be paid by MD-DPTW.

Task 3.1 – Permitting and Jurisdictional Approvals

EAC shall coordinate the process of submitting plans for dry run and final reviews of permitting agencies. During this process, it is anticipated that it may be necessary to set up pre-permit application meetings with some of these jurisdictions. EAC shall arrange and attend these meetings and will invite MD-DTPW as needed

For the purposes of this scope of services document, EAC has determined that the following jurisdictions will require review of the design plans:

- 1) Miami Dade County Department of Regulatory and Economic Resources (MD-RER)
 - a) Sewer Extension Permit
 - b) Class III Permit
- 2) US Army Corps of Engineers (USACE)
 - a) Right of Way Permit

It is assumed that the permits the USACE right-of-way and MD-RER Class II permits being procured as part of the Venetian Bridge Replacement Project will be expanded to include the proposed work under this contract.

Deliverables:

- 1) Meeting Agenda / Summaries with All Agencies
- 2) Permitting Agencies RFI Log
- 3) Updated 90% Design (IFP) Plans
- 4) Approvals / Permit Status Log



Task 4 – Final Design Services

EAC shall reassemble the plans set based on all approvals from the permitting and jurisdictional agencies.

Task 4.1 – Final Bid Set Drawings

EAC shall provide signed and sealed copies of the drawings, specifications and special provisions to MD-DPTW to be used during the bidding process. No changes are anticipated to the 100% Design set, unless otherwise requested by MD-DPTW. If made, EAC shall determine if the request constitutes a deviation from the permit set and if permitting needs to be re-undertaken. Furthermore, EAC shall determine if the deviation constitutes a redesign or a design amendment. In both cases, EAC shall coordinate with MD-DPTW to provide approval for amendment services.

Deliverables:

- 1) 100% Design (IFB) Plans
- 1) Final Technical Specifications
- 2) Final Special Provisions
- 3) Updated Opinion of Probable Construction Costs

Task 5 – Bid & Procurement Support Services

EAC shall offer limited support services to MD-DPTW during the procurement phase of the project.

Task 5.1 – Bid Document Compilation

It is assumed that this project is part of a much larger construction project. This task and related activities will be completed by the County's consultant in charge of said project. The task related to this activity include preparing a complete bid package, including the contract plans, specifications, and special provisions including general information / description of the work, special bidders' qualifications, clarification of work / discipline trades on the project, supplemental conditions, staging areas and other miscellaneous processes or supporting documentation needed to assemble a complete Bid Package.

Task 5.2 – Technical Support During Bid & Procurement

EAC shall continue ongoing support to MD-DPTW during the bid and procurement phase by making staff available to the County to serve as a technical resource. Our project personnel would attend a pre-bid meeting and provide needed technical assistance to the County to describe technical details to prospective bidders. EAC's technical support services during procurement includes the following specific tasks:

- 1) Attendance at Pre-Bid Meeting with MD-DPTW and the County (One Pre-Bid Meeting)
- 2) Respond to Bidders Inquiries and Requests for Clarification (Assumes 3 RFIs)
- 3) Assist with developing Addenda, as necessary (Assumes 3 Addenda)
- 4) Respond to MD-DPTW on prospective Bidders Qualifications and Experience after submittal of Bids. EAC shall not engage in services to verify Bidders experience or references.
- 5) Review submitted bids for irregularities as it pertains to the proposed work under this contract.
- 6) Issue Concurrence Memo for selected bidder



Deliverables:

- 1) Pre-Bid RFI Log with Responses to Questions
- 2) Bid Package Addenda (Contract Plans, Specifications & Special Provisions)
- 3) Concurrence Memorandum for selected bidder

Task 5.3 – Issue for Construction (IFC) Documents

It is assumed that this project is part of a much larger construction project. This task and related activities will be completed by the County's consultant in charge of said project. The task related to this activity include compilation all Addenda issued during the bid procurement phase and develop a set of conformed bid documents comprising Contract Plans, Technical Specifications and Special Provisions. The conformed bid set shall be the project's "Issue for Construction (IFC)" Documents.

Task 6 – Post Design Services

Task 6.1 – Construction Engineering Support

EAC shall offer limited scope of construction administration services with the goal of providing EAC with sufficient involvement during the construction period to facilitate engineering certification services, including shop drawing review, permit clearance, and certification for the project. To achieve this, the following tasks are being proposed:

- 1) EAC shall attend and participate in two (2) monthly construction progress meetings
- 2) EAC shall review and approve shop drawing submittals (up to 5 shop drawings)
- 3) EAC shall review and respond to RFIs (up to 3 RFIs)
- 4) EAC shall maintain a shop drawing and RFI log
- 5) EAC shall visit the site on a weekly basis to verify that the construction is proceeding in substantial conformance with the permitted plans and prepare field reports (based on 2-months)
- 6) EAC shall perform specialty site visits to witness field testing and prepare field report (up to 2 site visits).
- 7) EAC shall perform a final verification walk-through, once the County or its representative has made the determination that the contractor has achieved final completion.
- 8) EAC shall review certified as-builts prepared by a surveyor and mapper that is licensed to practice in the State of Florida and submitted by the Contractor. EAC shall provide comments as necessary until the as-builts are in an acceptable form.
- 9) EAC shall prepare record drawings based on certified as-builts.
- 10) EAC shall close-out existing design related permits.
- 11) EAC shall issue the County with a Certificate of Completion upon the completion of construction.

This task assumes a construction period for the scope described in this Task Order Authorization to not exceed 120 days.



ASSUMPTIONS RELATING TO THE SCOPE OF WORK

1) Electronic Deliverables

All deliverables will be provided electronically in PDF format. Additional file formats (e.g., AutoCAD or AutoCAD Civil 3D) will be supplied upon request. Hard copies will be provided if explicitly requested by MD-DPTW.

2) Quality Assurance and Standards Compliance

EAC will implement an internal Quality Assurance/Quality Control (QA/QC) process to ensure that the design adheres to MD-DPTW & MD-WASD applicable standards. The latest MD-DPTW and MD-WASD standard forms, contracts, and design criteria will be used.

3) Client Review and Approval Process

At each design milestone, MD-DPTW will review the submitted plans and provide consolidated comments within the timeframe specified in the project schedule. Any deviation from the assumed approved project schedule will necessitate a project schedule revision.

4) Scope Limitations for Design Amendments

Any requested changes to the 100% design that constitute deviations from the permitted set will be evaluated to determine if they require a design amendment or redesign. Permitting requirements for such changes will be confirmed with MD-DPTW.

5) Permitting and Review Timelines

EAC will make every effort to expedite the design process and address any review comments in a timely manner. However, EAC cannot guarantee agency review timelines or the duration of permit approvals.

6) Permitting Fees

All permit fees and associated costs for securing approvals will be covered by MD-DPTW. EAC will not be responsible for payment of any permit fees.

7) As-Built Information

The scope assumes MD-DPTW will provide available as-built record plans. EAC shall contact other utilities as necessary for as-built information, which may or may not be provided. EAC will rely on this information for design purposes. If discrepancies are found during the field investigation, EAC will employ best engineering judgment or recommend subsurface exploratory methods as necessary.

8) Construction Administration Services

EAC's scope does not include full construction administration services. Limited construction support services will be provided as outlined in Task 6. Any additional services would require a separate authorization.

9) Construction Period Assumptions

The assumed construction period for the described scope is 120 days. Any extension to this



timeline may require adjustments to the scope or additional services.

10) Utility and Infrastructure Improvement Limitations

The scope does not include upgrades, repairs, or design services for stormwater systems, potable water infrastructure, or sanitary sewer systems, except for the specified 6-inch force main replacement.

11) Private Property Improvements Exclusion

The scope of work does not include improvements or work related to private property. All design services will be limited to public right-of-way or county-owned property.

12) Utility Coordination Exclusions

The scope does not include coordination or relocation services for above-ground utilities such as electrical lines (FP&L) or communication cables. Any utility relocations will be addressed separately.

13) Geotechnical and SUE Services Exclusion

MD-DPTW is responsible for procuring geotechnical, and Subsurface Utility Engineering (SUE). EAC will coordinate with these consultants as needed.

14) Environmental Services Exclusion

The project scope does not include environmental permitting, wetland studies, contamination assessments, or Environmental Site Assessments (ESAs). Such services would require separate authorization.

15) Hydraulic Analyses Exclusion

The scope of work does not include conducting any kind of hydraulic modeling and analyses.

16) Signalization and Traffic Improvements Exclusion

The scope of work does not include preparing Signalization Plans or other Traffic Improvements Plans.

17) Landscape and other related services Exclusion

The scope of work does not include Landscape Architecture, Arborist or Tree Relocation design services, irrigation relocation or modification.

18) Traffic Control Plans Exclusion

The scope of work does not include preparing Maintenance of Traffic (MOT) plans. It is assumed that MOT for the bridge replacement will incorporate the work done under this proposal.

ATTACHMENT 3 Level of Effort (LOE) / Fee Estimate Tabulation

Contract No: C9620 PW Project No. 20210011 EAC Project No. 21039.WW01-01

Level of Effort (LOE) BRIDGE #2 – 6-INCH FORCE MAIN REMOVAL AND REPLACEMENT



					_		_		_	
		EAC	EAC	EAC		EAC		EAC		Company
		Designer	Engineer	Senior Engineer		Project Manager		Chief Engineer 2		Labor Category
	Lab	\$37.00	\$42.87	\$77.33		\$85.29		\$109.00	\$	Hourly Rate
	or Sub-Totals	311.07%	311.07%	311.07%		311.07%		311.07%		Labor Multiplier
	34	26	σ	2		0		0	Hrs	Торс Ма
Tas	100%	76%	18%	6%		%		%0	%	Tasl ography pping / Serv
k 3	\$4,273.73	\$2,992.49	\$800.13	\$481.10		\$0.00		\$0.00	\$	(1.1 Surveying & Engineering rices
	36	16	12	8		0		0	Hrs	Geote
Tasl	100%	44%	33%	22%		0%		0%	%	Field Ir Task chnical I Servi
(4	\$5,366.21	\$1,841.53	\$1,600.27	\$1,924.40		\$0.00		\$0.00	\$	Tasl ivestigations a 1.2 investigation ices
	40	24	12	4		0		0	Hrs	k 1 and Dat Field R Data
	100%	60%	30%	10%		0%		%0	%	a Collec Task econna Collecti
	\$5,324.77	\$2,762.30	\$1,600.27	\$962.20		\$0.00		\$0.00	\$	tion 1.3 isance Visits, on, Review
	10	8	1	1		0		0	Hrs	Su Eng
Tasł	100%	80%	10%	10%		0%		0%	%	Task Jbsurfac gineerin
(5	\$1,294.67	\$920.77	\$133.36	\$240.55		\$0.00		\$0.00	\$	1.4 e Utility g (SUE) / g Services
	204	80	72	40		12		0	Hrs	305
	100%	39%	35%	20%		6%		%0	%	Task 6 Design
	\$31,615.04	\$9,207.67	\$9,601.61	\$9,622.02		\$3,183.74		\$0.00	\$	Tas Engineering D 2.1 2.1 1 Services
	320	128	96	64		16		16	Hrs	k 2 esign Se 90%
Task	100%	40%	30%	20%		5%		5%	%	ervices Task 6 Desigr
ξ 6	\$52,599.70	\$14,732.28	\$12,802.15	\$15,395.23		\$4,244.99		\$5,425.06	\$	2.2 1 Services

311.07%	Labor Multiplier:
5.50%	CDAF
3.21%	EXPENSES:
0.73%	FCCM:
40.00%	OPERATING MARGIN:
161.63%	OVERHEAD:
100.00%	SALARY RELATED COSTS:

Multiplied Costs	Raw Costs	Total Labor	:6.1 uction sering	Task Constr Engine		5.3 truction (IFC) nents	Task for Cons Docur	Issue	k 5.2 pport During curement	Tasl Inical Su id & Pro	Tect	5.1 t Compilation	Task ocument	Bid D	: 4.1 gn Services or Bid)	Task 0% Desig (Issue F	10	3.1 urisdictional wals	Task tting & J Appro	Perm
			k 6 n Services	Tas ost Desig	R		es	t Servic	sk 5 ment Suppor	Tas	d Bid &	Limite			n Services	Tas 1al Desig	Ŧ	c 3 Approvals	Tasl nitting &	Peri
			\$52,599.70	100%	1 320	\$31,615.04	100%	7 204	\$1,294.6	100%	7 10	\$5,324.7	100%	40	\$5,366.21	100%	36	\$4,273.73	100%	34
			\$14,732.28	40%	128	\$9,207.6;	39%	7 80	\$920.7	80%	8	\$2,762.3	60%	24	\$1,841.53	44%	16	\$2,992.49	76%	26
311.07%	bor Multiplier:	la	\$12,802.15	30%	96	\$9,601.63	35%	5 72	\$133.3	10%	7 1	\$1,600.2	30%	12	\$1,600.27	33%	12	\$800.13	18%	σ
5.50%	CDAF																			
3.21%	EXPENSES:		\$15,395.23	20%	64	\$9,622.02	20%	40	\$240.5	10%	1	\$962.2	10%	4	\$1,924.40	22%	00	\$481.10	6%	2

Company

Project Role

Hourly Rate

Labor Multiplier

Perm

EAC

Engineer Designer

\$42.87 311.07%

\$37.00

\$77.33

EAC

EAC EAC

Project Manager Senior Engineer

\$85.29 311.07%

%0 0

\$0.00 \$0.00

EAC

Chief Engineer 2

\$ \$109.00

311.07%

Hrs %

ŝ

 Hrs
 %
 \$

 4
 6%
 \$1,356.27
 4 6% \$1,061.25 8 12% \$1,924.40

Hrs % \$

 Hrs
 %
 \$

 8
 8%
 \$2,712.53

Hrs % \$

 Hrs
 %
 \$

 0
 0%
 \$0.00
 16 5% \$4,244.99

Hours

\$ \$3,052.00

\$ \$9,493.86 \$16,979.94

\$

5% 2%

\$5,458.56

16 16% \$4,244.99

1

down to nearest cent.	Fotal rounded																						
\$182,139.32	\$58,552.52	100%	1,168	\$39,435.59	86%	276	led in SOW	t Includ	No	\$20,522.78	100%	100	led in SOW	yt Includ	N	\$10,911.22	100%	2 68	\$10,795.62	100%	80	or Sub-Totals	Lab
\$55,015.84	\$17,686.00	41%	478	\$15,653.04	43%	136	1	1		\$0.00	0%	0	;	1	1	\$2,301.92	29%	1 20	\$4,603.84	50%	40	311.07%	0
\$53,742.35	\$17,276.61	35%	403	\$12,802.15	30%	96	1	1		\$5,867.65	44%	44	:	1	1	\$4,267.38	47%	3 32	\$4,267.38	40%	32	311.07%	7
\$46,907.33	\$15,079.35	17%	195	\$6,735.41	%6	28	1	1		\$7,697.61	32%	32		1	1	\$1,924.40	12%	8	\$1,924.40	10%	00	311.07%	ω
															ľ						ľ		

Attachment 3 - Level of Effort 11/18/2024 Page 1 of 3

Level of Effort (LOE) BRIDGE #2 – 6-INCH FORCE MAIN REMOVAL AND REPLACEMENT



Labor Category Total Labor Houry Houry Rate Raw Costs Rate Multipli Hours % 5 <th></th>	
Total Labor Hourly Raw Costs Multipli Hours % \$ \$ Multipli 28 2% \$109.00 \$3,052.00 3.1103 64 5% \$85.29 \$5,438.56 3.1103 195 17% \$77.33 \$15,079.35 3.1103 403 35% \$42.87 \$17,276.61 3.1103 478 41% \$37.00 \$17,686.00 3.1103 10% \$50.13 \$58,52.52 \$31.103	
Labor Hourly Raw Costs Multipli % \$ \$ \$ % \$ \$ \$ 2% \$109.00 \$3,052.00 3.1103 5% \$85.29 \$5,458.56 3.1103 5% \$77.33 \$15,079.35 3.1103 17% \$77.33 \$15,079.35 3.1103 35% \$42.87 \$17,276.61 3.1103 41% \$37.00 \$17,686.00 3.1103 41% \$50.13 \$58,552.52 \$31.103	אסד'ד
Hourly Rate Raw Costs \$ Multipli \$ \$109.00 \$3,052.00 3.1103 \$109.00 \$3,052.00 3.1103 \$109.00 \$3,052.00 3.1103 \$109.00 \$3,052.00 3.1103 \$109.00 \$3,052.00 3.1103 \$42.87 \$15,079.35 3.1103 \$42.87 \$17,276.61 3.1103 \$37.00 \$17,686.00 3.1103 \$37.00 \$17,686.00 3.1103	100%
Raw Costs Multipli \$ 3.1103 \$3,052.00 3.1103 \$3,5458.56 3.1103 \$15,079.35 3.1103 \$15,079.35 3.1103 \$17,276.61 3.1103 \$17,276.61 3.1103 \$17,276.61 3.1103 \$17,276.52 \$3.1103	\$50.13
Multipl 3.110 3.110 3.110	\$58,552.52
	\$3.1107
Multiplied \$ \$9,493.86 \$16,979.94 \$16,907.33 \$46,907.33 \$46,907.33 \$46,907.33	\$182,139.32
Costs %	100%

Total rounded down to nearest cent.

Level of Effort (LOE) BRIDGE #2 – 6-INCH FORCE MAIN REMOVAL AND REPLACEMENT



\$240,015.10	Project TOTAL	8.3%	Total SBE Participation
\$0.00	Reimbursable Expenses		EAC Consulting, Inc
\$19,987.84	Geotechnical Engineering Services	SBE	Geosol
\$9,237.14	Utility Locating Services		Manny Vera & Associates
\$28,650.80	Topograhy & Bathymetric Surveying & Mapping		Manny Vera & Associates
\$182,139.32	Prime Consultant, Pipeline Design Services		EAC Consulting, Inc.
Fees	Assigned Scope of Work	Certification	Firm
	SUMMARY OF TOTAL COSTS		

Total rounded down to nearest cent.

ATTACHMENT 4

Truth in Negotiation Certification

ATTACHMENT 5

Project Role Definition Form



November 18, 2024

Gabriel Delgado, P.E, PMP Project Manager Highway Bridge Engineering Miami-Dade Department of Transportation and Public Works 701 N.W. 1st Court, Suite 1500, Miami FL 33136

Re: Contract No.: C9620 | PW Project No.: 20210011 | EAC Project No.: 21039.PD01-01 Venetian Causeway Bridge Replacement Final Design Bridge 2 (874460) – 6-inch Force Main Removal and Replacement

Dear Mr. Delgado:

Please see below the project role definitions for this work order.

Project Role	Agreement Classification	Role Definition
Quality Control Assurance	Chief Engineer 2	Registered Professional Engineer which oversees the quality of the work and ensures compliance with contract documents and industry standards.
Senior Project Manager	Project Manager	Registered Professional Engineer responsible for the overall design, adherence contract documents and design objectives. Liaison with Miami-Dade County and Consultant.
Project Engineer	Senior Engineer	Registered Professional Engineer responsible for the design, prepares engineering calculations, permit packages and construction documents. Oversees non-technical registered staff.
Designer	Designer	Responsible for the preparation of project design drawings (CAD), quantities, and other pre-engineering tasks. Works under the direct supervision of a Registered Professional Engineer.
Senior CAD Technician	Designer	Assists in the preparation of project design drawings (CAD), quantities and other pre-engineering tasks. Works under the direct supervision of a Registered Professional Engineer.

Sincerely,

EAC Consulting, Inc

asces

Eduardo A. Garces, P.E. PMP, ENV SP Project Manager

ATTACHMENT 6

Wage Letter



November 18, 2024

Gabriel Delgado, P.E, PMP Project Manager Highway Bridge Engineering Miami-Dade Department of Transportation and Public Works 701 N.W. 1st Court, Suite 1500, Miami FL 33136

Re: Contract No.: C9620 | PW Project No.: 20210011 | EAC Project No.: 21039.PD01-01 Venetian Causeway Bridge Replacement Final Design Bridge 2 (874460) – 6-inch Force Main Removal and Replacement

Dear Mr. Delgado:

Please see below the rates for the following employees.

Employee	Position	Hourly	Project	Agreement
Name		Rate	Role	Classification
Michael	Technical	\$108.00	Quality Control	Chief
Adeife, P.E.	Director		Assurance	Engineer 2
Huntley	Department	\$111.94	Senior	Project
Higgins, P.E.	Manager		Project Manager	Manager
Eduardo A.	Senior	\$72.12	Senior	Senior
Garces, P.E.	Engineer		Engineer	Engineer
Elias A.	Project	\$60.10	Project	Senior
Guevara, P.E.	Engineer		Engineer	Engineer
Matheus Dutra, E.I.	Designer	\$42.00	Designer	Designer
Kelly Carpenter, E.I.	Engineer Intern	\$38.50	Senior CAD Technician	Designer

Sincerely, **EAC Consulting, Inc.**

Marie O

Marie Chong Senior Vice President Chief Financial Officer
ATTACHMENT 7

Anticipated Project Schedule



ATTACHMENT 8

Sub-Consultant Fee Proposal



www.mgvera.com

August 19, 2024

EAC 5859 Blue Lagoon Drive Suite 410 Miami, FL 33126

Project: : Venetian Causeway Bridge 2 FM Relocation

MGV appreciates the opportunity to perform our Design Survey and SUE (Subsurface Utility Engineering) services on this project. Below is our scope of services for the above referenced project. All survey work will adhere to the STATE OF FLORIDA STANDARDS OF PRACTICE, Chapter 427.027 Florida Statutes and Rule 5J-17 Florida Administrative Code.

Survey

Horizontal Control

Horizontal Project Network Control (HPNC) will be established on the Florida State Plane Coordinate System, East Zone, and North American Datum (NAD) of 1983 /2011 Adjustment.

Vertical Control

Vertical Project Network Control (VPNC), will be established on the NAVD 88 vertical datum.

Survey Baseline and Right of Way Lines

Utilize the existing historical baseline of survey and right of way CAD files. Survey baselines will be placed in PNC sheet and the survey database.

Topographic/DTM (3D)

Topographic and DTM Survey will be performed from right of way to right of way within the project limits. See attached sketch for limits.

Note: A signed and sealed Topographic Survey Map is NOT included in these scope of services.

Drainage Survey

Drainage structures will be surveyed within the project limits. Survey will identify the rim and bottom elevations, pipe invert elevation, pipe materials, direction, size and condition.

Note:

In cases where a structure is full of water and or sediment, MGV will visit said structures a maximum of 2 visits; if structures is still un-accessible, said structure will be reported to the Project Manager. Structure cleanout will be performed by owners Maintenance crews.

Bridge Survey

The bridge deck will be surveyed and the lowest member elevation above the water will be located.

Bathymetric Survey

Perform a bathymetric survey within the project limits extending 100' north and south from the edge of bridge.

Project Network Control Sheet (PNC)

Prepare a PNC sheet for the project limits.

Deliverables

Electronic Survey Database Cad file in MicroStation and AutoCAD Format

Underground Utilities

Quality Level A Locates (Test Holes) – Bank of 10

MGV will provide up to 10 Quality Level A Locates on specific utilities as directed by the EOR. MGV will utilize Electromagnetic Detection systems including GPR to identify the location of each utility requested prior to performing the test hole, ensuring each test hole is performed over the requested facility.

If additional test holes are required, an addendum will be provided to cover the additional test holes.

MGV will notify Sunshine One-Call 48 hours in advance of performing the utility locates (test holes). A non-destructive vacuum excavation system will be utilized to expose the utilities or perform exploratory test holes. Test holes performed will be of minimum size (usually 1' by 1'). Backfill of test holes will be performed utilizing material removed. Test holes performed in the street will be patched utilizing cold patch. Basic maintenance of traffic (signs, cones) will be included.

Survey Support

Utilizing existing project survey control, MGV will map the completed utility designation marks and locates (test holes), process and place in AutoCAD format

Deliverables:

- One overall electronic cadd file in MicroStation and AutoCAD depicting the designates and each test hole completed with depth of cover, size, type, material, direction, and elevation of each utility found. Drawings to follow FDOT CADD format (line styles).
- Field Test Hole Data sheets

Subsurface Utility Designating and Locating Conditions and Understandings

The utility designates and locates are for design purposes only. The Florida One Call must be notified forty-eight (48) hours in advance of any excavation.

Accuracy of Geophysical Mapping techniques, although highly reliable, are subject to outside interference. A few examples are: Soil condition, material conductivity, depth of utility, and various other geological anomalies that may distort or hinder electromagnetic and GPR frequencies.

MGV will make every effort possible utilizing state of the art technology to designate and locate underground utilities; however there are no guarantees that all underground utilities or structures will be detected.

MGV will not access confined spaces and is not included in this fee estimate. If accessing confined spaces are required, MGV will notify the client to discuss options. Additional fees may be applicable.

Additional Clarifications and Understandings

If cap rock or an obstruction is encountered during the test hole phase and further vacuum excavation cannot be completed or performed without the potential for utility damage, MVG will consider the test hole completed, measure the depth to the top of the cap rock or obstruction reached, survey the location and consider the test hole completed and invoice accordingly.

The below fee includes only backfill of test holes utilizing material removed in natural ground or cold patch within asphalt pavement or concrete in sidewalks for the approximate 1' x 1' test hole. If additional restoration is required, MG Vera will provide an additional proposal to cover the additional expense.

Basic maintenance of traffic (signs, cones) is included, but if advanced MOT is required for lane closures, etc., MGV will provide a cost estimate to cover these additional expenses.

This estimate does NOT include permit fees.

This estimate does NOT include fees for signed and sealed MOT plans.

Cost and Staff-hour breakdown

Please refer to Survey Staff-Hour spreadsheet for our staff-hour breakdown and to the Fee sheet attached here-to. Rates are based upon Miami-Dade County Contract: E19-DTPW-04 Fee Schedule Category 15.01

We look forward to providing our services and please contact me if you have any questions or require additional information.

Sincerely, Manuel G. Vera & Associates, Inc.

1 Rel

Mark R. Sowers, PSM



Rates based on Miami - Dade County Contract for Professional Services for General Land Surveying ISD E19-DTPW-04 Fee Schedule Category 15.01 (2021 through 2024)

\$0.00			0.00%			EXPENSES:					ee.	nt to calculate its f	by Subconsultar	et to be used	1. This she	
\$0.00			0.00%		S Capital Cost Money):	FCCM (Facilitie									Notes:	
\$0.00			0%		1ARGIN:	OPERATING M										
\$0.00			0%			OVERHEAD:										
\$7,380.65					(TED COSTS:	SALARY RELA										
	\$7,380.65	Check =														
\$125.10	\$7,380.65		\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$1,939.32	\$4,242.35	\$1,198.98		Total Staff Cost
		59	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	18	35	6	59	Total Staff Hours
#DIV/0!	\$0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	35. Geotechnical
#DIV/0!	\$0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	30. Terrestrial Mobile LiDAR
\$125.99	\$1,008	8	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	3	4	-	8	29. Mapping
#DIV/0!	\$0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	28. Photogrammetry
\$124.96	\$6,373	51	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	15	31	5	51	27. Survey (Field & Office Support)
Task	Activity	Activity	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$ 0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$107.74	\$121.21	\$199.83	Firm"	
Rate Per	Cost By	By													"SH Summary	
			fication 12	fication 11	10	fication 9	fication 8	fication 7	fication 6	fication 5	fication 4	Draftsperson	Computer	Surveyor	Total Staff	Staff Classification
Average	Salary	SH	Staff Classi	Staff Classi	Staff Classic firstion	Staff Classi	Staff Classi	Staff Classi	Staff Classi	Staff Classi-	Staff Classi-		Surveyor	Drincing		
	5	Manny Vera J	Estimator:												N/A	FAP No.:
		8/20/2024	Date: 8												0	FPN:
			Consultant No .:											de county	Miami - Dao	County:
<u>c</u> .	ra & Assoc., In	Manuel G. Vei	nsultant Name: 1	Co.									 Design Survey 	idge 1 PD&E	Venetian Br	Name of Project:

Name of Project:	Venetian Brid	ige 1 PD&E -	SUE (Test Hol	es)								ç	onsultant Name: I	Manuel G. Vera	a & Assoc., Inc.	
County:	Miami - Dade	county											Consultant No .:			
FPN:	0												Date: 8	3/20/2024		
FAP No.:	N/A												Estimator: I	Manny Vera Jr.	•	
														H	Salary	Average
Staff Classification	Total Staff	Principal Surveyor	Surveyor Computer	Draftsperson	Staff Classi- fication 4	Staff Classi- fication 5	Staff Classi- fication 6	Staff Classi- fication 7	Staff Classi- fication 8	Staff Classi- fication 9	Staff Classi- fication 10	Staff Classi- fication 11	Staff Classi- fication 12			
	Hours From													By	Cost By	Rate Per
	Firm"	\$199.83	\$121.21	\$107.74	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	Activity	Activity	Task
27. Survey (Field & Office Support)	6	1	4	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	7	006\$	\$128.59
28. Photogrammetry	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	\$0	#DIV/0!
29. Mapping	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	•	\$0	#DIV/0!
30. Terrestrial Mobile LiDAR	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	\$0	#DIV/0!
35. Geotechnical	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	\$0	#DIV/0!
Total Staff Hours	6	-	4	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	7		
Total Staff Cost		\$199.83	\$484.84	\$215.48	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00		\$900.15	\$128.59
														Check =	\$900.15	
										SALARY RELA	TED COSTS:					\$900.15
										OVERHEAD:			0%			\$0.00
										OPERATING N	IARGIN:		0%			\$0.00
	Notes:									FCCM (Facilitie	s Capital Cost Money):		0.00%			\$0.00
	1. This sheet	t to be used b	y Subconsultar	nt to calculate its fe	e.					EXPENSES:			0.00%			\$0.00
										SUBTOTAL ES	STIMATED FEE:					\$900_15
										Survey Crew P	arty of 2	0	2-man crew @	\$ 1,763.61	/ day	\$0.00
										Survey Crew P	arty of 3	0.50	3-man crew @	\$ 1,933.65	/ day	\$966.83
										Survey Crew P	arty of 4	0	4-man crew @	\$ 2,241.53	/ day	\$0.00
										Designating Se	rvices 3 men crew	0	3-man crew @	\$ 301.56	/ hour	\$0.00
										GPR 3 men cre	W	00	3-man crew @	\$ 341.77	/ hour	\$2,734.16

Rates based on Miami - Dade County Contract for Professional Services for General Land Surveying ISD E19-DTPW-04 Fee Schedule Category 15.01 (2021 through 2024)

8 0 0.50 8 0 0 50

SUBTOTAL ESTIMATED FEE: Vac Truck (Test Holes) GRAND TOTAL ESTIMATED FEE:

10

0

\$463.60 / Test Hole

EAC Consulting, Inc. 5959 Blue Lagoon Drive, Suite 410 Miami, Florida 33126

Attention: Mr. Eduardo Garces, PE – Project Manager

Re: Proposal for Supplemental Geotechnical Services for Replacement of 6-inch Diameter Force Main at Bridge No. 2 (874460) Venetian Causeway from North Bayshore Drive in Miami to Purdy Avenue in Miami Beach FM No.: 422713-2-22-01 Miami-Dade County, Florida <u>GEOSOL Proposal No. P-224172-R1</u>

Dear Mr. Garces:

In accordance with your request on August 15, 2024, Geosol, Inc. (GEOSOL) is pleased to submit this proposal pertaining to supplemental geotechnical services for the above-referenced project. This proposal excludes any type of field and laboratory testing, or environmental permitting.

As we understand it, the Miami-Dade Department of Transportation and Public Works (DTPW) is planning to replace or improve twelve (12) existing bridges that comprise the Venetian Causeway in Miami-Dade County, Florida.

As we understand it, as part of the proposed improvements, the existing 6-inch diameter force main that is affixed to Venetian Cause Bridge No. 2 (874460) will be removed and replaced with subaqueous replacement via Horizontal Direction Drilling (HDD).

As requested, the geotechnical services for this phase of the project will be limited to the preparation of a geotechnical report using existing geotechnical data for use in the design of the proposed 6-inch diameter force main replacement.

The geotechnical report will be prepared for this study to summarize the course of the study pursued. The report will contain the existing field and laboratory data and subsurface conditions. The report will be prepared using the results of existing field investigations, laboratory testing and will contain geotechnical recommendations for use in the design of the proposed force main replacement. QA/QC checklist and report limitations will also be included as part of the report.

We have enclosed the detailed Fee Proposals for your review. Based on our general knowledge and an interpretation of your requirements, we are willing to complete the above referenced geotechnical services for lump sum fee of **\$19,987.84**.

GEOSOL appreciates the opportunity to work with you on these projects. If you have any questions, please do not hesitate to contact us.

GEOSOL, INC.

Oracio Riccobono, P.E. Chief Geotechnical Engineer/President

Attachment 1 – Fee Proposal Attachment 2 – Man-Hour Forecast

Adnan Ismail, P.E. Senior Geotechnical Engineer



5795-A N.W.151st Street Miami Lakes, FL 33014 Phone (305) 828-4367; Fax (305) 828-4235 E-mail: geosolusa@bellsouth.net **MDC082** Proposal for Supplemental Geotechnical Services for Replacement of 6-inch Diameter Force Main at Bridge No. 2 (874460) Venetian Causeway from North Bayshore Drive in Miami to Purdy Avenue in Miami Beach FM No.: 422713-2-22-01 Miami-Dade County, Florida <u>GEOSOL Proposal No. P-224172</u>

ATTACHMENT 1

FEE PROPOSAL

GEO	SOL,	Inc.
-		_

GEOSOL, INC.

FEE PROPOSAL FOR SUPPLEMENTAL GEOTECHNICAL SERVICES (Utility Relocation Services at Bridge 2)

Venetian Causeway from North Bayshore Drive in Miami to Purdy Avenue in Miami Beach

Bridges No.: 874460 Miami Dade County; FL FM No.: 422713-2-22-01

GEOSOL PROPOSAL No. P-224172-R1

.

Prepared August 19, 2024 (Revised August 20, 2024)

DESCRIPTION	UNITS	# OF	UNIT	TOTAL
		UNITS	RATE (\$)	\$

Contract					
Item No.	1) SUMMARY OF ENGINEERING AND TECHNICAL SERVICES (SEE ATTACHED STAFF-HOUR BREAKD	OWN)			
23E	Principal	HOUR	42	\$166.56	\$6,995.52
23D	Senior Engineer	HOUR	74	\$160.62	\$11,885.88
23A	C.A.D. Operator	HOUR	12	\$83.28	\$999.36
23F	Clerical/Administrative	HOUR	2	\$53.54	\$107.08
		Σ	130		

TOTAL ENGINEERING AND TECHNICAL SERVICES

\$19,987.84

Proposal for Supplemental Geotechnical Services for Replacement of 6-inch Diameter Force Main at Bridge No. 2 (874460) Venetian Causeway from North Bayshore Drive in Miami to Purdy Avenue in Miami Beach FM No.: 422713-2-22-01 Miami-Dade County, Florida <u>GEOSOL Proposal No. P-224172</u>

ATTACHMENT 2

MAN-HOUR FORECAST

GEC	SOL,	Inc.
-		=

Project Activity 30: Geotechnical

Prepared by: Oracio Riccobono, P.E. (geosol, inc.) Date Prepared: 08/19/2024 (Revised 08/20/2024) Supplemental for Utility Relocation Services (6-inch Diameter FM Across Bridge No. 2)

Venetian Causeway from North Bayshore Drive in Miami to Purdy Avenue in Miami Beach Bridge No.: 874460 Miami Dade County; FL FM No.: 422713-2-22-01

	0	0	0	Location	7 Drilling Access Permits	30.27
	0	0	0	EA	6 Coordinate and develop MOT plans for field investigation	30.26
	0	0	0	Boring	5 Stake Borings/Utility Clearance	30.25
	0	0	0	LS	4 Develop detailed boring location plan	30.24
			Ű	Structure		
	104				Roadways Geotechnical Subtotal	
	12	12	<u> </u>	100 If boring	3 SPT boring drafting	30.23
	0	0	0	100 If boring	2 Auger boring drafting	30.22
Clerical. For report preparation.	N	2	_	EA	1 Final Report	30.21
	20	20	-	EA	11 Final Report	30.21
No additional field testing will be performed. For this phase of the project, we will use existing geotechnical data.	30	30		EA	0 Preliminary Roadway Report and Pavement Evaluation Report	30.20
	16	16	_	LS	9 Geotechnical Recommendations	30.19
	0	0	0	EA	8 Stormwater Volume Recovery and/or Background Seepage Analysis	30.18
	0	0	0	Embankment Boring	7 Embankment settlement and Stability	30.17
	0	0	0	100 If of boring	6 ASCII files for cross-sections	30.16
	0	0	0	Cross-section	5 Delineate limits of Unsuitable Material	30.15
	0	0	0	EA	4 Calculate Parameters for Water Retention Areas	30.14
	0	0	0	Boring	3 Estimate Seasonal High Water Table	30.13
	0	0	0	100 If of boring	2 Tabulate all Laboratory Data	30.12
	0	0	0	LS	1 Determine Design LBR	30.11
	0	0	0	100 If of boring	0 Soil and Rock Classification - Roadway	30.10
	0	0	0	100 If of boring	3 Coordination of Field Work	30 <u>.</u> 9
	0	0	0	EA	3 LBR Sampling	30.8
	0	0	0	EA	7 Groundwater Monitoring	30.7
	0	0	0	EA	3 Property Clearances	30.6
	0	0	0	Location	5 Drilling Access Permits	30.5
	0	0	0	EA	4 Coordinate and develop MOT plans for field investigation	30.4
	0	0	0	Boring	3 Stake Borings/Utility Clearance	30.3
	0	0	0	LS	2 Develop detailed boring location plan	30.2
	24	24	1	LS	1 Document Collection and Review	30 <u>.</u> 1
				Roadway		
Comments	Total Hours	Hours / Unit	No of Units	Units	K Task	Task No <u>.</u>

Project Activity 30: Geotechnical

			130		F
			2	Cherical/Secretarial/	
			12	CADD Tachainian/Operator	
		-	42	Principal Engineer	1
			HOURS	SUMMARY OF MAN HOURS	
ľ					
130	chnical Tota). Geote	3		
0	0	0	LS	Geotechnical Support Services: Post-Design and RFP document preparation	30.53
4	3.0%	%	LS	Coordination	30.52
22				Geotechnical Nontechnical Subtotal	
ω	3.0%	%	LS	Supervision	30.51
ω	3.0%	%	LS	Quality Assurance/Quality Control	30.50
8	2	4	LS	Technical Meetings	30.49
~	œ	-	LS	Field Reviews	30.48
0	0	0	LS	Specification Development and Review	30.47
104				Geotechnical Technical Subtotal	
•				Structural Geotechnical Subtotal	
0	0	0	EA	Other Geotechnical	30.46
0	0	0	100 If of boring	SPT boring drafting	30.45
0	0	0	EA	Final Reports - Signs, Signals, Box Culvert, Walls and High Mast Lights.	30.44
0	0	0	EA	Final Report - Bridge and associated walls	30.43
0	0	0	EA	Preliminary Report Bridge Report	30.42
0	0	0	EA	Box Culvert Analysis	30.41
0	0	0	Boring	Design soil parameters for Signs, Signals, High Mast Lights, and Strain Poles and Geotechnical recommendations.	30.40
0	0	0	ĿS	Sheetpile wall Analysis	30.39
0	0	0	Wall Boring	Walls	30.38
0	0	0	Bridge boring	Lateral Load Analysis	30.37
0	0	0	Bridge boring	Bridge Construction and Testing Recommendations	30.36
0	0	0	Bridge boring	Detailed Analysis of Selected Foundation Alternate(s)	30.35
0	0	0	Bridge boring	Evaluation and Selection of Foundation Alternatives (BDR)	30.34
0	0	0	EA	Estimate Design groundwater level for structures	30.33
0	0	0	100 If of boring	Tabulate all Laboratory Data	30.32
0	0	0	100 If of boring	Soil and Rock Classification - Structures	30.31
0	0	0	100 If of boring	Coordination of Field Work	30.30
0	0	0	EA	Collection of corrosion samples	30.29
0	0	0	EA	Property Clearances	30.28
	IIIcal	Jenteri	L ACTIVITY SO.		



13960 SW 47th St, Miami, FL 33175 t: 305.221.6210 + f: 305.221.1295

www.mgvera.com

February 4, 2025

EAC 5859 Blue Lagoon Drive Suite 410 Miami, FL 33126

Project: : Venetian Causeway Supplemental Survey Limits: See Attached Sketch

MGV appreciates the opportunity to perform our Design Survey services on this project. Below is our scope of services for the above referenced project. All survey work will adhere to the STATE OF FLORIDA STANDARDS OF PRACTICE, Chapter 427.027 Florida Statutes and Rule 5J-17 Florida Administrative Code. The proposed Design Survey Scope of work includes the following design survey task:

27.1 Horizontal Control

Horizontal Project Network Control (HPNC) will be established on the Florida State Plane Coordinate System, East Zone, and North American Datum (NAD) of 1983 /2011 Adjustment as required to complete this scope of services.

27.2 Vertical Control

Vertical Project Network Control (VPNC), will be established on the NAVD 88 vertical datum as required to complete this scope of services

27.6 Topographic/DTM (3D) (See Attached Sketch For Limits)

Topographic and DTM Survey will be performed within 2 additional project areas.

Note: A signed and sealed Topographic Survey Map will be prepared.

27.11 Outfall Survey (See Attached Sketch For Locations)

- 2. Survey existing seawall at the existing outfall locations
 - a. Approx Sta 174+15 RT
 - b. Approx Sta 193+05 RT
 - c. Approx Sta 225+10 RT

3. Locate existing outfall pipes and get pipe invert and size

- a. Belle Isle, Sta 225+00 RT. (Existing outfall may be located under the bridge).
- b. Belle Isle, Sta 238+10 RT. including the connecting structure.

27.12 Drainage Survey (See Attached Sketch For Location)

4. Verify Horizontal Location of MH at Sta. 236+80 RT.

27.14 Channel Survey (See Attached Sketch For Locations)

- 1. Obtain Spot Elevations in Biscayne Bay in vicinity of the following existing outfall pipes:
 - a. Approx Sta 156+20 RT
 - b. Approx Sta 174+30 RT
 - c. Approx Sta 187+20 RT
 - d. Approx Sta 193+00 RT
 - e. Approx Sta 206+00 RT
 - f. Approx Sta 225+00 RT
 - g. Approx Sta 238+20 RT

Topographic Survey Map

Master CADD File

- 29.1 Alignment
- 29.2 Section and 1/4 Section Lines
- 29.3 Subdivisions / Property Lines
- 29.4 Existing Right of Way
- 29.6 Topography

Sheet Files

- 29.10 Topographic Survey Cover Sheet
- 29.11 Topographic Survey Key Sheet
- 29.12 Topographic Survey Detail Sheet

Deliverables

- Storage device containing the following:
 - Electronic Cad files in AutoCAD Format, drawings to follow FDOT CAD format (line styles)
 - o Electronic Cad files in MicroStation Format, drawings to follow FDOT CAD format (line styles)
 - Signed and Sealed PDF file.
 - Point txt file using PNEZD format.
- 1 Signed and Sealed digital copy
- Signed and sealed (full size) Topographic Map

Cost and Staff-hour breakdown

Please refer to Survey Staff-Hour spreadsheet for our staff-hour breakdown and to the Fee sheet attached here-to. Rates are based upon Miami-Dade County Contract: E19-DTPW-04 Fee Schedule Category 15.01

We look forward to providing our services and please contact me if you have any questions or require additional information.

Sincerely, Manuel G. Vera & Associates, Inc.

1 Kl

Mark R. Sowers, PSM

N
4
Ñ
0
N
σ
ω
25
8
<u> </u>
U
≤.

Page 1 of 1

Rates based on Miami -	
Dade County	
Contract for F	
^o rofessional S	
ervices for Ge	
neral Land Su	
Irveying ISD E	
E19-DTPW-04	
Fee Schedule	
Category 15.	
01 (2021 throu	
ugh 2024	

Name of Project:	Venetian Cau	ıseway											Consultant Name:	Manuel G. Ver	a & Assoc., Inc.	
County:	Miami - Dade	ų											Consultant No .:			
FPN:													Date:	2/4/2025		
FAP No.:													Estimator:	Mark Sowers		
Staff Classification	n Total Staff Hours From	Principal	Surveyor	Draftsman	Staff Classi- fication 4	Staff Classi- fication 5	Staff Classi- fication 6	Staff Classi- fication 7	Staff Classi- fication 8	Staff Classi- fication 9	Staff Classi- fication	Staff Classi- fication 11	Staff Classi- fication 12	₽, SH	Salary Cost By	Average Rate Per
	"SH Summary - Firm"	\$199.83	\$121.21	\$107.74	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	Activity	Activity	Task
27. Survey (Field & Office Support)	55	5	36	14	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	55	\$6,871	\$124.93
28. Photogrammetry	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	\$0	#DIV/0!
29. Mapping	44	11	26	7	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	44	\$6,104	\$138.72
30. Terrestrial Mobile LiDAR	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	\$0	#DIV/0!
Total Staff Hours	99	16	62	21	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	99		
Total Staff Cost		\$3,197.28	\$7,515.02	\$2,262.54	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00		\$12,974.84	\$131.06

Notes: 1. This sheet to be used by Subconsultant to calculate its fee.

			Check =	\$12,974.84	
SALARY RELATED COSTS:					\$12,974.84
OVERHEAD:		0%			\$0.00
OPERATING MARGIN:		0%			\$0.00
FCCM (Facilities Capital Cost Money):		0.00%			\$0.00
EXPENSES:		0.00%			\$0.00
SUBTOTAL ESTIMATED FEE:					\$12,974.84
Survey Crew Party of 2	0	2-man Crew @	\$ 1,763.61	/ day	\$0.00
Survey Crew Party of 3	6	3-man Crew @	\$ 1,933.65	/ day	\$11,601.90
Survey Crew Party of 4	0	4-man Crew @	\$ 2,241.53	/ day	\$0.00
Designating Services 3 men crew	0	3-man Crew @	\$ 301.56	/ hour	\$0.00
GPR 3 men crew	0	3-man Crew @	\$ 341.77	/ hour	\$0.00
SUBTOTAL ESTIMATED FEE:					\$24,576.74
Vac Truck (Test Holes)	0	Vac Truck @	463.6	/ per Test Hole	\$0.00
GRAND TOTAL ESTIMATED FEE:					\$24,576.74





	PLAN-PROFILE	AND PUBLIC WORKS HIGHWAY DIVISION
þ	158	7
	<u>PI</u> + EL. 2	
	72.33	
4		
	3.45	
	+30	+14
∞	0.40 E	2.26 E
I.	END CONSTRUCTION 3.51	E. 5.91
	K = 49	
1)		
	SCALE: 1"=40' H 1"=4' V	
	RMINED.	HER IS TO BE DET
	<u>END C&G TYPE F</u> +52.22) APPROACH SLAB 1. 156+84.52
	+00.08/ 17.00' RT	CONST. 15' OF 30" PVC
	RAVITY WALL +14.52 00, 28.00 RT 31.04 RT CR-D	R/W LINE +85
	9 Wro) Grov 3 United Street	0 FECO 6" PE G.M GI
	(-202) OO - C-204 A C R PL A C R C R C R C R C R C R C R C R C R C	3.
	DH WASH 3000 W. M. WIDK: - WIS	24" PVC 4 TO 0
	CONST. 10 1582 PVC	7))X
	HOT G-203 OFOC	CROWN CFOFOC
	GRAVITY WALL +93.05 5.00, 28.00 LT +10.0 LT 29.475 LT 29.475 LT	2 2 2 8T(D) 8T(D) 8T(D) 8T(D) 8T(D) 8T(D)
		2, 28.00' LT
	$\begin{array}{c} \underline{END \ C\&G \ TYPE \ F} \\ \pm 28.37 \\ \underline{F} \\ \underline$	SAN MAR
ļ		
HOM.	PROJECT NO. 20210011 SHEET OF	
WORK	MAMI-DADE COUNTY DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION AND PUBLIC	

THE OFFICIAL RECORD OF THIS SHEET IS THE ELECTRONIC FILE DIGITALLY SIGNED AND SEALED UNDER RULE 61G15-23.004, F.A.C.



THE OFFICIAL RECORD OF THIS SHEET IS THE ELECTRONIC FILE DIGITALLY SIGNED AND SEALED UNDER RULE 61615-23.004, F.A.C.



THE OFFICIAL RECORD OF THIS SHEET IS THE ELECTRONIC FILE DIGITALLY SIGNED AND SEALED UNDER RULE 61615-23.004, F.A.C.



THE OFFICIAL RECORD OF THIS SHEET IS THE ELECTRONIC FILE DIGITALLY SIGNED AND SEALED UNDER RULE 61G15-23.004, F.A.C



THE OFFICIAL RECORD OF THIS SHEET IS THE ELECTRONIC FILE DIGITALLY SIGNED AND SEALED UNDER RULE 61615-23.004, F.A.C.



PD01-01 Venetian Causeway Final Design At Risk\CADD\roadway\PLANRD10.dgn





THE OFFICIAL RECORD OF THIS SHEET IS THE ELECTRONIC FILE DIGITALLY SIGNED AND SEALED UNDER RULE 61615-23.004, F.A.C.



1





RFIATION S S PLAN-PROFIL	SCALE: 1		PROJECT NO. 2021001 PROJECT NO. 2021001 PROJECT NO. 2021001 PROJECT NO. 202101 PROJECT NO. 20210 PROJECT NO. 20210 PROJE
	"=40'		R/W LIN

THE OFFICIAL RECORD OF THIS SHEET IS THE ELECTRONIC FILE DIGITALLY SIGNED AND SEALED UNDER RULE 61G15-23.004, F.A.C.









THE OFFICIAL RECORD OF THIS SHEET IS THE ELECTRONIC FILE DIGITALLY SIGNED AND SEALED UNDER RULE 61G15-23.004, F.A.C.



THE OFFICIAL RECORD OF THIS SHEET IS THE ELECTRONIC FILE DIGITALLY SIGNED AND SEALED UNDER RULE 61615-23.004, F.A.C.

GFRP REINFORCING – EAC

Subject Number	The Street Part Canaditation of State Part
$ \frac{V_{12}}{V_{22}} + \frac{V_{12}}{V_{12}} + V$	
Subset Instant Instant <thinstant< th=""> <thinstant< th=""> <thi< th=""><th>Brance Construction Construction Construction Start Classification France Start Classification France Start Classification France Start Classification France Start Classification Start Classification</th></thi<></thinstant<></thinstant<>	Brance Construction Construction Construction Start Classification France Start Classification France Start Classification France Start Classification France Start Classification
	Byon. Other Start Classification (1990) Concept (1990) Start Classification (1990) Start Clas
Substrict Start Classification (M_{2}, M_{2}, M_{2}	Barty
Specification Control Start Classification Proper Control Specification Engineer	Characterization Characterization Characterization Strange Result
	Symbol Start Classification Chart of Type Strapped Classification Str
System Interface Finance	Conv. Conv. Conv. Start Classification Num Conv. Start Classification
	Characterization Construction Start Casa-Intrano Chief Temperation Start Casa-Intrano Intrano Start Casa-Intrano Intrano Start Casa-Intrano
System Start Classification (1) (1) (2) (2) Chief (1) (1) (2) Str. Picial (1) (2) Engineer (1) (2) Engineer (2)	Chardyon Control Control <thcontrol< th=""> Control <thcontrol< th=""></thcontrol<></thcontrol<>
	Convery. Conversion Start Classification Childre Str.pigneer Engineer Engineer Internet intern
	Converse Numerous Start Classification Class Strappone S
$ \frac{1001000}{1000} + \frac{10016}{1000} + \frac$	Charaky Construction Charaky Construction Charak Charak Starf Chassification Num< Construction Starf Chassification Num Construction Starf Chassification Num Construction Starf Chassification Num Starf Chassification Num Starf Chassification Starf Chassifica
$ \frac{1001000}{1.6} + \frac{10016}{1.6} + \frac{10016}{$	Charakter Construction Construction Construction Straff Classification Charaft Straff Classification Charaft Straff Classification Straff Classification </td
Approx. Start Classification (10/1900) Chief (10/1900) Strepiet (10/1900) Engineer (10/1900) Engineer (10/1900) Engineer (10/1900) Designer(AD) (10/1900) Designer(AD) (10/1900) .<	
$ \frac{1001900}{10000} = \frac{100000}{10000} = \frac{100000}{10000} = \frac{100000}{10000} = \frac{100000}{10000} = \frac{100000}{10000} = \frac{100000}{100000} = \frac{100000}{100000} = \frac{1000000}{1000000} = \frac{1000000}{1000000} = 1000000000000000000000000000000000000$	
	Charly No.: Staff Classification (10/1900) Chief (10/1900) Str Engineer (10/1900) E
Approx Toty region Staff Classification in the regineer in the reginer in the regineer in the reginer in the regineer in the reginere	Characteristication Staff Classification Chief Hours Front Str Project Str Project Engineer Engineer Engineer Engineer Engineer Intermer Designer/CAD - <
	$ \begin{tabular}{ c c c c c c c } \hline FAP N_0: & Tapines & Tapines$
	$ \begin{tabular}{ c c c c c c c } \hline Fap \ No: & function \ funct$
$ \begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	$ \begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $
$ \begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	$ \begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $
Application Chief Staff Classification Chief Stragineer Str Project Engineer Engineer Intern Designer/CAD - <th< td=""><td>$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$</td></th<>	$ \begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $
App No.: Transition Untroop (10/1900) Staff Classification Hours From "SH Chief Engineer Sr Engineer Str Project Engineer Engineer Designer/CAD Engineer -	$ \begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $
AP No.: Transmission Units From "SH Chief Hours From "SH Sr Engineer Str Project Engineer Engineer Designer/CAD Engineer - - - 3. Project General and Project Common Tasks 0	$ \begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $
AP No.: Transmission Hours From "SH Chief Engineer Str Project Engineer Engineer Designer/CAD Intern - - - 3. Project General and Project Common Tasks 0	County Main Loop County O O O O Staff Classification "SH Chief Points" Str Engineer Engineer Engineer Intern Designer(CAD -
AP No.: Transmission Transmission Chief Staff Classification Chief Sr Engineer Staff Classification Hours From regimeer Chief Sr Engineer Staff Classification Hours From regimeer Staff Classification Hours From regimeer Staff Classification Chief Sr Engineer Engineer Engineer Designer/CAD - - - - 3. Project General and Project Common Tasks 0 0 517.33 \$65.00 \$32.00 \$37.00 \$0.0<	County County<
AP No.: Transmission Transmission Chief ''SH Sr Engineer Engineer 2 Str Project Engineer Engineer Intern Engineer D Engineer Intern Engineer D Signaritation Signa	FAP No.: Staff Classification investigation staff Classification investigation Chief Hours From investigation investin investin investigation investigatin investigation investigation
AP No.: Transmission Monts From Monts From Mining From Mi	Country FAP No.: Staff Classification Staff Classification Chief Funy "SH Summary Sum
AP No.: Tot/1900 Staff Classification Chief Sr Engineer Engineer Engineer Intern Designer/CAD .	Country FAP No.: Staff Classification Summary Chief Chief Summary Sr Engineer Engineer Str Project Engineer Engineer Designer/CAD Intern -
APNO: 1/0/1900 Staff Classification Hours From Chief Engineer 2 Sr Engineer Engineer Interm D Star Project Star Project Interm D Star Project Star Project Interm D Star Project Interm D Star Project Interm D Star Project S	County FAP No.: Chief 10/1900 Chief Engineer Str Project Engineer Engineer Designer/CAD Intern -<
AP No.: 1/0/1900 Staff Classification Hours From "SH Chief St Project Engineer Designer/CAD - <	County Minimization County O FAP No.: 1/0/1900 - - - Staff Classification Chief St Engineer Str Project Engineer Intern D - - - Summary Summary 5109.00 \$77.33 \$65.00 \$32.00 \$37.00 \$0.00
AP No.: 1/0/1900 Staff Classification Hours From Chief Sr Engineer Engineer Designer/CAD	FAP No.: 1/0/1900 FAP No.: 1/0/1900 Staff Classification Hours From Chief Str Project Engineer Designer/CAD
AP No.: 1/0/1900 1/0/1900 AP No.: 1/0/1900 Numerical Hours From Chief Str Project Engineer Designer/CAD	FAP No.: 1/0/1900 FAP No.: 1/0/1900 FAP No.: Hours From Chief Str Project Engineer Designer/GAD
AP No.: 1/0/1900	-AP No.: 1/0/1900

2. Manually enter fee from each subconsultant. Unused subconsultant rows may be hidden.

SUBTOTAL ESTIMATED FEE: SUBTOTAL ESTIMATED FEE: Geotechnical Field and Lab Testing SUBTOTAL ESTIMATED FEE:

\$0.00 \$177,093.19 \$177,093.19 \$0.00 \$177,093.19

<mark>\$0.00</mark> \$177,093.19

GRAND TOTAL ESTIMATED FEE:

Optional Services

Consultant Name: EAC Consulting, Inc.

ESTIMATE OF WORK EFFORT AND COST - PRIME CONSULTANT

Estimator: Stephanie Romero

Venetian Causeway

0

NOTE: S				
ignature Block is optional, per District pref	EAC CONSULTING, INC.	DTPW	Representing	
erence	Rick Crooks		Print Name	
	4/11/2017		Signature / Date	

	18	17	10-16	Task No.	Str	9.9	9.8	9.7	9.6	9.5	9.4	9.3	9.2	9.1		No.	Task
Structures Technical Subtotal	Miscellaneous Structures	Retaining Walls	Bridge 2 thru 12 including fixed spans of Bridge 10	Task	uctures - Summary and Miscellaneous Tasks	Technical Special Provisions	Cost Estimate	Assemble Plan Summary Boxes and Quantities	Existing Bridge Plans	Incorporate Report of Core Borings	Miscellaneous Common Details	General Notes and Bid Item Notes	Project Layout	Key Sheet and Index of Drawings	General Drawings	Task	
874	0	0	874	Total	and Drawings Subtotal	LS	LS	LS	LS	Sheet	Sheet	Sheet	Sheet	Sheet		Units	
0			0	Task 10		1	1	1	1	0	0	1	2	2		No. of Units	
0			0	Task 11						0	0	0	0	0		Hours per Unit	esign and Prod
0			0	Task 12	0					0	0	0	0	0		No. of Sheets	uction Staffhou
874			874	Task 13	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0		Total	Ś
0			0	Task 14													
0			0	Task 15													
0			0	Task 16												Comments	
0		0		Task 17													
0	0			Task 18													

							ļ
0	yes	0	0	0	EA	Regulatory Agency	Ŗ
0	yes	0	0	0	EA	Aesthetics Coordination	₽
0	yes	0	0	0	EA	90/100% Comment Review	9
0	yes	0	0	0	EA	Kick-Off Meeting	Z
Number	PM Attendance at Meeting Required?	Total Hours	Hours/ Unit	No of Units	Units	Technical Meetings	
		87			s and Drawings ordination Total	9. Structures - Summary and Miscellaneous Tasks Nontechnical and Coc	9
		0		_	۲S	9.15 Coordination	
		87			hnical Subtotal	Structures Nontec	
		44	5%	%	LS	9.14 Supervision	
		0	0	_	LS	9.13 Independent Peer Review	
		44	5%	%	LS	9.12 Quality Assurance/Quality Control	.0
		0	0	1	LS	9.11 Technical Meetings	6
		0	0	0	LS	9.10 Field Reviews	(0
	Comments	Total	Hours per Unit	No. of Units	Units	Task No. Task	

Total Project Manager Meetings (carries to Tab 3) 0
Carriesto Tab 3

• :

;

0 0 0

0

Phase Review Meetings

Total Meetings

Progress Meetings (if required by FDOT)

E E A

0 0

0

0

PM attendance at Progress Meetings is manually entered on General Task 3 PM attendance at Phase Review Meetings is manually entered on General Task 3

Carries to 9.11

0

0

0

0

Subtotal Technical Meetings

Utility Companies Other Meetings Local Governments (cities, counties)

EA EA

0 0

0

0

8 8 8

0

0

00

0

11/18/2024

	DTPW						
	EAC CONSULTING, INC						
ION	TE: Signature Block is optional, per District preferent	ce					
Tas No	. Task	Units	No. of Units	Hours/ Unit	No. of Sheets	Total Hours	Comments
	General Layout Design and Plans						
13.	1 Overall Bridge Final Geometry	LS	0	0	1	0	
13.2	2 Expansion/Contraction Analysis	EA Unit	0	0	1	0	
13.3	3 General Plan and Elevation	Sheet	0	0	0	0	
13.4	Construction Staging	Sheet	0	0	0	0	
13.5	Approach Slab Plan and Details	Sheet	0	0	0	0	
13.6	Miscellaneous Details	Sheet	0	0	0	0	05
	End Bent Design and Plans						C
13.7	7 End Bent Geometry	EA End Bent	0	0		0	ЯĐ
13.8	Wingwall Design and Geometry	EA End Bent	0	0		0	
13.9	End Bent Structural Design	EA Design	6	24	0	144	Revise End Bent design, change reinforcement from carbon steel to stainless steel for both shear and flexural design. Coupler connection will also be checked. Revise drilled shaft cap interface design using GFRP. For group
13.1	0 End Bent Plan and Elevation	Sheet	൭	б	6	36	revise reinforcement layout, spacing and designation in plan and elevation views
13.1	1 End Bent Details	Sheet	6	6	6	36	revise reinforcement details in section views
	Intermediate Bent Design and Plans						
13.1	2 Bent Geometry	EA Bent	0	0		0	
13.1	3 Bent Stability Analysis	EA Design	0	0		0	
13.1	4 Bent Structural Design	EA Design	6	24		144	Revise Intermidiate Bent design, change reinforcement from carbon steel to stainless steel for both shear and flexural design. Coupler connection will also be checked. Revise drilled shaft cap interface design using GFRP. For
13.1	5 Bent Plan and Elevation	Sheet	6	6	6	36	revise reinforcement layout, spacing and designation in plan and elevation views
13.1	6 Bent Details	Sheet	6	6	6	36	revise reinforcement details in section views
	Pier Design and Plans						
13.1	7 Pier Geometry	EA Pier	0	0		0	
13.1	8 Pier Stability Analysis	EA Design	0	0		0	

Estimator: Stephanie Romero Bridge Identifier: all fixed bridges:

Representing

Print Name

Venetian Causeway Fixed Bridges including Bridges 2 thru 12

Signature / Date

13.42	13.41	13.40	13.39	13.38	13.37	13.36	13.35	13.34	13.33	13.32		13.31		13.30	13.29	13.28	13.27	13.26	13.25	13.24	13.23		13.22		13.21	13.20	13.19	Task No.
Construction Loading	Ultimate Shear	Ultimate Moments	Stress Summary	Time Dependent Analysis	Temperature Gradient	Live Load Analysis	Tendon Layouts	Construction Sequence	Material Properties	Section Properties	Continuous Concrete Girder Design	Preparation of Reinforcing Bar List	Reinforcing Bar Lists	Miscellaneous Superstructure Details	Superstructure Section	Superstructure Plan	Diaphragm Design	Bridge Deck Reinforcing and Concrete Quantities	Bridge Deck Design	Finish Grade Elevations	Finish Grade Elevation (FGE) Calculation	Superstructure Deck Design and Plans	Foundation Layout	Miscellaneous Substructure Design and Plans	Pier Details	Pier Plan and Elevation	Pier Structural Design	Task
EA Unit	EA Unit	EA Unit	EA Unit	EA Unit	EA Unit	EA Unit	EA Unit	EA Unit	LS	LS		Sheet		Sheet	Sheet	Sheet	EA Section	EA Unit	EA Section	Sheet	LS		Sheet		Sheet	Sheet	EA Design	Units
0	6	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0		6		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0		0		0	0	0	No. of Units
0	24	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0		8		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0		0		0	0	0	Hours/ Unit
												6		0	0	0				0			0		0	0		No. of Sheets
0	144	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0		48		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0		0		0	0	0	Total Hours
	Revise shear design using GFRP. For group efficiency, assume 6 bridge units.											GFRP Bar list. Assume 6 bridge units	ЛЕ)64	06													Comments

11
12
20
22
6
ö
P
2

Page 6 of 6

	13.55 Load R	Load F	13.54 Bearing	13.53 Bearing	Bearin	13.52 Beam/g	Beam t	13.51 Framing	13.50 Prestres	13.49 Prestres	Simple	13.48 Girder E	13.47 Splice E	13.46 Erection	13.45 Girder E	13.44 Girder E Locatior	13.43 Framing	Task No.
13. Structures -	atings	tating	pad and bearing plate details	pad and bearing plate design	9	irder stability	Stability	Plan	sed Beam Schedules	ssed Beam	Span Concrete Design	eflections and Camber	vetails	Sequence	betails	levation, including Grouting Plan and Vent	Plan	Task
Medium Spa	Per Beam		Sheet	Type/ Span		EA Unit		Sheet	Sheet	EA Design		Sheet	Sheet	Sheet	Sheet	Sheet	Sheet	Units
In Concrete	თ		0	0		0		0	0	0		0	0	0	6	0	0	No. of Units
Bridge Total	16		0	0		0		0	0	0		0	0	0	24	0	0	Hours/ Unit
37			0					0	0			0	0	0	0	0	0	No. of Sheets
874	106		0	0		0		0	0	0		0	0	0	144	0	0	Total Hours
	Change stainless steel to GFRP for the reinforcement. Revise load rating analysis and load rating report for all fixed bridges. For group efficiency, assume 6 bridge units.		- MI	ĐC	10	7									Continuous spans of beams, Shear reinforcement using GFRP, including the stirrup arrangement in the sections. For group efficiency, assume 6 bridge units.			Comments

SUBAQUEOUS UTILITY LOCATION – DOC


Depth of Cover Specialists

Proposal

Date: November 5th, 2024

Quote #: 20241105_EAConsultants_Bridge 10

DoC Mapping LLC 460 Fairway Dr. New Orleans, LA 70124

Contact: Jolie Cervera Client: EA Consultants Project: Bridge 10 _ Venetian Causeway Utility Clearance Location: Miami FL

Thank you for an opportunity to provide a proposal for this project, DoC is pleased to offer you the following equipment and personnel.

Project Scope:

DoC Mapping proposes to use a variety of sensors to locate utilities in the survey area at the Bridge 10 area of the Venetian Causeway.

The survey area pictured below is between Rivo Alta Island and Belle Island along Venetian Causeway in Biscayne Bay. The water depths are less than 10' deep throughout the survey area. The area measures approximately 250' by 500'



New Orleans / San Diego www.DoCMapping.com

MDC109



DoC Mapping proposes to locate all utilities crossing the waterway in the survey area. There are estimated to be approximately 10 utilities in this area owned by the following organizations:

- AT&T Florida
- Breezeline
- City of Miami Beach
- Comcast
- Crown Castle
- FPL Distribution
- Hotwire Communications
- Miami Dade County Water and Sewer Department (MDWASD)
- MCI/Verizon
- Miami Dade County Parks, Recreation & Open Spaces (MD-PROS)
- TECO Peoples Gas

To accomplish this task, DoC Mapping will utilize several techniques and technologies including:

- High-resolution Multibeam Sonar (MB) to image the seafloor, detect pipe exposures and collect seafloor elevations in the survey area.
- Hull Mounted EM Locating Suite (EM) and Land Locating Suite to determine the XY&Z position of the
 utilities that can be toned. This system works by applying a low voltage signal to the utility and then
 passing a sensor array over it to measure the associated magnetic field. When the sensor data is tied
 into bathymetric sonar and GPS data, very precise TOP positions and depth of cover information are
 generated.
 - DoC Mapping requires a tone to be on the utilities to locate them with the EM locating suite. This tone may be generated by the utility owner or by a transmitter provided by DoC Mapping. For the transmitter to work, DoC Mapping personnel require direct access to the utilities. This can either be via a CP test lead, valve, vault, spare conductor, casing etc. EA is responsible for providing utility contacts and for coordinating this access.
- A Sub-Bottom Profiler will be used to try to acoustically locate any utilities that cannot be toned. The effectiveness of the SBP is highly dependent on bottom composition and utility characteristics and acquisition of useful data is not guaranteed for this sensor.
- It is recommended that EA establish contact with the utility owners and collect any and all available data related to the utilities prior to field surveys. This data can help with survey planning and improves the chance that the utilities will be located successfully. See attached Utility Owner Questionnaire for additional details.

New Orleans / San Diego www.DoCMapping.com

MDC110

Scheduling and Duration:

It is estimated that this project will take between 5 and 10 days.

Deliverables:

At the conclusion of the survey a written report will be generated that will include the following.

- Summary of the project
- Personnel on-site
- Equipment used.
- Procedures
- Geodetic setting and correction parameters
- Plots and cross sections to include:
 - \circ $\;$ XYZ positional plots of all utilities that can be toned.
- Topographic and bathymetric plots of the survey area.
- All data will be provided in CSV and Excel formats.
- KMZ showing imagery and data.

Pricing:

The following pricing is based on a day-rate for time and materials and the estimated number of days for the project. Final pricing is subject to change based on actual number of days required to complete the survey. Project total includes reporting.

<u>Mobilization / Demobilization</u>	<u>\$8,500.00</u>
• <u>Day Rate</u>	<u>\$8,500.00 / day</u>
 Hotel and Per Diem will be charged back at the following rates: \$155 lodging + \$85 misc. / per person / day 	<u> \$720 /crew / day</u>
 Mooring, Launch and Other Misc Fees 	<u>Cost +10%</u>
Project totals:	
<u>Mobilization / Demobilization</u> :	<u>\$8,500.00</u>
• <u>Survey Days (10 day):</u>	<u>\$85,000.00</u>
Hotel and Per Diem:	<u>\$7,200.00</u>
Estimated Total:	\$100,700.00

Terms & Conditions:

• This quote is valid for 30 days.

Please feel free to call or email with questions regarding this proposal or other projects. The staff at DoC Mapping are excited to work with you on this project as well as future ones.

Best Regards,

Ben Atchison Midstream Operations DoC Mapping LLC, New Orleans Office 503-919-0073 ben.atchison@docmapping.com

MDC112

EXHIBIT "B" METHOD OF COMPENSATION CONTRACT NUMBER C9620 / AMENDMENT NUMBER 14

Section 2.0, COMPENSATION, is revised as follows:

SECTION 2.0 COMPENSATION

The COUNTY agrees to pay and the CONSULTANT agrees to accept, for services rendered pursuant to this Agreement, fees and other compensation as stipulated by the following:

2.1 Professional Services Fee

Amendment 13 Design Phase: \$8,367,300.74 (Lump Sum)

Amendment 13 Post-Design Phase: \$1,324,689.70 (Limiting Amount)

Amendment 14 Design Phase: \$1,242,438.93 (Lump Sum)

Total Compensation (Design Phase + Post Design Phase): \$10,934,429.37

The total compensation is exclusive of the Allowance Account. Revision to the fees are permissible, subject to the approval of the COR or designee as long as the contract ceiling is not exceeded.

2.2 – Contingency Allowance

This project is a Professional Services Agreement; therefore, an estimated Allowance Account of \$969,199.04 (Amendment 13) and \$124,243.89 (Amendment 14) is permissible, per Miami-Dade County Code Section 2-8.1. This Allowance Account will be used by the Department of Transportation and Public Works for unforeseen conditions necessitating additional Engineering services, resulting in additions to the basic fee. It is understood that any unspent portion of the allowance account is to remain with the COUNTY.

2.3 – Total Project Cost

The total Design and Post Design Cost set forth in Section 2.0 above, under the terms of this Agreement, shall be \$12,027,872.30, resulting in a total contract amount not to exceed \$15,113,035.05.