

Memorandum



Date: January 19, 2022

To: Honorable Chairman Jose “Pepe” Diaz
and Members, Board of County Commissioners

Agenda Item No. 8(A)(3)

From: Daniella Levine Cava
Mayor *Daniella Levine Cava*

Resolution No. R-21-22

Subject: Resolution Approving an Award Recommendation for a Professional Services Agreement to EXP US Services, Inc. No. A19-MDAD-02D

Recommendation

It is recommended that the Board of County Commissioners (Board) approve the attached resolution awarding Contract No. A19-MDAD-02D entitled: “Professional Services Agreement (PSA) for Facility Design Services to EXP US Services, Inc. pursuant to Section 2-10.4 of the Code of Miami-Dade County. The total compensation amount is \$5,513,750 with a total contract term five years. This recommendation to award has been prepared by the Miami-Dade Aviation Department (MDAD). This is one of four award recommendations for the provision of the same services at Miami International Airport (MIA) and the General Aviation Airports (GAA) procured under Project No. A19-MDAD-02. The other award recommendations to Carty Architecture, LLC, Leo A. Daly Company, and Stantec Consulting Services, Inc. are on today’s agenda as companion items.

Delegation of Authority

Pursuant to the provisions of Section 2-285.2 of the Miami-Dade County Code, the County Mayor or County Mayor’s designee will have the authority to execute, amend, and terminate Contract No. A19-MDAD-02D and to resolve disputes.

Scope

PROJECT NAME: Facility Design Services

INTERNAL SERVICES

DEPARTMENT (ISD)

**ARCHITECTURAL/
ENGINEERING (A/E)**

PROJECT NO.: A19-MDAD-02

CONTRACT NO: A19-MDAD-02D

PROJECT DESCRIPTION:

The scope of services to be provided by the selected architectural/engineering (A/E) firm includes but is not limited to: design and construction inspection services for renovations and improvements terminal-wide; and design and construction inspection services for outer buildings and new facilities including interior, tenant relocations, roofing and glazing work, miscellaneous

code compliance, and aesthetics related improvements including project management services.

The procurement for this project was for the award of four Professional Services Agreements (PSAs), each with a value of \$5,513,750.

PROJECT LOCATIONS:

Miami International Airport
Miami- Opa locka Executive Airport
Miami Homestead General Aviation Airport
Miami Executive Airport
Dade Collier Training and Transition Airport
Rental Car Facility/Miami Intermodal Center/MIA Station

COMMISSION DISTRICT:

Various

APPROVAL PATH:

Board of County Commissioners, Section 2-10.4 of the Miami-Dade County Code

USING DEPARTMENT:

Miami-Dade Aviation Department

MANAGING DEPARTMENT:

Miami-Dade Aviation Department

Fiscal Impact/Funding Source

FUNDING SOURCE:

2000000096 – Miami International Airport
Miscellaneous Projects, FY 2020 - 21 Adopted
Budget Book Volume 3, Page 141

2000000094 – Miami International Airport
Concourse E Rehabilitation, FY 2020 - 21
Adopted Budget Book Volume 3, Page 140

(See Attachment A, “Adopted Budget and Multi-Year Capital Plan, Volume 3, FY 2020 - 21 Page 141 and 140”)

**OPERATIONS COST
IMPACT:**

Not applicable as this is a PSA for A/E services

**MAINTENANCE COST
IMPACT/FUNDING:**

Not applicable as this is a PSA for A/E services

PTP FUNDING:

No

GOB FUNDING: No

ARRA FUNDING: No

**PROJECT
TECHNICAL
CERTIFICATION
REQUIREMENTS:**

<u>TYPE</u>	<u>CODE</u>	<u>DESCRIPTION</u>
Prime	4.02	Aviation Systems – Architectural Design
	18.00	Architectural Construction Management
Subs	4.01	Aviation Systems- Engineering Design
	11.00	General Structural Engineering
	12.00	General Mechanical Engineering
	13.00	General Electrical Engineering
	14.00	Architecture
	16.00	General Civil Engineering
	17.00	Engineering Construction Management
	22.00	ADA Title II Consultant

PROPOSALS RECEIVED: Twenty-two

SUBMITTAL DATE: September 23, 2020

**SUSTAINABLE BUILDINGS
ORDINANCE
(I.O. NO. 8-8)**

Deliverables involve technical services that will comply with the County’s Sustainable Buildings Program, Sections 9-71 through 9-75 of the Miami-Dade County Code and Implementing Order No. 8-8, and other best practices approved by the Board.

CONTRACT PERIOD: Five years

OPTION(S) TO EXTEND: No

**INSPECTOR GENERAL (IG)
FEE INCLUDED IN BASE
CONTRACT:**

No

ART IN PUBLIC PLACES: Not applicable

BASE ESTIMATE: \$5,000,000

**CONTINGENCY/DEDICATED
ALLOWANCE (Section 2-8.1**

Miami-Dade County Code): 10 percent / \$500,000

IG FEE: \$13,750

TOTAL AMOUNT: \$5,513,750

SEA LEVEL RISE: The impacts of sea level rise will be considered as part of the services.

TRACK RECORD/MONITOR

DUE DILIGENCE: Pursuant to Resolution No. R-187-12, due diligence was conducted to determine the consultant’s responsibility, including verifying corporate status and that no performance or compliance issues exist. The following searches revealed no adverse findings for the firm: Small Business Development (SBD) database, convicted vendors, debarred vendors, delinquent contractors, suspended vendors, and federal excluded parties list. The Capital Improvements Information System (CIIS) database has no evaluations in the System for EXP US Services, Inc. either because no work has been performed to date on the contracts awarded, work orders have not been finalized, or the work has been fully completed. (See Attachment B, “Equitable Distribution Projects (EDP) Evaluation Status”).

**SBD HISTORY OF
VIOLATIONS:** None

**MINIMUM
QUALIFICATIONS:** It is preferred that the Prime consultant have a cumulative five years of experience within the last 20 years modifying and/or designing airport facilities at major airport hubs.

FIRM: EXP U.S. Services, Inc.

COMPANY PRINCIPAL: Rachael Sampson

LOCATION OF COMPANY: 201 Alhambra Circle, Suite 800
Coral Gables, FL 33134

**PREVIOUS AGREEMENTS
WITH THE COUNTY WITHIN
THE PAST THREE YEARS:**

According to ISD’s SBD “A/E Firm History Report,” EXP US Services, Inc. has been awarded six Equitable Distribution Program (EDP) Agreements with the County in the past three years with a total award value of \$2,015,000.00. (See Attachment C, “A&E Firm History Report”).

RESPONSIBLE WAGES:

No

**ASSIGNED CONTRACT
MEASURES:**

DBE- 28 percent

**MEASURES ACHIEVED
AT AWARD:**

DBE Goal – 28.5 percent

(See Attachment D, “SBD Project Worksheet and Compliance Review”).

DBE SUBCONSULTANTS:

300 Engineering Group, P.A. – 2 percent
Digital Building Services, LLC - .5 percent
DisAmerica Consulting Group, Inc. – 2.5 percent
Gartek Engineering Corporation – 10 percent
S&F Engineers, Inc. – 9 percent
TGA Consulting, LLC – 3 percent
Vic Thompson – 1.5 percent

OTHER SUBCONSULTANTS:

Atkins North America, Inc.
LVA Luis Vidal USA, Inc.
Interior Architects, Inc.
Gresham Smith
Apex Companies, LLC
Blue Digital Corp.

**MANDATORY
CLEARINGHOUSE:**

Not applicable

**CONTRACT MANAGER/
EMAIL:**

Sylvia Novela
SNovela@miami-airport.com

**PROJECT MANAGER/
EMAIL:**

Felix Pereira
FPereira@miami-airport.com

SELECTION PROCESS:

The Request to Advertise (RTA) was filed with Clerk of the Board (COB) on March 10, 2020. A total of twenty-two proposals were

received on July 10, 2020. On January 19, 2021, the County Attorney’s Office deemed M.C. Harry & Associates, Inc.’s proposal non-responsive per Addendum No. 3 of this solicitation, which states that any firm that was awarded an agreement as a prime contractor pursuant to ISD Project No. A16-MDAD-03 is not eligible for award as a prime contractor under this solicitation. Consequently, M.C. Harry & Associates, Inc.’s proposal was not evaluated by the Competitive Selection Committee (CSC) (See Attachment E, “County Attorney’s Responsiveness Determination”).

On February 3, 2021, the Internal Services Department’s Small Business Development Division (SBD) deemed the proposal from JSK International, Inc. as non-compliant with the requirements of the Disadvantaged Business Enterprise Program because JSK International, Inc. committed to self-perform the 28 percent DBE subcontractor goal assigned by SBD regardless of the fact that the firm is a non-certified DBE firm. Consequently, JSK International, Inc.’s proposal was deemed as non-compliant and was not evaluated by the CSC.

On March 10, 2021, at the First-Tier meeting, the CSC evaluated all responsive and responsible proposals and deemed the information sufficient to determine the qualifications of the teams.


By majority vote, the CSC decided to forego Second-Tier proceedings and recommended the following firms, in order of preference, for negotiation of four Professional Services Agreements:

Firm	Total Adjusted Qualitative Points	Total Adjusted Ordinal Score	Final Ranking
Carty Architecture, LLC	269	8	1
Leo A. Daly Company	263	15	2
Stantec Consulting Services, Inc.	254	16	3
EXP US Services, Inc.	248	20	4

The Negotiation Committee was approved by the County Mayor’s designee on April 28, 2021. (See Attachment F, “Negotiation Authorization, List of Respondents and Tabulation Sheets”). The Negotiation Committee negotiated with the fourth ranked firm EXP US Services, Inc. on May 25, 2021, May 28, 2021, and concluded on June 2, 2021. The Negotiation Committee reached a consensus to finalize negotiations on June 16, 2021.

BACKGROUND:

MDAD is seeking Capital Improvement Program (CIP) – A/E Design and Construction Services to assist the Aviation Department’s Facility Development Design and Construction staff. These services are for critical projects that are part of the CIP at MIA and GAA.



Jimmy Morales
Chief Operations Officer

Attachment A

FY 2020-21 Adopted Budget and Multi-
Year Capital Plan, Volume 3, Page: 141
and 140

FY 2020 - 21 Adopted Budget and Multi-Year Capital Plan

MIAMI INTERNATIONAL AIRPORT (MIA) - LAND ACQUISITION SUBPROGRAM

PROJECT #: 2000001340

DESCRIPTION: Expand Miami International Airport blueprint through land acquisition
 LOCATION: Various Sites District Located: 6
 Various Sites District(s) Served: 13

REVENUE SCHEDULE:	PRIOR	2020-21	2021-22	2022-23	2023-24	2024-25	2025-26	FUTURE	TOTAL
Future Financing	0	72,500	72,500	0	0	0	0	0	145,000
TOTAL REVENUES:	0	72,500	72,500	0	0	0	0	0	145,000
EXPENDITURE SCHEDULE:	PRIOR	2020-21	2021-22	2022-23	2023-24	2024-25	2025-26	FUTURE	TOTAL
Construction	0	72,500	72,500	0	0	0	0	0	145,000
TOTAL EXPENDITURES:	0	72,500	72,500	0	0	0	0	0	145,000

MIAMI INTERNATIONAL AIRPORT (MIA) - LANDSIDE AND ROADWAYS SUBPROGRAM

PROJECT #: 2000001047

DESCRIPTION: Construct new perimeter road bridge over Tamiami canal to expand to double lanes in both directions
 LOCATION: Miami International Airport District Located: 6
 Unincorporated Miami-Dade County District(s) Served: Countywide

REVENUE SCHEDULE:	PRIOR	2020-21	2021-22	2022-23	2023-24	2024-25	2025-26	FUTURE	TOTAL
FDOT Funds	0	51	475	556	541	4,608	5,658	0	11,889
Future Financing	0	51	475	555	541	4,608	9,047	371	15,648
TOTAL REVENUES:	0	102	950	1,111	1,082	9,216	14,705	371	27,537
EXPENDITURE SCHEDULE:	PRIOR	2020-21	2021-22	2022-23	2023-24	2024-25	2025-26	FUTURE	TOTAL
Construction	0	32	224	638	1,063	9,216	14,705	371	26,249
Planning and Design	0	70	726	473	19	0	0	0	1,288
TOTAL EXPENDITURES:	0	102	950	1,111	1,082	9,216	14,705	371	27,537

MIAMI INTERNATIONAL AIRPORT (MIA) - MISCELLANEOUS PROJECTS SUBPROGRAM

PROJECT #: 2000000096

DESCRIPTION: Rehabilitate taxiway T and S; realign taxiway R; construct Airport Operations Control Room (AOC); construct new employee parking garage; replace Concourse E through H ticket counters; and repair MIA parking garage structure
 LOCATION: Miami International Airport District Located: 6
 Unincorporated Miami-Dade County District(s) Served: Countywide

REVENUE SCHEDULE:	PRIOR	2020-21	2021-22	2022-23	2023-24	2024-25	2025-26	FUTURE	TOTAL
Aviation 2016 Commercial Paper	27,181	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	27,181
Aviation Passenger Facility Charge	0	9,228	0	0	0	0	0	0	9,228
Aviation Revenue Bonds	47,994	6,238	3,399	0	0	0	0	0	57,631
Double-Barreled GO Bonds	2,806	3,482	12,806	906	0	0	0	0	20,000
FDOT Funds	10,073	4,397	0	0	0	0	0	0	14,470
Federal Aviation Administration	40,035	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	40,035
Future Financing	0	12,027	63,018	143,734	1,041	245	755	25,322	246,142
Improvement Fund	0	0	39,898	8,102	0	0	0	0	48,000
TOTAL REVENUES:	128,089	35,372	119,121	152,742	1,041	245	755	25,322	462,687
EXPENDITURE SCHEDULE:	PRIOR	2020-21	2021-22	2022-23	2023-24	2024-25	2025-26	FUTURE	TOTAL
Construction	116,323	34,098	119,081	151,905	1,041	245	755	24,833	448,281
Planning and Design	11,766	1,274	40	837	0	0	0	489	14,406
TOTAL EXPENDITURES:	128,089	35,372	119,121	152,742	1,041	245	755	25,322	462,687

FY 2020 - 21 Adopted Budget and Multi-Year Capital Plan

MIAMI INTERNATIONAL AIRPORT (MIA) - CONCOURSE E SUBPROGRAM

PROJECT #: 2000000094

DESCRIPTION: Renovate Concourse E to include interior, exterior and code requirement upgrades; upgrade passenger loading bridges; replace automated people mover; rehabilitate apron pavement in Concourse E's Satellite and Lower concourse; implement automated processing for inbound international passengers working in conjunction with the Department of Homeland Security utilizing the latest technology and modified Transportation Security Administration (TSA) approved processes; build new chiller plant to meet preconditioned air demands; and upgrade life safety features

LOCATION: Miami International Airport District Located: 6
Unincorporated Miami-Dade County District(s) Served: Countywide

REVENUE SCHEDULE:	PRIOR	2020-21	2021-22	2022-23	2023-24	2024-25	2025-26	FUTURE	TOTAL
Aviation 2016 Commercial Paper	12,277	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	12,277
Aviation Revenue Bonds	96,554	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	96,554
FDOT Funds	42,065	1,188	185	2,828	363	478	2,460	4,752	54,319
Federal Aviation Administration	8,735	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	8,735
Future Financing	0	34,984	66,963	56,022	3,003	1,200	2,460	3,856	168,488
Reserve Maintenance Fund	58,781	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	58,781
TOTAL REVENUES:	218,412	36,172	67,148	58,850	3,366	1,678	4,920	8,608	399,154
EXPENDITURE SCHEDULE:	PRIOR	2020-21	2021-22	2022-23	2023-24	2024-25	2025-26	FUTURE	TOTAL
Construction	211,647	33,914	66,819	55,720	3,272	1,678	4,920	8,608	386,578
Planning and Design	6,765	2,258	329	3,130	94	0	0	0	12,576
TOTAL EXPENDITURES:	218,412	36,172	67,148	58,850	3,366	1,678	4,920	8,608	399,154

MIAMI INTERNATIONAL AIRPORT (MIA) - FUEL FACILITIES SUBPROGRAM

PROJECT #: 2000001318

DESCRIPTION: Design and construct one additional fuel tank at the fuel storage facility

LOCATION: Miami International Airport District Located: 6
Unincorporated Miami-Dade County District(s) Served: Countywide

REVENUE SCHEDULE:	PRIOR	2020-21	2021-22	2022-23	2023-24	2024-25	2025-26	FUTURE	TOTAL
Aviation 2016 Commercial Paper	684	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	684
Aviation Revenue Bonds	39	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	39
FDOT Funds	40	121	278	6,958	1,700	0	0	0	9,097
Future Financing	0	121	278	6,958	2,549	19	0	0	9,925
TOTAL REVENUES:	763	242	556	13,916	4,249	19	0	0	19,745
EXPENDITURE SCHEDULE:	PRIOR	2020-21	2021-22	2022-23	2023-24	2024-25	2025-26	FUTURE	TOTAL
Construction	7	22	556	13,916	4,249	19	0	0	18,769
Planning and Design	756	220	0	0	0	0	0	0	976
TOTAL EXPENDITURES:	763	242	556	13,916	4,249	19	0	0	19,745

Attachment B

Equitable Distribution Projects (EDP) Evaluation Status

Select Work Order.. ▾

Port of Miami
1007 NORTH AMERICA WAY,
miami fl 33132



MIAMI-DADE COUNTY, FLORIDA
EQUITABLE DISTRIBUTION PROJECTS(EDP)
PSA NO: PSA2024
PROJECT NO: EDP-SP-2020-028

EDP Work Order

Award Fee: \$500,000.00 Work Order Total: \$210,471.25 Work Order Count: 2

Work Order
No.: 3

Date:

Project Title: A/E SERVICES FOR MULTIPLE SMALL ARCHITECTURAL PROJECTS

Primary
Firm: EXP US SERVICES INC

Project
Manager: Helga Sommer (Phone: 305-347-4891 Email: hsommer@miamidade.gov)

Department
Contact: Gyselle Pino (Phone: (305) 347-4833 Email: gmf@miamidade.gov)

Project
Description:

The Seaport Department requires the assistance of an EDP architectural/engineering firm for planning and design criteria development (DCD) and construction management services for the development of a new berth and associated landside structures. Scope of services for the prime firm, with his/her professional staff and sub-consultants, may include, but may not be limited to, cruise terminal architectural and planning, design, DCD and post design services for new construction, upgrades and repairs for all or some of the following: building envelope systems (including roofing) horizontal and vertical circulation; terminal connection to passenger boarding bridges (PBB) landside and waterside site development; wharf and berthing improvements wharf access; intermodal areas; ancillary roadways; parking facilities; provisioning facilities; comfort stations; canopies; way finding; life safety; ADA accessibility; operational and security enhancements including checkpoints; access control; furniture; fixtures; equipment; and all related infrastructure, building and structural work. post design services are anticipated to include, but are not limited to, bid assistance, review of bid package, respond to information request, amend bid package as required, review comments, site visits, inspections and attendance to meetings as requested, review of shop drawings, review proposed substitutions and review change orders, review contract schedules, review schedule of values, review pay applications and percentage of construction completion, prepare and / or review of as built drawings and review claims. the services may include planning and the development of signed and sealed construction documents and or DCD development. in addition to building code regulations, design assignments may require compliance with the US customs and Border Protection Standards (USCBP), ADA Title II and the County's Sustainability policies contained in implementing Order 8-8

<u>Contract Amount</u>	<u>Previous Work Order(s)</u>	<u>This Work Order Amount</u>	<u>Work Order Amount Total</u>
\$500,000.00	\$210,471.25		\$210,471.25

Description of work to be accomplished

Exit



Capital Improvements Information System

EDP Projects Awaiting Consultants Evaluation

EDP Projects Awaiting Consultants Evaluation

(SP)

<u>Dept</u>	<u>Project No.</u>	<u>Project Title</u>	<u>Project Manager</u>	<u>Firm</u>	<u>Amount</u>		<u>Status</u>
					<u>Award</u>	<u>EDP Date</u>	
SP	EDP-SP-CM01-SEA-01	VALUE ANALYSIS/PASSENGER TERMINAL		H.J. ROSS ASSOCIATES, INC.	\$44,700	8/14/2002	
SP	EDP-SP-03.002	ENVIRONMENTAL EDUCATION AT THE PORT OF MIAMI		INTERCOUNTY LABORATORIES, INC.	\$21,800	11/6/2002	
SP	EDP-SP-SR-99.099	GANTRY CRANE ELECTRIFICATION VALUE ENGINEERING		H.J. ROSS ASSOCIATES, INC.	\$38,173	4/4/2003	
SP	EDP-SP-00.004	PORT CRANE MAINTENANCE BUILDING		PEREZ & PEREZ ARCHITECTS PLANNERS, INC.	\$75,000	7/18/2003	
SP	EDP-SP-SR-02.023	TESTING SERVICES		C.A.P. GOVERNMENT, INC.	\$22,300	2/13/2004	
SP	EDP-SP-SR-03.020	WHARF 2,3, & 4 - MAXIMUM DREDGE DEPTH		ATKINS NORTH AMERICA, INC.	\$15,000	3/11/2004	
SP	EDP-SP-05.007	TRUCK WEIGHING SCALE & BOOTH		INITIAL ENGINEERS, P.A.	\$7,500	2/14/2005	
SP	EDP-SP-04.027-CO	TERMINAL 9 PASSENGER BOARDING BRIDGE		B.E.A. INTERNATIONAL CORPORATION	\$24,190	3/2/2005	
SP	EDP-SP-01.063-2	PORT OF MIAMI REDEVELOPMENT PROGRAM		INITIAL ENGINEERS, P.A.	\$50,000	4/12/2005	
SP	EDP-SP-02.028	MORTON CHAIN ENVIRONMENTAL AUDIT		INTERCOUNTY LABORATORIES, INC.	\$49,163	4/14/2005	
SP	EDP-SP-00.004-A	PORT CRANE MAINTENANCE ENV AUDIT		CHEROKEE ENTERPRISES, INC.	\$6,774	7/11/2005	
SP	EDP-SP-01.063	P&O PORTS DEVELOPMENT PROJECT		DELTA SURVEYORS, INC.	\$5,812	4/11/2006	
SP	EDP-SP-SR-99.045	WATER PRESSURE TEST - WASD (REVISION)		HNTB CORPORATION	\$5,786	4/14/2006	
SP	EDP-SP-04.027	TERMINAL 9 PASSENGER BOARDING BRIDGE		B.E.A. INTERNATIONAL CORPORATION	\$12,000	4/21/2006	
SP	EDP-SP-SR-04.033	COMPREHENSIVE TARIFF STUDY		PLANNING AND ECONOMICS GROUP, INC.	\$22,636	4/21/2006	
SP	EDP-SP-99.074	NEW SEAPORT FIRE STATION - ENV AUDIT		ALPHA ENGINEERS CORP.	\$1,435	5/23/2006	
SP	EDP-SP-98.07	ACCESS ROUTE IMPROVEMENTS TRAFFIC STUDY NE 5 & 6 ST		TRANSPORT ANALYSIS PROFESSIONALS, INC.	\$5,880	5/30/2006	
SP	EDP-SP-05-058	FIRE EXIT STAIRS CRUISE TERMINALS B & C		PMM - DUPLICATE	\$14,000	8/15/2006	
SP	EDP-SP-02.023-T	TESTING SERVICES		PROFESSIONAL SERVICE INDUSTRIES, INC.	\$10,000	1/12/2007	
SP	EDP-SP-07.017	1007 BLDG FIRE ALARM SYSTEM UPGRADE		ROSS & BARUZZINI, INC.	\$23,300	6/14/2007	
SP	EDP-SP-07.023	EMERGENCY BACKUP POWER ANALYSIS-ADMINISTRATION COMPLEX		ROSS & BARUZZINI, INC.	\$13,299	7/31/2007	
SP	EDP-SP-SR-2006.002	EASTERN PORT BOULEVARD EXTENSION		WSP USA INC	\$95,000	10/15/2007	
SP	EDP-SP-2007.023-2	EMERGENCY BACKUP POWER ANALYSIS ADMINISTRATION COMPLEX		ROSS & BARUZZINI, INC.	\$13,500	7/10/2008	
SP	EDP-SP-S-2008.148	DISPUTE RESOLUTION & CLAIMS ASSISTANCE		TJJA ARCHITECTS P.A.	\$80,851	8/22/2008	

SP	EDP-SP-2011-014.03	CT D & E IMPROVEMENTS PPB CYLINDER FAILURE ANALYSIS		CB&I ENVIRONMENTAL & INFRASTRUCTURE, INC. DBA SHAW ENVIRONMENTAL, INC.	\$50,000	11/6/2015	
SP	EDP-SP-T-2015-020.07	CT D NEW STORM BOLLARD - CONCRETE TESTING		RADISE INTERNATIONAL, L.C.	\$11,640	9/9/2016	
SP	EDP-SP-2010-037.02	NORTH BULKHEAD REPAIRS PH 2 SPECIAL INSPECTIONS		MARLIN ENGINEERING, INC.	\$52,412	5/17/2017	
SP	EDP-SP-2020-008	NPDES STORMWATER SAMPLING	ALVARO ZAYAS	E SCIENCES, INCORPORATED	\$200,000	7/6/2020	On Schedule
SP	EDP-SP-2019-01	CT-H(V) REDEVELOPMENT SEAGRASS MONITORING	ALVARO ZAYAS	STANTEC CONSULTING SERVICES INC.	\$200,000	7/30/2020	On Schedule
SP	EDP-SP-202110.1	PortMiami Shorepower Pilot Study	ALVARO ZAYAS	MOFFATT & NICHOL, INC.	\$248,472	5/19/2021	On Schedule
SP	EDP-SP-2017-1.3	SFCT FACILITIES DEMOLITION MONITORING	ALVARO ZAYAS	Absolute Civil Engineering Solutions LLC	\$7,443	6/14/2021	On Schedule
SP	EDP-SP-S-2009-029-2	RAIL INTERMODAL TIGER 2	ANDREA CHAO	BERMELLO, AJAMIL & PARTNERS, INC.	\$60,000	8/4/2010	
SP	EDP-SP-S-2009-029	PORTMIAMI TIGER GRANTS	ANDREA CHAO	HNTB CORPORATION	\$100,000	5/9/2013	
SP	EDP-SP-T-2008-136.15	CRUISE TERM B & C CANOPY CONCRETE TESTING	BART SANFILIPPO	TIERRA, INC.	\$8,011	4/21/2010	
SP	EDP-SP-05-009	MOORING EXTENSION AT CRUISE TERMINAL 5	BECKY HOPE	H.J. ROSS ASSOCIATES, INC.	\$15,000	3/29/2006	
SP	EDP-SP-05.038	POMTOC CARGO YARD WELL TESTING	BECKY HOPE	U.S. SOUTH ENGINEERING AND TESTING LAB, INC.	\$30,000	7/26/2006	
SP	EDP-SP-2005.034	STORM WATER SAMPLING	BECKY HOPE	BALLBE & ASSOCIATES, INC.	\$36,400	11/30/2009	
SP	EDP-SP-T-2004.19	TWIC TRAILER ASBESTOS SURVEY	BECKY HOPE	PROFESSIONAL SERVICE INDUSTRIES, INC.	\$1,500	4/13/2010	
SP	EDP-SP-2005.034.02	NPDES REGULATORY SAMPLING	BECKY HOPE	BALLBE & ASSOCIATES, INC.	\$140,000	3/23/2011	
SP	EDP-SP-SR-1999-027.01	DEEP DREDGE ENGINEERING REVIEW	BECKY HOPE	PIEDROBA CONSULTING GROUP, LLC	\$200,000	5/28/2013	
SP	EDP-SP-S-2005-034.02	NPDES PROGRAM STORMWATER SAMPLING	BECKY HOPE	ATKINS NORTH AMERICA, INC.	\$136,000	9/11/2015	
SP	EDP-SP-S2017-035.04	NORTH CRUISE BOULEVARD TRAFFIC AND ROADWAY STUDY	Becky Hope	KIMLEY-HORN AND ASSOCIATES, INC.	\$200,000	3/19/2018	On Schedule
SP	EDP-SP-19-021	Traffic Study- Parking Garage K	Becky Hope	Kimley-Horn and Associates, Inc.	\$49,860	4/4/2019	
SP	EDP-SP-06.040	A/C CHILLERS-1050 BUILDING	BOB OWEN	M. E. P. ENGINEERING INC.	\$55,000	12/6/2006	
SP	EDP-SP-06.064	HVAC LOAD ANALYSIS - 1790 BLDG	BOB OWEN	INITIAL ENGINEERS, P.A.	\$8,095	1/10/2007	
SP	EDP-SP-05-007-2 PH 2	TRUCK WEIGHING SCALE & BOOTH - PHASE 2	DIANA LOPEZ	INITIAL ENGINEERS, P.A.	\$8,100	12/20/2006	
SP	EDP-SP-06.063	CONTROL HOUSE METAL DECK ROOF SYSTEM	DIANA LOPEZ	ZYSCOVICH, INC	\$9,500	4/18/2007	
SP	EDP-SP-06.061	TERMINALS B & C RENOVATIONS	DIANA LOPEZ	RO ARCHITECTS & PLANNERS, INC.	\$100,000	4/24/2007	
SP	EDP-SP-T-2008-136.04	CRUISE TERMINALS B AND C #9 ASBESTOS SURVEY	EDUARDO ABARCA	EE&G ENVIRONMENTAL SERVICE, LLC	\$975	2/9/2010	
SP	EDP-SP-2010-035	CRANE RAIL UPGRADES	ELIZABETH OGDEN	AMMANN & WHITNEY, INC.	\$90,000	8/15/2011	
SP	EDP-SP-2008.122 CA	CRUISE TERMINAL J REMODELING CA	ELIZABETH OGDEN	INDIGO SERVICE CORPORATION	\$64,320	10/6/2011	
SP	EDP-SP-2016-058	BUILDING 1306 DEMOLITION AND PAVING	ELIZABETH OGDEN, R.A.	YOUSSEF HACHEM CONSULTING ENGINEERING, INC.	\$67,771	1/25/2017	
SP	EDP-SP-2010-038.01	N CRUISE BERTHS SCOUR REPAIRS SPECIAL INSPECTIONS	Elizabeth Ogden, R.A.	G.M. SELBY, INC.	\$60,000	10/11/2017	
SP	EDP-SP-M2018-002	NPDES PROGRAM - STORMWATER SAMPLING	Elizabeth Ogden, R.A.	E SCIENCES, INCORPORATED	\$145,000	1/18/2018	
SP	EDP-SP-2010-038.04	North Bulkhead Special Inspection	Elizabeth Ogden, R.A.	G.M. Selby, Inc.	\$60,000	7/19/2018	
SP	EDP-SP-M19-13.1	BOND ENGINEERING SERIVES	Elizabeth Ogden, R.A.	ARCADIS U.S., INC.	\$100,000	5/11/2021	On Schedule
SP	EDP-SP-2015-025	CT F EXPANSION DCP	FELIX PEREIRA	BERMELLO, AJAMIL & PARTNERS, INC.	\$199,450	9/11/2015	
SP	EDP-SP-2021-5	A/E Services for various MEP Services	GABRIELLE BORK	G & G ENGINEERING GROUP, INC.	\$400,000	8/27/2021	On Schedule

SP	EDP-SP-18-048	Construction Management Services	Gyselle Pino	COBB, FENDLEY & ASSOCIATES, INC.	\$197,466	1/31/2020	
SP	EDP-SP-M2011-001.16	BASCULE BRIDGE RAIL INSPECTIONS	Helga Sommer	NEW MILLENNIUM DESIGN CONSULTANTS, INC.	\$74,492	2/22/2018	
SP	EDP-SP-2010-040	Geographic Information System Services	Helga Sommer	WOOLPERT, INC.	\$90,569	2/26/2020	On Schedule
SP	EDP-SP-2019-13	BOND ENGINEERING SERVICES	Helga Sommer	ARCADIS U.S., INC.	\$200,000	9/18/2020	On Schedule
SP	EDP-SP-20105109	MARINE SERVICES ENGINEERING	Helga Sommer	Jacobs Engineering Group, Inc.	\$199,381	1/29/2021	On Schedule
SP	EDP-SP-2013-01	AE SERVICES FOR PORT FACILITIES	Helga Sommer	Jacobs Engineering Group, Inc.	\$200,000	2/22/2021	On Schedule
SP	EDP-SP-20-9	East Cargo Parking Facility for SFCT	Helga Sommer	Architects International, Inc.	\$333,329	4/16/2021	On Schedule
SP	EDP-SP-2020-028	A/E SERVICES FOR MULTIPLE SMALL ARCHITECTURAL PROJECTS	Helga Sommer	EXP US SERVICES INC	\$500,000	5/13/2021	On Schedule
SP	EDP-SP-2020-007	Mooring Analysis and Sea Level Rise Study	Helga Sommer	AECOM Technical Services, Inc.	\$500,000	5/19/2021	On Schedule
SP	EDP-SP-2020011R	Construction Administration Services	Helga Sommer	TECTONIC GROUP INTERNATIONAL, LLC	\$498,490	6/3/2021	On Schedule
SP	EDP-SP-2020-037	A/E SERVICES FOR MULTIPLE SMALL STRUCTURAL PROJECTS	Helga Sommer	TLC ENGINEERING SOLUTIONS INC	\$400,000	7/22/2021	On Schedule
SP	EDP-SP-21-033	Shed B Office Renovation	Helga Sommer	GLE ASSOCIATES, INC	\$2,100	9/14/2021	On Schedule
SP	EDP-SP-M2013-032	CRUISE TERMINALS AND GARAGES CCTV UPGRADES PH 3	HUGO HERNANDEZ	TLC ENGINEERING SOLUTIONS INC	\$119,870	8/19/2013	
SP	EDP-SP-2010-002-2	MULTIPLE SMALL STRUCTURAL PROJECTS	ILEANA QUINTANA	BRILL, RODRIGUEZ, SALAS & ASSOCIATES, INC.	\$10,000	9/18/2013	
SP	EDP-SP-SR-2011-001.02-2	RAIL PROGRAM IMPLEMENTATION	ISA NUNEZ	ATKINS NORTH AMERICA, INC.	\$200,000	3/25/2013	
SP	EDP-SP-C2009.022	SUPPLEMENTAL GUIDE SIGN	JAMES FERREIRA	AXIS ENGINEERING CONSULTANTS, INC.	\$98,381	6/16/2009	
SP	EDP-SP-2010-002	MULTIPLE SMALL STRUCTURAL PROJECTS	JAMES FERREIRA	BRILL, RODRIGUEZ, SALAS & ASSOCIATES, INC.	\$120,000	1/15/2010	
SP	EDP-SP-2010-001	MULTIPLE SMALL MEP UPGRADES	JAMES FERREIRA	FRAGA ENGINEERS, LLC	\$100,000	1/28/2010	
SP	EDP-SP-01.063-3	ELECTRICAL & MECHANICAL PORT SERVICES	JIM PAPPAS	DEROSE DESIGN CONSULTANTS, INC.	\$131,475	9/9/2005	
SP	EDP-SP-T-2009-028.02	WHARF 1 SOILS AND MATERIAL TESTING	JUAN BERGOUIGAN	GLOBEX ENGINEERING & DEVELOPMENT, INC.	\$4,000	4/14/2010	
SP	EDP-SP-18-037A	Seaport Multiple Small Architectural Projects	Lazaro Alvarez	EDWARD LEWIS ARCHITECTS, INC.	\$91,986	1/24/2019	Behind Schedule
SP	EDP-SP-18-037C	Seaport Multiple Small Architectural Projects	Lazaro Alvarez	Wolfberg/Alvarez and Partners, Inc.	\$5,740	7/10/2019	On Schedule
SP	EDP-SP-18-047	A/E Support Services for Design Criteria Documentation	Lazaro Alvarez	BERENBLUM BUSCH ARCHITECTURE, INC.	\$199,660	6/23/2020	On Schedule
SP	EDP-SP-18-037B	Seaport Multiple Small Architectural Projects	Lazaro Alvarez	RVL ARCHITECTURE + DESIGN, P.A.	\$200,000	4/21/2021	On Schedule
SP	EDP-SP-SR-2010-035	GANTRY CRANES 13 AND 14	LEONOR ORTEGA	APTIM ENVIRONMENTAL & INFRASTRUCTURE, INC.	\$113,900	7/19/2010	
SP	EDP-SP-2006-019-03	PARKING GARAGE D COMM & ACCESS	LOUIS NORIEGA	ROSS & BARUZZINI, INC.	\$55,000	8/22/2011	
SP	EDP-SP-SR-S2013-043	PORTMIAMI CONSULTING ENGINEERING SERVICES	MIRIAM ABREU	ARCADIS U.S., INC.	\$100,000	8/1/2014	
SP	EDP-SP-SR-S2013-043-2	PORTMIAMI CONSULTING ENGINEERING SERVICES	MIRIAM ABREU	ARCADIS U.S., INC.	\$100,000	3/23/2015	
SP	EDP-SP-2007-022.03	WHARF 1 RETROFIT STRUCTURAL INSPECTIONS	OLGA CORDERO	G.M. SELBY, INC.	\$26,220	12/28/2012	
SP	EDP-SP-S-2014-004	PARKING GARAGES G & J STRUCTURAL JOINT REPAIRS	OLGA CORDERO	BOTAS ENGINEERING, INC.	\$42,200	11/19/2013	
SP	EDP-SP-S-2011-001.04	BASCULE RAILROAD BRIDGE REHABILITATION	PATRICK SHORTAL	RS&H, INC.	\$193,442	5/3/2011	
SP	EDP-SP-SR-2011-001.02	RAIL LINE TRACKS	PATRICK SHORTAL	JACOBS ENGINEERING GROUP, INC.	\$200,000	5/18/2011	
SP	EDP-SP-SR-2004-019.01	POM TUNNEL ADVISORY SERVICES	PATRICK SHORTAL	BERMELLO, AJAMIL & PARTNERS, INC.	\$200,000	9/29/2011	

SP	EDP-SP-S2012-014	CARGO TERMINAL GATE STUDY	PATRICK SHORTAL	CH2M HILL, INC.	\$200,000	11/28/2011	
SP	EDP-SP-2011-001.02	RAIL PROGRAM IMPLEMENTATION STUDY	PATRICK SHORTAL	ATKINS NORTH AMERICA, INC.	\$199,990	3/27/2012	
SP	EDP-SP-06.002	EASTERN PORT BLVD	RICHARD D. GRUPENHOFF	EVANS ENVIRONMENTAL AND GEOLOGICAL SCIENCE AND MANAGEMENT, LLC	\$1,500	11/29/2006	
SP	EDP-SP-S-M2017-011	MARINE CONSTRUCTION MGMT SERVICES	Robert Stebbins Jr.,	300 ENGINEERING GROUP, P.A.	\$200,000	5/24/2017	On Schedule
SP	EDP-SP-2011.045	Tetra Tech General Engineering Serives	Victor Gutierrez	TETRA TECH, INC.	\$500,000	11/23/2020	On Schedule
SP	EDP-SP-2018-46	EAC General Engineering Services	Victor Gutierrez	EAC Consulting, Inc.	\$500,000	3/1/2021	On Schedule
SP	EDP-SP-S-2008.136.15	CT B & C CANOPIES	VICTORIA VALDEZ	RIZO CARRENO & PARTNERS INC.	\$100,597	8/26/2009	
SP	EDP-SP-T-2008-136.04-2	CRUISE TERMINALS B AND C #9 TESTING	VICTORIA VALDEZ	PROFESSIONAL SERVICE INDUSTRIES, INC.	\$15,728	5/26/2010	
SP	EDP-SP-T-2008-136.15-2	CRUISE TERM B & C CANOPY STEEL TESTING	VICTORIA VALDEZ	PROFESSIONAL SERVICE INDUSTRIES, INC.	\$19,510	5/26/2010	
SP	EDP-SP-S-2008-122.13	CT J CBP PROCESSING AREA	VICTORIA VALDEZ	BC ARCHITECTS AIA, INC.	\$226,730	4/25/2012	
SP	EDP-SP-T-2011-014.09	CRUISE TERMINAL D VIP RESTROOMS	VICTORIA VALDEZ	ABSOLUTE CIVIL ENGINEERING SOLUTIONS LLC	\$6,000	7/16/2013	
SP	EDP-SP-2015-050A	CT D & E IMPROVEMENTS - THRESHOLD INSPECTION	Victoria Valdez	EASTERN ENGINEERING GROUP COMPANY	\$16,427	10/24/2016	
SP	EDP-SP-T-2015-020	CT E AND D IMPROVEMENTS TESTING	Victoria Valdez	ATC GROUP SERVICES, LLC	\$27,789	11/7/2016	
SP	EDP-SP-M2011-049	MULTIPLE SMALL STRUCTURAL UPGRADES	Vivian Alfonso	M. HAJJAR & ASSOCIATES, INC.	\$160,000	9/14/2011	On Schedule
SP	<u>EDP-SP-21-23.1</u>	<u>CT F Metal Roof Interior Structure Repanting Phase 1</u>	<u>Vivian Alfonso</u>	<u>UNIVERSAL ENGINEERING SCIENCES LLC</u>	<u>\$1,748</u>	<u>9/29/2021</u>	<u>On Schedule</u>
Totals: 106							

Capital Improvements
Information System
(CIIS)

intra.miamidade.gov

MIAMI-DADE

PROJECTS

[Capital Projects \(R\)](#)
[GOB Projects \(R\)](#)
[QNIP Projects](#)
[A & E Projects](#)

Contracts / Agreements

[Contracts by Dept \(R\)](#)
[MCC RPO Contracts \(R\) \(B\)](#)
[DTPW PSAs](#)
[EDP Agreements](#)
[Architecture & Eng.](#)

STANDARD PROCEDURES

[Capital Constr. Overview](#)
[Policies & Procedures](#)
[Contract Language](#)
[Contract Forms](#)
[Help and Training](#)

REPORTS

[Reports](#)
[FAQ's](#)

Contact Us

ISD Staff

Web Site
© 2021 Miami-Dade County
All rights reserved

Date Last Edited : 01/08/2020 -

Internal Services
Department

Cristina Gorrita (Temp): Your previous logon was: Monday, October 4, 2021 at 4:00:36 PM
Your Pending Contractor Evaluations

Equitable Distribution Projects Menu

Select Department:

EDP Project

Select Project:

Please use below links to access project information
Project No. EDP-SP-2020-19

- [Project Initiation](#)
- [Notes](#)
- [Selection](#)
- [Authorization](#)

[Search EDP With Project No](#)

[EDP Projects Report](#)
[EDP Project Initiation Report](#)
[EDP Special Request Project Report](#) [EDP Projects With Multiple Checked](#)
[EDP Project Initiation Activity](#)
[EDP Change Order Report](#)
[EDP Projects Awaiting Consultants Evaluation](#)
[EDP Firms Currently Under Consideration](#)
[EDP Firms Selection \(Prime\)](#) [EDP Firms Selection \(Sub\)](#) [EDP Firms Selection\(All\)](#)
[EDP Emergency Firm List](#)
[EDP Consultants](#)
[FAQs / Messages](#)
[CIIS EDP User Manual](#)

No Work Orders

There is no Work Order right now.

Fire Rescue
New Construction Division
9300 NW 41 Street
Doral FL 33178



MIAMI-DADE COUNTY, FLORIDA
EQUITABLE DISTRIBUTION PROJECTS(EDP)
PSA NO: PSA2024
PROJECT NO: EDP-FR-LEED-M

EDP Work Order

Award Fee: \$400,000.00 Work Order Total: \$0.00 Work Order Count: 0

Please update project status before entering Work Order.

Work Order
No.: 1

Date:

Project Title: MDRF MULTIPLE LEED CONSULTANT

Primary Firm: EXP US SERVICES INC

Project Manager: Jose Nieblas (Phone: 786-331-4538 Email: jose.nieblas@miamidade.gov)

Department Contact: Angel H. Lamela (Phone: (786) 331-4502 Email: alamela@miamidade.gov)

Project Description: Miami-Dade Fire Rescue Department needs to secure a LEED Consulting firm to evaluate the new station designs and provide suggestions on how to maximize the requirements of the GBCI. MDRF must achieve the maximum amount of credits to meet or exceed silver certification. The consultant is to prepare all required documentation, coordinate design consultant meetings, monitor and upload all items needed to the GBCI for project certification.

<u>Contract Amount</u>	<u>Previous Work Order(s)</u>	<u>This Work Order Amount</u>	<u>Work Order Amount Total</u>
\$400,000.00	\$0.00		\$0.00

Description of work to be accomplished



Capital Improvements Information System

EDP Projects Awaiting Consultants Evaluation

EDP Projects Awaiting Consultants Evaluation

(FR)

<u>Dept</u>	<u>Project No.</u>	<u>Project Title</u>	<u>Project Manager</u>	<u>Firm</u>	<u>Amount</u>		<u>Status</u>
					<u>Award</u>	<u>EDP Date</u>	
FR	EDP-FR-HO/03	HIGHLANDS OAKS		ARCADIS U.S., INC.	\$50,000	10/24/2003	
FR	EDP-FR-ST 5/01	FIRE STATION SURVEYS		FORD, ARMENTEROS & FERNANDEZ, INC.	\$7,550	6/28/2004	
FR	EDP-FR-16	MDFRD HEADQUARTERS ELEC. DATA RM. UPGRADES & STATION 4, 6 LIGHTING		LAUREDO ENGINEERING COMPANY	\$11,400	11/22/2004	
FR	EDP-FR-ST43	FIRE RESCUE STATION #3 SEWER CONNECTION		EGSC ENGINEERING CONSULTANTS, INC.	\$15,718	11/30/2006	
FR	EDP-FR-SR-EKLOG	EAST KENDALL FIRE RESCUE & LOGISTICS		SILVA ARCHITECTS	\$49,000	5/2/2007	
FR	EDP-FR-XPARK	ADDITIONAL PARKING AREA FOR STATIONS #44, 52 & 54		SCHNARS ENGINEERING CORPORATION	\$54,850	8/21/2007	
FR	EDP-FR-MISC ELECTRICAL	TAMIAMI AIR RESCUE ELECTRICAL		ROSS & BARUZZINI, INC.	\$10,000	1/23/2008	
FR	EDP-FR-D/B-25-C.E.	COCONUT PALM FIRE RESCUE STATION 70 CM		F.J. ENGINEERING, INC.	\$1,000	7/7/2008	
FR	EDP-FR-62-STRC	PALMETTO BAY FS 62		LAURA LLERENA & ASSOCIATES, INC.	\$13,620	5/14/2015	
FR	EDP-FR-SV-FS24	MDFR STATION 24 SURVEY		F.R. ALEMAN AND ASSOCIATES, INC.	\$4,000	8/9/2016	
FR	EDP-FR-SV-OR	MDFR-CRANDONFS-OR		AYLWARD ENGINEERING & SURVEYING, INC.	\$10,000	8/18/2016	
FR	EDP-FR-SV-FS25	MDFR-FS25-SURVEY		HSQ GROUP, INC.	\$15,000	8/23/2016	
FR	EDP-FR-T-FS 31	FIRE STATION 31 AIR CLEANERS	ALBERTO PERDIGON	BUREAU VERITAS NORTH AMERICA, INC.	\$6,947	8/30/2013	
FR	EDP-FR-MISC-18-B	FIRE STATION REHABS STATION 20	ALFONSO LEDO	JOHNSON ASSOCIATES ARCHITECTS, INC.	\$38,000	6/14/2006	
FR	EDP-FR-OR-LND	MDFRD Ocean Rescue Headquarters	Angel H. Lamela	LAURA LLERENA & ASSOCIATES, INC.	\$18,000	6/3/2020	
FR	EDP-FR-MISC-29	FIRE SHOP CODE COMPLIANCE - SHOP 2	ARMANDO VALDES	ANGEL C. SAQUI, FAIA, ARCHITECTS, PLANNERS, INTERIORS, LTD.	\$36,835	4/27/2007	
FR	EDP-FR-SR-DB 10 AND 11	CLOSE-OUT DOCUMENTATION STATIONS 65 AND 66	EDWARD VILLAREAL	ALLEGUEZ ARCHITECTURE, INC.	\$20,000	4/8/2009	
FR	EDP-FR-T-38-FIRE	WEST MIAMI RESCUE STATION 40 FIRE SYSTEMS	EDWARD VILLAREAL	GUIROLA & ASSOCIATES, P.A.	\$5,000	6/19/2009	
FR	EDP-FR-S-46	TRAINING CENTER SOLAR POOL HEATING STUDY	EDWARD VILLAVERDE	UCI ENGINEERING INC.	\$5,000	9/21/2010	
FR	EDP-FR-D/B-24	ARCOLA FIRE RESCUE STATION NO. 67	JESUS FARINAS	KVH ARCHITECTS, P.A.	\$42,500	1/10/2007	
FR	EDP-FR-T-25	COCONUT PALM FIRE RESCUE STATION 70	JOSE NIEBLAS	EASTERN ENGINEERING GROUP COMPANY	\$34,000	3/1/2010	
FR	EDP-FR-76	FIRE STATION 76	JOSE NIEBLAS	SIDDIQ KHAN & ASSOCIATES, INC.	\$2,500	4/4/2011	
FR	EDP-FR-S-T-25	COCONUT PALM FIRE RESCUE STATION 70	JOSE NIEBLAS	ACAI ASSOCIATES, INC.	\$27,200	12/5/2012	
FR	EDP-FR-MLTI-MEP16	MECHANICAL AND ELECTRICAL ANALYSIS AND UPGRADES	Jose Nieblas	HAMMOND & ASSOCIATES, INC.	\$180,000	4/12/2016	On Schedule
FR	EDP-FR-T-	FS 25 GEO TESTING	Jose Nieblas	ARDAMAN & ASSOCIATES, INC.	\$15,000	8/23/2016	On

	FS25							Schedule
FR	EDP-FR-29-LND	MDFR FS 29 LANDSCAPE ARCH	Jose Nieblas	LAURA LLERENA & ASSOCIATES, INC.	\$16,000	8/17/2017		
FR	EDP-FR-S-ST29-L	MDFR LEED CONSULTANT	Jose Nieblas	SILVA ARCHITECTS, LLC	\$100,000	8/23/2017		
FR	EDP-FR-STRCV-M	CIVIL AND STRUCTURAL ENGINEERING SERVICES	Jose Nieblas	TOTAL MUNICIPAL SOLUTIONS, INC.	\$100,000	10/19/2017		
FR	EDP-FR-HCAT	MDFR HQ INCREASE BLDG. CATEGORY	Jose Nieblas	Ingelmo Associates, P.A.	\$57,000	8/22/2019	On Schedule	
FR	EDP-FR-TF-STR	EDP-FR-TF-STR	Jose Nieblas	SIERRA ENGINEERING GROUP, A PROFESSIONAL CORPORATION	\$6,200	9/24/2019		
FR	EDP-FR-45-LND	MDFR FS 45 Landscape Architect	Jose Nieblas	LAURA LLERENA & ASSOCIATES, INC.	\$12,000	2/25/2020	On Hold	
FR	EDP-FR-LOG-AR	Logistics Storage Canopy - Solar	Jose Nieblas	FANJUL & ASSOCIATES, LLC.	\$25,480	3/16/2020		
FR	EDP-FR-71-CV	FIRE STATION 71 TEMP	Jose Nieblas	Avino & Associates, Inc.	\$27,000	3/30/2020	On Schedule	
FR	EDP-FR-41T-CVSTR	Fire Station 41 Temporary Trailers	Jose Nieblas	MAURICE GRAY ASSOCIATES, INC.	\$6,800	6/3/2020		
FR	EDP-FR-ASBT	MDFRD ROOF ROOF ASBESTOS TESTING	Jose Nieblas	NV5, Inc.	\$28,000	6/3/2020		
FR	EDP-FR-MAIR	MDFRD AIR QUALITY TESTING MULTIPLE	Jose Nieblas	Professional Service Industries, Inc. PSI	\$100,000	6/3/2020	On Schedule	
FR	EDP-FR-ORHQ	Crandon Park Ocean Rescue Headquarters Rendering	Jose Nieblas	N25 ARCHITECTURE CO.	\$3,500	6/3/2020		
FR	EDP-FR-SURV	MDFRD Fire Station 71 Temporary	Jose Nieblas	Manuel G. Vera & Associates, Inc.	\$1,913	6/4/2020	On Schedule	
FR	EDP-FR-38-STR-R	FIRE STATION 38 STRUCTURAL REPAIRS	Jose Nieblas	PMM CONSULTING ENGINEERS, CORP.	\$1,200	6/5/2020	On Schedule	
FR	EDP-FR-M-GEOT	Multiple Fire Station Geotechnical Testing	Jose Nieblas	Nutting Engineers of Florida, Inc.	\$100,000	8/18/2020	On Schedule	
FR	EDP-FR-M-SURV	Multiple Fire Station Land Surveys	Jose Nieblas	Avino & Associates, Inc.	\$65,000	12/2/2020		
FR	EDP-FR-M-40YR	40 YR RECERTIFICATION REPORTS AT VARIOUS FIRE STATIONS	Jose Nieblas	Landra Associates, P.A.	\$200,000	12/15/2020	On Schedule	
FR	EDP-FR-19T-CV	Fire Station 19 Temporary	Jose Nieblas	Avino & Associates, Inc.	\$34,000	4/7/2021		
FR	EDP-FR-CSTR-M	MDFR MULTIPLE CIVIL STRUCTURAL ENGINEERING	Jose Nieblas	Ingelmo Associates, P.A.	\$400,000	4/7/2021		
FR	EDP-FR-CV-M	MDFR MULTIPLE CIVIL ENGINEER	Jose Nieblas	MIAMI ENVIRONMENTAL & CIVIL ENGINEERING, LLC	\$400,000	4/7/2021	Not Started	
FR	EDP-FR-LEED-M	MDFR MULTIPLE LEED CONSULTANT	Jose Nieblas	EXP US SERVICES INC	\$400,000	4/7/2021		
FR	EDP-FR-M-ARCH	MDFR MULTIPLE ARCH SERVICES	Jose Nieblas	LEON CASES ARCHITECT, INC	\$400,000	4/7/2021		
FR	EDP-FR-MEPM	MDFR MULTIPLE MEP	Jose Nieblas	MEP ENGINEERING, INC.	\$400,000	4/7/2021	On Schedule	
FR	EDP-FS-SPECS	MDFRD SPECS	Jose Nieblas	Landra Associates, P.A.	\$250,000	4/7/2021		
FR	EDP-FR-34-PPT	Fire Station Pin Pile Testing	Jose Nieblas	BLASCOM ENGINEERING, INC.	\$2,849	5/14/2021		
FR	EDP-FR-LND-M	MDFR Multiple Landscape Arch Services	Jose Nieblas	LAURA LLERENA & ASSOCIATES, INC.	\$150,000	5/14/2021		
FR	EDP-FR-M-ARCH2	MDFR MULTIPLE ARCH SERVICES-2	Jose Nieblas	Naya Architects, Inc.	\$400,000	7/30/2021		
FR	EDP-FR-SMK-T	MDFR Fire Station 13 Sewer Evaluation Test	Jose Nieblas	Professional Service Industries, Inc. PSI	\$4,997	7/30/2021		
FR	EDP-FR-MAIRQ	MDFRD AIR QUALITY TESTING FOR MULTIPLE STATION	Jose Nieblas	ATC Group Services LLC	\$400,000	8/26/2021		
FR	EDP-FR-GEOT-M	Fire Station Geotechnical & Materials Testing	Jose Nieblas	Nutting Engineers of Florida, Inc.	\$300,000	10/1/2021	Not Started	
FR	EDP-FR-T-21/44	FIRE RESCUE STATIONS 21 AND 44	MANNY NIEBLAS	PMM - DUPLICATE	\$5,000	6/30/2010		
FR	EDP-FR-HQ-CAT	MDFR HEADQUARTERS BLDG CATEGORY	MANNY NIEBLAS	MARTINEZ ENGINEERING GROUP, INC.	\$8,750	9/21/2012		
FR	EDP-FR-FS60-PARK	FS 60 ADDITIONAL PARKING	MANNY NIEBLAS	DEVELOPMENT CONSULTING SERVICES, INC.	\$6,000	2/7/2013		

FR	EDP-FR-SV-HQ-SURV	MDFR HQ PARKING LOT EXPANSION SURVEY	MANNY NIEBLAS	SUN-TECH ENGINEERING, INC.	\$6,000	6/18/2013
FR	EDP-FR-HQ-PARK	MDFR HQ PARKING LOT EXPANSION	MANNY NIEBLAS	ALVAREZ ENGINEERS, INC.	\$17,775	9/19/2013
FR	EDP-FR-S-62-LEED	LEED CONSULTING SERVICES FOR FIRE STATION 62	MANNY NIEBLAS	RVL ARCHITECTURE + DESIGN, P.A.	\$60,000	10/14/2015
FR	EDP-FR-MISC-18-A	FIRE STATION REHABS STATION 19	MARGARITA GARCES	JOHNSON ASSOCIATES ARCHITECTS, INC.	\$68,000	6/14/2006
FR	EDP-FR-D/B-25	COCONUT PALM FIRE RESCUE STATION NO. 70	MARGARITA GARCES	THE ARCHITECTURAL PARTNERSHIP, INC.	\$21,690	8/9/2006
<u>FR</u>	<u>EDP-FR-SR-62</u>	<u>PALMETTO BAY STATION NO. 62</u>	<u>RAQUEL ROSAL</u>	<u>FORD ENGINEERS, INC.</u>	<u>\$7,000</u>	<u>9/26/2011</u>

Totals: 64

Select Work Order.. ▾

Police
9105 NW 25 ST
Suite 3055
Doral FL 33172



MIAMI-DADE COUNTY, FLORIDA
EQUITABLE DISTRIBUTION PROJECTS(EDP)
PSA NO: PSA2024
PROJECT NO: EDP-PD-2020MEP

EDP Work Order

Award Fee: \$200,000.00 Work Order Total: \$186,500.00 Work Order Count: 1

Work Order
No.: 2

Date:

Project Title: MEP Consulting Services for Multiple Locations

Primary Firm: EXP US SERVICES INC

Project
Manager: Diniester Marcelo (Phone: 305-471-2327 Email: DMarcelo@mdpd.com)

Department
Contact: Francisco Zuloaga (Phone: 305-471-2579 Email: fzuloaga@mdpd.com)

Project
Description: The Police Department requires the assistance of an EDP architectural firm for the design and post design services for multiple small Police Departments modification and improvement projects. Scope of services for the prime firm, with his/her professional staff and sub-consultants, may include, but may not be limited to, studies, probable cost estimates, assessments, staff assistance, 40 years inspections, planning, design, post design and construction inspections services for new construction, upgrades and repairs for any MDC Police Facility.

<u>Contract Amount</u>	<u>Previous Work Order(s)</u>	<u>This Work Order Amount</u>	<u>Work Order Amount Total</u>
\$200,000.00	\$186,500.00		\$186,500.00

Description of work to be accomplished



Capital Improvements Information System

EDP Projects Awaiting Consultants Evaluation

EDP Projects Awaiting Consultants Evaluation

(PD)

<u>Dept</u>	<u>Project No.</u>	<u>Project Title</u>	<u>Project Manager</u>	<u>Firm</u>	<u>Amount</u>		<u>Status</u>
					<u>Award</u>	<u>EDP Date</u>	
PD	EDP-ID-W160104	MDPD Headquarters Cafetorium Stage Remodel	Aundria Blatch	J.S.K. International, Inc. dba J.S.K. Architectural Group	\$67,200	11/30/2018	
PD	EDP-ID-W180017	MDPD AGRICULTURAL PATROL CENTER - TRAILER SITE	Diniester Marcelo	SNUBBS CONSULTING INC.	\$2,239	6/21/2018	On Schedule
PD	EDP-ID-W190016	MIAMI-DADE PUBLIC SAFETY TRAINING INSTITUTE - DRAINAGE AND PARKING	Diniester Marcelo	Development Consulting Services, Inc.	\$55,440	6/5/2019	On Schedule
PD	EDP-PD-2020AE	Architectural Consulting Services for Multiple Locations	Diniester Marcelo	Behar Font & Partners, PA	\$200,000	11/25/2019	On Schedule
PD	EDP-PD-2020S	Structural Consulting Services for Multiple Locations	Diniester Marcelo	GARCIA MULLIN GROUP, LLC	\$200,000	12/5/2019	On Schedule
PD	EDP-PD-2020AE01	Architectural Consulting Services for Multiple Locations	Diniester Marcelo	INSIGHT DESIGN, INC.	\$200,000	1/24/2020	On Schedule
PD	EDP-PD-2020MEP	MEP Consulting Services for Multiple Locations	Diniester Marcelo	EXP US SERVICES INC	\$200,000	3/25/2020	On Schedule
PD	EDP-PD-2020AE2	PDC -W20026- MDPD Hammocks District Remodelation.	Diniester Marcelo	PEREZ ASSOCIATES	\$200,000	6/24/2020	
PD	EDP-PD-2020MEP1	MEP Consulting Services for Multiple Locations	Diniester Marcelo	A.D.A. ENGINEERING, INC.	\$200,000	9/2/2020	On Schedule
PD	EDP-PD-2021GEO	Geotechnical Services for MDPD Multiple Projects/Locations.	Diniester Marcelo	WOOD ENVIRONMENT & INFRASTRUCTURE SOLUTIONS INC.	\$300,000	5/3/2021	On Schedule
PD	EDP-PD-2021SURV	MDPD Land Surveying Consulting Services for Multiple Locations	Diniester Marcelo	E.R. Brownell & Associates, Inc.	\$250,000	5/14/2021	
PD	EDP-PD-SR-GOB-EQUINE207	SPECIALIZED EQUINE FACILITY	EDDIE PANTOJA	M.C. HARRY AND ASSOCIATES, INC.	\$100,000	1/16/2009	
PD	EDP-PD-E0001	MDPD Public Safety Training Institute (PSTI) Building 400 & 500 and Indoor Racquet Ball Court Concrete Restoration and Waterproofing and Painting	Francisco Zuloaga	J.S.K. International, Inc. dba J.S.K. Architectural Group	\$35,780	11/5/2020	On Schedule

Totals: 13

Select Work Order.. ▾

Internal Services
111 NW FIRST STREET
SUITE 2430
MIAMI, FL FL 33128



MIAMI-DADE COUNTY, FLORIDA
EQUITABLE DISTRIBUTION PROJECTS(EDP)
PSA NO: PSA2024
PROJECT NO: EDP-ID-W20AE04

EDP Work Order

Award Fee: \$400,000.00 Work Order Total: \$103,723.98 Work Order Count: 2

Work Order No.: 3

Date:

Project Title: Multiple A/E Services VI

Primary Firm: EXP US SERVICES INC

Project Manager: Marc LaFrance (Phone: 786-469-2083 Email: LAFRAM@miamidade.gov)

Department Contact: Marc LaFrance (Phone: 786-469-2083 Email: LAFRAM@miamidade.gov)

Project Description: Require an EDP Architectural Firm to provide architectural and engineering services for renovation and improvements of multiple County building and parking facilities. Engineering services include electrical, mechanical, civil and structural. Architectural and engineering services include programming, design, estimating, project representation, field observations, construction documents, permitting, construction management, contract administration and project administration.

<u>Contract Amount</u>	<u>Previous Work Order(s)</u>	<u>This Work Order Amount</u>	<u>Work Order Amount Total</u>
\$400,000.00	\$103,723.98		\$103,723.98

Description of work to be accomplished



Capital Improvements Information System

EDP Projects Awaiting Consultants Evaluation

EDP Projects Awaiting Consultants Evaluation

(ID)

Dept	Project No.	Project Title	Project Manager	Firm	Amount		Status
					Award	EDP Date	
ID	EDP-ID-W15AR01	MULTIPLE ARCHITECTURAL DESIGN PROJECTS		BERENBLUM BUSCH ARCHITECTURE, INC.	\$200,000	10/26/2015	
ID	EDP-ID-W150007	ITD - DPCC FACILITIES FIRE ALARM IMPROVEMENTS		MAQUEIRA ENGINEERING CONSULTANTS, INC.	\$45,000	6/7/2016	
ID	EDP-ID-W160032	CORAL REEF LIBRARY HVAC		STV INCORPORATED	\$100,000	6/24/2016	
ID	EDP-ID-W160052	JOSEPH CALEB CENTER 40-YEAR RECERTIFICATION		OLANZ ARCHITECTURE & DESIGN CORP.	\$80,000	7/29/2016	
ID	EDP-ID-Z000132-2	WEST DADE LIBRARY WATER INFILTRATION		J.S.K. INTERNATIONAL, INC. DBA JSK ARCHITECTURAL GROUP	\$9,200	8/1/2016	
ID	EDP-ID-T-E150044	MDC COURTHOUSE IAQ TESTING		WOOD ENVIRONMENT & INFRASTRUCTURE SOLUTIONS INC	\$25,000	8/2/2016	
ID	EDP-ID-T-E160046	MDC COURTHOUSE INDUSTRIAL HYGIENIST		BUREAU VERITAS NORTH AMERICA, INC.	\$50,000	8/2/2016	
ID	EDP-ID-T-GOB-Z000126	MDC COURTHOUSE ABATEMENT OF HAZARDOUS MATERIALS		WOOD ENVIRONMENT & INFRASTRUCTURE SOLUTIONS INC	\$100,000	8/2/2016	
ID	EDP-ID-T-S161646	SPCC MULTIPLE IAQ TESTING		BUREAU VERITAS NORTH AMERICA, INC.	\$20,000	8/2/2016	
ID	EDP-ID-S-Z00020A	WYNWOOD COMMUNITY RESOURCE CENTER		MILLER, LEGG & ASSOCIATES, INC.	\$8,260	8/23/2016	
ID	EDP-ID-T-W140131	ISD/FUMD/PUBLIC DEFENDERS OFFICE HVAC UNITS		EE&G ENVIRONMENTAL SERVICE, LLC	\$7,500	8/25/2016	
ID	EDP-ID-W120160 PH2	ADRIANNE ARSHT CENTER	ACE MARRERO	G.I.E. ASSOCIATES, INC.	\$200,000	6/7/2013	
ID	EDP-ID-Z00086	NE ADVENTURA BRANCH LIBRARY LA	Alejandro Rodriguez	LAURA LLERENA & ASSOCIATES, INC.	\$7,695	1/17/2017	
ID	EDP-ID-SR-Z000143-P3	AOC CIVIL COURTS MASTER PLAN P3	Alejandro Rodriguez	PEREZ & PEREZ ARCHITECTS PLANNERS, INC.	\$65,000	6/21/2017	
ID	EDP-ID-SR-Z000143-P2	COURTS MASTER PLAN SUPPLEMENT P2	ALEJANDRO RODRIQUEZ	PEREZ & PEREZ ARCHITECTS PLANNERS, INC.	\$120,000	12/3/2015	
ID	EDP-ID-W120134	SDGC MAIN WATER LINE REPLACEMENT	ANDREW BURGESS	HAKS ENGINEERS, ARCHITECTS AND LAND SURVEYORS, D.P.C.	\$37,527	5/10/2017	
ID	EDP-ID-S-W120160	ADRIANNE ARSHT CENTER REPAIRS	ASAEL MARRERO	SLIDER ENGINEERING GROUP, INC.	\$50,000	4/5/2013	
ID	EDP-ID-W15AR02	MULTIPLE ARCHITECTURAL DESIGN PROJECTS	Aundria Blatch	LEO A. DALY COMPANY	\$200,000	10/27/2015	On Schedule
ID	EDP-ID-W18MEP01	MULTIPLE MEP SERVICES	Aundria Blatch	HUFSEY-NICOLAIDES-GARCIA-SUAREZ ASSOCIATES, INC.	\$200,000	7/20/2018	On Schedule
ID	EDP-ID-W18ARC01	MULTIPLE ARCHITECTURAL SERVICES	Aundria Blatch	EDWARD LEWIS ARCHITECTS, INC.	\$200,000	7/25/2018	On Schedule
ID	EDP-ID-W20SE01	MULTIPLE STRUCTURAL AND CIVIL ENGINEERING SERVICES	Aundria Blatch	305 Consulting Engineers LLC	\$200,000	3/10/2020	On Schedule
ID	EDP-ID-W200015	Children Courthouse HVAC, Lighting and Control Assessment and Remedial Design	Aundria Blatch	UCI ENGINEERING INC.	\$232,750	10/7/2020	On Schedule
ID	EDP-ID-W190023	ITD - 3rd Floor Asbestos Survey	Aundria Blatch	Terracon Consultants, Inc.	\$2,894	10/16/2020	
ID	EDP-ID-I190204	EV Charging Station Assessment & Infrastructure Design	Aundria Blatch	VITAL ENGINEERING, INC.	\$400,000	8/11/2021	

ID	EDP-ID-W19AE02	Multiple AE Services II	Carlos Perez Da Costa	NYARKO ARCHITECTURAL GROUP, INC.	\$200,000	3/10/2020	On Schedule
ID	EDP-ID-S133383	HAMMOCKS POLICE DEPT MONITORING WELLS	DANIEL CASTILLA	HANDEX CONSULTING AND REMEDIATION SOUTHEAST, LLC	\$2,500	10/7/2013	
ID	EDP-ID-Z00045	ARCOLA HEAD START FACILITY	DANIEL CASTILLA	ACB2 ENGINEERING, INC.	\$12,720	8/4/2015	
ID	EDP-ID-S152207	PARCEL B - LANDSCAPING	DANIEL CASTILLA	LANDSCAPE DE, LLC	\$5,000	10/27/2015	
ID	EDP-ID-SV-W140115	NEW DIRECTIONS RESIDENTIAL REHABILITATIVE CENTER	Daniel Castilla	F.R. ALEMAN AND ASSOCIATES, INC.	\$8,000	11/1/2016	
ID	EDP-ID-T-S160668	FUMD ICE PLANT FLOOR VIBRATION STUDY	Daniel Castilla	TERRACON CONSULTANTS, INC.	\$5,400	12/1/2016	
ID	EDP-ID-S170298	HAMMOCKS POLICE DEPT MONITORING WELLS	Daniel Castilla	JOHNSON ENGINEERING, INC.	\$2,988	12/7/2016	
ID	EDP-ID-S143905	HAMMOCKS POLICE DEPARTMENT MONITORING WELLS	DANIEL CASTIL	HANDEX CONSULTING AND REMEDIATION SOUTHEAST, LLC	\$1,970	10/24/2014	
ID	EDP-ID-T-S132445	RER ENVIRONMENTAL AIR QUALITY TESTING	DAVID MUSTAFA	BUREAU VERITAS NORTH AMERICA, INC.	\$7,500	5/22/2013	
ID	EDP-ID-T-S131598	SPCC MONITORING OF ASBESTOS ABATEMENT	DAVID MUSTAFA	G.L.E. ASSOCIATES, INC.	\$5,000	7/10/2013	
ID	EDP-ID-T-S133276	CALEB CENTER WATER TESTING	DAVID MUSTAFA	ATC GROUP SERVICES, LLC	\$2,000	8/1/2013	
ID	EDP-ID-T-S132622	MDPD TRAINING CENTER TESTING	DAVID MUSTAFA	ATC GROUP SERVICES, LLC	\$4,100	8/12/2013	
ID	EDP-ID-S131640	PHCD NSP IMPACT DOOR	DAVID MUSTAFA	SIERRA ENGINEERING GROUP, A PROFESSIONAL CORPORATION	\$2,000	10/29/2013	
ID	EDP-ID-SV-S162809	MDPLS- LITTLE RIVER LIBRARY SURVEY	David Mustafa	AYLWARD ENGINEERING & SURVEYING, INC.	\$5,000	9/22/2016	
ID	EDP-ID-T-S160443	ISD - FUMD 1ST FLOOR MORGUE LOUNGE RENOVATIONS	David Mustafa	BUREAU VERITAS NORTH AMERICA, INC.	\$3,500	11/1/2016	
ID	EDP-ID-T-W160055	PWWM - TRAFFIC AND SIGNALS PHASE 2 - INT. RENOVATIONS	David Mustafa	BUREAU VERITAS NORTH AMERICA, INC.	\$5,000	11/1/2016	
ID	EDP-ID-T-Z000130-1	ISD/FUMD/DATA CENTER ABATEMENT OF HAZARDOUS MATERIALS	David Mustafa	TERRACON CONSULTANTS, INC.	\$28,000	11/23/2016	
ID	EDP-ID-T-08122013	OTVN IEQ TESTING	DAVID RACINE	ATC GROUP SERVICES, LLC	\$6,000	8/13/2013	
ID	EDP-ID-W130058	N DADE ADULT DAY CARE CENTER 40 TEAR RECERTIFICATION	EDDY ETIENNE	FULLERTON GROUP, INC.	\$15,000	7/18/2013	
ID	EDP-ID-W130124	WEST DADE LIBRARY CHILLER AND PUMPS REPLACEMENT	ELDIS GOYTISOLO	G & G ENGINEERING GROUP, INC.	\$40,000	8/16/2013	
ID	EDP-ID-I190034	SDGC Main Water Line Replacement	Eric Navarro	Biscayne Engineering Co., Inc.	\$195,927	12/24/2019	On Schedule
ID	EDP-GS-S-GOB-200086-TI	NORTHEAST LIBRARY	ERIC PEREZ	M. HAJJAR & ASSOCIATES, INC.	\$71,000	4/4/2012	
ID	EDP-ID-T-S132518	MDPD HQ BLDG WATER TANK	ERIC PEREZ	ATC GROUP SERVICES, LLC	\$6,000	7/24/2013	
ID	EDP-ID-W18CMS01	Multiple Architectural CMS Services	Frank Suarez	PISTORINO & ALAM CONSULTING ENGINEERS	\$200,000	1/17/2019	On Schedule
ID	EDP-ID-W18RF01	Multiple Roofing Services	Frank Suarez	Professional Service Industries, Inc. PSI	\$200,000	5/14/2019	
ID	EDP-ID-W190041	SPCC Security Lobby	Hugo Velasquez	ME Engineering Consultants Inc	\$38,856	7/3/2019	
ID	EDP-ID-GOB-Z00043	ANIMAL SERVICES DORAL CENTER VALUE ENGINEERING STUDY	HUMBERTO CONTRERAS	WOLFBERG/ALVAREZ AND PARTNERS, INC.	\$37,000	12/12/2013	
ID	EDP-ID-W140143	140 WEST FLAGLER BLDG 40 YR RECERTIFICATION	HUMBERTO CONTRERAS	UNITED ARCHITECTS, INC.	\$147,300	6/11/2015	
ID	EDP-ID-W150074	DATA PROCESSING BLDG WINDOW WATERPROOFING	HUMBERTO CONTRERAS	BORGES & ASSOCIATES, P.A.	\$65,000	9/9/2015	
ID	EDP-ID-Z000108	NEW ANIMAL SERVICES ADOPTION CENTER - DORAL - LATENT DEFECTS / EMERGENCY REPAIRS	Humberto Contreras	ITEC DESIGN, INC.	\$80,000	6/15/2018	
ID	EDP-ID-W1300MEP	MULTIPLE MECHANICAL AND ELECTRICAL DESIGN PROJECTS	IVAN VEGA	MAQUEIRA ENGINEERING CONSULTANTS, INC.	\$100,000	9/25/2013	
ID	EDP-ID-	Lightspeed Ground Floor Office	Jamal Oubrar	Wolfberg/Alvarez and Partners, Inc.	\$110,000	4/28/2020	

	W190057	Renovation for MDPD (RTCC)					
ID	EDP-ID-S-Z000107	GRAN VIA RESIDENTIAL BUILDING	JEFFERY AQUINO	G.M. SELBY, INC.	\$13,920	9/11/2013	
ID	EDP-ID-S-W130073 B	DADE COUNTY COURTHOUSE COLUMNS	JEFFREY AQUINO	U.S. STRUCTURES, INC	\$164,550	1/30/2014	
ID	EDP-ID-S-W130073	DADE COUNTY COURTHOUSE COLUMN	JEFFREY AQUINO	U.S. STRUCTURES, INC	\$150,793	7/12/2013	
ID	EDP-ID-S-W130073 C	DADE COUNTY COURTHOUSE COLUMNS	JEFFREY AQUINO	U.S. STRUCTURES, INC	\$150,000	7/28/2014	
ID	EDP-ID-E140010	CENTRAL SUPPORT FACILITY STRUCTURAL REPAIRS	JEFFREY AQUINO	DIVERSIFIED STRUCTURAL DESIGN, INC.	\$18,200	12/15/2014	
ID	EDP-ID-S-W130073 D	DADE COUNTY COURTHOUSE COLUMNS	JEFFREY AQUINO	U.S. STRUCTURES, INC	\$230,000	1/21/2015	
ID	EDP-ID-SR-GOB-Z000145-C	DADE COUNTY COURTHOUSE - BASEMENT COLUMNS-IN BASEMENT	JEFFREY AQUINO	U.S. STRUCTURES, INC	\$80,000	3/28/2016	
ID	EDP-ID-SR-GOB-Z000145-S	DADE COUNTY COURTHOUSE - BASEMENT SLAB	JEFFREY AQUINO	U.S. STRUCTURES, INC	\$150,690	3/28/2016	
ID	EDP-ID-T-E160320	CALEB CENTER CRACK/SETTLEMENT INVESTIGATION AND REMEDIATION	Jeffrey Aquino	TASK LABORATORIES, INC.	\$25,000	9/22/2016	
ID	EDP-ID-W160053	PUBLIC DEFENDER FACILITY REFURBISHMENT	JOE CHANG	M. HAJJAR & ASSOCIATES, INC.	\$50,000	5/8/2015	
ID	EDP-ID-W170049	WINDOWS WATER PROOFING AND LANDSCAPING	Joe Chang	J.N. MONTENEGRO, STUDIO, LLC	\$0	4/26/2017	
ID	EDP-ID-W170073	3553 NW 78 AV. BUILDING ASSESSMENT	Joe Chang	DIAZ, CARRENO, SCOTTI & PARTNERS, INC.	\$0	5/16/2017	
ID	EDP-ID-Z000171	SPCC TOWER BARRIER REMOVAL - PH 1	Jorge I Perez	J BONFILL AND ASSOCIATES INC.	\$55,020	6/22/2016	Behind Schedule
ID	EDP-ID-T-W40114	MIAMI DADE CHILDREN'S COURTHOUSE	JORGE OROL	GANNETT FLEMING, INC.	\$80,000	6/30/2013	
ID	EDP-ID-W40114	CHILDRENS COURTHOUSE FORENSIC ANALYSIS	JORGE OROL	INDIGO SERVICE CORPORATION	\$50,000	10/28/2013	
ID	EDP-ID-W19AE01	Multiple AE Services	Jorge Orol	HNTB Corporation	\$200,000	11/21/2019	Not Started
ID	EDP-ID-GOB-Z00099	RICHMOND HEIGHTS MULTI-USE FACILITY	JOSE MONTOYA	AAXIS ARCHITECTURAL SERVICES, INC.	\$50,000	3/19/2013	
ID	EDP-ID-S-W150004	COURTS MASTER PLAN UPDATES	JOSEPH CHANG	PEREZ & PEREZ ARCHITECTS PLANNERS, INC.	\$230,000	4/7/2015	
ID	EDP-ID-W190004R	ISD Parking Structural, Electrical and Mechanical Survey of Hickman Garage	Kevin Montero	CONEMCO ENGINEERING, INC.	\$19,653	5/20/2019	On Schedule
ID	EDP-ID-I190014	Graham Building-Re-Roofing and EIFS repairs	Kevin Montero	ONE PULSE DESIGN STUDIO, INC	\$40,000	9/6/2019	On Schedule
ID	EDP-ID-I190016	Joseph Caleb Center curtain wall replacement, structural repairs and design of roof top anchor points	Kevin Montero	ONE PULSE DESIGN STUDIO, INC	\$111,839	9/6/2019	Behind Schedule
ID	EDP-ID-I190018	North Dade Justice Center-Envelope repair and waterproofing	Kevin Montero	ONE PULSE DESIGN STUDIO, INC	\$9,000	9/6/2019	
ID	EDP-ID-E162061	FUMD REPLACE ROOF AND AC UNITS	Kevin Montero	Initial Engineers, P.A.	\$439,354	11/14/2019	On Schedule
ID	EDP-ID-W20MEP01	Multiple MEP Services II	Kevin Montero	P. J. V. ENGINEERING. INC	\$200,000	7/21/2020	On Schedule
ID	EDP-ID-S-W130151	CHILDREN'S COURTHOUSE	Lorena Zapustas	SOUTHEAST DESIGN ASSOCIATES, INC,	\$4,890	9/9/2011	
ID	EDP-ID-W140114SE	MULTIPLE STRUCTURAL ASSESSMENTS	Marc LaFrance	DIAZ, CARRENO, SCOTTI & PARTNERS, INC.	\$200,000	11/18/2014	On Schedule
ID	EDP-ID-W19ARC01	Multiple Architectural Services	Marc LaFrance	UNITED ARCHITECTS INC	\$200,000	6/4/2019	On Schedule
ID	EDP-ID-I190006	40 Year Recertification for Multiple County Facilities.	Marc LaFrance	G.M. Selby, Inc.	\$150,000	7/11/2019	On Schedule
ID	EDP-ID-W190050	W190050 Surface Parking Lot Shoreline Stabilization	Marc LaFrance	CUMMINS CEDERBERG, INC	\$145,018	9/17/2019	
ID	EDP-ID-I190056	GREASE TRAPS FOR MULTIPLE COUNTY FACILITIES II	Marc LaFrance	DELTA G CONSULTING ENGINEERS INC	\$200,000	4/14/2020	
ID	EDP-ID-W20AE01	Multiple AE Services III	Marc LaFrance	SBLM Architects, P.C.	\$200,000	5/19/2020	On Schedule

ID	EDP-ID-W20AE03	Multiple AE Services V	Marc LaFrance	Leo A. Daly Company	\$200,000	5/19/2020	On Schedule
ID	EDP-ID-W20AE02	Multiple AE Services IV	Marc LaFrance	RVL ARCHITECTURE + DESIGN, P.A.	\$200,000	5/22/2020	On Schedule
ID	EDP-ID-W20MEP-2	Multiple MEP Services III	Marc LaFrance	300 Engineering Group, PA	\$200,000	6/16/2020	On Schedule
ID	EDP-ID-W20ASB01	Multiple Asbestos Survey, Testing and Monitoring Services	Marc LaFrance	GLE ASSOCIATES, INC	\$100,000	9/1/2020	On Schedule
ID	EDP-ID-W20CMS02	Multiple Architectural and Engineering Construction Project Management Services (CMS) II	Marc LaFrance	Atkins North America, Inc.	\$500,000	9/1/2020	
ID	EDP-ID-W20CMT01	Multiple Construction Materials Testing Services	Marc LaFrance	Terracon Consultants, Inc.	\$200,000	9/1/2020	On Schedule
ID	EDP-ID-W20TS01	Multiple Topographic Surveying Services	Marc LaFrance	Manuel G. Vera & Associates, Inc.	\$200,000	9/1/2020	On Schedule
ID	EDP-ID-W200011N	Zelda Glazer Soundscape Project	Marc LaFrance	HNTB Corporation	\$618,198	9/14/2020	
ID	EDP-ID-W20MA01	Multiple Mold Assessment & Indoor Air Quality Services	Marc LaFrance	Terracon Consultants, Inc.	\$200,000	9/14/2020	On Schedule
ID	EDP-ID-W20BPE01	Multiple Building Performance Evaluation (BPE) Services	Marc LaFrance	PENNONI ASSOCIATES INC.	\$200,000	10/14/2020	
ID	EDP-ID-W20AE04	Multiple A/E Services VI	Marc LaFrance	EXP US SERVICES INC	\$400,000	10/15/2020	On Schedule
ID	EDP-ID-W20AE05	Multiple A/E Services VII	Marc LaFrance	HNTB Corporation	\$400,000	10/21/2020	
ID	EDP-ID-W20CMS03	Multiple Architectural and Engineering Construction Project Management Services (CMS) III	Marc LaFrance	FRAGA ENGINEERS, LLC	\$500,000	10/28/2020	
ID	EDP-ID-W21AE01	Multiple A/E Services VIII	Marc LaFrance	CSA Central Inc.	\$400,000	3/5/2021	
ID	EDP-ID-W21MEP01	Multiple MEP Services IV	Marc LaFrance	GENESIS FORTUNE, LLC	\$400,000	3/5/2021	On Schedule
ID	EDP-ID-W21MEP02	Multiple MEP Services V	Marc LaFrance	TWR ENGINEERS, INC.	\$400,000	3/5/2021	
ID	EDP-ID-W21RC01	40 Year Recertification for Multiple County Facilities	Marc LaFrance	UCI ENGINEERING INC.	\$400,000	3/5/2021	On Schedule
ID	EDP-ID-W21EST01	Multiple Project Cost Estimating	Marc LaFrance	BEA Architects, Inc.	\$250,000	3/9/2021	
ID	EDP-ID-W21SE01	Multiple Structural and Civil Engineering Services II	Marc LaFrance	C.A.P. Engineering, Inc.	\$400,000	4/28/2021	
ID	EDP-ID-W21RC02	40 Year Recertification for Multiple County Facilities #2	Marc LaFrance	WOOD ENVIRONMENT & INFRASTRUCTURE SOLUTIONS INC.	\$200,000	7/15/2021	
ID	EDP-ID-W21RC03	40 Year Recertification for Multiple County Facilities #3	Marc LaFrance	TLC ENGINEERING SOLUTIONS INC	\$200,000	7/15/2021	
ID	EDP-ID-W21RC05	40 Year Recertification for Multiple County Facilities #5	Marc LaFrance	TECTONIC GROUP INTERNATIONAL, LLC	\$200,000	7/22/2021	
ID	EDP-ID-W21RC04	40 Year Recertification for Multiple County Facilities #4	Marc LaFrance	CBRE HEERY INC	\$200,000	7/23/2021	
ID	EDP-ID-W21RC06	40 Year Recertification for Multiple County Facilities #6	Marc LaFrance	EXP US SERVICES INC	\$200,000	8/12/2021	
ID	EDP-ID-W21RC07	40 Year Recertification for Multiple County Facilities #7	Marc LaFrance	Youssef Hachem Consulting Engineering, Inc.	\$200,000	8/31/2021	
ID	EDP-ID-W21RC08	40 Year Recertification for Multiple County Facilities #8	Marc LaFrance	DDA Engineers, P.A.	\$200,000	8/31/2021	
ID	EDP-ID-W21RC11	40 Year Recertification for Multiple County Facilities #11	Marc LaFrance	Ingelmo Associates, P.A.	\$200,000	9/1/2021	
ID	EDP-ID-I190203-1	Dade County Courthouse Structural Assessment and Inspection / Study	Marc LaFrance	EXP US SERVICES INC	\$500,000	9/13/2021	
ID	EDP-ID-W21RC09	40 Year Recertification for Multiple County Facilities #9	Marc LaFrance	PT SUPPLIERS LLC	\$200,000	9/29/2021	
ID	EDP-ID-GOB-W140044	CVAC PARKING LOT REPAIRS	MARK SHIRAJAN	PE CONSULTING ENGINEERING, INC.	\$14,400	1/21/2015	
ID	EDP-ID-207931	Empowerment Center - Owner Rep. Oversight	Marlene Blanco	PISTORINO & ALAM CONSULTING ENGINEERS	\$158,778	7/24/2019	
ID	EDP-ID-T-S162977	DEMOLITION OF A SINGLE FAMILY HOUSE	Paul Castell	BUREAU VERITAS NORTH AMERICA, INC.	\$2,000	12/7/2016	
ID	EDP-ID-S151132	MIAMI BEACH COMMUNITY HEALTH FACILITIES 40 YR RE-	PILAR RAMOS ORTEGA	FANJUL & ASSOCIATES, LLC	\$6,800	5/14/2015	

CERTIFICATION							
ID	EDP-ID-W140059	SDGC COMPLEX 40 YR RE-CERT PH2	PILAR RAMOS- ORTEGA	FRANCISCO CUELLO JR., P.E., INC.	\$67,200	3/6/2015	
ID	EDP-ID-W150076	MIAMI BEACH COMMUNITY HEALTH FACILITIES RE-CERTIFICATIONS	PILAR RAMOS- ORTEGA	FANJUL & ASSOCIATES, LLC	\$10,000	9/29/2015	
ID	EDP-ID-I190029	- ELECTRICAL WORK FOR VARIOUS PARKING SITES, UPGRADE TO EXIST. PARKING ENTRANCES & EQUIP	Rafael Silva	VEE Architecture Corp.	\$72,064	12/5/2019	Behind Schedule
ID	EDP-GS-SD-120115	MDPD SHOOTING RANGE STUDY	REZA REZAIE	G.M. SELBY, INC.	\$20,000	6/21/2012	
ID	EDP-ID-W130000	MECHANICAL UPGRADES AND INSPECTIONS	REZA REZAIE	HAMMOND & ASSOCIATES, INC.	\$200,000	12/12/2012	
ID	EDP-ID-E140017	COURTHOUSE ENVIRONMENTAL EVALUATIONS FLOORS 22 & 23	REZA REZAIE	R. P. J., INC.	\$17,000	9/9/2014	
ID	<u>EDP-ID-I190076</u>	<u>Animal Services Pet Adoption & Protection Center- Boundary and Topographic Surveying Services</u>	<u>Sally Contreras</u>	<u>KCI TECHNOLOGIES, INC.</u>	<u>\$9,712</u>	<u>7/1/2020</u>	<u>On Schedule</u>
Totals: 127							

Capital Improvements
Information System
(CIIS)

intra.miamidade.gov

MIAMI-DADE

PROJECTS

[Capital Projects \(R\)](#)
[GOB Projects \(R\)](#)
[QNIP Projects](#)
[A & E Projects](#)

Contracts / Agreements

[Contracts by Dept \(R\)](#)
[MCC RPQ Contracts \(R\) \(B\)](#)
[DTPW PSAs](#)
[EDP Agreements](#)
[Architecture & Eng.](#)

STANDARD PROCEDURES

[Capital Constr. Overview](#)
[Policies & Procedures](#)
[Contract Language](#)
[Contract Forms](#)
[Help and Training](#)

REPORTS

[Reports](#)
[FAQ's](#)

Contact Us

ISD Staff

Web Site
© 2021 Miami-Dade County
All rights reserved

Date Last Edited : 01/08/2020 -

Internal Services
Department

Cristina Gorrita (Temp): Your previous logon was: Monday, October 4, 2021 at 4:00:36 PM
Your Pending Contractor Evaluations

Equitable Distribution Projects Menu

Select Department:

EDP Project

Select Project:

Please use below links to access project information
Project No. EDP-PR-4016019A

Project initiation has been cancelled

- [Project Initiation](#)
- [Selection](#)
- [Authorization](#)
- [Notes](#)

[Search EDP With Project No](#)

[EDP Projects Report](#)
[EDP Project Initiation Report](#)
[EDP Special Request Project Report](#) [EDP Projects With Multiple Checked](#)
[EDP Project Initiation Activity](#)
[EDP Change Order Report](#)
[EDP Projects Awaiting Consultants Evaluation](#)
[EDP Firms Currently Under Consideration](#)
[EDP Firms Selection \(Prime\)](#) [EDP Firms Selection \(Sub\)](#) [EDP Firms Selection \(All\)](#)
[EDP Emergency Firm List](#)
[EDP Consultants](#)
[FAQs / Messages](#)
[CIIS EDP User Manual](#)

*No Work Orders
PI Cancelled*

Attachment C

A&E Firm History Report

Vendor Profile: Contracts

Help & Tools 

General	Public Profile	Users	Commodity Codes	Contacts & Owners	Comments	Certifications	Contracts	Concessions	Site Visits
Workforce Comp/EEO	EDP Registrations	Reports							

EXP U.S. SERVICES Inc.

System Vendor Number: 20078311

Listed below are the contracts to which this vendor is assigned.

Contracts as Prime Contractor

Actions	Contract Number & Title	Prime Contact	Status	Dates	Award Amount	Paid Amount
View	EDP-SP-2020-028: A/E SERVICES FOR MULTIPLE SMALL ARCHITECTURAL PROJECTS	Kyle Henry (change)		1/12/2021 to 1/12/2026	\$500,000	\$0
View	EDP-SP-2020-19: FUMIGATION AND COLD CHAIN PROCESSING CENTER	Kyle Henry (change)		7/2/2021 to 7/2/2026	\$500,000	\$0
View	EDP-FR-LEED-M: MDRF MULTIPLE LEED CONSULTANT	Kyle Henry (change)		2/4/2021 to 2/4/2026	\$400,000	\$0
View	EDP-PD-2020MEP: MEP Consulting Services for Multiple Locations	Kyle Henry (change)		2/12/2020 to 2/12/2025	\$200,000	\$0
View	EDP-ID-W20AE04: Multiple A/E Services VI	Kyle Henry (change)		10/12/2020 to 10/12/2025	\$400,000	\$0
View	EDP-PR-4016019A: Rockway Park - New Heating-Cooling System for the Pool	Kyle Henry (change)		10/30/2019 to 10/30/2024	\$15,000	\$0
Number of contracts as prime: 6					\$2,015,000	\$0

Contracts as Subcontractor

No contracts assigned as a subcontractor.

Customer Support

Copyright © 2021 B2Gnow. All rights reserved.

[Home](#) | [Print This Page](#) | [Print To PDF](#) | [Translate](#)

Attachment D

SBD Project Worksheet and Compliance Review



Small Business Development Division

Project Worksheet

Project/Contract Title: Facility Design and Construction Inspection Services (CIS) Received Date: 10/17/2019
Project/Contract No: A19-MDAD-02 Funding Source: Future Financing
Department: Aviation
Estimated Cost of Project/Bid: \$20,000,000.00
Description of Project/Bid: The scope of services to be provided by the selected architectural/engineering firms for Miami International Airport and its ancillary facilities

Contract Measures

Measure	Program	Goal Percent
Goal	DBE	28.00%

Reasons for Recommendation

The Miami-Dade County Aviation Department submitted a request for project #A19-MDAD-02 indicating a 28% DBE Goal to be appropriate in the following Technical Categories: General Structural Engineering (5%), General Mechanical Engineering (7%), General Electrical Engineering (7%), Engineering Construction Management (5%) and Architectural Construction Management (5%).

This project has a total value of \$20,000,000.00 comprised of four (4) agreements each valued at \$5,000,000.000 with a duration of five (5) years and a 28.0% DBE goal for each.

MDC-TCC 11 GENERAL STRUCTURAL ENGINEERING, MDC-TCC 12 GENERAL MECHANICAL ENGINEERING, MDC-TCC 13 GENERAL ELECTRICAL ENGINEERING, MDC-TCC 16 GENERAL CIVIL ENGINEERING, MDC-TCC 17 ENGINEERING CONSTRUCTION MANAGEMENT, MDC-TCC 18 ARCHITECTURAL CONSTRUCTION MANAGEMENT, MDC-TCC 14 ARCHITECTURE

Living Wages: YES ☐ NO ☒

Highway: YES ☐ NO ☒

Heavy Construction: YES ☐ NO ☒

Responsible Wages: YES ☐ NO ☒

Building: YES ☐ NO ☒

County Mayor (Aviation Only)

11/7/19


Date

Memorandum



DATE: February 3, 2021

TO: Namita Uppal, Chief Procurement Officer
Internal Services Department

FROM: Gary Hartfield, Division Director
Internal Services Department
Small Business Development 

SUBJECT: Compliance Review
Project No. A19-MDAD-02
Facility Design Services

Small Business Development (SBD), a Division of the Internal Services Department, has completed its review of the subject project for compliance with Disadvantage Business Enterprise (DBE) program for A/E services. The contract measure established for this project is a 28% DBE subcontractor goal.

The Strategic Procurement Division of the Internal Services Department has submitted contract documents for the firms listed below acknowledging their commitment to comply with the project's DBE measure. Each firm was required to submit a DBE Utilization Form identifying the DBE subcontractor(s) to fulfill the goal. The following is their pre-award compliance status and summary.

STATUS:

1. M C Harry & Associates, Inc.	Non-Compliant
2. JSK International, Inc.	Non-Compliant
3. Nelco Architecture, Inc.	Compliant
4. Leo A Daly Company	Compliant
5. Olanz Architecture & Design Corp.	Compliant
6. Carty Architecture, LLC.	Compliant
7. RS & H, Inc.	Compliant
8. Gurri Matute, PA.	Compliant
9. Alleguez Architecture, Inc.	Compliant
10. Acai Associates, Inc.	Compliant
11. KVH Architects, P.A.	Compliant
12. 2 Plus Architects, Inc.	Compliant
13. Zyscovich, Inc	Compliant
14. TSAO Design Group, Inc.	Compliant
15. EXP US Services, Inc.	Compliant
16. Hellmuth Obata & Kassabaum, Inc.	Compliant
17. Wolfberg Alvarez & Partners, Inc.	Compliant
18. R E Chisholm Architects, Inc.	Compliant
19. Rodriguez & Quiroga Architects Chartered	Compliant
20. Stantec Consulting Services, Inc.	Compliant
21. Rodriguez Architects, Inc.	Compliant
22. CSA Central, Inc.	Compliant

SUMMARY:

M C Harry & Associates, Inc., a certified DBE firm, was eliminated from the selection process by the Strategic Procurement Division.

JSK International, Inc., a non-certified DBE firm, submitted the DBE Utilization Form and Letter of Intent (LOI) Affidavit, committing to self perform the 28% goal. JSK International, Inc., is not a certified DBE firm and therefore is not in compliant. All DBEs must be certified by the FLUCP pursuant to 49 CFR Part 26 prior to proposal submittal.

Nelco Architecture, Inc., a non-certified DBE firm, submitted the DBE Utilization Form and Letter of Intent (LOI) Affidavit, committing to use the following DBE certified firms: Louis J. Aguirre and Associates, P.A., to perform Mechanical, Electric, Plumbing, FP and IT Services work at 24.30%, and Eastern Engineering Group to perform Structural Engineering work at 4.5% in satisfaction of the 28% DBE goal. The DBE Utilization Forms submitted by Nelco Architecture, Inc., and confirmed by the sub-consultants were approved pursuant to the firm's commitment to achieve an overall DBE goal of 28.8%.

Nelco Architecture, Inc., has satisfied the contract's 28% DBE subcontractor goal and is in compliance with the overall Disadvantage Business Enterprise measures established for this contract.

Leo A Daly Company, a non-certified DBE firm, submitted the DBE Utilization Form and Letter of Intent (LOI) Affidavit, committing to use the following DBE certified firms: Master Consulting Engineers, Inc., to perform Structural Engineering work at 10%, Delta G Consulting Engineers, Inc. to perform Mechanical, Electric and Plumbing Engineering work at 28%, Apple Designs, Inc., to perform Wayfinding, Signage and Graphics Design work at 3%, Connico Incorporated, to perform Cost estimating work at 3%, Digital Building Services, LLC., to perform 3D Laser Scanning and Revit/CAD Modeling work at 3%, Laura Llerena & Associates, Inc., to perform Architectural Design Service work at 5% and Vic Thompson Company to perform Baggage Handling System Design work at 5%. in satisfaction of the 28% DBE goal. The DBE Utilization Forms submitted by Leo A Daly Company and confirmed by the sub-consultants were approved pursuant to the firm's commitment to achieve an overall DBE goal of 57%.

Leo A Daly Company has satisfied the contract's 28% DBE subcontractor goal and is in compliance with the overall Disadvantage Business Enterprise measures established for this contract.

Olanz Architectural & Design Corp., a certified DBE firm submitted the DBE Utilization Form and Letter of Intent (LOI) Affidavit committing to self perform Aviation Systems, Architectural Design, Architectural Construction Management work at 28% in satisfaction of the 28% DBE goal. The DBE Utilization Form submitted by Olanz Architectural & Design Corp., and confirmed by the sub-consultants was approved pursuant to the firm's commitment to achieve an overall DBE goal of 28%.

Olanz Architectural & Design Corp., has satisfied the contract's 28% DBE subcontractor goal and is in compliance with the overall Disadvantage Business Enterprise measures established for this contract.

Carty Architecture, LLC., a non-certified DBE firm, submitted the DBE Utilization Form and Letter of Intent (LOI) Affidavit, committing to use the following DBE certified firms: Hammond & Associates, Inc., to perform Mechanical, Electric, Plumbing and Fire Protection Engineering Design work at 28%, in satisfaction of the 28% DBE goal. The DBE Utilization Form submitted by Carty Architecture, LLC., and confirmed by the sub-consultants was approved pursuant to the firm's commitment to achieve an overall DBE goal of 28%.

Carty Architecture, LLC., has satisfied the contract's 28% DBE subcontractor goal and is in compliance with the overall Disadvantage Business Enterprise measures established for this contract.

RS&H, Inc., a non-certified DBE firm, submitted the DBE Utilization Form and Letter of Intent (LOI) Affidavit, committing to use the following DBE certified firms: Mobio Architecture, Inc., to perform Project/Contact Management, Planning Programming, Architectural and Design Professional Services work at 25%, TGA Consulting, LLC., to perform Planning, Programming and Architectural Professional Service work at 5%, Premiere Design Solutions, Inc., to perform Survey and Civil Engineering Professional Services work at 2%, and Louis J. Aguirre & Associates, P.A., to perform Electrical and Fire Alarm Engineering work at 5% in satisfaction of the 28% DBE goal. The DBE Utilization Forms submitted by RS&H, Inc., and confirmed by the sub-consultants was approved pursuant to the firm's commitment to achieve an overall DBE goal of 37%.

RS&H, Inc., has satisfied the contract's 28% DBE sub-consultant goal and is in compliance with the overall Disadvantage Business Enterprise measures established for this contract.

Gurri Matute, P.A., a certified DBE firm submitted the DBE Utilization Form and Letter of Intent (LOI) Affidavit committing to self perform Architectural Service work at 30% in satisfaction of the 28% DBE goal. The DBE Utilization Form submitted by Gurri Matute, P.A., and confirmed by the sub-consultant was approved pursuant to the firm's commitment to achieve an overall DBE goal of 30%.

Gurri Matute, P.A., has satisfied the contract's 28% DBE sub-consultant goal and is in compliance with the overall Disadvantage Business Enterprise measures established for this contract.

Alleguez Architecture, Inc., a certified DBE firm, submitted the DBE Utilization Form and Letter of Intent (LOI) Affidavit, committing to use the following DBE certified firms: Alleguez Architecture, Inc., to perform Aviation Systems, Architectural Design, Architectural Construction Management work at 82%, TGA Consulting, LLC., to perform Planning, Programming and Architectural Professional Service work at 5%, Premiere Design Solutions, Inc., to perform Survey and Civil Engineering Professional Services work at 2%, and Louis J. Aguirre & Associates, P.A., to perform Electrical and Fire Alarm Engineering work at 5% in satisfaction of the 28% DBE goal. The DBE Utilization Forms submitted by Alleguez Architecture, Inc., and confirmed by the sub-consultant was approved pursuant to the firm's commitment to achieve an overall DBE goal of 100%.

Alleguez Architecture, Inc., has satisfied the contract's 28% DBE sub-consultant goal and is in compliance with the overall Disadvantage Business Enterprise measures established for this contract.

ACAI Associates, Inc., a certified DBE firm, submitted the DBE Utilization Form and Letter of Intent (LOI) Affidavit, committing to self perform Architectural work at 28%, Delta G Consulting Engineers, Inc., to perform General Mechanical Engineering and General Electrical Engineering work as needed, Marlin Engineering, Inc., to perform General Civil Engineering and Engineering Construction Management as needed, Premiere Design Solutions, Inc., to perform Survey and Civil Engineering Professional Services work as needed, ProCon Engineering, Inc., to perform General Mechanical Engineering and General Electrical Engineering and Engineering Construction Management work as needed in satisfaction of the 28% DBE goal. The DBE Utilization Forms submitted by ACAI Associates, Inc., and confirmed by the sub-consultant was approved pursuant to the firm's commitment to achieve an overall DBE goal of 28%.

ACAI Associates, Inc., has satisfied the contract's 28% DBE sub-consultant goal and is in compliance with the overall Disadvantage Business Enterprise measures established for this contract.

KVH Architects, P.A., a certified DBE firm, submitted the DBE Utilization Form and Letter of Intent (LOI) Affidavit, committing to self perform Architectural, Construction Management and ADA barrier removal work at 28% in satisfaction of the 28% DBE goal. The DBE Utilization Forms submitted by KVH Architects, P. A., and confirmed by the sub-consultant was approved pursuant to the firm's commitment to achieve an overall DBE goal of 28%.

KVH Architects, P. A., has satisfied the contract's 28% DBE sub-consultant goal and is in compliance with the overall Disadvantage Business Enterprise measures established for this contract.

2 Plus Architects, a non-certified DBE firm, submitted the DBE Utilization Form and Letter of Intent (LOI) Affidavit, committing to use the following DBE certified firms: LARS Engineering, Inc., to perform Aviation Systems, Engineering Design and General Structural Engineering work at 15%, Delta G Consulting Engineers, Inc., to perform General Mechanical Engineering and General Electrical Engineering work at 20%, Ross Engineering, Inc., to perform General Civil Engineering and Engineering Construction Management at 5%, in satisfaction of the 28% DBE goal. The DBE Utilization Forms submitted by 2 Plus Architects and confirmed by the sub-consultant was approved pursuant to the firm's commitment to achieve an overall DBE goal of 40%.

2 Plus Architects has satisfied the contract's 28% DBE sub-consultant goal and is in compliance with the overall Disadvantage Business Enterprise measures established for this contract.

Zyscovich, Inc., a non-certified DBE firm, submitted the DBE Utilization Form and Letter of Intent (LOI) Affidavit, committing to use the following DBE certified firms: Fraga Engineers, LLC., to perform Aviation Systems, Engineering Design and General Electrical Engineering and Engineering Construction Management work at 20%, BND Engineers, Inc., to perform Aviation Systems, Engineering Design, General Civil Engineering and Engineering Construction Management work at 8% in satisfaction of the 28% DBE goal. The DBE Utilization Forms submitted by Zyscovich, Inc., and confirmed by the sub-consultant was approved pursuant to the firm's commitment to achieve an overall DBE goal of 28%.

Zyscovich, Inc., has satisfied the contract's 28% DBE sub-consultant goal and is in compliance with the overall Disadvantage Business Enterprise measures established for this contract.

TSAO Design Group, a certified DBE firm, submitted the DBE Utilization Form and Letter of Intent (LOI) Affidavit, committing to self perform Architecture and Interior Design at 28% in satisfaction of the 28% DBE goal. The DBE Utilization Form submitted by TSAO Design Group and confirmed by the sub-consultant was approved pursuant to the firm's commitment to achieve an overall DBE goal of 28%.

TSAO Design Group has satisfied the contract's 28% DBE sub-consultant goal and is in compliance with the overall Disadvantage Business Enterprise measures established for this contract.

EXP U.S. Services, Inc., a non-certified DBE firm, submitted the DBE Utilization Form and Letter of Intent (LOI) Affidavit, committing to use the following DBE certified firms: 300 Engineering Group, P.A. to perform Civil Engineering Design Services work at 2%, Digital Building Services, LLC., to perform 3D Scanning and Modeling Services work at .5%, DisAmerica Consulting Group, Inc., to perform Civil Engineering Design work at 2.5%, Gartek Engineering Corporation, to perform Mechanical, Electrical and Compliance Review

Plumbing Engineering Design work at 10%, S&F Engineers, Inc., to perform Structural Engineering Design work at 9%, TGA Consulting, LLC., to perform Construction Management and Architectural Design work at 3% and Vic Thompson Company to perform Baggage Handler System Design work at 1.5% in satisfaction of the 28% DBE goal. The DBE Utilization Forms submitted by EXP U.S. Services, Inc., and confirmed by the sub-consultant was approved pursuant to the firm's commitment to achieve an overall DBE goal of 28.5%.

EXP U.S. Services, Inc., has satisfied the contract's 28% DBE sub-consultant goal and is in compliance with the overall Disadvantage Business Enterprise measures established for this contract.

Hellmuth Obata & Kassabaum, Inc., a non-certified DBE firm, submitted the DBE Utilization Form and Letter of Intent (LOI) Affidavit, committing to use the following DBE certified firms: SDM Consulting Engineers, Inc., to perform General Mechanical Engineering and General Electrical Engineering Services work at 20% and Botas Engineering, Inc., to perform Engineering Service work at 10% in satisfaction of the 28% DBE goal. The DBE Utilization Forms submitted by Hellmuth Obata & Kassabaum, Inc., and confirmed by the sub-consultants was approved pursuant to the firm's commitment to achieve an overall DBE goal of 30%.

Hellmuth Obata & Kassabaum, Inc., has satisfied the contract's 28% DBE sub-consultant goal and is in compliance with the overall Disadvantage Business Enterprise measures established for this contract.

Wolfberg Alvarez and Partners, a non-certified DBE firm, submitted the DBE Utilization Form and Letter of Intent (LOI) Affidavit, committing to use the following DBE certified firms: BND Engineers, Inc., to perform Aviation Systems and Civil Engineering work at 8% and Louis J. Aguirre & Associates, P.A. to perform General Mechanical and Electrical Engineering work at 20% in satisfaction of the 28% DBE goal. The DBE Utilization Forms submitted by Wolfberg Alvarez and Partners and confirmed by the sub-consultants was approved pursuant to the firm's commitment to achieve an overall DBE goal of 28%.

Wolfberg Alvarez and Partners has satisfied the contract's 28% DBE sub-consultant goal and is in compliance with the overall Disadvantage Business Enterprise measures established for this contract.

RE Chisholm Architects, Inc., a non-certified DBE firm, submitted the DBE Utilization Form and Letter of Intent (LOI) Affidavit, committing to use the following DBE certified firms: MEP Engineering, Inc., to perform Mechanical Engineering and Electrical Engineering Service work at 10%, 305 Consulting Engineers, Inc., to perform Structural Engineering at 10%, A.D.A Engineering, Inc., to perform Civil Engineering Service work at 5% and EV Services, Inc., to perform Public Involvement and Outreach Service work at 3%, in satisfaction of the 28% DBE goal. The DBE Utilization Forms submitted by RE Chisholm Architects, Inc., and confirmed by the sub-consultants was approved pursuant to the firm's commitment to achieve an overall DBE goal of 28%.

RE Chisholm Architects, Inc., has satisfied the contract's 28% DBE sub-consultant goal and is in compliance with the overall Disadvantage Business Enterprise measures established for this contract.

Rodriguez and Quiroga Architects Chartered, a non-certified DBE firm, submitted the DBE Utilization Form and Letter of Intent (LOI) Affidavit, committing to use the following DBE certified firms: A.D.A Engineering, Inc., to perform Civil Engineering work at 25%, MCO Construction & Services to perform Cost Estimating Service work at 3% in satisfaction of the 28% DBE goal. The DBE Utilization Forms submitted by Rodriguez and Quiroga Architects Chartered and confirmed by the sub-consultants was approved pursuant to the firm's commitment to achieve an overall DBE goal of 28%.

Rodriguez and Quiroga Architects Chartered has satisfied the contract's 28% DBE sub-consultant goal and is in compliance with the overall Disadvantage Business Enterprise measures established for this contract.

Stantec Consulting Services, Inc., a non-certified DBE firm, submitted the DBE Utilization Form and Letter of Intent (LOI) Affidavit, committing to use the following DBE certified firms: Fraga Engineers, Inc., to perform MEP/FP Engineering at 7%, TGA Consulting, LLC., to perform Architectural Service work at 7%, Nifah & Partners Consulting Engineers, Inc., to perform Structural Engineering work at 7% and The Architectural Design Consortium, Inc., to perform Architectural Design and Architectural Construction Management work at 7% in satisfaction of the 28% DBE goal. The DBE Utilization Forms submitted by Stantec Consulting Services, Inc., and confirmed by the sub-consultants was approved pursuant to the firm's commitment to achieve an overall DBE goal of 28%.

Stantec Consulting Services, Inc., has satisfied the contract's 28% DBE sub-consultant goal and is in compliance with the overall Disadvantage Business Enterprise measures established for this contract

Rodriguez Architects, Inc., a certified DBE firm, submitted the DBE Utilization Form and Letter of Intent (LOI) Affidavit, committing to self perform Architectural Design Services, Aviation Design, Construction Management and ADA Design, Assessment, Review Design work at 28% in satisfaction of the 28% DBE goal. The DBE Utilization Form submitted by Rodriguez Architects, Inc., and confirmed by the sub-consultants was approved pursuant to the firm's commitment to achieve an overall DBE goal of 28%.

Rodriguez Architects, Inc., has satisfied the contract's 28% DBE sub-consultant goal and is in compliance with the overall Disadvantage Business Enterprise measures established for this contract.

CSA Central, Inc., a non-certified DBE firm, submitted the DBE Utilization Form and Letter of Intent (LOI) Affidavit, committing to use the following DBE certified firms: Basulto and Associates, Inc., to perform MEP/FP Engineering at 5%, HP Consultants, Inc., to perform Geotechnical Engineering work at 5%, Laura Perez and Associates, Inc., to perform Aviation Design, Architectural Design and Architectural Construction Management and ADA Title II Consultant work at 15% and PT Suppliers, LLC., to perform Aviation Systems, Engineering Design, General Structural Engineering and Engineering Construction Management work at 5% in satisfaction of the 28% DBE goal. The DBE Utilization Forms submitted by CSA Central, Inc., and confirmed by the sub-consultants was approved pursuant to the firm's commitment to achieve an overall DBE goal of 30%.

CSA Central, Inc., has satisfied the contract's 28% DBE sub-consultant goal and is in compliance with the overall Disadvantage Business Enterprise measures established for this contract

Please be reminded that SBD's review is for compliance with the DBE Program. The Strategic Procurement Division of Internal Services Department is responsible for any other issues that may exist.

Should you have any questions or need any additional information, please do not hesitate to call Robert Parson, Business Development Specialist 2, at (305) 375-3182.

c: Laurie Johnson, SBD
Amado Gonzalez, ISD
Andre Ragin, MDAD

Attachment E

County Attorney's Responsiveness Determination

**COUNTY ATTORNEY
MIAMI-DADE COUNTY, FLORIDA**



David M. Murray
Assistant County Attorney
dmmurray@miami-airport.com

AVIATION DEPARTMENT
P.O. BOX 025504
MIAMI, FLORIDA 33102-5504
Phone: (305) 876-7040
Fax: (305) 876-7294

MEMORANDUM

TO: Amado Gonzalez
A/E Consultant Selection Coordinator
Internal Services Department

FROM: David M. Murray
Assistant County Attorney

DATE: January 19, 2021

SUBJECT: Responsiveness Opinion
A 19-MDAD-02: Facility Design Services


You have asked whether or not M.C. Harry is responsive. M.C. Harry is not responsive.

Per Addendum 3, firms were advised that no firm which had been awarded an agreement pursuant to solicitation No. A16-MDAD-03 were eligible for award as a prime contractor on the instant notice to professional consultants. M.C. Harry is a prime contractor on No. A16-MDAD-03, and thus, does not meet the criteria to be considered for award under this solicitation. M.C. Harry is accordingly non-responsive.

DMM/ram

Attachment F

Negotiation Authorization, List of
Respondents and Tabulation Sheets



Memorandum



Date: April 26, 2021
To: Tara C. Smith, Director
Internal Services Department
Through: Namita Uppal, C.P.M., Chief Procurement Officer
Internal Services Department
From: Amado Gonzalez, Consultant Selection Coordinator
Chairperson, Competitive Selection Committee
Subject: NEGOTIATION AUTHORIZATION
Miami-Dade Aviation Department (MDAD)
Facility Design Services
ISD Project No. A19-MDAD-02

Namita Uppal

Digitally signed by Namita Uppal,
Chief Procurement Officer
DN: cn=Namita Uppal, Chief
Procurement Officer, o=Miami Dade
County, ou=Strategic Procurement
Division, Internal Services
Department,
email=uppal@miamidade.gov,
c=US
Date: 2021.04.26 23:40:29 -04'00'

Amado Gonzalez

The Competitive Selection Committee has completed the evaluation of the proposals submitted in response to the referenced Internal Services Department solicitation and consistent with the guidelines published in the Notice to Professional Consultants.

ISD Project No.: A19-MDAD-02

Project Title: Facility Design Services

Scope of Services Summary: The scope of services to be provided by the selected A/E firms includes, but is not limited to, design and construction inspection services for renovations and improvements terminal-wide, outer buildings and new facilities including interior, tenant relocations, roofing and glazing work, miscellaneous code compliance, and aesthetics related improvements and project management services.

Experience and Qualifications: It is preferred that the Prime Consultant have a cumulative five (5) years of experience within the last twenty (20) years modifying and/or designing airport facilities at major airport hubs.

Term and Estimated Cost of Contract: The County intends to retain four (4) qualified consultants/teams for four (4) separate Non-Exclusive Professional Services Agreements with an effective term of five (5) years each. Each Professional Services Agreement will have an estimated total maximum compensation of \$5,000,000.00, exclusive of a ten percent (10%) contingency in accordance with Ordinance 00-65 and a quarter of one percent (.0025%) Inspector General Fee in accordance with Ordinance 97-215.

Disadvantaged Business Enterprise Goal: On November 7, 2019, the Internal Services Department's Small Business Development Division established a 28.00% Disadvantaged Business Enterprise goal.

Advertisement Date: July 10, 2020.

Number of Proposals Received: Twenty-two (22) proposals were received by the submittal deadline of September 23, 2020.

Name of Proposers: Please refer to the attached List of Respondents.

Internal Services Department Compliance Review: On January 19, 2021, the County Attorney's Office deemed M.C. Harry & Associates, Inc.'s proposal, non-responsive. Consequently, M.C. Harry & Associates, Inc.'s proposal was not evaluated by the Competitive Selection Committee.

On February 3, 2021, the Internal Services Department's Small Business Development Division deemed the proposal from JSK International, Inc. was non-compliant with the requirements of the Disadvantaged Business Enterprise Program. Consequently, JSK International, Inc.'s proposal was not evaluated by the Competitive Selection Committee.

Disadvantaged Business Enterprise Compliance Review: Please refer to the attached Compliance Review Memorandum dated February 3, 2021.

Selection Process: The Architectural and Engineering professional services solicitation process is a two-tiered selection process; First Tier is the Evaluation of Experience and Qualifications, and Second Tier is the Oral Presentations. The First Tier is the evaluation of the firms' current statements of experience, qualifications and performance data. The Second Tier is the evaluation of the shortlisted firms' knowledge of project scope, qualifications of team members assigned to the project, and ability to provide the required services within schedule and budget, as demonstrated through an oral presentation from firms deemed responsive and responsible at the First Tier.

First Tier Results: The First Tier meeting was held on March 10, 2021. The Competitive Selection Committee was tasked with evaluating the experience and qualifications of the Proposers, and scoring and ranking the proposals in accordance with the evaluation criteria outlined in the solicitation. The Competitive Selection Committee scored all responsive and responsible proposals. During the evaluation process, all ties were broken using the standard tie-breaking procedure, as described in Section 3.3, Proposal Evaluation, of the Notice to Professional Consultants. Please refer to the attached First Tier Tabulation Sheet.

Second Tier Results: Based on the Competitive Selection Committee's professional judgment, the information provided in the proposals was deemed sufficient to determine the experience and qualifications of the Proposers. As a result, and by a majority vote, the Competitive Selection Committee decided to forego Second Tier proceedings.

Request for Appointment of Negotiation Committee: Pursuant to Section 2-10.4(6) of the Code of Miami-Dade County, the Internal Services Department hereby requests that the County Mayor or County Mayor's designee approve the following Negotiation Committee:

- Amado Gonzalez, A&E Consultant Selection Coordinator, Non-Voting Chairperson, Internal Services Department
- Felix Pereira, Chief of Design, Miami-Dade Aviation Department
- Ammad Riaz, Chief of Aviation Planning, Miami-Dade Aviation Department
- Carolina L. Alfonso, Construction Project Manager, Cultural Affairs Department

Request for Authorization to Enter Negotiations: Pursuant to Section 2-10.4 (6) of the Code of Miami-Dade County, it is hereby requested that the County Mayor or County Mayor's designee approve the selection of the following firms for the purpose of negotiating four (4) Non-Exclusive Professional Services Agreements for this solicitation, in accordance with the Competitive Selection Committee's recommendation:

RANKING OF RESPONDENTS

CARTY ARCHITECTURE LLC

Final Ranking – 1
Total Adjusted Ordinal Score – 8
Total Adjusted Qualitative Points – 269

LEO A DALY COMPANY

Final Ranking – 2
Total Adjusted Ordinal Score – 15
Total Adjusted Qualitative Points – 263

STANTEC CONSULTING SERVICES INC

Final Ranking – 3
Total Adjusted Ordinal Score – 16
Total Adjusted Qualitative Points – 254

EXP US SERVICES INC

Final Ranking – 4
Total Adjusted Ordinal Score – 20
Total Adjusted Qualitative Points – 248

The following firms will serve as alternates:

RS&H INC

Final Ranking – 5
Total Adjusted Ordinal Score – 25
Total Adjusted Qualitative Points – 254

ZYSCOVICH INC

Final Ranking – 6
Total Adjusted Ordinal Score – 25
Total Adjusted Qualitative Points – 245

ACAI ASSOCIATES INC

Final Ranking – 7
Total Adjusted Ordinal Score – 26
Total Adjusted Qualitative Points – 259

WOLFBERG ALVAREZ & PARTNERS INC

Final Ranking – 8
Total Adjusted Ordinal Score – 26
Total Adjusted Qualitative Points – 239

NELCO ARCHITECTURE, INC.

Final Ranking – 9
Total Adjusted Ordinal Score – 31
Total Adjusted Qualitative Points – 232

RODRIGUEZ & QUIROGA ARCHITECTS CHARTERED

Final Ranking – 10
Total Adjusted Ordinal Score – 32

Total Adjusted Qualitative Points – 223

GURRI MATUTE PA

Final Ranking – 11

Total Adjusted Ordinal Score – 33

Total Adjusted Qualitative Points – 249

HELLMUTH OBATA & KASSABAUM INC

Final Ranking – 12

Total Adjusted Ordinal Score – 34

Total Adjusted Qualitative Points – 231

KVH ARCHITECTS PA

Final Ranking – 13

Total Adjusted Ordinal Score – 36

Total Adjusted Qualitative Points – 231

ALLEGUEZ ARCHITECTURE INC

Final Ranking – 14

Total Adjusted Ordinal Score – 39

Total Adjusted Qualitative Points – 239

R E CHISHOLM ARCHITECTS INC

Final Ranking – 15

Total Adjusted Ordinal Score – 40

Total Adjusted Qualitative Points – 234

RODRIGUEZ ARCHITECTS INC

Final Ranking – 16

Total Adjusted Ordinal Score – 40

Total Adjusted Qualitative Points – 215

CSA CENTRAL INC

Final Ranking – 17

Total Adjusted Ordinal Score – 47

Total Adjusted Qualitative Points – 228

2 PLUS ARCHITECTS INC

Final Ranking – 18

Total Adjusted Ordinal Score – 46

Total Adjusted Qualitative Points – 228

TSAO DESIGN GROUP INC

Final Ranking – 19

Total Adjusted Ordinal Score – 49

Total Adjusted Qualitative Points – 231

OLANZ ARCHITECTURE & DESIGN CORP

Final Ranking – 20

Total Adjusted Ordinal Score – 52

Total Adjusted Qualitative Points – 217


Pursuant to the Cone of Silence legislation included in the Conflict of Interest and Code of Ethics Ordinance, and Section 2-11.1 of the County Code, the County Mayor or County Mayor's designee will report to the Board of County Commissioners any of the following instances:

- When negotiations do not commence, or other affirmative action is not taken, within 30 days of the Competitive Selection Committee's recommendation.
- When the County Mayor's or County Mayor's designee's recommendation to award or reject is not made within 90 days from the date of the Competitive Selection Committee's recommendation.

If approved, the Negotiation Committee will proceed with contract negotiations in accordance with Section 2-10.4(6) of the Code of Miami-Dade County, and submit the signed contracts to be presented to the Board of County Commissioners for final approval, no later than 60 days from the date of this memorandum.

If satisfactory agreements cannot be reached within the 60 day period, a report is required to be prepared explaining all issues resulting from the negotiations. If negotiations are proceeding within a reasonable timeframe, then negotiations will continue and the report will be submitted upon completion. The final contracts and report should be sent to the Internal Services Department, Architectural and Engineering Unit.

Approved:

 Digitally signed by
Tara C. Smith
Date: 2021.04.28
09:21:05 -04'00'

Tara C. Smith
Director

Date

Attachments:

1. List of Respondents
2. CAO Responsiveness Opinion
3. DBE Compliance Review
4. First Tier Tabulation Sheet

c: Competitive Selection Committee
Clerk of the Board of County Commissioners



MIAMI DADE COUNTY
INTERNAL SERVICES DEPARTMENT

LIST OF RESPONDENTS

Project Name: Facility Design Services

Project No.: A19-MDAD-02

Measures: DBE 28%

No. of Agreements: 4

Contract Type: PROFESSIONAL SERVICES AGREEMENT

Submittal Date: 09/23/2020

Team No.: 1

Prime Name: M C HARRY & ASSOCIATES INC

FEIN No.: 592281430

Trade Name:

Sub-Consultants Name

Trade Name

Subs FEIN No.

- a. SDM CONSULTING ENGINEERS INC
- b. 305 CONSULTING ENGINEERS LLC
- c. BLISS & NYITRAY INC
- d. WGI INC

592346110
462245961
591203311
650271367

Team No.: 2

Prime Name: JSK INTERNATIONAL INC

FEIN No.: 592233118

Trade Name: JSK ARCHITECTURAL GROUP

Sub-Consultants Name

Trade Name

Subs FEIN No.

- a. CHEN MOORE AND ASSOCIATES INC
- b. YOUSSEF HACHEM CONSULTING ENGINEERING
- c. 3FM ENGINEERING, INC.

592739866
203225960
464436733

Team No.: 3

Prime Name: NELCO ARCHITECTURE, INC.

FEIN No.: 020601330

Trade Name:

Sub-Consultants Name

Trade Name

Subs FEIN No.

- a. LOUIS J AGUIRRE & ASSOCIATES PA
- b. EASTERN ENGINEERING GROUP COMPANY
- c. LANGAN ENGINEERING & ENVIRONMENTAL SERVICES INC
- d. IBA CONSULTANTS INC
- e. BRINJAC ENGINEERING INC
- f. BNP ASSOCIATES INC
- g. ROBERT & COMPANY

650164013
611492162
223167382
650637763
320426829
060871669
580404938



MIAMI DADE COUNTY
INTERNAL SERVICES DEPARTMENT

LIST OF RESPONDENTS

Project Name: Facility Design Services

Project No.: A19-MDAD-02

Measures: DBE 28%

No. of Agreements: 4

Contract Type: PROFESSIONAL SERVICES AGREEMENT

Submittal Date: 09/23/2020

Team No.: 4

Prime Name: LEO A DALY COMPANY

FEIN No.: 470363104

Trade Name:

Sub-Consultants Name	Trade Name	Subs FEIN No.
a. MASTER CONSULTING ENGINEERS INC		593614371
b. DELTA G CONSULTING ENGINEERS INC		650361739
c. MILIAN SWAIN & ASSOCIATES INC		650094999
d. APPLE DESIGNS, INC.		521410818
e. BRIZAGA, INC.		821566105
f. CONNICO INCORPORATED		621451266
g. DIGITAL BUILDING SERVICES, LLC		812653383
h. LAURA LLERENA & ASSOCIATES INC		591983295
i. MOYE I.T. CONSULTING, LLC	MOYE CONSULTING	470883117
j. VIC THOMPSON COMPANY		752727229

Team No.: 5

Prime Name: OLANZ ARCHITECTURE & DESIGN CORP

FEIN No.: 462841912

Trade Name:

Sub-Consultants Name	Trade Name	Subs FEIN No.
a. DDA ENGINEERS PA		650138165
b. P J V ENGINEERING INC		201066351
c. CIVIL WORKS INC		650673629

Team No.: 6

Prime Name: CARTY ARCHITECTURE LLC

FEIN No.: 900811358

Trade Name:

Sub-Consultants Name	Trade Name	Subs FEIN No.
a. HAMMOND & ASSOCIATES INC		650083957
b. BURNS & MCDONNELL ENGINEERING CO INC		430956142
c. JSM & ASSOCIATES LLC		261660700
d. BEKKA GROUP INC		455612715



MIAMI DADE COUNTY
INTERNAL SERVICES DEPARTMENT

LIST OF RESPONDENTS

Project Name: Facility Design Services

Project No.: A19-MDAD-02

Measures: DBE 28%

No. of Agreements: 4

Contract Type: PROFESSIONAL SERVICES AGREEMENT

Submittal Date: 09/23/2020

Team No.: 7

Prime Name: RS&H INC

FEIN No.: 592986466

Trade Name:

Sub-Consultants Name	Trade Name	Subs FEIN No.
a. MOBIO ARCHITECTURE INC		300793220
b. TGA CONSULTING, LLC		465612615
c. M. ARTHUR GENSLE JR. & ASSOCIATES, INC.	GENSLER	941663305
d. ROSS & BARUZZINI INC		430787438
e. LOUIS J AGUIRRE & ASSOCIATES PA		650164013
f. PREMIERE DESIGN SOLUTIONS INC		260571068
g. ALPHA CONSTRUCTION & ENGINEERING CORPORATION	ALPHA CORPORATION	521162258
h. WOOD ENVIRONMENT & INFRASTRUCTURE SOLUTIONS INC		911641772

Team No.: 8

Prime Name: GURRI MATUTE PA

FEIN No.: 651038126

Trade Name:

Sub-Consultants Name	Trade Name	Subs FEIN No.
a. BURNS & MCDONNELL ENGINEERING CO INC		430956142
b. FAITH GROUP, LLC	FAITH GROUP CONSULTING LLC	200568153
c. DOUGLAS WOOD ASSOCIATES INC		650343713
d. TLC ENGINEERING SOLUTIONS INC		591228645
e. JSM & ASSOCIATES LLC		261660700
f. MCO CONSTRUCTION & SERVICES INC		650400906

Team No.: 9

Prime Name: ALLEGUEZ ARCHITECTURE INC

FEIN No.: 043639417

Trade Name:

Sub-Consultants Name	Trade Name	Subs FEIN No.
a. CIVIL WORKS INC		650673629
b. BOTAS ENGINEERING INC		650670569
c. FRAGA ENGINEERS, LLC		204038436



MIAMI DADE COUNTY
INTERNAL SERVICES DEPARTMENT

LIST OF RESPONDENTS

Project Name: Facility Design Services

Project No.: A19-MDAD-02

Measures: DBE 28%

No. of Agreements: 4

Contract Type: PROFESSIONAL SERVICES AGREEMENT

Submittal Date: 09/23/2020

Team No.: 10

Prime Name: ACAI ASSOCIATES INC

FEIN No.: 650020223

Trade Name:

Sub-Consultants Name	Trade Name	Subs FEIN No.
a. MARLIN ENGINEERING INC		650279601
b. PREMIERE DESIGN SOLUTIONS INC		260571068
c. DELTA G CONSULTING ENGINEERS INC		650361739
d. EAC CONSULTING INC		650519739
e. PROCON ENGINEERING INC		522369847
f. TLC ENGINEERING SOLUTIONS INC		591228645
g. JENSEN HUGHES INC.		521199515

Team No.: 11

Prime Name: KVH ARCHITECTS PA

FEIN No.: 650263603

Trade Name:

Sub-Consultants Name	Trade Name	Subs FEIN No.
a. DDA ENGINEERS PA		650138165
b. TLC ENGINEERING SOLUTIONS INC		591228645
c. CHEN MOORE AND ASSOCIATES INC		592739866
d. IBA CONSULTANTS INC		650637763

Team No.: 12

Prime Name: 2 PLUS ARCHITECTS INC

FEIN No.: 464058491

Trade Name:

Sub-Consultants Name	Trade Name	Subs FEIN No.
a. LARS ENGINEERING, INC.		831654777
b. DELTA G CONSULTING ENGINEERS INC		650361739
c. ROSS ENGINEERING INC		450500482

Team No.: 13

Prime Name: ZYSCOVICH INC

FEIN No.: 592754852

Trade Name: ZYSCOVICH ARCHITECTS

Sub-Consultants Name	Trade Name	Subs FEIN No.
a. BLISS & NYITRAY INC		591203311
b. FRAGA ENGINEERS, LLC		204038436
c. BND ENGINEERS INC		650421519



MIAMI DADE COUNTY
INTERNAL SERVICES DEPARTMENT

LIST OF RESPONDENTS

Project Name: Facility Design Services

Project No.: A19-MDAD-02

Measures: DBE 28%

No. of Agreements: 4

Contract Type: PROFESSIONAL SERVICES AGREEMENT

Submittal Date: 09/23/2020

Team No.: 14

Prime Name: TSAO DESIGN GROUP INC

FEIN No.: 943364738

Trade Name:

Sub-Consultants Name

Trade Name

Subs FEIN No.

- a. TLC ENGINEERING SOLUTIONS INC
- b. MILLER LEGG & ASSOCIATES INC

591228645
650563467

Team No.: 15

Prime Name: EXP US SERVICES INC

FEIN No.: 460523964

Trade Name:

Sub-Consultants Name

Trade Name

Subs FEIN No.

- a. ATKINS NORTH AMERICA INC
- b. LVA LUIS VIDAL USA INC
- c. INTERIOR ARCHITECTS, INC.
- d. GRESHAM SMITH
- e. TGA CONSULTING, LLC
- f. GARTEK ENGINEERING CORPORATION
- g. S & F ENGINEERS INC
- h. DISAMERICA CONSULTING GROUP, INC.
- i. 300 ENGINEERING GROUP PA
- j. VIC THOMPSON COMPANY
- k. APEX COMPANIES, LLC
- l. BLUE DIGITAL CORP
- m. DIGITAL BUILDING SERVICES, LLC

INTERIOR ARCHITECTS

590896138
474542696
953935823
620794126
465612615
592032388
651088480
471187266
562612529
752727229
521562320
050528104
812653383

Team No.: 16

Prime Name: HELLMUTH OBATA & KASSABAUM INC

FEIN No.: 431723985

Trade Name:

Sub-Consultants Name

Trade Name

Subs FEIN No.

- a. PEREZ & PEREZ ARCHITECTS PLANNERS INC
- b. BURNS & MCDONNELL ENGINEERING CO INC
- c. BOTAS ENGINEERING INC
- d. SDM CONSULTING ENGINEERS INC
- e. JENSEN HUGHES INC.
- f. DIGITAL BUILDING SERVICES, LLC

592400309
430956142
650670569
592346110
521199515
812653383



MIAMI DADE COUNTY
INTERNAL SERVICES DEPARTMENT

LIST OF RESPONDENTS

Project Name: Facility Design Services

Project No.: A19-MDAD-02

Measures: DBE 28%

No. of Agreements: 4

Contract Type: PROFESSIONAL SERVICES AGREEMENT

Submittal Date: 09/23/2020

Team No.: 17

Prime Name: WOLFBERG ALVAREZ & PARTNERS INC

FEIN No.: 591713092

Trade Name:

Sub-Consultants Name

Trade Name

Subs FEIN No.

- a. BND ENGINEERS INC
- b. BLISS & NYITRAY INC
- c. LOUIS J AGUIRRE & ASSOCIATES PA

650421519
591203311
650164013

Team No.: 18

Prime Name: R E CHISHOLM ARCHITECTS INC

FEIN No.: 650131871

Trade Name:

Sub-Consultants Name

Trade Name

Subs FEIN No.

- a. MEP ENGINEERING INC
- b. 305 CONSULTING ENGINEERS LLC
- c. A D A ENGINEERING INC
- d. EV SERVICES INC

650154503
462245961
592064498
205779421

Team No.: 19

Prime Name: RODRIGUEZ & QUIROGA ARCHITECTS CHARTERED

FEIN No.: 592277900

Trade Name:

Sub-Consultants Name

Trade Name

Subs FEIN No.

- a. DDA ENGINEERS PA
- b. JOHNSON AVEDANO LOPEZ RODRIGUEZ & WALEWSKI
ENGINEERING GROUP INC
- c. A D A ENGINEERING INC
- d. SEQUIL SYSTEMS INC
- e. HORTON LEES BROGDEN LIGHTING
- f. MCO CONSTRUCTION & SERVICES INC

650138165
592600954
592064498
611429151
132671278
650400906

Team No.: 20

Prime Name: STANTEC CONSULTING SERVICES INC

FEIN No.: 112167170

Trade Name:

Sub-Consultants Name

Trade Name

Subs FEIN No.

- a. BERMELLO AJAMIL & PARTNERS INC
- b. FRAGA ENGINEERS, LLC
- c. NIFAH & PARTNERS CONSULTING ENGINEERS
- d. TGA CONSULTING, LLC
- e. T Y LIN INTERNATIONAL
- f. ARCHITECTURAL DESIGN CONSORTIUM INC

591722486
204038436
650604266
465612615
941598707
650266900



MIAMI DADE COUNTY
INTERNAL SERVICES DEPARTMENT

LIST OF RESPONDENTS

Project Name: Facility Design Services

Project No.: A19-MDAD-02

Measures: DBE 28%

No. of Agreements: 4

Contract Type: PROFESSIONAL SERVICES AGREEMENT

Submittal Date: 09/23/2020

Team No.: 21

Prime Name: RODRIGUEZ ARCHITECTS INC

FEIN No.: 650197336

Trade Name:

Sub-Consultants Name

Trade Name

Subs FEIN No.

- a. STV INCORPORATED
- b. KEITH & ASSOCIATES INC

131986759
650806421

Team No.: 22

Prime Name: CSA CENTRAL INC

FEIN No.: 311446286

Trade Name:

Sub-Consultants Name

Trade Name

Subs FEIN No.

- a. BASULTO & ASSOCIATES INC
- c. LAURA M PEREZ & ASSOC INC
- d. PT SUPPLIERS LLC
- e. MCFARLAND-JOHNSON, INC.

RISK AND DESIGN
CONSULTING
MCFARLAND JOHNSON
650437722
650158718
474000358
160770183

FIRST TIER MEETING March 10, 2021 Miami-Dade Aviation Department		COMPETITIVE SELECTION COMMITTEE					SUB-TOTAL	TOTAL & ADJ. QUALITATIVE SCORE	TOTAL ADJ. ORDINAL SCORES	ORDINAL RANKING	FINAL RANK
TABULATION SHEET		Felix Pereira, MDAD	Ammad Riaz, MDAD	Augustin Durand, WASD	Carolina Alfonso, CUA	Vivian Galvez, WASD					
Facility Design Services ISD PROJECT NO. A19-MDAD-02											
NAME OF FIRM(S)											
1	M C HARRY & ASSOCIATES INC (Eliminated)										
2	JSK INTERNATIONAL INC (Eliminated)										
3	NELCO ARCHITECTURE, INC.										
1A - Qualification of firms including team members associated to the project (Max. 50 points)		5	45	47	38	38	173				
2A - Knowledge and Past Experience of similar type projects (Max. 20 points)		5	18	18	11	6	58				
3A - Past Performance of the Firms (Max. 20 points)		5	18	19	15	16	73				
4A - Amount of Work Awarded and Paid by the County (Max. 5 points)		5	4	4	4	5	22				
5A - Ability of team members to interface with the County (Max 5 points)		2	5	5	5	4	21				
Ordinal Scores		22	90	93	73	69		347			
Dropped Ordinal Scores		17	3	2	18	11					
Dropped Qualitative Scores		22		93	18				31	9	9
Tie-Breaker(CSC Ords)-Criterion 1A,2A,3A,4A,5A, then Total Qual. Points for 1A,2A,3A,4A,5A.								232			
Tie-Breaker (Total Ord. Score)-Total Adjusted Qual. Points, then Total Qual. Points for 1A,2A,3A,4A,5A											
4	LEO A DALY COMPANY										
1A - Qualification of firms including team members associated to the project (Max. 50 points)		45	45	46	45	41	222				
2A - Knowledge and Past Experience of similar type projects (Max. 20 points)		18	18	17	15	9	77				
3A - Past Performance of the Firms (Max. 20 points)		18	18	18	15	16	85				
4A - Amount of Work Awarded and Paid by the County (Max. 5 points)		5	5	4	4	5	23				
5A - Ability of team members to interface with the County (Max 5 points)		4	5	4	5	4	22				
Ordinal Scores		90	91	89	84	75		429			
Dropped Ordinal Scores		4	1	11	8	3					
Dropped Qualitative Scores			1	11					15	2	2
Tie-Breaker(CSC Ords)-Criterion 1A,2A,3A,4A,5A, then Total Qual. Points for 1A,2A,3A,4A,5A.								263			
Tie-Breaker (Total Ord. Score)-Total Adjusted Qual. Points, then Total Qual. Points for 1A,2A,3A,4A,5A											
5	OLANZ ARCHITECTURE & DESIGN CORP										
1A - Qualification of firms including team members associated to the project (Max. 50 points)		5	41	47	43	38	174				
2A - Knowledge and Past Experience of similar type projects (Max. 20 points)		3	15	18	12	6	54				
3A - Past Performance of the Firms (Max. 20 points)		3	15	18	12	8	56				
4A - Amount of Work Awarded and Paid by the County (Max. 5 points)		5	5	4	5	5	24				
5A - Ability of team members to interface with the County (Max 5 points)		2	5	4	5	2	18				
Ordinal Scores		18	81	91	77	59		326			
Dropped Ordinal Scores		20	17	6	15	20					
Dropped Qualitative Scores		20		6					52	20	20
Tie-Breaker(CSC Ords)-Criterion 1A,2A,3A,4A,5A, then Total Qual. Points for 1A,2A,3A,4A,5A.		18		91				217			
Tie-Breaker (Total Ord. Score)-Total Adjusted Qual. Points, then Total Qual. Points for 1A,2A,3A,4A,5A											
6	CARTY ARCHITECTURE LLC										
1A - Qualification of firms including team members associated to the project (Max. 50 points)		49	47	48	47	41	232				
2A - Knowledge and Past Experience of similar type projects (Max. 20 points)		19	18	18	16	9	80				
3A - Past Performance of the Firms (Max. 20 points)		19	18	17	15	12	81				
4A - Amount of Work Awarded and Paid by the County (Max. 5 points)		3	2	5	4	4	18				
5A - Ability of team members to interface with the County (Max 5 points)		5	5	4	5	3	22				
Ordinal Scores		95	90	92	87	69		433			
Dropped Ordinal Scores		1	2	3	3	10					
Dropped Qualitative Scores		1				10			8	1	1
Tie-Breaker(CSC Ords)-Criterion 1A,2A,3A,4A,5A, then Total Qual. Points for 1A,2A,3A,4A,5A.		95				69		269			
Tie-Breaker (Total Ord. Score)-Total Adjusted Qual. Points, then Total Qual. Points for 1A,2A,3A,4A,5A											
7	RS&H INC										
1A - Qualification of firms including team members associated to the project (Max. 50 points)		49	46	48	39	42	224				
2A - Knowledge and Past Experience of similar type projects (Max. 20 points)		19	18	14	15	9	75				
3A - Past Performance of the Firms (Max. 20 points)		19	19	17	15	12	82				
4A - Amount of Work Awarded and Paid by the County (Max. 5 points)		1	1	3	4	4	13				
5A - Ability of team members to interface with the County (Max 5 points)		5	5	5	5	3	23				
Ordinal Scores		93	89	87	78	70		417			
Dropped Ordinal Scores		2	4	18	14	7					
Dropped Qualitative Scores		2		18					25	5	5
Tie-Breaker(CSC Ords)-Criterion 1A,2A,3A,4A,5A, then Total Qual. Points for 1A,2A,3A,4A,5A.		93				70		254			
Tie-Breaker (Total Ord. Score)-Total Adjusted Qual. Points, then Total Qual. Points for 1A,2A,3A,4A,5A											
8	GURRI MATUTE PA										
1A - Qualification of firms including team members associated to the project (Max. 50 points)		48	45	46	43	41	223				
2A - Knowledge and Past Experience of similar type projects (Max. 20 points)		18	17	16	14	10	75				
3A - Past Performance of the Firms (Max. 20 points)		18	16	17	12	12	75				
4A - Amount of Work Awarded and Paid by the County (Max. 5 points)		2	2	3	4	3	14				
5A - Ability of team members to interface with the County (Max 5 points)		5	5	4	5	3	22				
Ordinal Scores		91	85	86	78	69		409			
Dropped Ordinal Scores		3	13	20	12	8					
Dropped Qualitative Scores		3		20					33	11	11
Tie-Breaker(CSC Ords)-Criterion 1A,2A,3A,4A,5A, then Total Qual. Points for 1A,2A,3A,4A,5A.		91				69		249			
Tie-Breaker (Total Ord. Score)-Total Adjusted Qual. Points, then Total Qual. Points for 1A,2A,3A,4A,5A											

FIRST TIER MEETING March 10, 2021 Miami-Dade Aviation Department		COMPETITIVE SELECTION COMMITTEE					SUB-TOTAL	TOTAL & ADJ. QUALITATIVE SCORE	TOTAL ADJ. ORDINAL SCORES	ORDINAL RANKING	FINAL RANK
TABULATION SHEET		Felix Pereira, MDAD	Ammad Riaz, MDAD	Augustin Durand, WASD	Carolina Alfonso, CUA	Vivian Galvez, WASD					
Facility Design Services ISD PROJECT NO. A19-MDAD-02											
9	ALLEGUEZ ARCHITECTURE INC										
1A - Qualification of firms including team members associated to the project (Max. 50 points)		40	42	47	38	39	206				
2A - Knowledge and Past Experience of similar type projects (Max. 20 points)		15	16	17	12	6	66				
3A - Past Performance of the Firms (Max. 20 points)		15	16	17	15	12	75				
4A - Amount of Work Awarded and Paid by the County (Max. 5 points)		5	5	4	5	5	24				
5A - Ability of team members to interface with the County (Max 5 points)		5	5	5	5	3	23				
		80	84	80	75	65		394			
Ordinal Scores		6	14	8	17	17					
Dropped Ordinal Scores		6				17			39	14	14
Dropped Qualitative Scores				90		65		239			
Tie-Breaker(CSC Ords)-Criterion 1A,2A,3A,4A,5A, then Total Qual. Points for 1A,2A,3A,4A,5A											
Tie-Breaker (Total Ord. Score)-Total Adjusted Qual. Points, then Total Qual. Points for 1A,2A,3A,4A,5A											
10	ACAI ASSOCIATES INC										
1A - Qualification of firms including team members associated to the project (Max. 50 points)		30	46	47	46	47	216				
2A - Knowledge and Past Experience of similar type projects (Max. 20 points)		10	18	16	18	20	82				
3A - Past Performance of the Firms (Max. 20 points)		10	15	16	15	12	68				
4A - Amount of Work Awarded and Paid by the County (Max. 5 points)		1	2	5	2	5	15				
5A - Ability of team members to interface with the County (Max 5 points)		2	5	4	5	3	19				
		53	86	88	86	87		400			
Ordinal Scores		12	9	15	5	1					
Dropped Ordinal Scores				15		1			26	7	7
Dropped Qualitative Scores		53		88				259			
Tie-Breaker(CSC Ords)-Criterion 1A,2A,3A,4A,5A, then Total Qual. Points for 1A,2A,3A,4A,5A											
Tie-Breaker (Total Ord. Score)-Total Adjusted Qual. Points, then Total Qual. Points for 1A,2A,3A,4A,5A											
11	KVH ARCHITECTS PA										
1A - Qualification of firms including team members associated to the project (Max. 50 points)		20	42	47	42	41	192				
2A - Knowledge and Past Experience of similar type projects (Max. 20 points)		15	14	16	12	10	67				
3A - Past Performance of the Firms (Max. 20 points)		15	16	17	12	16	76				
4A - Amount of Work Awarded and Paid by the County (Max. 5 points)		4	3	5	5	4	21				
5A - Ability of team members to interface with the County (Max 5 points)		5	5	4	5	4	23				
		59	80	89	76	75		379			
Ordinal Scores		10	19	10	16	2					
Dropped Ordinal Scores			19			2			36	13	13
Dropped Qualitative Scores		59		89				231			
Tie-Breaker(CSC Ords)-Criterion 1A,2A,3A,4A,5A, then Total Qual. Points for 1A,2A,3A,4A,5A											
Tie-Breaker (Total Ord. Score)-Total Adjusted Qual. Points, then Total Qual. Points for 1A,2A,3A,4A,5A											
12	2 PLUS ARCHITECTS INC										
1A - Qualification of firms including team members associated to the project (Max. 50 points)		10	40	46	42	37	175				
2A - Knowledge and Past Experience of similar type projects (Max. 20 points)		3	15	17	12	6	53				
3A - Past Performance of the Firms (Max. 20 points)		3	18	16	20	12	69				
4A - Amount of Work Awarded and Paid by the County (Max. 5 points)		4	3	5	5	5	22				
5A - Ability of team members to interface with the County (Max 5 points)		3	5	5	5	3	21				
		23	81	89	84	63		340			
Ordinal Scores		16	18	12	9	19					
Dropped Ordinal Scores					9	19			46	17	18
Dropped Qualitative Scores		23		89				228			
Tie-Breaker(CSC Ords)-Criterion 1A,2A,3A,4A,5A, then Total Qual. Points for 1A,2A,3A,4A,5A											
Tie-Breaker (Total Ord. Score)-Total Adjusted Qual. Points, then Total Qual. Points for 1A,2A,3A,4A,5A											
13	ZYSOVICH INC										
1A - Qualification of firms including team members associated to the project (Max. 50 points)		10	46	47	49	41	193				
2A - Knowledge and Past Experience of similar type projects (Max. 20 points)		5	18	17	19	10	69				
3A - Past Performance of the Firms (Max. 20 points)		1	15	17	15	12	60				
4A - Amount of Work Awarded and Paid by the County (Max. 5 points)		1	4	5	4	1	15				
5A - Ability of team members to interface with the County (Max 5 points)		1	5	4	5	3	18				
		18	88	90	92	67		355			
Ordinal Scores		19	6	7	1	12					
Dropped Ordinal Scores		19			1				25	5	6
Dropped Qualitative Scores		18			92			245			
Tie-Breaker(CSC Ords)-Criterion 1A,2A,3A,4A,5A, then Total Qual. Points for 1A,2A,3A,4A,5A											
Tie-Breaker (Total Ord. Score)-Total Adjusted Qual. Points, then Total Qual. Points for 1A,2A,3A,4A,5A											
14	TSAO DESIGN GROUP INC										
1A - Qualification of firms including team members associated to the project (Max. 50 points)		10	42	46	42	39	179				
2A - Knowledge and Past Experience of similar type projects (Max. 20 points)		3	15	17	15	7	57				
3A - Past Performance of the Firms (Max. 20 points)		2	15	17	18	12	64				
4A - Amount of Work Awarded and Paid by the County (Max. 5 points)		4	4	4	4	4	21				
5A - Ability of team members to interface with the County (Max 5 points)		2	5	4	5	3	19				
		21	81	88	85	65		340			
Ordinal Scores		18	16	17	7	16					
Dropped Ordinal Scores		18			7				49	19	19
Dropped Qualitative Scores		21		88				231			
Tie-Breaker(CSC Ords)-Criterion 1A,2A,3A,4A,5A, then Total Qual. Points for 1A,2A,3A,4A,5A											
Tie-Breaker (Total Ord. Score)-Total Adjusted Qual. Points, then Total Qual. Points for 1A,2A,3A,4A,5A											
15	EXP US SERVICES INC										
1A - Qualification of firms including team members associated to the project (Max. 50 points)		30	46	47	46	44	213				
2A - Knowledge and Past Experience of similar type projects (Max. 20 points)		15	18	17	18	9	77				
3A - Past Performance of the Firms (Max. 20 points)		10	17	17	16	12	72				
4A - Amount of Work Awarded and Paid by the County (Max. 5 points)		1	1	3	3	5	13				
5A - Ability of team members to interface with the County (Max 5 points)		3	5	5	5	3	21				
		59	87	89	88	73		396			
Ordinal Scores		9	7	9	2	4					
Dropped Ordinal Scores				9					20	4	4
Dropped Qualitative Scores		59		89				248			
Tie-Breaker(CSC Ords)-Criterion 1A,2A,3A,4A,5A, then Total Qual. Points for 1A,2A,3A,4A,5A											
Tie-Breaker (Total Ord. Score)-Total Adjusted Qual. Points, then Total Qual. Points for 1A,2A,3A,4A,5A											

FIRST TIER MEETING		COMPETITIVE SELECTION COMMITTEE					SUB-TOTAL	TOTAL & ADJ. QUALITATIVE SCORE	TOTAL ADJ. ORDINAL SCORES	ORDINAL RANKING	FINAL RANK
March 10, 2021		Felix Pereira, MDAD	Amnada Riaz, MDAD	Augustin Durand, WASD	Carolina Alfonso, CUA	Vivian Galvez, WASD					
Miami-Dade Aviation Department											
TABULATION SHEET											
Facility Design Services											
ISD PROJECT NO. A19-MDAD-02											
16	HELLMUTH OBATA & KASSABAUM INC										
1A - Qualification of firms including team members associated to the project (Max. 50 points)		30	46	46	40	40	202				
2A - Knowledge and Past Experience of similar type projects (Max. 20 points)		15	18	17	17	7	74				
3A - Past Performance of the Firms (Max. 20 points)		15	15	16	12	12	70				
4A - Amount of Work Awarded and Paid by the County (Max. 5 points)		4	3	4	4	5	20				
5A - Ability of team members to interface with the County (Max 5 points)		3	4	4	5	3	19				
Ordinal Scores		67	86	87	78	67		385			
Dropped Ordinal Scores		7	8	19	13	13					
Dropped Qualitative Scores		67		87					34	12	12
Tie-Breaker(CSC Ords)-Criterion 1A,2A,3A,4A,5A, then Total Qual. Points for 1A,2A,3A,4A,5A											
Tie-Breaker (Total Ord. Score)-Total Adjusted Qual. Points, then Total Qual. Points for 1A,2A,3A,4A,5A								231			
17	WOLFBURG ALVAREZ & PARTNERS INC										
1A - Qualification of firms including team members associated to the project (Max. 50 points)		25	45	48	45	40	203				
2A - Knowledge and Past Experience of similar type projects (Max. 20 points)		15	17	18	18	7	72				
3A - Past Performance of the Firms (Max. 20 points)		10	15	17	15	12	69				
4A - Amount of Work Awarded and Paid by the County (Max. 5 points)		5	4	4	4	4	21				
5A - Ability of team members to interface with the County (Max 5 points)		3	5	5	5	3	21				
Ordinal Scores		58	86	92	87	66		389			
Dropped Ordinal Scores		11	11	4	4	14					
Dropped Qualitative Scores		58		92		14			26	7	8
Tie-Breaker(CSC Ords)-Criterion 1A,2A,3A,4A,5A, then Total Qual. Points for 1A,2A,3A,4A,5A											
Tie-Breaker (Total Ord. Score)-Total Adjusted Qual. Points, then Total Qual. Points for 1A,2A,3A,4A,5A								239			
18	R E CHISHOLM ARCHITECTS INC										
1A - Qualification of firms including team members associated to the project (Max. 50 points)		15	44	46	42	42	189				
2A - Knowledge and Past Experience of similar type projects (Max. 20 points)		10	16	17	17	12	72				
3A - Past Performance of the Firms (Max. 20 points)		5	15	17	12	12	61				
4A - Amount of Work Awarded and Paid by the County (Max. 5 points)		2	2	4	3	4	15				
5A - Ability of team members to interface with the County (Max 5 points)		3	5	4	5	3	20				
Ordinal Scores		35	82	88	79	73		357			
Dropped Ordinal Scores		14	15	16	11	5					
Dropped Qualitative Scores		35		88		5			40	15	15
Tie-Breaker(CSC Ords)-Criterion 1A,2A,3A,4A,5A, then Total Qual. Points for 1A,2A,3A,4A,5A											
Tie-Breaker (Total Ord. Score)-Total Adjusted Qual. Points, then Total Qual. Points for 1A,2A,3A,4A,5A								234			
19	RODRIGUEZ & QUIROGA ARCHITECTS CHARTERED										
1A - Qualification of firms including team members associated to the project (Max. 50 points)		30	45	47	35	41	198				
2A - Knowledge and Past Experience of similar type projects (Max. 20 points)		15	18	17	13	10	73				
3A - Past Performance of the Firms (Max. 20 points)		15	16	15	12	12	70				
4A - Amount of Work Awarded and Paid by the County (Max. 5 points)		2	2	4	3	3	14				
5A - Ability of team members to interface with the County (Max 5 points)		3	5	5	5	3	21				
Ordinal Scores		65	86	88	68	69		376			
Dropped Ordinal Scores		8	10	13	19	9					
Dropped Qualitative Scores		65		88					32	10	10
Tie-Breaker(CSC Ords)-Criterion 1A,2A,3A,4A,5A, then Total Qual. Points for 1A,2A,3A,4A,5A											
Tie-Breaker (Total Ord. Score)-Total Adjusted Qual. Points, then Total Qual. Points for 1A,2A,3A,4A,5A								223			
20	STANTEC CONSULTING SERVICES INC										
1A - Qualification of firms including team members associated to the project (Max. 50 points)		45	46	49	44	43	227				
2A - Knowledge and Past Experience of similar type projects (Max. 20 points)		18	18	18	15	9	78				
3A - Past Performance of the Firms (Max. 20 points)		18	18	18	12	12	78				
4A - Amount of Work Awarded and Paid by the County (Max. 5 points)		1	1	3	3	4	12				
5A - Ability of team members to interface with the County (Max 5 points)		5	5	5	5	3	23				
Ordinal Scores		87	88	93	79	71		418			
Dropped Ordinal Scores		5	5	1	10	6					
Dropped Qualitative Scores				93	10	71			16	3	3
Tie-Breaker(CSC Ords)-Criterion 1A,2A,3A,4A,5A, then Total Qual. Points for 1A,2A,3A,4A,5A											
Tie-Breaker (Total Ord. Score)-Total Adjusted Qual. Points, then Total Qual. Points for 1A,2A,3A,4A,5A								254			
21	RODRIGUEZ ARCHITECTS INC										
1A - Qualification of firms including team members associated to the project (Max. 50 points)		15	42	48	25	38	168				
2A - Knowledge and Past Experience of similar type projects (Max. 20 points)		10	15	18	10	4	57				
3A - Past Performance of the Firms (Max. 20 points)		15	19	16	18	16	84				
4A - Amount of Work Awarded and Paid by the County (Max. 5 points)		3	5	5	5	4	22				
5A - Ability of team members to interface with the County (Max 5 points)		4	5	4	5	4	22				
Ordinal Scores		47	86	91	63	65		353			
Dropped Ordinal Scores		13	12	5	20	15					
Dropped Qualitative Scores		47		91					40	15	16
Tie-Breaker(CSC Ords)-Criterion 1A,2A,3A,4A,5A, then Total Qual. Points for 1A,2A,3A,4A,5A											
Tie-Breaker (Total Ord. Score)-Total Adjusted Qual. Points, then Total Qual. Points for 1A,2A,3A,4A,5A								215			
22	CSA CENTRAL INC										
1A - Qualification of firms including team members associated to the project (Max. 50 points)		10	40	47	44	41	182				
2A - Knowledge and Past Experience of similar type projects (Max. 20 points)		5	14	16	17	6	58				
3A - Past Performance of the Firms (Max. 20 points)		5	16	17	15	12	65				
4A - Amount of Work Awarded and Paid by the County (Max. 5 points)		5	4	4	4	2	19				
5A - Ability of team members to interface with the County (Max 5 points)		3	5	4	5	3	20				
Ordinal Scores		28	79	88	85	64		344			
Dropped Ordinal Scores		15	20	14	6	18					
Dropped Qualitative Scores		28		88					47	17	17
Tie-Breaker(CSC Ords)-Criterion 1A,2A,3A,4A,5A, then Total Qual. Points for 1A,2A,3A,4A,5A											
Tie-Breaker (Total Ord. Score)-Total Adjusted Qual. Points, then Total Qual. Points for 1A,2A,3A,4A,5A								228			

Armando Gonzalez, Chairperson



MEMORANDUM

(Revised)

TO: Honorable Chairman Jose "Pepe" Diaz
and Members, Board of County Commissioners

DATE: January 19, 2022

FROM: 
Gen Bonzon-Keenan
County Attorney

SUBJECT: Agenda Item No. 8(A)(3)

Please note any items checked.

- ☐ "3-Day Rule" for committees applicable if raised
- ☐ 6 weeks required between first reading and public hearing
- ☐ 4 weeks notification to municipal officials required prior to public hearing
- ☐ Decreases revenues or increases expenditures without balancing budget
- ☐ Budget required
- ☐ Statement of fiscal impact required
- ☐ Statement of social equity required
- ☐ Ordinance creating a new board requires detailed County Mayor's report for public hearing
- ☒ No committee review
- ☐ Applicable legislation requires more than a majority vote (i.e., 2/3's present ____, 2/3 membership ____, 3/5's ____, unanimous ____, CDMP 7 vote requirement per 2-116.1(3)(h) or (4)(c) ____, CDMP 2/3 vote requirement per 2-116.1(3)(h) or (4)(c) ____, or CDMP 9 vote requirement per 2-116.1(4)(c)(2) ____ to approve
- ☒ Current information regarding funding source, index code and available balance, and available capacity (if debt is contemplated) required

Approved _____ Mayor
Veto _____
Override _____

Agenda Item No. 8(A)(3)
1-19-22

RESOLUTION NO. _____ R-21-22

RESOLUTION APPROVING PROFESSIONAL SERVICES AGREEMENT BETWEEN MIAMI-DADE COUNTY AND EXP US SERVICES, INC. FOR FACILITY DESIGN SERVICES, CONTRACT NO. A19-MDAD-02D IN AN AMOUNT NOT TO EXCEED \$5,513,750.00 FOR A TERM OF FIVE YEARS; AND AUTHORIZING THE COUNTY MAYOR OR COUNTY MAYOR'S DESIGNEE TO EXECUTE THE AGREEMENT AND TO EXERCISE THE TERMINATION PROVISION CONTAINED THEREIN


WHEREAS, this Board desires to accomplish the purposes outlined in the accompanying memorandum and documents, copies of which are incorporated herein by reference,

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED BY THE BOARD OF COUNTY COMMISSIONERS OF MIAMI-DADE COUNTY, FLORIDA, that this Board hereby approves the award of the Professional Services Agreement to EXP US Services, Inc., for Facility Design Services, Contract No. A19-MDAD-02D, in an amount not to exceed \$5,513,750.00 for a term of five years, in substantially the form attached hereto and made a part hereof, and authorizes the County Mayor or County Mayor's designee to execute the agreement and to exercise the termination provision contained therein.

The foregoing resolution was offered by Commissioner **Sally A. Heyman** , who moved its adoption. The motion was seconded by Commissioner **Jean Monestime** and upon being put to a vote, the vote was as follows:

Jose "Pepe" Diaz, Chairman	aye		
Oliver G. Gilbert, III, Vice-Chairman	aye		
Sen. René García	aye	Keon Hardemon	aye
Sally A. Heyman	aye	Danielle Cohen Higgins	aye
Eileen Higgins	aye	Joe A. Martinez	aye
Kionne L. McGhee	aye	Jean Monestime	aye
Raquel A. Regalado	aye	Rebeca Sosa	aye
Sen. Javier D. Souto	aye		

The Chairperson thereupon declared this resolution duly passed and adopted this 19th day of January, 2022. This resolution shall become effective upon the earlier of (1) 10 days after the date of its adoption unless vetoed by the County Mayor, and if vetoed, shall become effective only upon an override by this Board, or (2) approval by the County Mayor of this resolution and the filing of this approval with the Clerk of the Board.



MIAMI-DADE COUNTY, FLORIDA
BY ITS BOARD OF
COUNTY COMMISSIONERS

HARVEY RUVIN, CLERK

Melissa Adames

By: _____
Deputy Clerk

Approved by County Attorney as
to form and legal sufficiency.

DMM

David M. Murray

**PROFESSIONAL SERVICES AGREEMENT FOR
FACILITY DESIGN SERVICES
FOR MIAMI-DADE AVIATION DEPARTMENT
CONTRACT NO. A19-MDAD-02D**

This AGREEMENT made as of the _____ day of _____ in the year 2021, between

the Owner:

Miami-Dade County Florida, a political subdivision of the State of Florida, acting by and through its **Board of County Commissioners**, hereinafter called the "County", which shall include its officials, successors, legal representatives, and assigns.

and the

Architect/Engineer:

**EXP U.S. Services Inc.
201 Alhambra Circle, Suite 800
Coral Gables, FL 33134**

which term shall include its officials, successors, legal representatives, and assigns.

For the Project:

Facility Design Services

This project is being processed under Section 2-285.2 of the Miami-Dade County Code entitled Miami-Dade Aviation Department (MDAD) Capital Improvements Acceleration Ordinance No. 19-122.

The scope of services to be provided by the A/E firm includes, but is not limited to, design and construction inspection services for renovations and improvements terminal-wide, outer buildings and new facilities including interior, tenant relocations, roofing and glazing work, miscellaneous code compliance, and aesthetics related improvements and project management services.

During the term of the two (2) Professional Service Agreements awarded for ISD Project No. A18-MDAD-01, the selected Prime firms under such Agreements will be precluded from participation in any team recommended for award for any capital improvement project (CIP) at any MDAD facility.

Subconsultants of the Prime firms awarded under ISD Project No. A18-MDAD-01 may compete to participate in a future CIP project, as a Prime or Subconsultant, if such project will not be managed by their respective team under ISD Project No. A18-MDAD-01.

The Owner and Architect/Engineer agree as set forth herein:

Contract No. A19-MDAD-02D

PROFESSIONAL SERVICES AGREEMENT

TABLE OF CONTENTS

ARTICLE NO.	SUBJECT	PAGE NO.
ARTICLE 1	DEFINITIONS.....	1
ARTICLE 2	INFORMATION TO BE FURNISHED BY THE OWNER	9
2.1	Information to Be Furnished by the Owner	9
2.2	Obligation of the Architect/Engineer.....	9
ARTICLE 3	GENERAL PROVISIONS	10
3.1	Indemnification and Hold Harmless	10
3.2	Insurance	10
3.3	Assignment	12
3.4	Provision of Items Necessary to Complete Services	12
3.5	Sub-Consultants	12
3.6	Term of Agreement.....	13
3.7	Termination of Agreement.....	13
3.8	Sanctions for Contractual Violations	15
3.9	Intent of Agreement	15
3.10	Solicitation	15
3.11	Accounting Records of Architect/Engineer	16
3.12	Inspector General (IG), Independent Private Sector Inspector General (IPSIG)	16
3.13	Ownership of Documents and Copyrights.....	18
3.14	Laws and Regulations	19
3.15	Corrections to Contract Documents.....	20
3.16	Standard of Care	20
3.17	Owner Representative	21
3.18	Secured Areas/Air Operations Area (AOA)/SIDA/ Sterile Areas Security	21
3.19	Non-Exclusivity	24
3.20	Continued Engagement of Critical Personnel.....	24
3.21	Architect/Engineer Responsibility	25
3.22	Architect/Engineer Performance Evaluation	26
3.23	Entirety of Agreement.....	26
3.24	Prompt Payment.....	26
3.25	Certification of Wage Rates.....	26
3.26	Ethics Commission	26
3.27	Truth In Negotiation	27
3.28	Sustainable Buildings Program.....	27
3.29	Employment Eligibility Verification (E-Verify)	27
3.30	Energy Performance.....	27

3.31	Americans with Disabilities Act (ADA) Standards	28
3.32	Accounts Receivable Adjustments	28
3.33	Access to Public Records.....	28
3.34	Aspirational Policy Regarding Diversity	29
ARTICLE 4	BASIC SERVICES	30
4.1	Start of Work.....	30
4.2	Basic Services Schedule and Summary	30
4.3	Phases 1A & 1B - Program Verification and Preparation of Schematic Design Documents	34
4.4	Phase 2 - Design Development	39
4.5	Phases 3A, 3B, & 3C - Contract Documents	41
4.6	Phase 3 D - Bid Documents.....	45
4.7	Phase 4 - Bidding and Award of Contract	46
4.8	Phase 5 - Work Related Services.....	47
4.9	Meetings and Reports	53
ARTICLE 5	ADDITIONAL AND WORK SITE SERVICES	55
5.1	Authorization	55
5.2	Additional Services	55
5.3	Work Site Services.....	56
ARTICLE 6	REIMBURSABLE EXPENSES	58
ARTICLE 7	EQUAL EMPLOYMENT OPPORTUNITY AND AFFIRMATIVE ACTION	59
7.1	Equal Employment Opportunity	59
7.2	Nondiscriminatory Access to Premises and Services	59
7.3	Breach of Nondiscrimination Covenants.....	60
7.4	Nondiscrimination.....	60
7.5	Disability Nondiscrimination Affidavit	61
7.6	Notice of Requirement for Affirmative Action to Ensure Equal Employment Opportunity	61
7.7	Contract Measures	62
ARTICLE 8	COMPENSATION FOR SERVICES.....	63
8.1	Compensation for Services	63
8.2	Payment for Services	63
8.3	Payment for Reimbursable Expenses	67
8.4	Invoices and Methods of Payment.....	67
8.5	Payment to Sub-Consultants	67
8.6	Sub-contractor/Sub-consultant Reporting.....	67
8.7	Consequence for Non-Performance	68
8.8	Payment for Abandoned, Terminated or Suspended Services.....	68
8.9	Maximum Payable Allowance Account	69
8.10	Inspector General Audit Account	69

8.11	Total Authorized Amount for this Agreement.....	69
ARTICLE 9	SPECIAL PROVISIONS.....	70
SIGNATURES.....		75
EXHIBIT 1	NOT USED	
EXHIBIT 2	PRINCIPALS OF THE ARCHITECT/ENGINEER	
EXHIBIT 3	CRITICAL PERSONNEL	
EXHIBIT 4	DISADVANTAGED BUSINESS ENTERPRISE PARTICIPATION PROVISIONS, WORKSHEET, EXECUTED DBE UTILIZATION FORM/LETTER OF INTENT, EXECUTED CONTRACT PARTICIPATION FORM, AND BIDDER AND SUBCONTRACTOR INFORMATION	
AFFIDAVITS		
CERTIFICATE OF INSURANCE		

WITNESSETH

ARTICLE 1

DEFINITIONS

- 1.1 **ADDITIONAL SERVICES:** Those services, in addition to the Basic Services in this Agreement, which the Architect/Engineer shall perform at Owner's option and when authorized by Service Order(s) in accordance with the terms of this Agreement.
- 1.2 **AFFIRMATIVE ACTION:** Action to be taken by the Architect/Engineer pursuant to a written, results-oriented program, meeting the requirements of 41 CFR Part 60, in which the Architect/Engineer details the steps to be taken to ensure equal employment opportunity, including, where appropriate, remedying discrimination against an affected class, or other actions, as necessary.
- 1.3 **AGREEMENT:** This written Agreement between the Owner and the Architect/Engineer, including the Appendices attached hereto and all Amendments and Service Orders issued by the Owner hereunder.
- 1.4 **ALLOWANCE ACCOUNT(S):** Account(s) in which stated dollar amount(s) may be included in this Agreement for the purpose of funding portions of the Services or the Work. Allowance Accounts are included in this Agreement to pay for Additional Services, Work Site Services, Dedicated Services, Reimbursable Expenses, or Inspector General Services. Services to be paid from these Allowance Accounts shall be authorized by Service Order prior to the commencement of the work under the Service Order.
- 1.5 **AMENDMENT:** A written modification to this Agreement executed by the Architect/Engineer and the Owner covering changes, additions, or reductions in the terms of this Agreement.
- 1.6 **ARCHITECT/ENGINEER (A/E):** The named entity on page 1 of this Agreement.
- 1.7 **ART IN PUBLIC PLACES:** A department of Miami-Dade County that is responsible for initiating and overseeing the incorporation of art into new County facilities.
- 1.8 **BASIC SERVICES:** Those services that the Architect/Engineer shall perform in accordance with the terms of the Agreement as directed and authorized by a Service Order(s). Any Services not specifically addressed as Additional Services, Work Site Services, or Dedicated Services are considered Basic Services.
- 1.9 **BASIC SERVICES FEE:** The basis for compensation of the Architect/Engineer for the Basic Services performed under this Agreement.

- 1.10 CHANGE ORDER: A written agreement executed by the Owner, the Contractor and the Contractor's Surety, covering modifications to the Contract.
- 1.11 CONSTRUCTABILITY: The optimum use of construction knowledge and experience in planning, design, procurement, and field operations to achieve overall Project objectives.
- 1.12 CONSTRUCTION COST: Actual cost of the Work established in the Contract Documents and as they may be amended from time to time.
- 1.13 CONTRACT DOCUMENTS: The legal agreement between the Owner and the Contractor for performance of Work. The documents prepared by the Architect/Engineer in accordance with the requirements of a Service Order(s) issued hereunder that form the basis for which the Owner can receive bids for the Work included in the documents. The Contract Documents shall include, but not necessarily be limited to, the Advertisement for Bids, Instructions to Bidders, Bid Form, Bid Bond, Contract Summary, Surety Performance and Payment Bond, General Conditions, Special Provisions, Division 1, Technical Specifications, and Plans together with all Addenda, and subsequent Change Orders, and Work Orders.
- 1.14 CONTRACTOR: The firm, company, corporation or joint venture contracting with the Owner for performance of Work covered in the Contract Documents.
- 1.15 DAYS: Reference made to Days shall mean consecutive calendar days.
- 1.16 DEDICATED SERVICES: Services performed pursuant to a Dedicated Allowance Account(s) that are beyond the requirements for Basic Services and Additional Services under this Agreement and shall be performed as required upon receipt of a Service Order. Such Services, if any, are specified in the Special Provisions.
- 1.17 DEFECT(S): Refers to any part of the Work that does not follow the Contract Documents, does not meet the requirements of a reference standard, test or inspection specified in the Contract Documents, does not properly function, is broken, damaged or of inferior quality, or is incomplete. The adjective "defective" when it modifies the words "Work" or "work" shall have the same connotation as Defect.
- 1.18 DELIVERABLES REQUIREMENTS MANUAL: A manual provided by the Owner that prescribes the deliverables and their content to be provided by design professionals. This manual is made a part of this Agreement by reference.
- 1.19 DESIGN DELIVERABLES: Deliverables to be presented and Services to be performed by the Architect/Engineer at various Phases of design. The design deliverables are to comply with the requirements of the Deliverables Requirements Manual and/or Service Order.

- 1.20 DESIGN DEVELOPMENT: That portion of the Services comprising Phase 2 of the Basic Services which the Architect/Engineer shall perform in accordance with the terms of this Agreement when directed and authorized by Service Order.
- 1.21 DESIGN GUIDELINES MANUAL: A manual provided by the Owner which comprises design standards and guidelines for use by the Architect/Engineer and other Design Professionals as provided by Service Order. It is made a part of this Agreement by reference.
- 1.22 DESIGN SCHEDULE AND COST MANAGEMENT PLAN (DSCMP): A progress schedule and earned value measurement plan for the Design Deliverables that will be developed by the Architect/Engineer in accordance with the Project and Phase schedule provided by the Owner. The DSCMP shall meet all Project and Phase milestones in the Owner provided schedule and shall be approved by the Project Manager. The Design Schedule and Cost Management Plan (DSCMP) earned value procedures are based upon the agreed weighted percentage values of the deliverables.
- 1.23 DIRECT SALARIES: Monies paid at regular intervals to personnel other than principals of the Architect/Engineer directly engaged by the Architect/Engineer on the Project, as reported to the Director of United States Internal Revenue Service and billed to the Owner hereunder on a Multiple of Direct Salaries basis pursuant to a Service Order for Additional Services under this Agreement. Personnel directly engaged on the Project by the Architect/Engineer may include architects, engineers, designers, and specifications writers engaged or assisting in research, design, production of drawings, specifications and related documents, Work Related Services and other services pertinent to the Project Elements.
- 1.24 DIRECTOR: The Director of the Miami-Dade Aviation Department or authorized representative(s) designated in writing with respect to a specific matter(s) concerning the Services.
- 1.25 EQUAL EMPLOYMENT OPPORTUNITY: Opportunity provided by the Architect/Engineer pursuant to Executive Order 11246, as amended, and required to be part of all contracts covered by said Executive Order.
- 1.26 FIELD REPRESENTATIVE: An authorized representative of the Owner providing administrative and construction inspection services during the pre-construction, construction, and closeout Phases of the Contract.
- 1.27 FIXED LUMP SUM: A basis for compensation of the Architect/Engineer for Services performed.
- 1.28 GREEN BUILDING CERTIFICATION INSTITUTE (GBCI): the designated organization responsible for administering the LEED certification program.
- 1.29 LEED (Leadership in Energy and Environmental Design): The United States Green Building Council (USGBC) created LEED as a rating system for green building practices.

- 1.30 LEED AP: A person(s) that is an employee of the A/E or is a Sub-consultant to the A/E that is certified by the GBCI or successor entity in the specialty specified in the Request for Qualifications/Proposals for this Project. The LEED AP shall (1) assist the Owner in the Project LEED registration, application and certification process; (2) coordinate and otherwise guide the A/E in the design of the Project in order to achieve the points needed for the desired LEED certification; and (3) monitor the Contractor for the documentation required to meet the Contractor's obligations to achieve the LEED credit points stipulated in the Contract Documents.
- 1.31 LEED CERTIFICATION DOCUMENTS: Reports, documents or other data required to apply for and obtain the desired LEED certification.
- 1.32 LEED CERTIFICATION PLAN: Plan developed by the LEED AP to develop and monitor the documentation required during design and construction for the LEED certification application process.
- 1.33 LEED STATUS REPORT: A periodic report produced by the LEED AP to inform the Owner and other stakeholders in the Project on the status of the design and construction relative to earning LEED credit points for the Project.
- 1.34 LIFE CYCLE COSTING: The process whereby all expenses associated with the operations, maintenance, repair, replacement and alteration costs of a facility or piece of equipment are identified and analyzed.
- 1.35 MIAMI-DADE AVIATION DEPARTMENT (MDAD or Department): A department of Miami- Dade County Government, sometimes referred to as Owner, represented by and acting through the Director or his Designee(s).
- 1.36 MULTIPLE OF DIRECT SALARIES: A basis for compensation of the Architect/Engineer for Services performed.
- 1.37 OWNER: Miami-Dade County acting through the Department. The term Owner as used in this Agreement shall exclude the regulatory departments of Planning, Development and Regulation (Building and Zoning); Department of Environmental Resources Management (DERM); Public Works; the Fire Department and Water & Sewer; or their successors.
- 1.38 PERIOD OF WORK RELATED SERVICES: Services beginning on the date established in the Notice to Proceed for commencement of the Work through the time allowed for substantial completion of the Work contained in the Contract Documents.
- 1.39 PHASE: The portion of the Basic Services that shall be accomplished by the Architect/Engineer for each of the Project's elements or, to the extent authorized by Service Order a portion or combination thereof as described in the article "Basic Services" herein:

Phase 1A - Program Verification

Phase 1B	-	Schematic Design
Phase 2	-	Design Development
Phase 3A	-	30% Contract Documents
Phase 3B	-	75% Contract Documents
Phase 3C	-	100% Contract Documents
Phase 3D	-	Bid Documents
Phase 4	-	Bidding & Award of Contract
Phase 5	-	Work Related Services

- 1.40 PLANS: The drawings prepared by the Architect/Engineer which show the locations, characters, dimensions and details of the Work to be done and which are parts of the Contract Documents.
- 1.41 PROBABLE CONSTRUCTION COST: The latest approved written estimate of Construction Cost to the midpoint of construction broken down by the Division format developed by the Construction Specification Institute (CSI) or unit price bid items, including construction allowance contingencies, submitted to the Owner, in a format provided by the Owner, in fulfillment of the requirement(s) of this Agreement.
- 1.42 PROFESSIONAL CONSTRUCTION ESTIMATOR: An individual construction estimator affiliated with a professional firm, company, joint venture, or corporation to provide and analyze cost estimates of the Project and individual Project Elements or parts thereof in order to determine the Probable Construction Cost at each Phase of the Basic Services requiring the submittal of a Probable Construction Cost.
- 1.43 PROGRAM: The initial description of a Project that comprises line drawings, narrative, cost estimates, Project Budget, etc., provided by the Owner in the form of a Project Definition Book and furnished to the Architect/Engineer.
- 1.44 PROJECT: Project Elements and components of the Project Elements and Services as set forth this Agreement and authorized by Service Order (s).
- 1.45 PROJECT BUDGET: Estimated cost for the Project, prepared by the Owner as part of the Program, including the estimated Construction Cost. The Project Budget may, from time to time, be revised or adjusted by the Owner, at its sole discretion, to accommodate approved modifications or changes to the Project or the scope of work.
- 1.46 PROJECT ELEMENT: A part of the Project for which Services are to be provided by the Architect/Engineer pursuant to this Agreement or by other consultants employed by the Owner.
- 1.47 PROJECT MANAGER (PM): Individual designated by the Director to represent the Owner during the design and construction of the Project.
- 1.48 PROLONGED PERIOD OF WORK RELATED SERVICES: The period from the original completion date of the construction Contract as awarded to the date of actual,

official acceptance by the Owner of the Report of Contract Completion furnished by the Architect/Engineer. A Prolonged Period of Work Related Services may occur where the contractor is entitled to, or has received, a time extension which extends the construction contract beyond the original completion date of the Contract, as awarded, and may also occur where the contractor does not timely complete the Contract.

- 1.49 PUNCH LIST: A running list of defects in the Work as determined by the Architect/Engineer performing Work Related Services, with input from the Field Representative and the Project Manager. The initial edition of the Punch List is modified in succeeding editions to reflect corrected and completed work as well as newly observed defects, until the time of Final Acceptance.
- 1.50 RECORD DRAWINGS (AS-BUILT DRAWINGS): Reproducible drawings showing the final completed Work as built, including any change to the Work performed by the Contractor pursuant to the Contract Documents which the Architect/Engineer considers significant based on marked-up as-built prints, drawings, and other data furnished by the Contractor.
- 1.51 REIMBURSABLE EXPENSES: Those expenses delineated in Article 6 "Reimbursable Expenses" of this Agreement which are separately approved by the Owner that are incurred by the Architect/Engineer in the fulfillment of this Agreement and which are to be compensated to the Architect/Engineer in addition to the Basic Services Fee.
- 1.52 REVIEW SET: A partial or complete set of Contract Documents, provided by the Architect/Engineer in accordance with the Deliverables Requirements Manual and/or Service Order, at the specified percentage of completion of a Phase of the Basic Services as provided for in this Agreement, on which the Owner may provide written review comments and acceptance of Services. Any review will be general in nature and shall not constitute a detailed checking of the Architect/Engineer's work nor relieve the Architect/Engineer of the responsibility for the completeness and accuracy of its Services.
- 1.53 SCHEMATIC DESIGN: That portion of the Services comprising Phase 1B of the Basic Services which the Architect/Engineer shall perform in accordance with the terms of this Agreement.
- 1.54 SERVICE ORDER: A written order (consecutively numbered for reference and control purposes) initiated by the Project Manager in accordance with this Agreement, and countersigned by the Director and by the Architect/Engineer, directing the Architect/Engineer to perform or modify the performance of any portion of the Services. A Service Order may not modify, waive or alter any provision of this Agreement.
- 1.55 SERVICES: All services, work and actions by the Architect/Engineer performed pursuant to or undertaken under this Agreement.

- 1.56 SUB-CONSULTANT: An independent firm, company, joint venture, corporation or individual under contract with and compensated by the Architect/Engineer to perform a portion of the Services required hereunder.
- 1.57 SUBSTANTIAL COMPLETION: The stage in the progress of the Work when the Work or designated portion thereof is sufficiently complete in accordance with the Contract Documents so the Owner can occupy or utilize the Project for its intended use and shall occur when the Architect/Engineer issues a certificate of Substantial Completion. At this stage, all Punch List work shall be able to be completed by the Contractor in less than sixty (60) calendar days. The Certificate of Substantial Completion shall not be issued prior to the Contractor obtaining a Final Certificate of Occupancy or a Temporary Certificate of Occupancy from the Building Department, and a Final Certificate of Use or a Temporary Certificate of Use from the Zoning Department.
- 1.58 USER: Entities such as, but not limited to, concessionaires, service managers, airlines, public utilities, and governmental agencies, excluding agencies of the Owner, that have entered into agreements with the Owner for use of portions of the Miami International Airport and/or the general aviation airports under the control of the Department.
- 1.59 USER REVIEW: A review of all design projects by a group which represents the operational aspects of the Airport including MDAD operations and maintenance staff, concessionaires, tenants, service managers, airlines, public utilities, governmental agencies, and other Airport users, to ensure that program and operational needs are being met.
- 1.60 VALUE ANALYSIS (VA): The systematic application of recognized techniques for optimizing both cost and performance in a new or existing facility or to eliminating items that add cost without contributing to required functions.
- 1.61 WORK: All labor, materials, tools, equipment, services, methods, procedures, etc., necessary or convenient to performance by the Contractor of all duties and obligations imposed by the Contract Documents, and representing the basis upon which the total consideration is paid or payable to the Contractor for the performance of such duties and obligations.
- 1.62 WORK ORDER: A written order, authorized by the Owner, directing the Contractor to perform work under a specific Allowance Account(s) or which directs the Contractor to perform a change in the work that does not have a monetary impact.
- 1.63 WORK-RELATED SERVICES: Those portions of the Services comprising Phase 5 of the Basic Services that the Architect/Engineer shall perform in accordance with the terms of this Agreement when directed and authorized by a Service Order.
- 1.64 WORK SEQUENCING SCHEDULE AND STAGING PLAN: Plans prepared by the Architect/Engineer showing the stage-by-stage sequence of construction, the impact on

adjacent or related facilities and on Airport operations, as well as other features, as necessary, related to the overall schedule of construction.

- 1.65 WORK-SITE SERVICES: Those optional portions of the Services, beyond the requirements of Work-Related Services, involving the providing of on-site resident services, that the A/E shall perform as the Field Representative in accordance with the terms of this Agreement if directed and authorized by Service Order(s).

ARTICLE 2

INFORMATION TO BE FURNISHED BY THE OWNER

- 2.1 **INFORMATION TO BE FURNISHED BY THE OWNER:** The Owner will furnish the Architect/Engineer the information listed in the Special Provisions.
- 2.2 **OBLIGATION OF THE ARCHITECT/ENGINEER:** The Architect/Engineer understands that it is obligated to verify to the extent it deems necessary all information furnished by the Owner and that it is responsible for the accuracy and applicability of all such information used by said Architect/Engineer. Such verification shall include visual examination of existing conditions in all locations encompassed by the Project where such examination can be made without using destructive measures, e.g., excavation or demolition. MDAD shall approve any destructive measures that may be necessary, Survey information shall be spot checked to the extent the Architect/Engineer has satisfied itself as to the reliability of the information. Notwithstanding the foregoing if existing conditions materially differ from information furnished by Owner and such variation could not have reasonably been verified by Architect/Engineer, then Architect/Engineer shall have no responsibility for any costs or expense incurred by Owner as a result of the differing conditions. In addition, if the Architect/Engineer is required to make changes to the Architect/Engineer's Deliverables as a result of such material difference, the Owner shall compensate the Architect/Engineer for such services as an Additional Service.

ARTICLE 3

GENERAL PROVISIONS

3.1 INDEMNIFICATION AND HOLD HARMLESS

3.1.1 Pursuant to Florida Statutes 725.08 and notwithstanding the provisions of Florida Statutes 725.06, the Architect/Engineer shall indemnify and hold harmless the Owner, and its officers and employees, from liabilities, damages, losses, and costs, including, but not limited to, reasonable attorneys' fees, to the extent caused by the negligence, recklessness, or intentionally wrongful conduct of the Architect/Engineer and other persons employed or utilized by the Architect/Engineer in the performance of this Agreement.

3.1.2 To the extent this indemnification clause or any other indemnification clause in this Agreement does not comply with Chapter 725, Florida Statutes, as may be amended, this provision and all aspects of the Contract Documents shall hereby be interpreted as the parties' intention for the indemnification clauses and Contract Documents to comply with Chapter 725, Florida Statutes, as may be amended.

3.1.3 This Section shall survive expiration or termination of this Agreement.

3.2 INSURANCE: The Architect/Engineer shall not be issued any Service Order under this Agreement until the insurance required hereunder has been obtained and the Owner has approved such insurance. The Architect/Engineer shall maintain required insurance coverage for the full term of this Agreement or for such longer period(s) as may be specifically required herein.

The Architect/Engineer shall furnish certificates of insurance to the Owner prior to commencing any operations under this Agreement. Certificates shall clearly indicate that the Architect/Engineer has obtained insurance, in the type, amount, and classifications, as required for strict compliance with this Article. The certificates must provide that in the event of material change in or cancellation of the policies reflecting the required coverages, thirty (30) days advance notice shall be given to the Miami-Dade Aviation Department Risk Management Unit.

3.2.1 The Architect/Engineer shall provide (at its own cost):

- a. Workers' Compensation, as required by Chapter 440, Florida Statutes.
- b. Automobile Liability Insurance, covering all owned, non-owned, and hired vehicles used in connection with the work in an amount not less than

\$300,000 combined single limit for bodily injury and property damage liability.

Under no Circumstances are vehicles permitted on the A.O.A. without increasing automobile coverage to \$5,000,000. Only company owned or company leased vehicles leased from a leasing company will be permitted on the airfield. No such vehicles shall be permitted airfield access following final acceptance of the Work.

- c. Commercial General Liability Insurance on a comprehensive basis, including contractual liability, products, and completed operations, in an amount not less than \$1,000,000 combined single limit, per occurrence for bodily injury and property damage. Miami-Dade County must be an Additional Insured with respect to this coverage.
- d. Professional Liability Insurance (Errors and Omissions), in an amount not less than \$1,000,000 per claim with the deductible per claim, if any, not to exceed 10% of the limit of coverage. This insurance shall be maintained for one year after the completion and acceptance by the Owner of the Services performed pursuant to this Agreement.

- 3.2.2 All insurance policies required herein shall be issued by companies authorized to do business under the laws of the State of Florida, with the following qualifications:

The company must be rated no less than "A-" as to financial strength, and no less than "Class VII" as to financial size according to the latest edition of Key Rating Guide, published by A.M. Best Company, Oldwick, New Jersey, or its equivalent, subject to the written approval of the Owner.

- 3.2.3 The Architect/Engineer and/or the Sub-Consultants shall cooperate to the fullest extent with Miami-Dade County in all matters relating to the insurance provided and shall comply with all requirements of any insurance policy procured by the County. They shall also at their own expense furnish the County or its duly authorized representative with copies of all correspondence, papers, records, and other items necessary or convenient for dealing with or defending against claims and for administering the aforementioned insurance including furnishing the time of any of their employees, officers, or agents whose presence or testimony is necessary or convenient in any negotiations or proceedings involving such insurance.

- 3.2.4 If, at any time during the term of this Agreement, the actual provisions of the insurance described herein, or any part thereof, cannot be obtained or is non-renewable or is otherwise not available, then Miami-Dade County shall attempt to meet, as closely as possible, the objective and purpose of the original insurance program as outlined herein. Furthermore, Miami-Dade County and

the Architect/Engineer shall agree as to their respective responsibilities and actions in this regard.

- 3.2.5 Immediate notification must be given to Miami-Dade County Risk Management Division and Miami-Dade County Aviation Department and/or its agent in case of accident or occurrence which might give rise to a claim under any policy provided by the County, or any policy on which the County is a joint insured.
 - 3.2.6 Compliance with the foregoing requirements as to the carrying of insurance shall not relieve the Architect/Engineer from liability under any portion of this Contract.
 - 3.2.7 Cancellation of any insurance or non-payment by the Architect/Engineer of any premium for any insurance policy or bonds required by this Contract shall constitute a breach of this Contract. In addition to any other legal remedies, Miami-Dade County at its sole option may terminate this Contract or pay such premiums, and deduct the costs thereof from any amounts which are or may be due to the Architect/Engineer.
- 3.3 ASSIGNMENT: The Architect/Engineer shall not assign, transfer or convey this Agreement to any other person, firm, association, or corporation, in whole or in part. However, the Architect/Engineer will be permitted to cause portions of the services to be performed by sub-consultants, as authorized elsewhere herein.
- 3.4 PROVISION OF ITEMS NECESSARY TO COMPLETE SERVICES: In the performance of the Services prescribed herein, it shall be the responsibility of the Architect/Engineer to provide all salaries, wages, materials, equipment, sub-consultants and other purchased services, etc., necessary to complete said Services.
- 3.5 SUB-CONSULTANTS: All services provided by the Sub-consultants shall be consistent with those commitments made by the Architect/Engineer during the selection process and interview. Such services shall be pursuant to appropriate agreements between the Architect/Engineer and the Sub-consultants, which shall contain provisions that preserve and protect the rights of the Owner under this Agreement. Nothing contained in this Agreement shall create any contractual relationship between the Owner and the Sub-consultants.

The Architect/Engineer shall not change any Sub-consultant without prior approval by the Director in response to a written request from the Architect/Engineer stating the reasons for any proposed substitution. Any approval of a Sub-consultant by the Owner shall not in any way shift the responsibility for the quality and acceptability by the Owner of the services performed by the Sub-consultant from the Architect/Engineer to the Owner. The Architect/Engineer shall cause the names of Sub-consultants responsible for significant portions of the Services to be inserted on the Plans and Specifications, subject to the approval of the Owner.

The Architect/Engineer may employ Sub-consultants to assist the Architect/Engineer in performing specialized Services. Payment of such Sub-consultants employed at the option of the Architect/Engineer shall be the responsibility of the Architect/Engineer and shall not be cause for any increase in compensation to the Architect/Engineer for the performance of the Basic Services. The quality of services and acceptability to the Owner of the services performed by such Sub-consultants shall be the sole responsibility of the Architect/Engineer.

- 3.6 TERM OF AGREEMENT: This term of this Agreement shall be for five (5) years and shall begin upon execution by the parties and shall be in effect until all Services are completed or until those Services Orders in force at the end of the stated period of time have been completed and the Services accepted, whichever may be later.

Nothing in this Article shall prevent the Owner from exercising its rights to terminate the Agreement as provided elsewhere herein.

- 3.7 TERMINATION OF AGREEMENT: This Agreement may be terminated upon prior written notice by either party as described herein. The Owner may terminate this Agreement or any Service Order for cause or for convenience. The Architect/Engineer may terminate this Agreement for cause in the event that the Owner willfully violates any provisions of the Agreement. The Architect/Engineer shall have no right to terminate this Agreement for convenience of the Architect/Engineer, without cause.

- 3.7.1 Owner's Termination for Cause: The Owner may terminate this Agreement or any Service Order upon seven (7) days written notice for cause in the event that the Architect/Engineer violates any provisions of this Agreement, or performs same in bad faith, or unreasonably delays the performance of the Services. Such written notice to the Architect/Engineer shall spell out the cause and provide reasonable time in the notification to remedy the cause.

The Owner may terminate this Agreement if the Architect/Engineer is found to have submitted a false certification or to have been, or is subsequently during the term of this Agreement, placed on the Scrutinized Companies for Activities in Sudan List or the Scrutinized Companies with Activities in the Iran Petroleum Energy Sector List. The Owner may also terminate this Agreement as directed by the Federal Aviation Administration (FAA).

Notwithstanding any other penalties for firms that have discriminated in violation of Article VII of Chapter 11A of the Code, the Owner may terminate the Agreement or require the termination or cancellation of a sub-consultant contract. In addition, a violation by the Architect/Engineer or a sub-consultant to it, or failure to comply with the Administrative Order (A.O.) 3-39 may result in the imposition of one or more of the sanctions listed in the A.O. (See www.miamidade.gov/ao/home.asp).

In the event the Owner terminates this Agreement for cause, the Owner will take over any and all documents resulting from Services rendered up to the termination

and may complete them, by contracting with other architect(s), engineer(s) or otherwise, and in such event, the Architect/Engineer shall be liable to the Owner for any additional cost incurred by the Owner due to such termination. "Additional Cost" is defined as the difference between the actual cost of completion of such incomplete Services and the cost of completion of such Services which would have resulted from payments to the Architect/Engineer hereunder had the Agreement not been terminated. Upon receipt of written Notice of Termination, the Architect/Engineer shall, when directed by the Owner, promptly assemble and submit as provided herein or as required in any Service Order issued hereunder, all documents including drawings, calculations, specifications, reports, correspondence, and all other relevant materials affected by such termination. Architect/Engineer shall not be responsible for errors or omissions in documents which are incomplete as a result of an early termination under this Agreement. No payments shall be made: 1) for Services not satisfactorily performed; and 2) for the cost of assembly and submittal of documents for services performed satisfactorily or unsatisfactorily.

- 3.7.2 Owner's Termination for Convenience: The Owner, in addition to the rights and options to terminate for cause, or any other provisions set forth in this Agreement, retains the right to terminate this Agreement or any Service Order upon thirty (30) days written notice at its sole option at any time for convenience, without cause, when in its sole discretion it deems such termination is in the best interest of the Owner.
- 3.7.3 Architect/Engineer's Termination for Cause: The Architect/Engineer may terminate this Agreement upon thirty (30) days written notice for cause in the event that the Owner violates any provisions of this Agreement. Such written notice to the Owner shall spell out the cause and provide reasonable time in the notification to remedy the cause. In the event the Architect/Engineer exercises its right to terminate this Agreement for cause, payment for Services satisfactorily performed prior to the date of termination shall be made in accordance with the article "Compensation for Services".
- 3.7.4 Implementation of Termination: In the event of termination, either for cause or for convenience, the Architect/Engineer, upon receipt of the Notice of Termination, shall:
1. Stop the performance of Services under this Agreement on the date and to the extent specified in the Notice of Termination;
 2. Place no further orders or subcontracts except as may be necessary for completion of any portion(s) of the Services not terminated, and as authorized by Service Order(s);
 3. Terminate all orders and subcontracts to the extent that they relate to the performance of the Services terminated by the Notice of Termination;

4. If applicable, transfer title to the Owner (to the extent that title had not already been transferred) and deliver in the manner, at the times, and to the extent directed by the Owner, all property purchased under this Agreement and reimbursed as a direct item of cost and not required for completion of the Services not terminated;
 5. Promptly assemble and submit as provided herein all documents for the Services performed, including plans, calculations, specifications, reports, and correspondence, and all other relevant materials affected by the termination; and;
 6. Complete performance of any Services not terminated by the Notice of Termination.
- 3.7.5 Compensation for Terminated Work: Compensation for terminated work will be made based on the applicable provisions of the Article 8 "Compensation for Services".

3.8 SANCTIONS FOR CONTRACTUAL VIOLATIONS:

The County may terminate this contract or require the termination or cancellation of any sub-consultant contract, if the Consultant or any sub-consultant(s) violates Article VII of Chapter 11A of the Code. In addition, a violation by the Consultant, or sub consultant to the Consultant, or failure to comply with Section 2-10.4.01(5) of the Code, and Administrative Order (A.O.) 3-39 may result in the imposition of one or more of the sanctions listed in the Code and the A.O. respectively.

3.9 INTENT OF AGREEMENT:

- 3.9.1 The intent of the Agreement is for the Architect/Engineer to provide design services, and to include all necessary items for the proper completion of such services, for a fully functional facility which, when constructed in accordance with the design, will be able to be used by the Owner for its intended purpose. The Architect/Engineer shall perform, as Basic Services, such incidental work, which may not be specifically referenced, as necessary to complete the Project.
- 3.9.2 This Agreement is for the benefit of the parties only and it does not grant rights to a third party beneficiary, to any person, nor does it authorize anyone not a party to the Agreement to maintain a suit for personal injuries, professional liability or property damage pursuant to the terms or provisions of the Agreement.
- 3.9.3 No acceptance, order, payment, or certificate of or by the Owner, or its employees or agents shall either stop the Owner from asserting any rights or operate as a waiver of any provisions hereof or of any power or right herein reserved to the Owner or of any rights to damages herein provided.

- 3.10 SOLICITATION: The Architect/Engineer warrants that: 1) it has not employed or retained any company or person, other than a bona fide employee working solely for the Architect/Engineer, to solicit or secure this Agreement; and 2) that it has not paid, nor agreed to pay any person, company, corporation, joint venture, individual, or firm, other than a bona fide employee working solely for the Architect/Engineer any fee, commission, percentage, gift, or other consideration contingent upon or resulting from the award or making of this Agreement. For breach or violation of this warranty, the Owner has the right to annul this Agreement without liability to the Architect/Engineer for any reason whatsoever.
- 3.11 ACCOUNTING RECORDS OF ARCHITECT/ENGINEER: The Owner reserves the right to audit the accounts and records of the Architect/Engineer including, but not limited to, payroll records and Federal Tax return, supporting all payments for Services hereunder on the basis of Multiple of Direct Salaries and Reimbursement of Actual Expenses incurred. Such audit may take place at any mutually convenient time during the performance of this Agreement and for three (3) years after final payment under this Agreement. The Architect/ Engineer shall maintain, as part of its regular accounting system, records of a nature and in a sufficient degree or detail to enable such audit to determine the personnel hours and personnel costs and other expenses associated with each Project and/or task authorized for performance by Service Order(s). In accordance with Florida Statutes 287.055, the Architect/Engineer hereby certifies and warrants that wage rates and other factual unit costs as submitted supporting the compensation provided here are accurate, complete, and current as of the date of the submittal. It is further agreed that said compensation provided for in this agreement shall be adjusted to exclude any significant costs where the Owner determines that the payment for Services was increased due to inaccurate, incomplete, or non-current wage rates or other factual unit costs. All such adjustments in compensation paid or payable to Architect/Engineer under this Agreement shall be made within three (3) years from the date of final billing or acceptance of the Services by the Owner, whichever is later.
- 3.12 INSPECTOR GENERAL (IG), INDEPENDENT PRIVATE SECTOR INSPECTOR GENERAL (IPSIG): Pursuant to MDC Code Section 2-1076, the Office of the Miami-Dade County Inspector General (IG) shall have the authority and power to review past, present, and proposed County programs, accounts, records, contracts, and transactions. The IG shall have the power to subpoena witnesses, administer oaths, and require the production of records. Upon ten (10) days' written notice to the Consultant from IG, the Consultant shall make all requested records and documents available to the IG for inspection and copying.

The Consultant shall make available at its office at all reasonable times the records, materials, and other evidence regarding the acquisition (bid preparation) and performance of this contract, for examination, audit, or reproduction, until 3 years after final payment under this contract or for any longer period required by statute or by other clauses of this contract. In addition:

- (1) If this contract is completely or partially terminated, the Consultant shall make available

the records relating to the work terminated until 3 years after any resulting final termination settlement; and

- (2) The Consultant shall make available records relating to appeals or to litigation or the settlement of claims arising under or relating to this contract until such appeals, litigation, or claims are finally resolved.

The IG shall have the power to report and/or recommend to the Board of County Commissioners whether a particular project, program, contract, or transaction is or was necessary and, if deemed necessary, whether the method used for implementing the project or program is or was efficient both financially and operationally. Monitoring of an existing project or program may include reporting whether the project is on time, within budget and in conformity with plans, specifications, and applicable law. The IG shall have the power to analyze the need for, and reasonableness of, proposed Change Orders.

The IG may, on a random basis, perform audits on all County contracts throughout the duration of said contract (hereinafter "random audits"). This random audit is separate and distinct from any other audit by the County. To pay for the functions of the Office of the Inspector General, any and all payments to be made to the Consultant under this contract will be assessed one quarter of one percent of the total amount of the payment, to be deducted from each progress payment as the same becomes due, unless this Agreement is federally funded where federal or state law or regulations preclude such a charge or where such a charge is otherwise precluded as stated in the Special Provisions (see Article 9). The Consultant shall, in stating its agreed prices, be mindful of this assessment, which will not be separately identified, calculated, or adjusted in the proposal or bid form.

The IG shall have the power to retain and coordinate the services of an independent private sector inspector general (IPSIG) who may be engaged to perform said random audits, as well as audit, investigate, monitor, oversee, inspect, and review the operations, activities, and performance and procurement process including, but not limited to, project design, establishment of bid specifications, bid submittals, activities of the Consultant, its officers, agents and employees, lobbyists, County staff, and elected officials in order to ensure compliance with contract specifications and detect corruption and fraud. The IG is authorized to investigate any alleged violation by a Consultant of its Code of Business Ethics, pursuant of MDC Code Section 2-8.1.

The provisions in this section shall apply to the Consultant, its officers, agents and employees. The Consultant shall incorporate the provisions in this section in all subcontracts and all other agreements executed by the Consultant in connection with the performance of this contract.

INDEPENDENT PRIVATE SECTOR INSPECTOR GENERAL

The attention of the Consultant is hereby directed to the requirements of AO 3-20 and R-516-96: the County shall have the right but not the obligation to retain the services of an Independent Private Inspector General (IPSIG) who may be engaged to audit, investigate,

monitor, oversee, inspect, and review the operations, activities, and performance of the Consultant and County in connection with this contract. The scope of services performed by an IPSIG may include, but are not limited to, monitoring and investigating compliance with Contract Specifications; project costs; and investigating and preventing corruption and fraud.

The IPSIG may perform its services at all levels of the contracting and procurement process including, but not limited to, project design, establishment of bid specifications, bid submittals, activities of Consultant, its officers, agents and employees, lobbyists, county staff and elected officials.

Upon (10) ten days' written notice to Consultant from an IPSIG, the Consultant shall make all requested records and documents available to the IPSIG for inspection and copying. The IPSIG shall have the right to examine all documents and records in the Consultant's possession, custody, or control which, in the IPSIG's sole judgment, pertain to performance of the Contract, including, but not limited to, original estimate files, bid and change order estimates, worksheets, proposals and agreements from and with successful and unsuccessful sub-consultants and suppliers, all project-related correspondence, memoranda, instructions, financial documents, construction documents, bid and contract documents, back-charge document, all documents and records which involve cash, trade, or volume discounts, insurance proceeds, rebates, or dividends received, payroll and personnel records, and supporting documentation for the aforesaid documents and records.

3.13 OWNERSHIP OF DOCUMENTS AND COPYRIGHTS:

- 3.13.1 All notes, correspondence, documents, designs, drawings, renderings, calculations, specifications, models, photographs, reports, surveys, investigations, and any other documents and copyrights thereto for Services performed or produced in the performance of this Agreement, whether in paper or other hard copy medium or in electronic medium is a work for hire and is the property of the Owner; however, the Owner may grant to the Architect/Engineer a non-exclusive license of the copyright to the Architect/Engineer for reusing and reproducing copyrighted materials or portions thereof as authorized by the Owner in advance and in writing. In addition, the Architect/Engineer shall not disclose, release, or make available any document to any third party without prior written approval from Owner.
- 3.13.2 The Architect/Engineer is permitted to reproduce copyrighted material described above subject to written approval from the Owner.
- 3.13.3 At the Owner's option, the Architect/Engineer may be authorized by Service Order to adapt copyrighted material for additional or other work for the Owner; however, payment to the Architect/Engineer for such adaptations will be limited to an amount not greater than 50% of the original fee earned to adapt the original copyrighted material to a new site.
- 3.13.4 The Owner shall have the right to modify the Project or any component thereof without permission from the Architect/Engineer or without any additional

compensation to the Architect/Engineer. The Architect/Engineer shall be released from any liability resulting from such modification.

- 3.13.5 The Owner shall own rights to all passwords necessary to access Project registration and certification data submitted to the GBCI via internet websites or other means.

3.14 LAWS AND REGULATIONS:

- 3.14.1 The Architect/Engineer shall, during the term of this Agreement, be governed by Federal, State of Florida, and Miami-Dade County Laws, Regulatory Orders, County Codes and Resolutions, and MDAD operating procedures, all as may be amended from time to time, that may have a bearing on the Services involved in this Project. The Department will assist the Architect/Engineer in obtaining copies of any such laws, orders, codes, resolutions, or procedures not readily available on the Internet.

- 3.14.2 The Agreement shall be governed by the laws of the State of Florida and may be enforced in a court of competent jurisdiction in Miami-Dade County, Florida.

- 3.14.3 Portions of the work produced under this Agreement may be determined by the Owner to contain Security Sensitive Information (SSI). Upon notification by the Owner, the A/E and its sub-consultants under this Agreement shall follow security requirements of the Transportation Security Administration, 49 CFR Parts 1500, et al., Civil Aviation Security Rules, and other MDAD Security Procedures. Documents deemed by the Owner to contain Security Sensitive Information shall bear the following warning:

Warning Notice: This record contains Sensitive Security Information that is controlled under the provisions of 49 CFR Parts 15 and 1520. No part of this record may be disclosed without a “need to know”, as defined in 49 CFR Parts 15 and 1520, except with the written permission of the Administrator of the Transportation Security Administration or the Secretary of Transportation. Unauthorized release may result in civil penalty or other action.

- 3.14.4 In accordance with Florida Statutes 119.071 (3) (b), building plans, blueprints, schematic drawings, and diagrams, including draft, preliminary, and final formats, which depict the internal layout and structural elements of a building, arena, stadium, water treatment facility, or other structure owned or operated by an agency, are exempt from s. 119.07 and s. 24(a), Art. I of the State Constitution. This exemption applies to building plans, blueprints, schematic drawings, and diagrams, including draft, preliminary, and final formats, which depict the internal layout and structural elements of a building, arena, stadium, water treatment facility, or other structure owned or operated by an agency before, on, or after the effective date of this act. Information made exempt by this paragraph may be disclosed to another governmental entity with prior

approval by the Owner if disclosure is necessary for the receiving entity to perform its duties and responsibilities; to a licensed architect, engineer, or contractor who is performing work on or related to the building, arena, stadium, water treatment facility, or other structure owned or operated by an agency; or upon a showing of good cause before a court of competent jurisdiction. The entities or persons receiving such information shall maintain the exempt status of the information.

- 3.14.5 The Consultant shall comply with the financial disclosure requirements of Ordinance No. 77-13, as amended by having on file or filing within thirty (30) days of the execution of the Agreement one of the following with the Supervisor of the Miami-Dade County Elections Department, P.O. Box 521550, Miami, FL, 33152-1550.

- A. A source of income statement
- B. A current certified financial statement
- C. A copy of the Consultant's current Federal Income Tax Return

- 3.14.6 In addition to the above requirements in this sub-article, the Architect/Engineer agrees to abide by all Federal, State, and County procedures, as may be amended from time to time, by which the documents are handled, copied, and distributed which may include, but is not limited to:

3.14.6.1 Each employee of the consultant and subconsultant(s) that will be involved in the Project, shall sign an agreement stating that they will not copy, duplicate, or distribute the documents unless authorized by the Owner as required in Article 3.14.4.

3.14.6.2 The Architect/Engineer and its subconsultant(s) agree in writing that the project documents are to be kept and maintained in a secure location.

3.14.6.3 Each set of the project documents is to be numbered and the whereabouts of the documents shall be tracked at all times.

3.14.6.4 A log is developed to track each set of documents logging in the date, time, and name of the individual(s) that works on or views the documents.

- 3.15 CORRECTIONS TO CONTRACT DOCUMENTS: The Architect/Engineer shall prepare, without added compensation, all necessary supplemental documents to correct errors, omissions, and/or ambiguities that may exist in the Contract Document prepared by the Architect/Engineer including the documents prepared by its sub-consultants. Compliance with this Article shall not be construed to relieve the Architect/Engineer from any liability resulting from any such errors, omissions, and/or ambiguities in the Contract Documents and other documents or Services related thereto.

- 3.16 STANDARD OF CARE: Notwithstanding anything to the contrary in this agreement or in any other contract document relating to the project, in performing its work under this contract Architect/Engineer shall perform its services to the standard of care of a reasonable

architect or engineer that is performing the same or similar work, at the same time and locality and under the same or similar conditions faced by Architect/Engineer.

- 3.17 OWNER REPRESENTATIVE: The Owner will assign a Project Manager to the Project to coordinate all Owner responsibilities under this Agreement. All instructions from the Owner to the Architect/Engineer shall be issued by or through the Project Manager. The Architect/Engineer shall promptly inform the Project Manager in writing of any instructions received from others and of any other circumstances that arise that might affect the performance of the Services or of the Work.
- 3.18 SECURED AREAS/AIR OPERATIONS AREA (AOA)/SIDA/ STERILE AREAS SECURITY:
- 3.18.1 The Architect/Engineer acknowledges and accepts full responsibility for compliance with all applicable laws, rules and regulations including those of the Transportation Security Administration (TSA), Department of Homeland Security (DHS), Federal Aviation Administration (FAA), Customs and Border Protection (CBP), and MDAD as set forth from time to time relating to Contractor's activities at the Miami International Airport (MIA), or other Miami- Dade County airports.
- 3.18.2 In order to maintain high levels of security at MIA, the Architect/Engineer must obtain MDAD photo identification badges for all the Architect/Engineer's employees working in the Secured/AOA/Security Identification Display Area (SIDA)/Sterile Areas or any other restricted areas of the Airport. MDAD issues two types of identification badges: photo identification badges and non-photo passes. All employees, except temporary workers (working less than two weeks), will be required to obtain photo identification badges and will be subject to Federal Bureau of Investigation (FBI) fingerprint-based Criminal History Records Check (CHRC). Temporary workers (working less than two weeks) will be issued non-photo passes. At no time will an employee bearing a non-photo identification badge be authorized in a secured MIA location without being escorted by an MDAD authorized Escort Authority that has been issued a badge with an escort seal by the MDAD ID Section. No other individuals are allowed to escort under any circumstances.
- 3.18.3 The Architect/Engineer shall be responsible for requesting MDAD issue identification badges to all employees whom the Architect/Engineer requests be authorized access to the Secured/AOA/SIDA/Sterile Areas or any other restricted areas of the Airport and shall be responsible for the immediate reporting of all lost or stolen ID badges and the immediate return of the ID badges of all personnel transferred from Airport assignment, terminated from the employ of the Architect/Engineer, upon final acceptance of the Work, or termination of this Contract. The Architect/Engineer will be responsible for all fees associated with lost and unaccounted for badges or passes as well as the fee(s) for fingerprinting and ID issuance.

- 3.18.4 All employees of the Architect/Engineer, or Subconsultants, who must work within MDAD Secured/AOA/SIDA/Sterile Areas or any other restricted areas at Miami International Airport shall be supplied with MDAD identification badges as specified above, which must be worn at all times while within the referenced areas. Badges shall be worn on outer garments above the waist so as to be clearly visible in order to distinguish, on sight, employees assigned to a particular contractor. MDAD issues the non-photo passes on a daily basis, not to exceed two weeks. In order to obtain a non-photo pass, the Architect/Engineer must submit a 48 Hour Advance Notification form with required information to the MDAD Security Division, ID Section, for all temporary workers requiring access to the MDAD Secured/AOA/SIDA/Sterile Areas or other restricted areas of the airport. Non-photo passes will not be issued to temporary workers who have failed a criminal history records check, are in possession of an expired work permit, and/or have an expired MDAD ID badge. Each employee must complete the SIDA training program conducted by MDAD and comply with all other TSA, DHS, CBP, FAA or MDAD requirements as specified by the MDAD at the time of application for the ID badge before an ID badge is issued. MDAD Security and Safety ID Section regularly provide SIDA Training.
- 3.18.5 Architect/Engineer Ramp Permits will be issued to the Architect/Engineer authorizing vehicle entrance to the Airfield Operations Area (AOA) through specified Miami-Dade Aviation Department guard gates for the term of any Project. These permits will be issued only for those vehicles (including vehicles belonging to the Subconsultant) that must have access to the site during the performance of the Work. These permits will be only issued to company owned vehicles or to company leased vehicles (leased from a commercial leasing company). AOA decals, passes, or permits to operate within the Secured/AOA/SIDA will not be issued to privately owned or privately leased vehicles. All vehicles operating within the Secured/AOA/SIDA must have conspicuous company identification signs (minimum of three (3) inch lettering) displayed on both sides of the vehicle.
- 3.18.5.1 All vehicles operating within the Secured/AOA/SIDA must be provided with the Automobile Liability Insurance required elsewhere in these General Conditions. Proof of such insurance shall be provided to MDAD Airside Operations Division upon request.
- 3.18.6 Vehicles delivering materials to the site will be given temporary passes at the appropriate guard gate. Such vehicles shall not be permitted to operate within the Secured/AOA/SIDA without MDAD escort to be provided by MDAD's Operations Division. To obtain an escort, the Architect/Engineer shall notify MDAD Airside Operations Division in writing twenty-four (24) hours in advance of such need. These passes shall be surrendered upon leaving the Secured/AOA/SIDA. All vehicles shall be marked with company name to ensure positive identification at all times while in the Secured/AOASIDA.

- 3.18.7 Only Architect/Engineer management level staff and supervisors with pictured MDAD I.D. badges shall be allowed to operate a motor vehicle on the Secured/AOA/SIDA without MDAD escort except when operating a vehicle that requires a specialized license to operate (CDL). Such vehicles must be under MDAD Airside Operations escort when moving on the AOA unless said vehicle is operating in an approved MOT. The Architect/Engineer shall require such employee to have a current, valid, appropriate Florida driver's license and to attend and successfully complete the AOA Driver Training Course conducted periodically by the Department. The privilege of a person to operate a motor vehicle on the Secured/AOA/SIDA may be withdrawn by the Department due to violation of AOA driving rules, or loss of Florida driver's license, or other cause.
- 3.18.8 The Architect/Engineer agrees that its personnel, vehicles, cargo, goods, and other personal property are subject to being searched when attempting to enter, leave or while on the Secured/AOA/SIDA/Sterile Areas or other restricted areas of the airport. It is further agreed that the MDAD has the right to prohibit an individual, agent, or employee of the Architect/Engineer or Subconsultant from entering the Secured/AOA/SIDA/Sterile Areas or other restricted areas, based upon facts which would lead a person of reasonable prudence to believe that such individual might be inclined to engage in theft, cargo tampering, aircraft sabotage, or other unlawful activities, including failure to comply with TSA, DHS, FAA, CBP, and MDAD SIDA/access control policies, rules, and regulations. Any person denied access to the Secured/AOA/SIDA/Sterile areas or other restricted areas of the airport or whose prior authorization has been revoked or suspended on such grounds shall be entitled to a review hearing before the Director or his/her authorized designee within a reasonable time. Prior to such hearing, the person denied access to the Secured/AOA/SIDA/Sterile Areas or other restricted areas of the airport shall be advised, in writing, of the reasons for such denial.
- 3.18.8.1 The Architect/Engineer acknowledges and understands that these provisions are for the protection of all users of the Secured/AOA/SIDA/Sterile Areas and are intended to reduce the incidence of terrorism, thefts, cargo tampering, aircraft sabotage, and other unlawful activities at the Airport and to maximize compliance with TSA, DHS, CBP, FAA, and MDAD access control policies and procedures.
- 3.18.9 The Architect/Engineer understands and agrees that vehicle and equipment shall not be parked/stored on the Secured/AOA/SIDA in areas not designated or authorized by MDAD nor in any manner contrary to any posted regulatory signs, traffic control devices, or pavement markings.
- 3.18.10 The Architect/Engineer understands and agrees that all persons entering and working in or around arriving international aircraft and facilities used by the various Federal Inspection Services agencies may be subject to the consent and approval of such agencies. Persons not approved or consented to by the Federal

Inspection Services agencies shall not be employed by the Architect/Engineer in areas under the jurisdiction or control of such agencies. Persons not approved or consented to by the Federal Inspection Services agencies who enter such areas are subject to fines, which shall be borne entirely by the persons and/or the Architect/Engineer.

- 3.18.11 Notwithstanding, the specific provisions of this Section, the Owner shall have the right to add to, amend, or delete any portion hereof in order to meet reasonable security requirements of MDAD or of the TSA/DHS/ CBP/FAA.
- 3.18.12 The Architect/Engineer shall ensure that all employees so required participate in such safety, security, and other training and instructional programs, as MDAD or appropriate Federal agencies may from time to time require.
- 3.18.13 The Architect/Engineer agrees that it will include in all contracts and subcontracts with its MIA Subconsultants, service providers, and suppliers an obligation by such parties to comply with all security requirements applicable to their operations at the Airport. The Architect/Engineer agrees that in addition to all remedies, penalties, and sanctions that may be imposed by TSA, DHS, CBP, FAA, or the MDAD upon the Architect/Engineer's Subconsultants, suppliers, and their individual employees for a violation of applicable security provisions, the Architect/Engineer shall be responsible to the Owner for all such violations and shall indemnify and hold the Owner harmless for all costs, fines and penalties arising there from, such costs to include reasonable attorneys' fees.
- 3.18.14 In addition to the foregoing, the Architect/Engineer shall be required to comply with the U.S. Customs and Border Protection (CBP) requirements for obtaining CBP seals for those Architect/Engineer employees that will be involved within the CBP/FIS environment at MIA. The Architect/Engineer shall be responsible for all related fees for required bonding, fingerprinting, and background investigations of Architect/Engineer personnel.
- 3.18.15 The employee(s) of the Architect/Engineer shall be considered to be at all times its employee(s), and not an employee(s) or agent(s) of the County or any of its departments. The Architect/Engineer shall provide employee(s) competent and physically capable of performing the Work as required. The County may require the Architect/Engineer to remove any employee it deems unacceptable.
- 3.19 NON-EXCLUSIVITY: Notwithstanding any provision of this Non-Exclusive Agreement, the Owner is not precluded from retaining or utilizing any other Architect, Engineer, Design Professional, or other consultant to perform any incidental Basic Services, Additional Services, or other Professional Services within the contract limits defined in the agreement. The Architect/Engineer shall have no claim against the County as a result of the County, electing to retain or utilize such other Architect, Engineer, Design Professional, or other consultant to perform any such incidental Services.
- 3.20 CONTINUED ENGAGEMENT OF CRITICAL PERSONNEL: In accordance with County Resolution No. 744-00, the Architect/Engineer shall identify in Exhibit 3, attached

hereto and made a part hereof, the specific technical or professional personnel to perform the necessary services under this Agreement. Such personnel shall not be replaced except when the Owner determines, in its discretion, that the proposed replacement personnel has equal or greater qualifications or capabilities to perform the necessary services.

3.21 ARCHITECT/ENGINEER RESPONSIBILITY

- 3.21.1 The Architect/Engineer is responsible for the professional quality, technical accuracy, completeness, performance and coordination of all work required under the Agreement (including the work performed by Subconsultants), within the specified time period and specified cost. The Architect/Engineer shall perform the work utilizing the skill, knowledge, and judgment ordinarily possessed and used by a proficient consulting Architect/Engineer with respect to the disciplines required for the performance of the work in the State of Florida. The Architect/Engineer is responsible for, and represents that the work conforms to, the Owner's requirements as set forth in the Agreement pursuant to the Standard of Care. The Architect/Engineer shall be and remain liable to the Owner for all damages in accordance with applicable law caused by any failure of the Architect/Engineer or its Subconsultants to comply with the terms and conditions of the Agreement or by the Architect/Engineer's or Subconsultants' misconduct, unlawful acts, negligent acts, errors, or omissions in the performance of the Agreement. The A/E is responsible for the performance of work by Subconsultants and in approving and accepting such work to ensure the professional quality, completeness, and coordination of Subconsultant's work.
- 3.21.2 In addition to all other rights and remedies that the Owner may have, the Architect/Engineer shall, at its expense, re-perform the services to correct any deficiencies that result from the Architect/Engineer's failure to perform in accordance with the above standards. The Architect/Engineer shall also be liable for the cost of replacement or repair of any defective materials and equipment and re-performance of any non-conforming construction services resulting from such deficient Architect/Engineer services for a period from the commencement of this Agreement until twelve (12) months following final acceptance of the Work or for the period of design liability required by applicable law.
- 3.21.3 The Owner shall notify the Architect/Engineer in writing of any deficiencies and shall approve the method and timing of the corrections. Neither Owner's inspection, review, approval, or acceptance of, nor payment for, any of the work required under the Agreement shall be construed to relieve the Architect/Engineer or any Sub-consultant of its obligations and responsibilities under the Agreement, nor constitute a waiver of any of the Owner's rights under the Agreement or of any cause of action arising out of the performance of the Agreement.

- 3.21.4 Upon Owner's notification of deficient or defective work stemming from the Architect/Engineer's services, the Architect/Engineer shall have fourteen (14) days to respond to the Owner's claim. The Owner shall implement its procedure for administrative review of the claim with notification to the Architect/Engineer of the findings from that review. Upon notification, the Architect/Engineer shall have fourteen (14) days to request reconsideration of the findings.
- 3.22 ARCHITECT/ENGINEER PERFORMANCE EVALUATION: In accordance with Administrative Order 3-39 entitled "Standard Process for Construction of Capital Improvements, Acquisition of Professional Services, Construction Contracting, Change Orders, and Reporting", the Architect/Engineer is advised that a performance evaluation of the services rendered throughout this Agreement will be completed by the Owner and kept in Miami-Dade County files for evaluation of future solicitations.
- 3.23 ENTIRETY OF AGREEMENT: This Agreement represents the entire and integrated Agreement between the Owner and the Architect/Engineer and supersedes all prior negotiations, representations, or agreements between the parties hereto, either written or oral, pertaining to the Project(s). This Agreement shall not be amended except by written Amendment.
- 3.24 PROMPT PAYMENT: It is the policy of Miami-Dade County that payment for all purchases by County agencies and the Public Health Trust shall be made in a timely manner and that interest payments be made on late payments. In accordance with Florida Statutes, Section 218.74 and Section 2-8.10.4.01, of the Miami-Dade County Code, the time at which payment shall be due from the County or the Public Health Trust shall be fourteen (14) calendar days from receipt of a proper invoice. All payments due from the County or the Public Health Trust, and not made within the time specified by this section, shall bear interest from thirty (30) days after the due date at the rate of one percent (1%) per month on the unpaid balance. Further, proceedings to resolve disputes for payment of obligations shall be concluded by final written decision of the County Mayor, or his or her designee(s), not later than sixty (60) days after the date on which the proper invoice was received by the County or the Public Health Trust.
- 3.25 CERTIFICATION OF WAGE RATES: In accordance with Florida Statute 287.055, 5(a), the Consultant firm hereby certifies and warrants that wage rates and other factual unit costs, as submitted in support of the compensation provided are accurate, complete and current as of the date of this Agreement. It is further agreed that said compensation shall be adjusted to exclude any significant costs where the County shall determine that the contract price of services was increased due to inaccurate, incomplete or unclear wage rates or other factual unit costs. All such contract compensation adjustments shall be made within one (1) year from the date of final billing or acceptance of the work by the County, or one (1) following the end of the contract, whichever is later.
- 3.26 ETHICS COMMISSION: Pursuant to Section 2-11.1(w) of the Code of Miami-Dade County, the Ethics Commission has jurisdiction over Consultants and vendors. The Consultant firm must provide the Ethics Commission with a written report regarding its

compliance with any restriction contained in the advisory opinion issued by the Ethics Commission to the Consultant firm, sub-consultants, or team members within ninety (90) days of each task assignment. The report must be submitted to the Executive Director, Commission on Ethics and Public Trust at 19 West Flagler St., Suite 207, Miami, Florida 33130.

3.27 TRUTH IN NEGOTIATION: Pursuant to A.O. 3-39 and Florida Statutes Chapter 287.055 5(a): For all lump sum costs or costs plus a fixed fee contract in which a fee will exceed One Hundred Ninety-five Thousand Dollars (\$195,000; 287.017 – category four), the County will require the firm receiving the award to execute a Truth-In-Negotiation Certificate as required by Chapter 287, Florida Statutes.

3.28 SUSTAINABLE BUILDINGS PROGRAM: Pursuant to Implementing Order 8-8, design of this project shall meet the standards delineated in Article 9.

3.29 EMPLOYMENT ELIGIBILITY VERIFICATION (E-VERIFY): Consultant is required to enroll in the United States Citizenship and Immigration Services E-Verify system, and to utilize that system to verify the employment eligibility of all persons performing work for the Consultant under this Agreement. Consultant shall incorporate this requirement into all of its subcontracts as well.

3.30 ENERGY PERFORMANCE (EPact): Pursuant to R-740-08, A/E agrees to the following terms and conditions of engagement if awarded the Agreement for the work described herein: (1) [Miami-Dade County reserves the right to designate any eligible entity as the "Designer" of the energy efficient improvements incorporated in this Project for the purposes of allocating accelerated depreciation benefits pursuant to Section 179D of the Internal Revenue Code of 1986, as amended (the "Code"); (2) if Miami-Dade County determines that A/E, shall receive accelerated depreciation benefits as a "Designer" for the purposes of Section 179D of the Code or that A/E shall otherwise benefit financially from the monetization of the accelerated depreciation benefit, A/E shall agree to discount its Agreement price or provide a cash rebate to Miami-Dade County. The determination of rebate versus discount shall be determined by Miami-Dade County at its sole discretion. The amount of the incremental financial benefit realized by the A/E as a result of the accelerated depreciation benefit or the monetization thereof, such actual percentage shall be negotiated in good faith by Miami-Dade County at the time the financial benefit to [A/E, Consultant] becomes ascertainable; (3) Miami-Dade County reserves the right to retain a third party consultant ("EP ACT Consultant") to manage and administer the process of obtaining and monetizing the accelerated depreciation benefit derived from the Project and to designate the Energy Efficiency Consultant as the "Designer" of the energy efficient improvements for the purposes of Section 179D of the Code; and (4) A/E agrees to cooperate in all reasonable respects with the Energy Efficiency Consultant's efforts to obtain and monetize any such benefits derived from the Project on behalf of Miami-Dade County.

The County shall have no obligation to designate any entity "the Designer", to pursue such benefits on behalf of the [A/E, Consultant], or to cooperate with [A/E, Consultant] in

securing these benefits.

- 3.31 **AMERICANS WITH DISABILITIES ACT (ADA) STANDARDS:** The design of this project shall meet the standards delineated in the 2010 ADA Standards for Accessible Design.
- 3.32 **ACCOUNTS RECEIVABLE ADJUSTMENTS:** In accordance with Miami-Dade County Implementing Order 3-9, Accounts Receivable Adjustments, if money is owed by the Architect/Engineer to the County, whether under this Contract or for any other purpose, the County reserves the right to retain such amount from payment due by County to the Architect/Engineer under this Contract. Such retained amount shall be applied to the amount owed by the Architect/Engineer to the County. The Architect/Engineer shall have no further claim to such retained amounts which shall be deemed full accord and satisfaction of the amount due by the County to the Architect/Engineer for the applicable payment due herein.
- 3.33 **ACCESS TO PUBLIC RECORDS:** The A/E shall comply with the Public Records Laws of the State of Florida, including but not limited to: (1) keeping and maintaining all public records that ordinarily and necessarily would be required by Miami-Dade County (County) in order to perform the service; (2) providing the public with access to public records on the same terms and conditions that the County would provide the records and at a cost that does not exceed the cost provided in Chapter 119, F.S., or as otherwise provided by law; (3) ensuring that public records that are exempt or confidential and exempt from public records disclosure requirements are not disclosed except as authorized by law; and (4) meeting all requirements for retaining public records and transferring, at no cost, to the County all public records in possession of the A/E upon termination of the contract and destroying any duplicate public records that are exempt or confidential and exempt from public records disclosure requirements upon such transfer. In addition, all records stored electronically must be provided to the County in a format that is compatible with the information technology systems of the County. Failure to meet any of these provisions or to comply with Florida's Public Records Laws as applicable shall be a material breach of the agreement and shall be enforced in accordance with the terms of the agreement.

IF THE A/E HAS QUESTIONS REGARDING THE APPLICATION OF CHAPTER 119, FLORIDA STATUTES, TO THE CONSULTANT'S DUTY TO PROVIDE PUBLIC RECORDS RELATING TO THIS CONTRACT, CONTACT THE MIAMI DADE AVIATION RECORDS CUSTODIAN, JORGE MIHAIC (305) 876-0933; JMIHAIC@MIAMI-AIRPORT.COM; MIAMI-DADE AVIATION DEPARTMENT, RISK MANAGEMENT & SUPPORT SERVICES, P.O. BOX 025504, MIAMI, FLORIDA 33102-5504.

- 3.34 ASPIRATIONAL POLICY REGARDING DIVERSITY: Pursuant to Resolution No. R-1106-15 Miami-Dade County vendors are encouraged to utilize a diverse workforce that is reflective of the racial, gender and ethnic diversity of Miami-Dade County and employ locally-based small firms and employees from the communities where work is being performed in their performance of work for the County. This policy shall not be a condition of contracting with the County, nor will it be a factor in the evaluation of solicitations unless permitted by law.

ARTICLE 4

BASIC SERVICES

- 4.1 ASSIGNMENT OF WORK BY OWNER: The Architect/Engineer shall be issued Service Orders as the need for Services arises. The Service Order shall cover in detail the scope, time for completion, and the compensation for the work to be accomplished. No Services under this Agreement shall be performed by the Consultant prior to the receipt of an appropriate Service Order. The Architect/Engineer is not entitled to any amount of work or fees under this Agreement.
- 4.1.1 Upon request by the Owner and prior to the issuance of a Service Order, the Architect/Engineer shall submit a proposal based on the represented scope of work requested by the Owner. The Owner shall confer with the Architect/Engineer before any Service Order is issued to discuss and agree upon the scope, time for completion, and maximum fee for Services to be rendered pursuant to the Service Order. No payment shall be made for the Architect/Engineer's time or services in connection with the preparation of any such proposal. Upon agreement by the Owner and the Architect/Engineer on the scope of services, time of completion, and maximum fee, the Owner shall issue a Service Order to perform the work.
- 4.1.2 The proposal that the Architect/Engineer submits shall, as a minimum, include:
- a. The number of personnel for each job classification to be used for the requested scope of services. Job classifications and descriptions shall be limited to those listed in Sub-article 8.2.3.1.1, Job Classifications and Maximum Raw Rates. Other job classifications may be proposed where highly specialized disciplines are required. For any other job classifications proposed for a particular assignment, the Architect/Engineer shall submit a classification description and a justification for needing the additional classification(s). Such other classifications shall only be authorized by Service Order. The use of or rejection of such other job classifications shall be at the sole discretion of the Owner.
 - b. The current and/or proposed wage rate for all personnel proposed. Wage rates may not exceed the maximum specified for the particular classification as provided in Sub-article 8.2.3.1.1, Job Classifications and Maximum Raw Rates.
 - c. The estimated number of hours for each individual proposed.
 - d. A sub-total of the total wages to be paid each proposed individual (current proposed wage rate multiplied by the estimated number of hours) and a total to be paid to the Architect/Engineer for each individual (sub-total multiplied by the Direct Labor Multiplier). These individual totals shall be summed to

show the total personnel costs being proposed by the Architect/Engineer for the indicated scope of work.

- 4.2 BASIC SERVICES SCHEDULE AND SUMMARY: The Architect/Engineer agrees to furnish or cause to be furnished to the extent authorized by Service Order all architectural and engineering professional services, as further specified below, designated as Basic Services, in the Phases delineated and described herein unless modified by the Service Order, for the design, Work Related Services, and satisfactory completion of the Project described in a Project Definition Book or as may otherwise be described in the Special Provisions of this Agreement. The Architect/Engineer shall be responsible for correction of any errors, omissions, and/or ambiguities, as determined by the Owner/Project Manager, resulting from the Services.

Upon receipt by the Architect/Engineer of a Service Order to proceed with Phase I services, the Architect/Engineer shall prepare and submit to the Owner, for its review and approval, a DSCMP for the first three Phases of the Project that conforms to the Project and Phase durations contained in the schedule in the Special Provisions.

The Architect/Engineer is firmly obligated to complete the services in accordance with the approved DSCMP, and shall furnish sufficient personnel, equipment, and facilities and shall work such hours as necessary to assure such completion. It is understood that Architect/Engineer shall not be responsible for delays of others not under its or its subconsultant's direct control. The Architect/Engineer shall meet once per month with the Project Manager to review the Architect/Engineer prepared DSCMP, which will establish the basis of payment and the actions necessary to correct schedule deficiencies. The Architect/Engineer may request modifications to the DSCMP by submitting a written request to modify with supporting justification. It shall be at the Owner's sole discretion whether to grant such a modification.

- 4.2.1 The Architect/Engineer shall furnish or cause to be furnished all professional design services prescribed in the Special Provisions of this Agreement and all other services normally required for airport projects of this type.
- 4.2.2 The Architect/Engineer shall design facilities that have common boundaries, surfaces, spaces, or that otherwise interface with other facilities or operations being designed, constructed, or operated by others not a part of this Agreement and shall also include the coordination of such design.
- 4.2.3 The Architect/Engineer shall design interim/temporary facilities included in the Project Budget with the necessary associated facilities to accommodate operations, pedestrian and/or vehicular traffic, tenants or concessionaires, as needed during construction.
- 4.2.4 It shall be the responsibility of the Architect/Engineer to follow and be responsive to the technical and schedule guidance and oversight furnished by the Project Manager.

- 4.2.5 All services performed during Phases 1A through 3D of the Basic Services shall comply with and be in conformance with the Deliverables Requirements Manual and the Design Guidelines Manual. In addition, the Project shall be designed so as to be able to achieve the LEED category stipulated in Article 9.
- 4.2.5.1 The LEED AP shall develop and implement a LEED Certification Plan to monitor and document progress during design and construction. Implementation shall include, but not be limited to, the following through-out all Phases: coordinate and verify selected materials, actions, and systems are Project-appropriate and meet LEED credit criteria; collect, organize, and prepare documents required for LEED certification, and performance verification; and register the Project with GBCI, providing follow-through in attaining the required level of LEED certification.
- 4.2.6 Throughout all Phases of the Basic Services, the Architect/Engineer shall coordinate its Services with other Owner provided consultants.
- 4.2.7 For Phases 1A through 3D of the Basic Services, the Architect/Engineer shall submit to the Owner the deliverables listed under the various Phases in the Deliverables Requirements Manual in the format approved by the Owner. In addition, the Architect/Engineer shall submit with each set of deliverables a complete listing of the items required to be delivered for that Phase, by discipline, and indicate which of those items are actually being submitted. For any items not being submitted, the Architect/Engineer shall submit either a written statement as to why such items are not being submitted as required or an approved waiver for the omission. The Owner reserves the right to reject all or part of any submittals that are not complete in their content as required herein. The Architect/Engineer shall be totally responsible for any additional costs resulting, from such rejections and shall not be compensated in any manner by the Owner therefor.
- 4.2.8 For Phases 1A through 3D of the Basic Services, the Architect/Engineer shall submit estimates of Probable Construction Cost, as described in the Definitions. The estimates are to be prepared by a Professional Construction Estimator. Compensation to the Professional Construction Estimator shall be the sole responsibility of the Architect/Engineer and shall be considered part of the Architect/Engineer's compensation for Basic Services. As part of its Probable Construction Cost, the Architect/Engineer shall assist the Owner in identifying Project Elements that are eligible for Federal/State grant-in-aid participation. The Architect/Engineer shall assist in reviewing applications prepared by the Owner and the Project Manager. If meetings with grant agencies are required, attendance at such meetings will be compensated by the Owner as Additional Services.
- 4.2.9 To the extent authorized by Service Order, submittals for Phases 2 through 3C shall include a "Project LEED points estimate" and narrative, as appropriate,

describing the materials, actions, and systems being incorporated in the Project to attain the stated LEED certification category.

- 4.2.10 Throughout all Phases of the Basic Services, all drawings shall be produced electronically using AUTOCAD software which shall be within two (2) years of the latest release. The A/E must submit all original working drawings in an electronic vector format with an AUTOCAD drawing extension ".dwg". Within the drawing, all external reference files must be bound into one file that represents each of the drawings (hardcopy/prints) in the construction document set. With each submittal, all supporting electronic files, such as images, fonts and line types, shall be included with the drawings. All drawings must comply with and use a layering format adopted by the Owner and referred to as the Technical Support Facility Management Layering system.

The Owner retains all rights to further use of all electronic drawings as well as blocks, linotypes, layering convention and any other information contained in the electronic drawings that are needed to reproduce the drawings in the construction document set. If another software package is used to produce the drawings, the A/E is responsible for the conversion to an AUTOCAD format as stated above and must fix any anomalies in the electronic drawing before submitting the electronic drawings and submit all drawings utilizing the Owner's drawing layer scheme. This information can be obtained through MDAD Technical Support.

- 4.2.11 The Architect/Engineer shall submit hard and electronic format, as specified herein or otherwise by the Owner, copies of all documents required under each Phase for review, comments, and approval by the Owner. The number of copies and the distribution of those copies shall be specified in the Service Order for each Phase.

The electronic submittal required under this Agreement shall be in a format acceptable to the Owner and shall generally consist of the digital plot files and digital working files as indicated above and shall be organized and submitted on compact storage discs (CD) compatible with Owner's "Windows" operating system. This information can be obtained through MDAD Technical Support.

- 4.2.12 At the end of Phases 1B, 2 and 3C the Architect/Engineer shall, through the Project Manager, schedule a review(s) of all plans and programs with the user representatives of the Owner.
- 4.2.13 Recognizing that the construction of other projects at the Airport may affect scheduling of the construction for each Project Element or components thereof, the Architect/Engineer shall diligently coordinate the performance of the Services with the Owner and its designees in order to provide for the safe, expeditious, economical, and efficient completion of the Project, without negatively impacting concurrent work by others or the airport operations.

- 4.2.14 To the extent authorized by Service Order, a formal Value Analysis/Engineering study will be conducted at the end of Basic Services Phase 2. The A/E shall assist as directed by the Project Manager in the VA/E process.
- 4.2.15 The Architect/Engineer shall have a written design quality management program related to Construction Contract Document preparation and Work Related Services that details the methods and procedures that will be taken to assure that all services required by this Agreement conform to the required professional quality, technical accuracy, completeness, performance, and coordination of all work under the Agreement (including the work performed by Subconsultants). Such program shall be submitted to the Owner within seven (7) days after the Owner issues the first Service Order under this Agreement. The Architect/Engineer shall make all adjustments to the program deemed necessary by the Owner. The design quality management program, as adjusted, shall be implemented throughout the entire design and construction process.
- 4.2.16 The Architect/Engineer's Probable Construction Cost (including construction contingency allowance), broken down by specification sections or unit prices, shall include any adjustments necessary for projected award dates, changes in requirements, or general market conditions. Service Orders to proceed with Phases 3A, 3B and 3C may not be issued if the Probable Construction Cost, as submitted by the Architect/Engineer at Phases 2, 3A and 3B, respectively, exceeds the total Owner allocated funds for the construction of the Project. No further progress payment shall be made should the Probable Construction Cost in any Phase exceed the Budget, until an alternate design is provided at no additional compensation, to bring the cost within the Project Budget limitations.
- 4.2.17 For Phases 3A through 3D, the Architect/Engineer shall provide a CSI formatted cost loaded construction schedule that conforms to both the latest Probable Construction Cost and the Work Sequencing and Staging Plan. The cost loaded schedule shall be updated at each Phase. The level of detail of the cost loaded schedule at each Phase shall be commensurate with the level of detail of the latest Probable Construction Cost.
- 4.2.18 For Phases 3A through 3D, the Architect/Engineer shall prepare and include plans for the Contractor's/Field Representative's construction trailer. The plans shall show as a minimum the location of the trailer(s), parking, access, and temporary utility connections for the trailer(s) required during the performance of the Work by the Contractor.
- 4.2.19 Commissioning Plans: To the extent authorized by Service Order, the Architect/Engineer shall develop a commissioning plan in conformance with the current edition of the "MDAD Construction Contracts General and Legal Provisions and Division 1/Commissioning for Facilities Projects" and the Design Guidelines Manual.

4.3 PHASES 1A AND 1B - PROGRAM VERIFICATION AND PREPARATION OF SCHEMATIC DESIGN DOCUMENTS

4.3.1 Phase 1A - Program Verification: Based upon the Program drawings, preliminary budget, and Design Guidelines furnished to the Architect/Engineer by the Owner, a Service Order may be issued to the Architect/Engineer to verify the accuracy and adequacy of all available information for the Project. Such verification shall include but not be limited to the following areas:

4.3.1.1 Program: The Architect/Engineer shall examine the Project Book including Program Drawings furnished and other information provided by the Owner and shall confirm user requirements and determine requirements for additional studies, verify the physical/spatial characteristics of the Project, the completeness of the Program, and their adherence to the Design Guidelines Manual.

4.3.1.2 Record Drawings: The Architect/Engineer shall examine, and verify all as-built conditions as to their completeness and accuracy as depicted on the Record Drawings furnished by the Owner.

4.3.1.3 Project Budget: The Architect/Engineer shall examine in detail, the estimated construction costs furnished by the Owner. Should this cost verification reveal serious discrepancies and/or deficiencies which would impact the Project and its subsequent stages of development, the Architect/Engineer shall inform the Owner in writing as to the adequacy of the funds required to complete the Project through the construction phase.

4.3.1.4 Utilities Investigation: The Architect/Engineer shall evaluate the utilities information provided by the Owner and determine the adequacy of existing utilities to accommodate the additional utility loads imposed by the Project Element(s), and incorporate such information into the design.

4.3.1.5 Surveys: The Architect/Engineer shall verify the survey information provided by the Owner, and incorporate such information into the design. The Architect/Engineer shall be responsible for obtaining any additional survey information that is required for the completion of the project and was not provided by the Owner.

4.3.1.6 Soil Investigations: To the extent authorized by Service Order, the Architect/Engineer shall prepare a soils investigation plan for use in determining soil characteristics required for proper design of the Project Elements included herein. The plan shall show the number, spacing and depth of borings required and shall specify such other tests and investigations as may be necessary to provide information prerequisite to the Project's design. The Architect/Engineer shall specify, locate and coordinate the specific borings and tests to be performed by others and shall interpret the results for application to the Project.

- 4.3.1.7 Discrepancies between Existing Conditions and Depicted Conditions: Any discrepancies between the actual, existing conditions and conditions depicted on drawings or other information provided by the Owner shall be noted by the Architect/Engineer. The actual, existing conditions shall then be incorporated into the Contract Documents. The Owner shall be informed, in writing, of any discrepancy between actual, and drawings.
- 4.3.1.8 Deficiencies of Information: The Architect/Engineer shall inform the Owner in writing of any deficiencies, other than discrepancies from actual, existing conditions found during verification, in the as-built conditions, utility information, survey information and/or soils investigation which are deemed necessary to provide a satisfactory basis on which to perform the Basic Services. Upon agreement of the deficiencies by the Owner, the Owner may then issue a Service Order directing the Architect/Engineer to perform the necessary investigations and develop the required additional information as Additional Services.

At its option, the Owner may direct a geotechnical engineering company, an independent engineering testing laboratory, a survey company, or other firm(s) under contract with the Owner to provide the necessary services for the Architect/Engineer. The Architect/Engineer shall be responsible for verification of the validity, interpretation, coordination, application, and use of all supplemental information, signed and sealed, provided by any such independent consultant.

- 4.3.1.9 Art in Public Places: To the extent authorized by Service Order, the Architect/Engineer shall, prior to preliminary design, initiate contact and confer with the Art in Public Places Representative for review of applicability of an art component to the Project. Should Art in Public Places Department determine that the installation of an art component is applicable to this Project based on the provisions of County Code Section 2.11.15 and should it decide to pursue said installation, the Architect/Engineer shall further confer with the Art in Public Places Representative to develop a concept for art appropriate to the Project, and with the Art in Public Places Professional Advisory Committee as to the type(s) of art, location(s), and possible artist(s). The Director of Art in Public Places shall approve the final concept and location. The final choice of the artist(s) will be made by the Art in Public Places Trust, upon recommendation of the Art in Public Places Professional Advisory Committee. As part of its Master Plan, Art in Public Places encourages and will give preference to collaborative projects between the artist(s) and the Architect/Engineer to promote the integration of art work and site. Such collaborative efforts shall include the active involvement of both the Architect/Engineer and the artist(s) during Design Development of the Project. In consultation with the artist(s) and Art in Public Places, the Architect/Engineer shall make all the necessary provisions and coordinate the development and incorporation of artwork(s) details and/or

specifications in the Contract Documents for the Project. The Architect/Engineer shall coordinate the installation of necessary anchorages, special lighting, or plumbing or other utility or installation and connections as required for the proper installation of the art work in accordance with the artist's concept(s). The Architect/Engineer shall provide, without added compensation, technical support including but not limited to assisting the artist(s) in the development of preliminary and final construction cost estimates for infrastructure work necessary to support the art work to the extent that it is included in the Contract, construction procedures/approach, typical sections, profiles and details, structural support and utility connection systems (including structural anchorage details as may be required), technical specifications, submittals and shop drawing requirements (including review and approvals) for all ancillary facilities in connection with the installation of the artwork. The Architect/Engineer shall coordinate the installation of the artwork with the artist(s) and the Contractor during construction and shall assist the artist(s) and Art in Public Places in the resolution of issues pertaining to coordination. The Architect/Engineer shall inspect, along with the artist(s) and the Art in Public Places Representative, the completed installation(s) by the Contractor for compliance with the Contract Documents.

- 4.3.2 Phase 1B - Preparation of Schematic Design Documents: Upon the written confirmation from the Architect/Engineer that all elements of the Project have been identified and the Owner's cost estimates have been verified, the Owner may issue a Service Order to prepare the Schematic Design Documents.

The Architect/Engineer shall review the verified Program with the Owner's representatives, lessees (if applicable), and all agencies and other governmental authorities having permitting or other approval authority with respect to the Project. If authorized in writing by the Project Manager, Project Elements or components, as well as suggestions of such agencies or lessees (if applicable) regarding required procedures, are to be followed by the Architect/Engineer. Necessary inclusions shall be made when preparing the Design Development and the Contract Documents. Upon completion of the agencies' reviews, the Architect/Engineer shall detail in writing the recommendations of the agencies' to the Owner and shall modify the suggested plan as appropriate and resubmit it to the Owner for review, further modifications, and for approval and agreement by the Owner. As a part of this Phase, the Architect/Engineer shall prepare and submit the Phase 1B deliverables including but not limited to the following:

- 4.3.2.1 Site Plan: A site plan(s) of the Project, at a scale to be specified by the Owner, showing the Project Elements, existing facilities, and proposed projects pertinent to or interfacing with other projects and with the remainder of the Basic Services under this Agreement.

- 4.3.2.2 Schematic Design Studies: The Schematic Design studies shall consist of all plans, elevations, sections, perspectives, etc., as required to show the scale and relationship of the design concept to surrounding facilities and other Project Elements plus a narrative report, setting forth in appropriate detail, the criteria to be used in preparing the Contract Documents for the Project Elements and identifying all major equipment and systems required, including alternative items as appropriate, and Work Sequencing Schedules. Design areas and elements beneficial to attaining the required LEED certification category shall be identified and quantified.

These Schematic Design studies are preliminary in nature and scope. They shall be further defined, and amplifying details shall be developed, by the Architect/Engineer during subsequent Phases of the Basic Services.

The Owner will make a determination, based on the Schematic Design studies and narrative report, of what equipment and systems will be used. In addition, the Owner will, based on the investigations and recommendations developed by the Architect/Engineer, determine which equipment and other items the Owner will purchase outside the Contract for this Project. Should the Owner decide to purchase equipment and materials separately and furnish them to the Contractor, the Architect/Engineer shall, as part of the Basic Services, provide detailed programming and scheduling, perform follow-on liaison with vendors with respect to availability and delivery, and provide any other such Services with respect to such separately purchased and furnished equipment as would otherwise be required had said equipment not been separately purchased and furnished.

- 4.3.2.3 Drainage: To the extent authorized by Service Order, the Architect/Engineer shall prepare a preliminary drainage plan showing the direction and quantities of flows to each drain. The Schematic Design narrative report shall provide drainage calculations in sufficient detail to give assurance that the Project can be used under the approved design storm conditions.

- 4.3.2.4 Barricades, Signing, Marking and Lighting: The Architect/Engineer shall prepare, as necessary, a preliminary maintenance of traffic plan, construction operations safety plan, and a security plan which show how the Work can be accomplished within operational constraints. The safety plan shall be prepared as part of the Project documents in conformance with the FAA Advisory circulars and the MDAD Airside Operations Procedures (where applicable). It shall delineate the nature, extent, and location of site access, required temporary barricading, signing, marking, and lighting for the Aircraft Operation Areas and Landside work areas for the Project. The safety plan shall also be coordinated with appropriate Owner staff.

- 4.3.2.5 Work Sequence and Staging Plan: The Architect/Engineer shall develop a Work Staging Plan to avoid adverse impacts on existing airport and aircraft operations and shall advise the Owner in writing of the remaining adverse

impacts, if any, and estimated increase in Project costs that would result from such staging plan. The Architect/Engineer shall develop a Work Sequencing Schedule showing the sequence of the construction and the relative time frame within the overall construction period. Alternative plan(s) and associated cost(s) shall also be developed and submitted, along with an analysis by the Architect/Engineer of pertinent factors and relative merits of each plan, even if such alternative plan(s) would adversely impact airport and aircraft operations. The decision as to which plan to use will be made by the Owner, and the Architect/Engineer will be informed of such decision in writing.

- 4.3.2.6 Outline Specification: The Architect/Engineer shall prepare an outline specification which will describe the architectural and engineering requirements, earthwork, utility adjustments and relocations, bridge substructure, superstructure, drainage, foundations, mechanical, electrical, utilities, lighting, signalization, signage, markings, external finishes, painting, security systems, fire protection systems, plumbing, and other incidental and special equipment being proposed for the Project, all of which will be considerations in the cost estimate.
- 4.3.2.7 Probable Construction Cost: The Architect/Engineer shall submit a Probable Construction Cost of the Project. The Probable Construction Cost shall include the estimated costs of constructed or acquired facilities and improvements in such detail as required by the Owner including each class of equipment, operational systems, and any other direct costs that may be included in the Project by the Owner pursuant to this Agreement. No further progress payment shall be made should the Probable Construction Cost in any phase exceed the Budget, until an alternate design is provided at no additional compensation, to bring the cost within the Project Budget limitations.
- 4.3.2.8 Project LEED point estimate: To the extent authorized by Service Order, the A/E shall submit the Project LEED point estimate corresponding to the Phase 1B submittal documents. The Project LEED point estimate shall be updated for each successive Phase and kept current. No further progress payment shall be made should the Project LEED point estimate in any Phase fail to meet or exceed the top three (3) points in the specified LEED certification category.
- 4.3.2.9 LEED Certification Plan: To the extent authorized by Service Order, the A/E shall submit a LEED Certification Plan for the Project which shall detail the steps necessary to attain the specified LEED certification category and how each is to be implemented during design and construction of the Project. The LEED Certification Plan shall be updated for each successive Phase and kept current. Successive submittals shall show progress level of each step and directly correspond to the design decisions of each Phase.

- 4.3.3 During Phase 1B the Owner may direct the Architect/Engineer, by Service Order, to combine specified portions of the Phase 1B and Phase 2 Basic Services and eliminate or change certain portions of the Services in order to provide Contract Documents more efficiently or cost-effectively. If so directed by the Owner, fees for these two Phases shall be the same as if the two Phases were performed in their entirety. The durations for the individual Phases 1B and 2 will be combined to establish the total duration for the combined phase 1B/2, which will equal the sum of the durations for the individual Phases.
- 4.3.4 The Architect/Engineer shall not proceed with the following Phase 2 - Design Development until appropriate written approvals and comments on the deliverables for Phase 1B and a Service Order for the Phase 2 Basic Services is received from the Owner. All comments shall be addressed, in writing, and reflected in the Phase 2 documents. The Architect/Engineer understands that such approvals, comments, and Service Order may be received individually and at different times.

4.4 PHASE 2 - DESIGN DEVELOPMENT

- 4.4.1 Upon receipt from the Owner of a Service Order for Phase 2 Basic Services, the Architect/Engineer shall prepare Design Development documents from the approved Schematic Design documents developed in the performance of the Phase 1B Basic Services. Phase 2 Basic Services shall produce the submittals as more fully detailed in the Professional Services Deliverables. The Design Development documents shall consist of Drawings, Outline Specifications, Work Sequencing Schedules, and other documents as may be necessary to fix and describe the size and character of the systems and components to be included in the Project. The systems, components, and associated controls shall integrate with and be of like character to those typically found in similar projects unless otherwise specifically approved by the Owner in writing. All plans and programs developed during Phase 1B for interim locations or routings, or for the staging and sequencing of Work shall be refined and updated as applicable. Should the need for additional plans and/or programs become apparent during the course of the performance of the Phase 2 Basic Services, such additional plans and programs shall be prepared and included in the Design Development documents.
- 4.4.2 Unless otherwise stipulated in the Special Provisions, it is the Owner's intent to bid the Project in one (1) package. The Owner, though, reserves the right to direct that the Project be divided into as many contracts as required by operational constraints, tenant or lessees needs, adjacent project scheduling, or other reasons as determined by the Owner. If the Owner requires the Project to be broken into more bid packages than specified herein, the additional work to accomplish this will be compensated to the Architect/Engineer as Additional Services.
- 4.4.3 Upon receipt from the Owner of a Service Order, the Architect/Engineer shall participate in a Value Analysis (VA), including Life Cycle Cost Analysis, lasting

approximately seven (7) days. The Architect/Engineer will provide documents, make an opening presentation relative to the contents of those documents, respond to questions posed. Recommendations agreed to and required by the Owner will be incorporated by the Architect/Engineer into the Phase 2 Services.

In performing the VA, the A/E will be mindful of the required LEED certification level, and the A/E shall address the benefits and drawbacks of any proposed system, item, or element to be the basis of the LEED certification, and shall be knowledgeable of alternatives available in order to ensure the attainment of that certification.

- 4.4.4 The Architect/Engineer shall submit all documents required under Phase 2 Design Development for review and comments by the Owner. The Design Development documents submitted shall also include updates to the Phase 1B Project Probable Construction Cost. These updates shall be based upon the approved size and character of the components of the Project Elements and the incorporation of the Owner approved recommendations of the Value Analysis. If the Phase 2 Probable Construction Cost indicates that the Project cost shall be more than the approved Phase 1B Probable Construction, no further progress payment will be made until an alternate design is provided, at no additional compensation, to bring the cost within the Project Budget limitations. The Owner may direct the Architect/Engineer to modify, without additional compensation, the Design Development documents to bring the Phase 2 Probable Construction Cost within or below the approved Phase 1B Probable Construction Cost. The Architect/Engineer shall not proceed with the following Phase 3A - 30% Contract Documents until appropriate written approvals and comments on the deliverables for Phase 2 and a Service Order for the Phase 3A Basic Services are received from the Owner. All comments shall be addressed in Phase 3A. The Architect/Engineer understands that such approvals, comments, and Service Order may be received individually and at different times.
- 4.4.5 The Architect/Engineer shall prepare exhibits required to convey the intent of the design during Phase 2 presentation to the Owner for Owner's and Users' reviews. The Architect/Engineer shall resolve all comments, including a follow-up presentation(s) if required. Phase 2 Design Development drawings shall specifically note areas, systems, and/or items necessary for conformance to the required Project LEED certification category. If applicable, the Project LEED points estimate shall be updated at every submission and coordinated with the Probable Construction Cost estimate and the LEED Certification Plan. The Project LEED points estimate shall be realistic and not less than the top three (3) points specified in the certification category for the Project.
- 4.4.6 The Architect/Engineer shall also, to the extent applicable based on refinements and amplifications effected during the Design Development phase, review pertinent documents with the agencies having permitting or other approval authority with respect to the Project, including those agencies previously

consulted in Phases 1A and 1B above, to obtain the reviews of such agencies. The Architect/Engineer shall report in writing the findings of such reviews with said agencies and provide recommendations for approval by the Owner relative to such findings for implementation by the Architect/Engineer in Phase 2 Basic Services.

4.5 PHASES 3A, 3B & 3C - CONTRACT DOCUMENTS

4.5.1 Phase 3A, 30% Complete Contract Documents

4.5.1.1 Upon receipt of a Service Order for Phase 3A Basic Services, the Architect/Engineer shall prepare the 30% Contract Documents from the approved Design Development documents developed in the performance of the Phase 2 Basic Services. Phase 3A Basic Services shall produce the submittals as more fully detailed in the Deliverables Requirements Manual.

4.5.1.2 To the extent authorized by Service Order, the Architect/Engineer shall prepare a preliminary Storm Water Pollution Prevention Plan (SWPPP) in accordance with the National Pollution Discharge Elimination System (NPDES) storm water discharge regulations under the Clean Water Act Amendments of 1987.

The Architect/Engineer shall comply with the current "NPDES General Permits for Storm Water Discharge From Construction Sites", for storm water rules, regulations, and project eligibility, and shall prepare a SWPPP for the Project using all applicable Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) and local agency regulations.

The Architect/Engineer may refer to the MDAD SWPPP guidance manual for information on preparing an individual SWPPP for Owner approval and Contractor implementation.

4.5.1.3 Using the documents prepared under this Article, the Architect/Engineer shall submit for review the necessary portions of the Contract Documents to the authorities, including but not limited to, County, State, and/or Federal, having jurisdiction over the Project by law or contract with the County.

4.5.1.4 The Architect/Engineer shall submit all documents required under Phase 3A - 30% Contract Documents for review and comments by the Owner. The 30% Contract Documents submittal shall apply to all applicable disciplines including, but not limited to, architectural, structural, mechanical/HVAC/plumbing/fire protection, electrical, and civil. The 30% Contract Documents submittal shall include CSI Divisions 1 through 16. The 30% Contract Documents submitted shall also include updates to the Phase 2 Project Probable Construction Cost. These updates shall be

based upon the approved size and character of the components of the Project Elements as developed in the approved Phase 2 Design Development. If the Phase 3A Probable Construction Cost is higher than the Phase 2 Probable Construction Cost, no further progress payment will be made until the Architect/Engineer provides an alternate design. The Owner may direct the Architect/Engineer to modify, without additional compensation, the 30% Contract Documents to bring the Phase 3A Probable Construction Cost within or below the approved Phase 2 Probable Construction Cost. The Architect/Engineer shall not proceed with the following Phase 3B - 75% Contract Documents until appropriate written approvals and comments on the deliverables for Phase 3A and a Service Order for Phase 3B Basic Services are received from the Owner. All comments shall be addressed in Phase 3B. The Architect/Engineer understands that such approvals, comments, and Service Order may be received individually and at different times. For LEED certified projects, the Architect/Engineer shall prepare and include drawings and specifications of each discipline identifying the specific elements that will qualify for LEED points, as well as the LEED point estimate. Specific areas, items, and elements that contribute to the Owner-required LEED category shall be listed, keyed, or otherwise highlighted for review purposes.

- 4.5.1.5 The Architect/Engineer shall, to the extent applicable based on refinements and amplifications effected during this Phase, review pertinent documents with those agencies having permitting or other approval authority with respect to the Project, including agencies previously consulted by the Architect/Engineer in Phases 1A, 1B and 2, in order to obtain changes in the opinions, comments, and suggestions of those agencies with respect to such refinement and amplifications. The Architect/Engineer shall report in writing to the Owner, for approval by the Owner, the findings of such reviews with said agencies and its recommendations relative to such findings for implementation by the Architect/Engineer in Basic Services Phase 3B.

4.5.2 Phase 3B, 75% Complete Contract Documents

- 4.5.2.1 Upon receipt of a Service Order for Basic Services Phase 3B, the Architect/Engineer shall prepare the 75% Contract Documents from the approved 30% Contract Documents developed in the performance of the Phase 3A Basic Services. Phase 3B Basic Services shall produce the submittals as more fully detailed in the Deliverables Requirements Manual.
- 4.5.2.2 Using the documents prepared under this Article, the Architect/Engineer shall submit for review the necessary portions of the Contract Documents to the authorities including, but not limited to, County, State, and/or Federal, having jurisdiction over the Project by law or contract with the County. Said documents shall be sufficient to be permitted as applicable by such authorities. The Architect/Engineer shall assist the Owner in

coordinating with the Building Department to facilitate permit approval prior to approval by the Owner of the 100% complete Review Set and printing of the Contract Documents

- 4.5.2.3 The Architect/Engineer shall develop a coordinated plan of execution for this Phase, which will include an outline, or index, of the contents of the Contract Documents along with a schedule(s) for completion.
- 4.5.2.4 The Architect/Engineer shall prepare Plans in a manner that will ensure clarity of line work, notes and dimensions when reduced to 50 percent of the original size. After acceptance by the Owner, the Plans shall become part of the Contract Documents. The Technical Specifications shall provide that a system of quality control and quality assurance be a requirement of the work. The quality control and quality assurance system shall provide the procedures to be used by the Contractor and the Architect/Engineer to assure that the quality of all materials, equipment systems, and furnishings function as intended and are equal to or better than called for in the specifications.
- 4.5.2.5 The Architect/Engineer shall submit all documents required under Phase 3B - 75% Contract Documents for review and comments by the Owner. The 75% Contract Documents submittal shall apply to all applicable disciplines including, but not limited to, architectural, structural, mechanical/HVAC/plumbing/fire protection, electrical, and civil. The 75% Contract Documents submittal shall include CSI Divisions 1 through 16. The 75% Contract Documents submitted shall also include updates to the Phase 3A Project Probable Construction Cost. These updates shall be based upon the approved size and character of the components of the Project Elements as developed in the approved Phase 3A - 30% Contract Documents. If the Phase 3B Probable Construction Cost indicates that the Project cost shall be more than the approved Phase 3A Probable Construction Cost, the Architect/Engineer shall provide an alternate design, without additional compensation and without changing the scope of the Project, to bring the Phase 3B Probable Construction Cost within or below the approved Phase 3A Probable Construction Cost. For LEED certified projects, the Architect/Engineer shall prepare and include drawings of each discipline identifying the specific elements that will qualify for LEED points. Specific areas, items, and elements that contribute to the Owner-required LEED level shall be listed, keyed, or otherwise highlighted for review purposes.
- 4.5.2.6 To the extent authorized by Service Order, the Architect/Engineer shall participate in a follow up VA/Life Cycle study/critique/constructability after submission of 75% Construction Documents. Participation shall be as necessary to assure that the Owner approved recommendations from the Phase 2 VA have been incorporated and that any additional recommendations from this Phase 3B VA are fully understood and will be incorporated into the Contract Documents.

4.5.2.7 After review by the Owner, the Architect/Engineer shall resolve all questions and have all revisions made to its documents as required by the Owner. The Architect/Engineer shall prepare a 75% complete Review Set. The 75% Review Set shall be returned to the Owner with a consolidated cost and schedule breakdown by construction trade that will permit the Miami-Dade County Department of Business Development to readily develop contract measures in the bidding documents. The Architect/Engineer shall not proceed with the following Phase 3C - 100% Contract Documents until appropriate written approvals and comments on the deliverables for Phase 3B and a Service Order for Phase 3C Basic Services are received from the Owner. All comments shall be addressed in Phase 3C. The Architect/Engineer understands that such approvals, comments, and Service Order may be received individually and at different times.

4.5.3 Phase 3C, 100% Complete Contract Documents

4.5.3.1 Upon receipt of a Service Order for Phase 3C, the Architect/Engineer shall proceed with Basic Services Phase 3C - 100% Contract Documents. The Architect/Engineer shall prepare the 100% Contract Documents from the approved 75% Contract Documents developed in the performance of the Phase 3B Basic Services. Phase 3C Basic Services shall produce the submittals as more fully detailed in the Deliverables Requirements Manual.

1. The Architect/Engineer shall submit all documents required under Phase 3C - 100% Contract Documents for review and comments by the Owner. The 100% Contract Documents submittal shall apply to all applicable disciplines including, but not limited to, architectural, structural, mechanical/HVAC/plumbing/fire protection, electrical, and civil. The 100% Contract Documents submittal shall include CSI Divisions 1 through 16 and MDAD Division 0. The 100% Contract Documents submitted shall also include updates to the Phase 3B Project Probable Construction Cost. These updates shall be based upon the approved size and character of the components of the Project Elements as developed in the approved Phase 3B - 75% Contract Documents. If the Phase 3C Probable Construction Cost indicates that the Project cost shall be more than the approved Phase 3B Probable Construction Cost, no further progress payment will be made until the Architect/Engineer provides an alternate design the Architect/Engineer shall, without additional compensation and without changing the scope of the Project, provide an alternate design to bring the Phase 3C Probable Construction Cost within or below the approved Phase 3B Probable Construction Cost. The Owner may direct the Architect/Engineer to modify, without additional compensation, the 100% Contract Documents to bring the Phase 3C Probable Construction Cost within or below the approved Phase 3B Probable Construction Cost. The Architect/Engineer shall not

proceed with the following Phase 3D Bid Documents until appropriate written approvals and comments on the deliverables for Phase 3C and a Service Order for Phase 3D Basic Services are received from the Owner. All comments shall be addressed in Phase 3D. The Architect/Engineer understands that such approvals, comments and Service Order may be received individually and at different times. For LEED certified projects, the Architect/Engineer shall prepare and include drawings of each discipline identifying the specific elements for LEED points that are required by the technical specifications to meet the necessary criteria. The Project LEED points estimate shall be updated to reflect the Project's Phase 3B refinements to meet or exceed the top three (3) points in the specified LEED certification category.

4.6 PHASE 3D, BID DOCUMENTS

4.6.1 After review by the Owner of the 100% Contract Documents, the Architect/Engineer shall respond to all comments in writing within seven (7) calendar days after receipt of the comments from the Owner, acknowledging acceptance of the comments(s) which will be incorporated into the documents during Phase 3D, and identifying the rejection of those comments not to be incorporated as approved by the Owner.

4.6.1.1 The Architect/Engineer shall assemble and submit a consolidated set of 100% Contract Documents for back-check by the Owner. This set will reflect the revisions required after the 100% review by the Owner.

4.6.1.2 The Architect/Engineer shall recommend and justify to the Owner the overall Project Contract Time, Phasing, Interim Completion Time(s), the amounts of liquidated damages, liquidated indirect costs, and the amount of Allowance Account(s) to be incorporated in the Contract Documents.

4.6.2 Upon final approval of the back-checked Phase 3C - 100% Contract Documents by the Owner and the receipt of a Service Order, the Architect/Engineer shall prepare the Advertisements for Bids, the Bid Forms, and finalize the Contract Documents to a condition suitable for final printing and distribution to prospective bidders. These 100% complete Contract Documents shall be submitted to the Owner for approval.

4.6.3 Project registration with GBCI for certification shall be undertaken or updated, as appropriate.

4.7 PHASE 4 - BIDDING AND AWARD OF CONTRACT

4.7.1 Upon approval of the 100% complete Contract Documents and the issuance of a Service Order by the Owner for the Phase 4 Basic Services, the Architect/Engineer shall furnish the number of bound sets of the 100% complete Contract Documents

(Plans and Project Manuals) as specified in the Service Order for bidding purposes, prior to advertising, or as may otherwise be directed by the Owner by the Service Order. The Architect/Engineer shall assist the Owner in obtaining bids, responding in writing to Bidders' inquiries, preparation and issuance of addenda, evaluation of the Bids and Bidders, and the awarding of a Contract(s) for all or a portion of the Work that was bid pursuant to the Contract Documents. The Architect/Engineer shall also participate in pre-bid conference(s) and attend the Bid opening.

- 4.7.2 The Architect/Engineer shall distribute the Contract Documents to prospective Bidders and to other agencies as required by the Owner, in accordance with current Owner bidding procedures, as such procedures may be amended from time to time. Delivery cost to Bidders shall be paid by the Bidders.
- 4.7.3 The Architect/Engineer shall, with prior approval and authorization by the Owner, develop, print, and distribute addenda and responses to bidder's inquiries.
- 4.7.4 The Architect/Engineer shall: prepare three (3) sets of Contract Documents in hardcopy format, and two (2) sets in a commercially available software, editable electronic format (CD's, DVD's), conformed with Addenda (if any) pasted or included therein for use by the Owner; prepare a tabulation of bids received; analyze the bids; and make an initial recommendation of award. The award of the Contract will be at the sole discretion of the Owner. Such action by the Owner shall not relieve the Architect/Engineer from any responsibility under this Agreement.
- 4.7.5 If the lowest qualified, responsive and responsible bid received exceeds the approved Phase 3C Probable Construction Cost, the Owner may at its discretion:
 - 1. Approve the increase of the cost of the Work that was bid pursuant to the Contract Documents; or
 - 2. Direct the Architect/Engineer to revise the Contract Documents, without changing the scope of the Project, and re-bid the Work included in the revised Contract Documents (in which case the Architect/Engineer shall again perform the work specified herein before, at no additional compensation, except for the reimbursement of the cost of printing of Contract Documents); or
 - 3. Suspend or abandon the Project or any components of the Work included in the Contract Documents.
- 4.7.6 Upon award of the Contract by the Owner and notification from Owner to the Architect/Engineer that the Contract be executed, the Architect/Engineer shall assemble, prepare, and transmit to the Owner six (6) sets of the bidding and Contract Documents, complete with all addenda, forms, and affidavits required by the Contract Documents.

4.8 PHASE 5 - WORK RELATED SERVICES

- 4.8.1 Upon receipt of a Service Order for Phase 5 Work-Related Services, the Architect/Engineer shall provide the Services as set forth herein. The Work-Related Services will begin upon receipt of a Service Order. The Work-Related Services will end when the final request for payment from the Contractor has been approved by the Owner, the Architect/Engineer has submitted its Report of Contract Completion, and the Record Drawings (As-Built Drawings) and has completed all other Services required, including the warranty related services.
- 4.8.2 The Architect/Engineer shall provide the Owner a staffing plan including individual resumes that the Architect/Engineer, including Sub-Consultant(s), intends to use during the Work Related Services for review by the Owner for adequate staffing.
- 4.8.3 The Architect/Engineer shall submit or otherwise affirm that it has submitted all necessary and requested data to GBCI for the attainment of the LEED certification category requested by the Owner.
- 4.8.4 The Architect/Engineer shall approve the overall progress schedule, schedule of shop drawings submissions, schedule of values, and other schedules required of the Contractor under the Contract Documents. The Architect/Engineer shall visit the Work at least once per week, evaluate the work for compliance with the Contract Documents, prepare and submit to the Owner, via the Project Manager with copies to the Field Representative, a detailed written and sequentially-numbered report of the observed conditions of the Work, the progress of the Work, and other Work observations, as found or made during each visit to the Work. Such report shall be submitted to the Owner at least monthly and more frequently on an interim basis if necessary to prevent or mitigate any increase in Project costs or damages to the Owner. The Architect/Engineer will not be held responsible for the means, method, techniques, sequences or procedures used, or for safety precautions and programs, in connection with the Work performed by the Contractor, but shall immediately report to the Owner any observations of conditions which in his judgment would endanger persons or property or which might result in liabilities to the Owner.
- 4.8.5 Appropriately qualified personnel of the Architect/Engineer, including Sub-consultant(s) if appropriate, shall visit the Work at least once per week, unless otherwise specified in the Special Provisions of this Agreement, Service Order and as necessary to fulfill the responsibilities of the Architect/Engineer hereunder and in order to respond to non-routine situations that call for the Architect/Engineer's expertise and /or approval in an expeditious manner. Such personnel shall coordinate with the Field Representative work-site personnel.
- 4.8.6 The A/E shall review and certify the amounts due the Contractor and issue Certificates for Payment in such amounts. The A/E's certification for payment shall constitute a representation to the Owner, based on the A/E's evaluation of the Work and on the data comprising the Contractor's Application for Payment, that the Work has progressed to the point indicated and that, to the best of the A/E's knowledge, information and belief, the quality of the Work is in accordance with Contract Documents. The foregoing representations are subject to minor deviations from

the contract documents correctable prior to completion and to specific qualifications indicated by the A/E. Such certification shall be based on the A/E's review and acceptance of the following:

1. An evaluation of the Work for conformance with the Contract Documents;
 2. The Field Representative's certification of the Contractor's measurements for work satisfactorily completed;
 3. The results of any subsequent test required by the Contract Documents;
 4. The review of as-built drawings to determine completeness and accuracy up to the date of the pay request;
 5. Any specific qualifications stated in the request for payment;
 6. The Field Representative's confirmation of the cost of labor, materials, and equipment for cost-plus work including disputed work;
 7. Compliance with all LEED required activities. The review of the Contractor's LEED Certification Plan for compliance regarding inventory and receipt of action documentation, including but not limited to, construction waste management, use of reduced impact materials, temporary storage of recyclables and discarded items, refrigerants, etc., necessary to attain maximum possible LEED certification points, up to the date of the pay request.
- 4.8.7 The Architect/Engineer shall assist the Project Manager, the Field Representative, and other consultants in reviewing and evaluating all Contractor's claims relating to the cost, execution, and progress of the Work and on all other matters or questions related thereto including, but not limited to, change orders, work orders and potential work orders.
- 4.8.8 The Architect/Engineer shall have authority to require special inspection or testing of any Work questioned as to conformity with the Contract Documents whether or not such Work has been fabricated and delivered to the Project, or installed and completed.
- 4.8.9 The Architect/Engineer shall provide general consultation and advice, interpret the Plans Specifications, and other such Contract Documents in order to clarify the intent of the Architect/Engineer with respect to the contents of the Contract Documents.
- 4.8.10 The Architect/Engineer shall promptly review and approve shop drawings, samples, and other submissions of the Contractor(s) for conformance with the design concept of the Project Element(s) and for compliance with the information

given in the Contract Documents. The Architect/Engineer shall render decisions, issue interpretations, and issue correction orders within the times specified in the Contract Documents or, absent such specification, on such timely basis so as not to delay the progress of Work as depicted in the approved construction schedule.

Should the Architect/Engineer fail to perform these services within the time frames specified in the Contract Documents or, if no time frames are specified, in a timely manner so that such failure causes a delay in the progress of the Work, the Architect/Engineer shall be liable for any damages to the Owner resulting from such delay including, but not limited to, damages related to delays and inefficiencies incurred by the Contractor for which the Owner may be responsible.

4.8.11 The Architect/Engineer shall revise Plans, specifications and other Contract Documents as necessary, shall review Change Orders, Work Orders, Bulletins, and other appropriate documentation prepared by the Field Representative, and shall assist the Project Manager and Owner in negotiations with the Contractor(s) with respect to all changes in the Work. If the need to revise Plans, specifications and other Contract Documents and/or to review Change Orders, Work Orders, Bulletins, and other documentation is a result directly or indirectly of errors, omissions, and/or ambiguities in the Services rendered by the Architect/Engineer, including Sub-consultants, then such work shall be provided by the Architect/Engineer at no additional cost to the Owner.

4.8.12 The Architect/Engineer's Services for Substantial Completion and Final Acceptance shall include, but not be limited to, the following:

4.8.12.1 Inspections for Substantial Completion for all or a portion of the Work:

The Architect/Engineer shall, prior to Substantial Completion of the Work, inspect the Work with the Field Representative, to determine initial Punch List items and to ensure that all mechanical/electrical/plumbing systems have been commissioned in accordance with the requirements of the Contract Documents. The Architect/Engineer shall re-inspect the work with the Field Representative as many times thereafter as is needed to establish a time of Substantial Completion. The Architect/Engineer shall review each edition of the Punch List before it is issued by the Field Representative. Each edition of the Punch List will be distributed by the Field Representative after review by the Architect/Engineer. Any User contributions to the Punch List shall be only as approved by Owner. Punch Lists shall record:

1. Defects observed in the Work and incomplete commissioning in first and succeeding visits;
2. Defects corrected (recorded by striking items from the punch list or by identifying items as corrected).

4.8.12.2 Contractor's Closeout Submittals and Actions: The Architect/Engineer

shall review the Field Representative's record of closeout submittals and actions for concurrence.

- 4.8.12.3 Determination of Substantial Completion: When the Punch List of defective items has been reduced to the point at which, in the judgment of the Architect/Engineer and Field Representative, the Work can be immediately utilized for its intended purpose, division of responsibility for carryover items from the Contractor to the Owner has been set forth, and all Punch List items are judged to be capable of completion in not more than 60 days or such other time as may otherwise be approved by the Owner, upon recommendation by the Field Representative, the

Architect/Engineer shall review, concur, and upon approval by the Owner, set the date of Substantial Completion.

- 4.8.12.4 Certificate of Occupancy: If a Certificate of Occupancy is required on this project, the Architect/Engineer and Field Representative shall not certify the Work as substantially complete until a Certificate of Occupancy has been issued in accordance with the Florida Building Code.

- 4.8.12.5 Determination That the Work Is Not Substantially Complete: If the required submittals and actions by the Contractor are deficient, or if in the judgment of the Field Representative and/or the Architect/Engineer the Work will not be ready for final acceptance within the time parameters specified herein, the Architect/Engineer shall notify the Project Manager, the Field Representative and the Contractor in writing that Substantial Completion cannot be declared, and include a list of deficient Contractor's submittals, deficient Contractor's actions, defective or incomplete items in the Work, and any other supporting reasons the Field Representative and/or the Architect/Engineer may wish to state.

- 4.8.12.6 Retainage for Uncompleted Work: The Architect/Engineer shall review and concur with the Field Representative's recommendation of an amount that will ensure that the Owner can employ other contractors to complete each item of work in the event of the Contractor's failure to complete. Upon approval by the Owner, this retainage for uncompleted work shall be deducted from the retainage amount otherwise due the Contractor at the time of Substantial Completion. Retainage for uncompleted work will not be paid until the Contractor completes all uncompleted items.

- 4.8.12.7 Final Acceptance: When, in the judgment of the Field Representative and the Architect/Engineer the Work is complete, the date of Final Acceptance shall be set by the Owner.

- 4.8.13 The Architect/Engineer's Services after Final Acceptance shall include, but not be limited to, the following:

- 4.8.13.1 The Architect/Engineer shall furnish to the Owner at the Architect/Engineer's expense a final, complete, and fully updated record set of documents. The record drawings shall be submitted in the

following formats:

A. Two (2) sets of 30" x 42" Electrostatic black line prints;
and

B. Two (2) sets of electronic drawings:

Based on submission date the .DWG version must be within two years of the AutoCad version currently being shipped. Any of the following transmission methods are acceptable: CD, DVD, or external hard drive.

In compliance with the MDAD Technical Support Facility Management Layering System requirements in DWG compiled format, not X-REF. Please refer to the MDAD CAD Standards Guidelines (MDAD Design Guidelines Manual available through the MDAD Project Manager.

If manual drafting was approved by the Owner, the record drawings shall be scanned into an electronic TIFF or CAL file format: or

C. Building Information Modeling (BIM) – To the extent authorized by Service Order, the A/E shall employ the use of BIM technology utilizing Autodesk Revit software.

The record drawings shall be presented to the Project Manager for transmittal of one copy of each format, through the Commissioning Team, to the designated representatives of the MDAD Division of Technical Support.

4.8.13.2 The complete set of Record Drawings shall include all pertinent shop drawings as well as the Plans included in the Contract Documents as adjusted to comply with the as-built Work. The Architect/Engineer shall verify that all Record Drawings prepared by the Contractor are prepared in a manner that will ensure clarity of line work, notes, and dimensions. The Architect/Engineer shall provide a certification of the quality of all equipment and systems that are a part of the finished work.

4.8.13.3 The Architect/Engineer shall furnish to the Owner in an electronic data base (Microsoft Excel 2000 or higher) an index, summary, and copies of all warranty documents required to be furnished by the Contractor under the consolidated Contract Documents. The Field Representative and Contractor will be responsible for providing an index and summary list of the equipment by serial number and indicate for each the warranties, the term, conditions, and the purported legal enforcement and recourse rights of the Owner as indicated by the language in the Warranty. This list shall be reviewed by the Architect/Engineer.

4.8.13.4 To the extent authorized by Service Order, the Architect/Engineer shall inspect the entire Project thirty (30) days prior to the expiration of the warranties. The Architect/Engineer shall report its findings to the Owner sufficiently prior to the end of the warranty period to enable the Owner to issue an action report to the Contractor prior to the expiration of the warranty period. The Architect/Engineer's report shall be complete with specific recommendations covering any portion of the Work to be repaired or replaced.

4.8.13.5 In addition to the requirements set forth above, the Architect/Engineer shall perform those duties of the Architect/Engineer as set forth in the Contract Documents.

4.8.13.6 LEED Certification Documents: The A/E shall furnish to the Owner copies of the LEED Certification Documents necessary to obtain the required LEED certification category. The format of the documentation shall be as required by the certifying body, and shall encompass such necessary design, material selection process, Plans and details Contractor's purchasing criteria, proof of purchase locations, site practice requirements and implementation documentation, and list(s) of qualifying elements. This material shall be organized, reviewed, and approved by the A/E as adequate in form and substance for submittal to the certifying body. The A/E shall also make the application to the certifying body for certification, for review and submittal to the certifying body.

4.9. MEETINGS AND REPORTS

4.9.1. Meetings: As part of providing the Basic Services, the Architect/Engineer shall attend all meetings wherein information relating to the Basic Services is discussed, and shall provide consultation to the Owner regarding such information. These meetings shall include, but shall not necessarily be limited to, regularly scheduled monthly meetings concerning design coordination, and such other meetings, whether regularly scheduled or specially called, as may be necessary to enable the Architect/Engineer to coordinate his Services with, and provide information to and/or obtain information from, the Owner, its consultants and contractors, and all others with whom coordination or liaison must take place in order to fulfill the intent and purposes of this Agreement and the Contract Documents. Unless otherwise directed by the Owner, the Architect/Engineer shall prepare and disseminate in a timely manner meeting notices and agenda, briefing materials, meeting minutes, meeting reports, etc., appropriate to such meetings.

4.9.2. Reports: In addition to any specific reports called for elsewhere in this Agreement, the Architect/Engineer shall submit to the Owner a monthly progress report of the status and/or results of all Services required to be performed under this Agreement. This Report shall be submitted with the invoice for Services performed during the corresponding period. Each report shall include but not be limited to: a brief narrative the progress made during the previous month and the estimated incremental and total percentages of each assigned Project Element which have

been completed; any problem(s) encountered during the month and any actions taken to solve or alleviate the problem(s); any changes which may have occurred in the projected dates of the events; a statement from the Architect/Engineer as to each Project Element that the Project is either on schedule or the Project Element is not on schedule and should the latter be stated, then the Architect/Engineer shall also state the length of delay and the reasons for the delay. The Architect/Engineer shall explicitly state recommendations for alleviating the delay and in subsequent monthly progress reports state whether or not the delay has been alleviated. Such report shall also relate the aggregate services performed to the total compensation paid and payable to the Architect/Engineer hereunder for each Phase of the Basic Service as set forth in the corresponding invoice for payment.

4.9.2.1 Status of Project LEED elements and requisite documentation shall be stated, along with recommendations to correct noted problems or deficiencies.

4.9.3. Partnering: the Owner has committed itself to the practice of partnering, a team commitment to create an environment in which design and construction differences are dealt openly, with members of the design and construction team taking responsibility for timely and cost-conscious performance. The process will start with key participants of the Project team, including Architect/Engineer's personnel, attending a Partnering Meeting to establish terms of the partnering agreement. The meeting will enable the Project team to establish methods of issue/conflict resolution, delegate authority for decision making to the lowest possible level, and develop a continuous evaluation process. Follow-up meetings with the facilitator will be held as necessary during the construction to spur the Project's on-schedule completion.

ARTICLE 5

ADDITIONAL AND WORK-SITE SERVICES

- 5.1 AUTHORIZATION: Any Services beyond the requirements for Basic Services shall be performed by the Architect/Engineer upon receipt of a Service Order issued by the Owner. The Owner reserves the right to have any or all of the Services listed below performed by consultants other than the Architect/Engineer. The Architect/Engineer shall have no claim to any of these Services except as authorized by the Owner with a Service Order.
- 5.2 ADDITIONAL SERVICES: Additional Services listed below are beyond the requirements for Basic Services under this Agreement and shall be performed upon receipt of a Service Order.
- 5.2.1 Special analyses of the needs of the Owner related to financial feasibility, or other special studies not otherwise necessary for the satisfactory performance of the Basic Services.
- 5.2.2 Incorporation of any User recommendations, as approved by the Owner, into drawings subsequent to Phase 2.
- 5.2.3 Any Services after Owner's acceptance of Phase 2 documents by the Owner relative to future facilities, systems, and equipment but not intended to be included in the Contract Documents.
- 5.2.4 Services with respect to verification of Owner-supplied information that cannot be made visually or by careful review of the available information, but which requires extraordinary investigation, such as excavation, demolition or removal, as well as investigations and the development of additional information, as agreed to by the Owner, required as a result of deficiencies in the as-built conditions, utility information, survey information and/or soils investigation which are deemed necessary to provide a satisfactory basis on which to perform the Basic Services.
- If any independent engineering, testing laboratory or surveyor is employed by the Architect/Engineer to perform any or all of the requested additional services, the Architect/Engineer shall obtain the Owner's approval of the use of and the fees for such independent engineering, testing laboratory or surveyor prior to commencing such work. Verification of the work performed by such Sub-consultant(s) and the cost associated therewith shall be the sole responsibility of the Architect/Engineer and not compensable by the Owner.
- 5.2.5 Extra work required, as directed by the Owner, to break the Project into more bidding packages than specified herein, including making studies and advising the Owner of the number and type of construction contracts, taking into consideration phasing and coordination of work with the Contractors, cost impact, and the requirements and needs of the Owner and Users (if applicable).

- 5.2.6 Meetings with federal and/or state grant providing agencies required to assist the Owner in obtaining grant funding for the Project.
 - 5.2.7 Extended assistance requested in writing by the Owner for the preparation of operating and maintenance manuals, other than those provided by the Contractor, subcontractors, or manufacturer, in accordance with the Contract Documents.
 - 5.2.8 Consultation concerning replacement of any work damaged by fire or other disaster during construction, and professional services in connection with replacement of such work.
 - 5.2.9 Preparing to serve or serving as an expert witness at the request and on behalf of the Owner, in connection with the Project or any Project Element or component thereof, except in situations where such service is a result of the Architect/Engineer's errors, omissions, or ambiguities.
 - 5.2.10 Professional services required after acceptance of the Work by the Owner except as otherwise required under Basic Services.
 - 5.2.11 Professional services made necessary by the default of the Contractor or by major defects in work performed under the construction Contract that have not resulted from errors, omissions, or ambiguities of the Architect/Engineer.
 - 5.2.12 Environmental services beyond that which is required to verify Owner-supplied information or that is beyond the scope of the Basic Services herein.
 - 5.2.13 Environmental Remediation engineering services. These services will be negotiated, authorized, and paid as Additional Services; however, the incorporation of standard details and/or technical specifications provided by the Owner into the Contract Documents does not constitute Additional Services.
 - 5.2.14 Services required to participate in, or otherwise assist the Owner during bid protests or negotiations with the bidder(s) after bid opening but before the award of the Contract with the Contractor.
 - 5.2.15 Preparation of reports, which are not a requirement of Basic Services, and participation in meetings during construction, should the Owner elect not to take the option for Work-Site Services; provided, however, that such meetings and reports are not a result, directly or indirectly, of errors, omissions, and/or ambiguities in the services rendered by the Architect/Engineer, including Sub-consultants engaged by the Architect/Engineer.
- 5.3 WORK-SITE SERVICES: At the sole option of the Owner and after receipt of a Service Order specifically authorizing such Services, the A/E shall provide Work-Site Services as set forth herein. In discharging such Services, the Architect/Engineer shall provide an on-site resident Field Representative(s) approved by the Owner who shall act as the agent of the Architect/Engineer. The Work-Site Services shall be defined by Service Order,

performed in accordance with the MDAD Construction Inspection Services Manual, and agreed to by the Architect/Engineer and the Owner.

The Architect/Engineer shall fulfill all other requirements and duties, not a part of the Basic Services, imposed on the Architect/Engineer by the Contract Documents or through Service Order by direction of the Owner.

Should the Architect/Engineer fail to perform these Work-Site Services in a timely manner and cause a delay in the progress of the Work, the Architect/Engineer shall be responsible for any resulting damages to the Owner.

ARTICLE 6

REIMBURSABLE EXPENSES

The following activities and entities may be considered as Reimbursable Expenses under this Agreement. Any Reimbursable Expenses shall be approved by the Owner in advance and authorized by a Service Order.

- 6.1 Sub-consultants not included as part of the original Consultants team, when recommended by the Architect/Engineer, and approved by the Owner in writing, and when in the opinion of the Architect/Engineer, said Sub-consultant services are necessary of the accomplishment of the Services.
- 6.2 In the event the Architect/Engineer is assigned a project within the Customs area and the Architect/Engineer is required to obtain an Airport Customs Security Bond, the Department shall reimburse the Architect/Engineer the cost of the premium for such bond, as substantiated by the invoice.
- 6.3 All printing and reproduction costs, in excess of that required under Basic Services. Such costs will be reimbursed at the same rate paid by the Owner to its vendors. Printing costs for internal coordination, reviews, and other in-house uses will not be reimbursed.
- 6.4 Living and traveling expenses of employees and principals, when away from Miami-Dade County on business in conjunction with authorized Additional Services, as limited by Miami-Dade County Administrative Order No. 6-1, "Travel on County Business" and County Resolution No. R-1345-03. For purpose of this Agreement, all personnel are assumed to be residents of Miami-Dade County and all travel would originate in Miami-Dade County. Records must include employee name, dates, points of travel, mileage rate, lodging, and meals.
- 6.5 Fees paid to the certifying body for LEED project registration and certification will be reimbursed at the Green Building Certification Institute (GBCI) member rates. All LEED expedited project reviews will be approved in advance by the Owner.
- 6.6 Building Information Modeling (BIM) software license fees for license obtained under the Owner's name will be reimbursed.

ARTICLE 7

EQUAL EMPLOYMENT OPPORTUNITY AND AFFIRMATIVE ACTION

- 7.1 **EQUAL EMPLOYMENT OPPORTUNITY:** The Architect/Engineer shall not discriminate against any employee or applicant for employment because of race, color, national origin, religion, ancestry, sex, pregnancy, age, disability, marital status, familial status, sexual orientation, gender identity or gender expression or status as victim of domestic violence, dating violence or stalking, nor in accordance with the Americans with Disabilities Act, discriminate against any otherwise qualified employees or applicants for employment with disabilities who can perform the essential functions of the job with or without reasonable accommodation. The Architect/Engineer shall take affirmative actions to ensure that applicants are employed and that employees are treated during their employment without regard to race, color, national origin, religion, ancestry, sex, pregnancy, age, disability, marital status, familial status, sexual orientation, gender identity or gender expression or status as victim of domestic violence, dating violence or stalking. Such actions include, but are not limited to, the following: Employment, upgrading, transfer or demotion, recruitment, recruitment advertising, layoff or termination, rates of pay or other forms of compensation, and selection for training including apprenticeship.

The Architect/Engineer agrees to post in conspicuous places, available to employees and applicants for employment, notices provided by the County setting forth the provisions of this Equal Employment Opportunity clause. The Architect/Engineer shall comply with all applicable provisions of the Civil Rights Act of 1964, Executive Order 11246 of September 24, 1965, as amended by Executive order 11375, revised Order No. 4 of December 1, 1971, as amended, and the Americans with Disabilities Act. The Age Discrimination in Employment Act effective June 12, 1968, the rules, regulations and relevant orders of the Secretary of Labor, Florida Statutes 112.041, 112.042, 112.043 and Miami-Dade County Code Section 11A1 through 13A1, Articles 3 and 4.

The Architect/Engineer shall assign responsibility to one of its officials to develop procedures that will ensure that the policies of Equal Employment Opportunity and Affirmative Action are understood and implemented.

- 7.2 **NONDISCRIMINATORY ACCESS TO PREMISES AND SERVICES:** The Architect/Engineer, for itself, its personal representatives, successors in interest, and assigns, as part of the consideration hereof, does hereby covenant and agree as a covenant that: (1) no person on the grounds of race, color, national origin, religion, ancestry, sex, pregnancy, age, disability, marital status, familial status, sexual orientation, gender identity or gender expression or status as victim of domestic violence, dating violence or stalking shall be excluded from participation in, denied the benefits of, or be otherwise subjected to discrimination in the use of the premises, including the construction of any improvements, or services provided the Architect/Engineer; (2) that the Architect/Engineer shall use the Airport in compliance with all other requirements imposed by or pursuant to Title 49, Code of Federal Regulations, Department of Transportation, Subtitle A, Office of the Secretary, Part 21, Nondiscrimination in Federally-assisted programs of the Department of Transportation-Effectuation of Title VI of the Civil Rights Act of 1964, and as said

Regulations may be amended; (3) the Architect/Engineer shall use the premises in compliance with all other requirements imposed by or pursuant to the enforceable regulations of the Department of Transportation as amended from time to time; and (4) the Architect/Engineer shall obligate their sub-consultants to the same nondiscrimination requirements imposed on the Architect/Engineer and assure said requirements are included in those sub-agreements.

7.3 BREACH OF NONDISCRIMINATION COVENANTS: In the event it has been determined that the Architect/Engineer has breached any enforceable nondiscrimination covenants contained in Section 7.1 Equal Employment Opportunity and Section 7.2 Nondiscriminatory Access to Premises and Services above, pursuant to the complaint procedures contained in the applicable Federal regulations, and the Architect/Engineer fails to comply with the sanctions and/or remedies which have been prescribed, the County shall have the right to terminate this Agreement pursuant to the Termination of Agreement section hereof.

7.4 NONDISCRIMINATION: During the performance of this Agreement, the Architect/Engineer agrees as follows: The Architect/Engineer shall, in all solicitations or advertisements for employees placed by or on behalf of the Architect/Engineer, state that all qualified applicants will receive consideration for employment without regard to race, color, national origin, religion, ancestry, sex, pregnancy, age, disability, marital status, familial status, sexual orientation, gender identity or gender expression or status as victim of domestic violence, dating violence or stalking. The Architect/Engineer shall furnish all information and reports required by Executive order 11246 of September 24, 1965, as amended by Executive order 11375 and by rules, regulations, and orders of the Secretary of labor, or pursuant thereto, and will permit access to Architect/Engineer books, records, accounts by the County and Compliance Review Agencies for purposes of investigation to ascertain by the compliance with such rules, regulations, and orders. In the event of the Architect/Engineer's noncompliance with the nondiscrimination clauses of this Agreement or with any of the said rules, regulations, and orders, this Agreement may be cancelled, terminated, or suspended in whole or in part in accordance with the Termination of Agreement section hereof and the Architect/Engineer may be declared ineligible for further contracts in accordance with procedures authorized in Executive Order 11246 of September 24, 1965, as amended by Executive Order 11375 and such sanctions as may be imposed and remedies invoked as provided in Executive Order 11375 and such sanctions as may be imposed and remedies invoked as provided in Executive Order 11246 as amended or by rules, regulations, and orders of the Secretary of Labor, or as otherwise provided by law.

The Architect/Engineer will include Section 7.1 Equal Employment Opportunity and Section 7.2 Nondiscriminatory Access to Premises and Services of this Article in Architect/Engineer sub-contracts in excess of \$10,000.00, unless exempted by rules, regulations or orders of the Secretary of Labor issued pursuant to Section 204 of Executive Order 11246 of September 24, 1965, as amended by Executive Order 11375, so that such provisions will be binding upon each sub-consultant.

The Architect/Engineer shall take such action with respect to any subcontract as the County may direct as a means of enforcing such provisions, including sanctions for noncompliance, provided, however, that in the event the Architect/Engineer becomes involved in, or is threatened with, litigation with a sub-consultant as the result of such direction by the County or by the United States, the Architect/Engineer may request the United States to enter into such litigation to protect the interests of the United States.

7.5 DISABILITY NONDISCRIMINATION AFFIDAVIT: By entering into this Agreement with the County and signing the Disability Nondiscrimination Affidavit, the Architect/Engineer attests that it is not in violation of the Americans with Disabilities Act of 1990 (and related Acts) or Miami-Dade County Resolution No. R-385-95. If the Architect/Engineer or any owner, subsidiary or other firm affiliated with or related to the Architect/Engineer is found by the responsible enforcement officer of the Courts or the County to be in violation of the Act or the Resolution, such violation shall render this Contract terminable in accordance with the Termination of Agreement section hereof. This Contract shall be void if the Architect/Engineer submits a false affidavit pursuant to this Resolution or the Architect/Engineer violated the Act or the Resolution during the term of this Contract, even if the Architect/Engineer was not in violation at the time it submitted its affidavit.

7.6 NOTICE OF REQUIREMENT FOR AFFIRMATIVE ACTION TO ENSURE EQUAL EMPLOYMENT OPPORTUNITY:

1. The Offeror's or Bidder's attention is called to the "Equal Opportunity Clause" and the "Standard Federal Equal Employment Opportunity Construction Contract Specifications" set forth herein.
2. The goals and timetables for minority and female participation, expressed in percentage terms for the contractor's aggregate workforce in each trade on all construction work in the covered area, are as follows:

Timetables

Goals for minority participation for each trade: [sponsor must insert established goal]

Goals for female participation in each trade: 6.9%

These goals are applicable to all of the contractor's construction work (whether or not it is Federal or federally-assisted) performed in the covered area. If the contractor performs construction work in a geographical area located outside of the covered area, it shall apply the goals established for such geographical area where the work is actually performed. With regard to this second area, the contractor also is subject to the goals for both its federally involved and non-federally involved construction.

The Contractor's compliance with the Executive Order and the regulations in 41 CFR Part 60-4 shall be based on its implementation of the Equal Opportunity Clause, specific affirmative action obligations required by the specifications set forth in 41 CFR 60-4.3(a), and its efforts to meet the goals. The hours of minority

and female employment and training must be substantially uniform throughout the length of the contract, and in each trade, and the contractor shall make a good faith effort to employ minorities and women evenly on each of its projects. The transfer of minority or female employees or trainees from Contractor to Contractor or from project to project for the sole purpose of meeting the Contractor's goals shall be a violation of the contract, the Executive Order and the regulations in 41 CFR Part 60-4. Compliance with the goals will be measured against the total work hours performed.

3. The Contractor shall provide written notification to the Director of the Office of Federal Contract Compliance Programs (OFCCP) within 10 working days of award of any construction subcontract in excess of \$10,000 at any tier for construction work under the contract resulting from this solicitation. The notification shall list the name, address, and telephone number of the subcontractor; employer identification number of the subcontractor; estimated dollar amount of the subcontract; estimated starting and completion dates of the subcontract; and the geographical area in which the subcontract is to be performed.

4. As used in this notice and in the contract resulting from this solicitation, the "covered area" is [sponsor must insert state, county, and city].

7.7 CONTRACT MEASURES: The Consultant is required under this Agreement to achieve a Disadvantaged Business Enterprise (DBE) Goal in accordance with the Contract Measures applied to this Project as shown in the "Special Provisions" of this Agreement and the attached Contract Participation Form, DBE Utilization Form and Letters of Intent (Exhibit 5) as presented in the Consultant's Proposal for the Project.

The Director may declare the Consultant in default of this agreement for failure of the Consultant to comply with the requirements of this paragraph.

ARTICLE 8

COMPENSATION FOR SERVICES

The Owner agrees to pay to the Architect/Engineer and the Architect/Engineer agrees to accept for all Services rendered pursuant to this Agreement, the amounts determined in accordance with this Article. No payment will be made to the Architect/Engineer for work performed without a Service Order.

All allocations of money between Basic, Work-Site, Work-Related, and Additional Services are for budgetary purposes only. The County, in issuing service orders, may transfer monies between such service categories without restraint, subject to the overall contract allocation for this Agreement. No payment will be made to the Architect/Engineer for work performed without a Service Order. The Architect/Engineer agrees that all such services can be provided within the awarded amount of this Agreement.

8.1 COMPENSATION FOR SERVICES:

Unless otherwise authorized by Amendment to this Agreement, aggregate payments to the Architect/Engineer for Basic Services, Work Site, Work-Related and Additional Services and Reimbursable Expenses performed shall not exceed **FIVE MILLION DOLLARS (\$5,000,000.00)** and shall be disbursed as reflected herein, unless additional payments up to the limits of the Allowance Account have been authorized.

8.2 PAYMENT FOR SERVICES: The fee for Services authorized in accordance with this Agreement shall be computed by one of the following methods as mutually agreed to by the Owner and the Architect/Engineer:

8.2.1 Fixed Lump Sum: Under this compensation basis, the Architect/Engineer agrees to perform specifically described services for an agreed fixed dollar amount of compensation.

8.2.2 Multiple of Direct Salaries: Under this compensation basis, the Architect/Engineer is compensated for the time of personnel engaged directly in performing Services under this Agreement. The compensation to be paid shall consist of the Direct Salaries of such personnel, as reported to the Director of the United States Internal Revenue Services, times a multiple of such Direct Salaries. A not-to-exceed cap for the total fee for assignments given under this compensation basis shall be established prior to the issuance of the initial Services Order.

8.2.3 The Fee to the Architect/Engineer based on a Multiple of Direct Salaries shall be determined as follows:

8.2.3.1 Compensation for the Principal shall be at the flat rate without application of any multiplier of **two hundred dollars (\$200.00)** per hour.

Principal(s) to be paid this rate is/are those listed by name in Exhibit 2 - "Principals of the Architect/Engineer", attached to this Agreement.

Upon mutual agreement between the Owner and the Architect/Engineer, the Principals identified in Exhibit 2 - "Principals of the Architect/Engineer", may be substituted, provided the total number of Principals does not exceed the number of Principals originally listed.

- 8.2.3.1.1 Compensation for all personnel shall either be a direct labor multiplier of 2.8 times the direct salaries for all home office support personnel or a direct labor multiplier of 2.3 times the direct salaries for field office personnel. Unless otherwise changed as provided for hereinafter, the maximum rate of compensation for personnel (excluding the multiple of direct salary) shall be in accordance with the table below, Job Classifications and Maximum Raw Rates. If the Architect/Engineer elects to pay more than the rates listed below, the County is only liable to pay the rates below.

JOB CLASSIFICATION	MAXIMUM FLAT RATES
Principal	*\$200.00
	MAXIMUM RAW RATES
Project Architect (Registered)	\$65.00
Senior Project Manager	\$65.00
Project Manager	\$60.00
Assistant Project Manager	\$50.00
Designer / Project Coordinator	\$50.00
Project Engineer (Registered)	\$65.00
Senior Engineer	\$55.00
Staff Engineer	\$45.00
CADD / Technician	\$40.00
Clerical / Administrative Support	\$30.00
Senior Field Representative	\$55.00
Assistant Field Representative	\$45.00
Field Inspector	\$40.00
Clerical / Administrative Support (Field)	\$30.00

***Hourly Flat Rate**

The maximum rate of compensation for all other office personnel, not listed above, including the multiple of Direct Salaries shall not exceed one

hundred sixty-five dollars (\$165.00) per hour. The Owner reserves the right to adjust the maximum rate.

The maximum rate of compensation for all other field personnel, not listed above, including the multiple of Direct Salaries shall not exceed one hundred twenty-five dollars (\$125.00) per hour. The Owner reserves the right to adjust the maximum rate.

- 8.2.3.2 Compensation for authorized overtime services must be approved in writing by the Owner prior to incurring overtime charges. Employees that are salaried are not required to be paid at time and one half for work over 40 hours. The following formula will be utilized for calculating overtime for salaried employees: Forty (40) hours multiplied by the base pay rate (\$) multiplied by the appropriate multiple (M); plus Hours Worked Beyond Forty (40) Hours During Week (Hrs) multiplied by the pay rate (\$) multiplied by 1.1. Using conventions contained in Microsoft Excel, the equation for this would be:

$$(40*\$*M) + (Hrs*\$*1.1)$$

Employees that are on an hourly basis and are required to be paid at a time and one half overtime rate, the following formula will be utilized for calculating overtime: Hours Worked Beyond Forty (40) Hours during Week (Hrs) multiplied by the premium pay rate (\$*1.5) and then multiplied by 1.1. Using conventions contained in Microsoft Excel, the equation for this would be:

$$(40*\$*M) + (Hrs*\$*1.5*1.1)$$

EXAMPLE

Hours worked during week = 50

Pay rate = \$30/hr.

Multiplier = 2.65

$$(40*30*2.65) + (10*30*1.1) = 3180+330 = \$3510 \text{ or}$$

EXAMPLE

Hours worked during week = 50

Pay rate = \$30/hr.

Multiplier = 2.65

$$(40*30*2.65)+(10*30*1.5*1.1) = 3180+495 = \$3675$$

- 8.2.3.3 Architect/Engineer shall not invoice Owner for charges for office, rent or overhead expenses of any kind, including but not limited to, insurance, telephone (except long distance calls authorized by the

Owner), and utility charges, office/drafting supplies, depreciation of equipment, professional dues, subscriptions, reproduction of drawings and/or specifications for internal use, mailing, stenographic, clerical, nor shall it invoice for other employee time to travel and subsistence not directly related to the Project. The multiple factor set forth above shall cover all such costs pertinent to the Project.

8.2.3.4 When Services are authorized as a Multiple of Direct Salaries, the Architect/Engineer shall submit the names, classification, salary rate per hour, applicable multiple, hours worked, and total charge for all personnel directly engaged on the project.

8.2.4 The Architect/Engineer shall not be entitled to compensation for Phases 3A through 4 (30% Contract Documents through Bidding) for alternates required because of the failure of the Architect/Engineer to design the Project so that it may be constructed within the total established construction budget.

8.2.5 No further progress payment will be made should the Probable Construction Cost of any phase exceed the budget until an alternate design is provided in accordance with Article 4.

8.2.6 Payments of the Phase 5 Work Related Services through Final Acceptance of the Project Fee, shall be made in monthly installments. The amount of each monthly installment payment shall be determined by increasing the Construction Contract Time for completion of all work for the project, as stated in the Service Order in calendar days, by 20% then dividing the calculated number of days by 30 days/month and rounding up to the next integer. This integer will be the number of months over which the Work Related Services Fee will be paid. If the Work is completed on time or ahead of schedule, all remaining Work Related Services through Final Acceptance of the Project monies shall be paid to the architect/Engineer in a lump sum.

8.2.6.1 In the event that Prolonged Period of Work Related Services, Phase 5, of Basic Services becomes necessary, payment for the Prolonged Period of Construction Contract Administration shall be the same amount as the monthly installment payment for Work Related Services through Final Acceptance of the Project. Payments for Prolonged Period of Work Related Services, shall begin once the original contract time has been exceeded by 20% if such extended time is due to no fault of the Architect/Engineer.

8.3 PAYMENT FOR REIMBURSABLE EXPENSES: Reimbursable Expenses as described in Article 6 "Reimbursable Expenses" of this Agreement will be reimbursed by the Owner as verified by appropriate bills, invoices, or statements.

8.4 INVOICES AND METHODS OF PAYMENT: The Architect/Engineer shall submit

monthly to the Project Manager, two (2) copies of a duly certified invoice for payments due on account of the portion(s) of the Services performed and eligible for payment based upon the earned value measurement procedure contained in the DSCMP. A copy of the applicable Service Order shall accompany the original copy of the invoice. The format, content, and submittal date of the invoice shall be as specified by the Project Manager. The Architect/ Engineer shall meet monthly with the Project Manager to verify that the Architect/Engineer's reported progress and earned value is in accordance with the accepted DSCMP. Monthly progress payments will be based on the monthly DSCMP meeting with the Project Manager.

Subsequent to the monthly DSCMP meeting, the Architect/Engineer shall submit its invoice for those services to the Project Manager. The Owner shall make payment in accordance with the provisions of Chapter 218 of the Florida Statutes. However, the Owner may reject the invoice in whole or in part. If rejected, the Owner shall notify the Architect/Engineer in writing, specifying the deficiencies and corrective action required. If the Owner rejects only a part of the invoice, the Owner shall pay the undisputed portion of the invoice on a timely basis. Rejected or partially rejected invoices shall be corrected by the Architect/Engineer and resubmitted to the Project Manager for payment. Resubmitted partially rejected invoices shall separately indicate the previously undisputed amount of the invoice.

- 8.5 PAYMENT TO SUB-CONSULTANTS: All payments to Sub-consultant(s) employed hereunder shall be the sole responsibility of the Architect/Engineer unless otherwise provided for herein or within a Service Order. The Architect/Engineer shall, upon receipt of progress and/or final billing(s) from such Sub-consultant(s) for Services satisfactorily performed incorporate such billing(s) in the manner and to the extent appropriate to the applicable payment basis (es), in the next following invoice submitted by the Architect/Engineer to the Owner. The Architect/Engineer shall not submit invoices that include charges for Services by Sub-consultant(s) unless such Services have been performed satisfactorily and the charges are, in the opinion of the Architect/Engineer, payable to such Sub-consultant(s). The Architect/Engineer shall make all payments to such Sub-consultant(s) promptly following receipt by Architect/Engineer of corresponding payment from the Owner. Prior to any payments to Sub-consultant(s), the Architect/Engineer shall, if requested by the Project Manager, furnish to the Owner a copy of the agreement(s) providing for such payments.
- 8.6 SUB-CONTRACTOR/SUB-CONSULTANT REPORTING: Pursuant to Sections 2-8.1 and 10.34 of the Code of Miami-Dade County, the Architect/Engineer must report to the County the race, gender, and ethnic origin of the owners and employees of its first tier sub-contractors/sub-consultants via the Business Management Workforce System (BMWS) at <http://mdcsbd.gob2g.com>. The race, gender, and ethnic information must be submitted via BMWS as soon as reasonably available and, in any event, prior to final payment under the contract. The Architect/Engineer shall not change or substitute first tier sub-contractors/sub-consultants or direct suppliers or the portions of the contract work to be performed or materials to be supplied from those identified except upon written approval of the County. Additionally, in accordance with Section 2-8.8 of the Code, as a

condition of final payment under a contract, the Architect/Engineer shall identify sub-contractors/sub-consultants used in the work, the amount of each sub-contract, and the amount paid and to be paid to each sub-contractor/sub-consultant via BMWS. The Architect/Engineer shall be responsible for reporting all payments to sub-contractors and sub-consultants must confirm the reported payments, via BMWS, within the specified time frame. In the event that the Architect/Engineer intends to pay less than the subcontract amount, the Architect/Engineer shall deliver to the County a statement explaining the discrepancy or any disputed amount.

- 8.7 CONSEQUENCE FOR NON-PERFORMANCE: Should the Architect/Engineer fail to perform its services within the time frames outlined and such failure causes a delay in the progress of the Work, the Architect/Engineer shall be liable for any damages to the Owner resulting from such delay.
- 8.8 PAYMENT FOR ABANDONED, TERMINATED OR SUSPENDED SERVICES: In the event of termination or suspension of the services or abandonment of a Project Element(s) (including the failure of the Owner to advertise the Contract Documents for bids, or the Owner's failure to award a Contract for the Work on the basis of any such bids received, within the time limits set forth in this agreement) the Architect/Engineer shall be compensated as follows:
- 8.8.1 Payment for Services completed and approved prior to receipt by the Architect/Engineer of notice of abandonment of a Project Element, termination, or suspension, for which payment has not yet been made to the Architect/Engineer by the Owner, shall be made in the same manner as would have been required had such abandonment of a Project Element, termination or suspension not occurred
- 8.8.2 For Services partially completed and satisfactorily performed prior to receipt by the Architect/Engineer of notice of abandonment of a Project Element, termination, or suspension, the Architect/Engineer shall be compensated on the basis of payment in same manner as would have been required had such abandonment of a Project Element, termination or suspension not occurred, adjusted to the level of completion portion of the service. A claim by the Architect/Engineer for compensation shall be supported by such data as the Owner may reasonably require. In no case shall fees for partially completed Services exceed the fees that would have been paid for such Services had they not been abandoned, terminated or suspended.
- 8.8.3 Upon payment to the Architect/Engineer for Service associated with abandoned, terminated, or suspended Project Elements in accordance with this Article, the Architect/Engineer shall have no further claim for Services related to the abandoned, terminated, or suspended Project Elements.

- 8.8.4 No payment shall be made by the Owner to the Architect/Engineer for loss of anticipated profit(s) from any abandoned, terminated, or suspended Project Elements.
- 8.9 MAXIMUM PAYABLE ALLOWANCE ACCOUNT: The aggregate sum of all payments to the Architect/Engineer for Allowance Account Services payable on this Project shall not exceed **FIVE HUNDRED THOUSAND DOLLARS (\$500,000.00)**. Any Allowance Account expenses shall be approved by the Owner in advance and authorized by a Service Order. Any portion of this sum for which payment is not authorized in writing by the Project Manager shall remain the property of Owner.
- 8.10 INSPECTOR GENERAL AUDIT ACCOUNT: An audit account is hereby established to pay for mandatory random audits by the County's Inspector General pursuant to County Code Section 2-1076. The amount for the Inspector General Audit Account is hereby set at **THIRTEEN THOUSAND SEVEN HUNDRED FIFTY DOLLARS (\$13,750.00)**. The Consultant shall have no entitlement to any of these funds. The Owner retains all rights to these funds, may expend these funds at its sole discretion, and any funds not expended from these audit accounts remain the property of the County.
- 8.11 TOTAL AUTHORIZED AMOUNT FOR THIS AGREEMENT: The Total Authorized Amount for this Agreement is **FIVE MILLION FIVE HUNDRED THIRTEEN THOUSAND SEVEN HUNDRED FIFTY DOLLARS (\$5,513,750.00)**. The Owner retains all rights to these funds, may expend these funds at its sole discretion, and any funds not expended from this authorized agreement amount remain the property of the Owner.
- 8.12 MAXIMUM PAYABLE FOR REIMBURSABLE EXPENSES: The aggregate sum of all payments to the Architect/Engineer for Reimbursable Expenses are included in Sub-article 8.1 and during the Term of this Agreement.

ARTICLE 9

SPECIAL PROVISIONS

- 9.1 At any time during the term of this Agreement Owner can require the Architect/Engineer provide Project Specific Professional Liability Insurance in the amount of \$1,000,000 (or such other amount as may be specified in these Special Provisions) per claim to last the life of the Project plus three (3) years. The premium for this coverage shall be reimbursed to the Architect/Engineer in accordance with Article 6 "Reimbursable Expenses" of this Agreement.
- 9.2 Pursuant to Article 2.1, the Architect/Engineer shall be furnished with the following documents, or access thereto, as referenced in Basic Services: Design Guidelines Manual, Design Deliverables Requirements Manual, Construction Inspection Manual,
- 9.3 Pursuant to Article 3.28, the Architect/Engineer shall meet the LEED certification category indicated in the Service Order.
- 9.4 The Architect/Engineer shall consider the future impacts of sea level rise that may be addressed during design considerations.
- 9.5 Pursuant to Article 4.2, the Architect/Engineer shall submit, for each Service Order, a DSCMP in Excel, Microsoft Project, or Primavera format and shall include, among other things, proposed durations, from authorization to proceed, for each applicable phase.
- Phase 1A Program Verification
 - Phase 1B Preparation of Schematic Design Documents
 - Phase 2 Design Development
 - Phase 3A 30% Complete Contract Documents
 - Phase 3B 75% Complete Contract Documents
 - Phase 3C 100% Complete Contract Documents
 - Phase 3D Bid Documents
- 9.6 Pursuant to Article 4.2.1, the Architect/Engineer shall furnish or cause to be furnished architectural services; engineering services, including all civil, structural, electrical, mechanical, plumbing, HVAC, and fire protection; interior design; signage and graphics; maintenance of traffic; safety plans; environmental, including removal and disposal of contaminated soils/water, asbestos abatement, erosion controls, Storm Water Pollution Prevention Plan (SWPPP) provisions, and preliminary application for the dewatering permits; lighting; communications; landscape design; industrial design; people movers; baggage conveyors; as well as related other apron facilities; services related to passenger boarding bridges, fueling, Preconditioned Air, 400HZ, Visual Guidance Docking Systems (VGDS); simulation and Building Information Modeling (BIM).
- 9.7 The A/E shall be responsible for all transportation to, from and within the project site for all services at no additional cost to the owner.

9.8 Pursuant to Article 7.7, the contract measures for this Agreement is:

Disadvantaged Business Enterprise (DBE) 28.5% Goal

9.9 The deduction of one quarter (1/4) of one (1) percent from each progress payment to pay for the functions of the Office of Inspector General is inapplicable because this Contract is either financed by aviation revenue bonds or funded by aviation revenue, which are subject to federal regulations.

9.10 ORGANIZATIONAL CONFLICT OF INTEREST:

1. Policy

It is the policy of the County, implemented through this section, to identify, analyze and address organizational conflicts of interest that might otherwise exist in order to maintain the public's trust in the integrity and fairness of the County's contracting for the Terminal Optimization Program ("the Program") and to protect the business interests of the County, thereby safeguarding public dollars. This policy shall be supplemental to and not in derogation of any other requirements of law relating to conflicts of interest including, but not limited to, the County's Code of Ethics.

2. Definitions

Organizational conflict of interest situation in which the Architect/Engineer : (a) under this Agreement, or any part thereof, including a particular work order or defined task, is required to exercise judgment to assist the County in a matter such as in drafting specifications or assessing another consultant's or contractor's proposal or performance and the Architect/Engineer has a direct or indirect financial or other interest at stake in the matter, so that a reasonable person might have concern that when performing work under the contract, the Architect/Engineer may be improperly influenced by its own interests rather than the best interest of the County, or (b) would have an unfair competitive advantage in a County competitive solicitation as a result of having performed work on this Agreement that puts the consultant in a position to influence the result of the solicitation.

Affiliates: business concerns are affiliates of each other when either directly or indirectly one concern or individual controls or has the power to control another, or when a third party controls or has the power to control both.

Sub-consultants: firms under contract with the Architect/Engineer under this Agreement.

3. Certification of no organizational conflict of interest

The Architect/Engineer: (a) execution of this Agreement or any work order and/or (b) making a claim for payment under this Agreement, constitutes the Architect/Engineer's certification to the County that the Architect/Engineer or its subconsultants do not have knowledge of any organizational conflicts of interest that exists in performing the work under this Agreement. False certifications may be considered a material breach of the Agreement and the Architect/Engineer may be liable to the County for a false claim under the County's false claim ordinance. At any time during the performance of the

Agreement, the County may require the Architect/Engineer to execute an express written certification that after diligent inquiry the Architect/Engineer does not have knowledge of any organizational conflict of interest. The County may also require the Architect/Engineer to set forth in writing the scope of the inquiry conducted to make the express certification. Failure to make diligent inquiry, to disclose a known conflict or potential conflict, or to execute the documents required to be produced may be considered a material breach of the contract and may disqualify the Architect/Engineer or its subcontractors from award of other County professional service agreements.

4. Identification of organizational conflict of interest

The Architect/Engineer and its subconsultants shall be obligated to disclose to the County any organizational conflict of interest which may exist or arise during the performance of this Agreement, or the potential for such conflicts to occur, immediately upon the discovery of such actual or potential conflict. The disclosure shall be in writing, addressed to the Director or his designee. The disclosure shall identify the organizational conflict of interest with sufficient detail for the County's analysis and shall propose a method to address the same. Such disclosure shall be simultaneously reported to the Office of the Inspector General (OIG) and the Commission on Ethics and Public Trust (COE). The Architect/Engineer /subconsultants' failure to identify an organizational conflict of interest, or to disclose the same to the County in the manner set forth in this Section, may be considered a material breach of the Agreement. In addition, in any subsequent solicitation for professional services for which the Architect/Engineer or its subconsultants compete for award, the Architect/Engineer and/or its subconsultants shall identify and address any potential organizational conflict of interest as between that solicitation and this Agreement or the work hereunder, particularly in those instances where the Architect/Engineer offers to use the same sub-consultants which may be primes or sub-consultants in other Program contracts where such use is not specifically prohibited by the advance restrictions set forth in this policy. The potential for organizational conflicts of interest, and the methodology offered to prevent organizational conflicts of interest, may be evaluated by the County as a criterion for selection as set forth in the applicable competitive solicitation documents.

5. Addressing organizational conflicts of interest

The County will analyze and address organizational conflicts of interest on a case-by-case basis, because such conflicts arise in various, and often unique, factual settings. The Director of MDAD, subject to the approval of the Executive Director of the Commission on Ethics or his designee, shall make the decision of how to address an organizational conflict of interest. The Executive Director of the Commission on Ethics or his designee shall render its determination promptly to avoid impacting the Program. The County shall consider the specific facts and circumstances of the situation and the nature and potential extent of the risks associated with an organizational conflict of interest when determining what method or methods of addressing the conflict will be appropriate. When an organizational conflict of interest is such that it risks impairing the integrity of the Program, then the County must take action to substantially reduce or eliminate those risks. If the only risk created by an organizational conflict of interest is a performance risk relating to the County's

business interests, then the County shall have broader discretion in accepting some or all of the performance risk, but only when the potential harm to the County's interest is outweighed by the expected benefit from having the conflicted Architect/Engineer perform the Agreement. The County shall balance risks created by any organization conflict of interest against potential impacts to the Community Business Enterprise community in analyzing the appropriate method of addressing any organizational conflict of interest. Notwithstanding the preceding, the County's decision as to the existence of, and/or remedy for, any organizational conflict of interest shall be wholly binding on the Architect/Engineer, and shall be made in the County's sole and complete discretion.

6. Measures to address organizational conflicts of interest

The measure, or combination of measures, which may be appropriate to address an organizational conflict of interest, if any, shall be decided by the Director of MDAD and include, but are not limited to: (a) avoidance of risk through reduction of subjectivity in the analysis or by defining work tasks and deliverables with specificity, (b) requiring the Architect/Engineer and/or its sub-consultants to implement structural barriers (firewalls) and internal corporate controls, (c) limiting the Architect/Engineers or subconsultant or the specific personnel to be involved in a work assignment, (d) employing specific hourly limits on defined tasks, (e) limiting or prohibiting certain pass through fees and markups, (f) executing a mitigation plan which will define specific Architect/Engineer and sub-consultant duties to mitigate organizational conflicts of interest, (g) requiring sub-consultants who are conflict free to perform identified areas of work, (h) requiring the Architect/Engineer or its sub-consultants to adopt, disseminate and instruct staff on conflict of interest identification and remediation procedures and (i) relying on more than one source or on objective or verifiable data or information.

7. Documentation and evaluation

The Director of MDAD will set forth in the Agreement file a written explanation of the methodology used to address an identified organizational conflict of interest. The County shall periodically evaluate the effectiveness of the methodology in the protection of the Program. Upon the rendering of a decision regarding the resolution of a reported conflict of interest, a copy of such finding shall be forwarded to the OIG and the COE.

8. Organizational conflicts of interest which are not remedied

If in the sole discretion of the County there is no measure or combination of measures which protect the County against the organizational conflict of interest, the County may require that the Architect/Engineer cease the activity which creates a conflict with this Agreement. Failure to abide by this requirement shall result in the Architect/Engineer being in breach of this Agreement. In addition, the County may without penalty decline to award future professional service agreements or other contracts to the Architect/Engineer or its subconsultants if the award of such agreement or conflict with result in a conflict which cannot be remedied.

- 9.11 **ACCESS TO RECORDS AND REPORTS:** The Consultant must maintain an acceptable cost accounting system. The Consultant agrees to provide the Owner, the Federal Aviation Administration, and the Comptroller General of the United States or any of their duly authorized representatives' access to any books, documents, papers, and records of the contractor which are directly pertinent to the specific contract for the purpose of making audit, examination, excerpts and transcriptions. The Consultant agrees to maintain all books, records and reports required under this contract for a period of not less than three years after final payment is made and all pending matters are closed.
- 9.12 **GENERAL CIVIL RIGHTS PROVISIONS:** The Consultant agrees that it will comply with pertinent statutes, Executive Orders and such rules as are promulgated to ensure that no person shall, on the grounds of race, creed, color, national origin, sex, age, or handicap be excluded from participating in any activity conducted with or benefiting from Federal assistance.

This provision binds the Consultants from the bid solicitation period through the completion of the contract. This provision is in addition to that required of Title VI of the Civil Rights Act of 1964.

9.13 **CONTRACT WORKHOURS AND SAFETY STANDARDS ACT REQUIREMENTS:**

1. **Overtime Requirements**

No Consultant or sub-consultant contracting for any part of the contract work which may require or involve the employment of laborers or mechanics shall require or permit any such laborer or mechanic, including watchmen and guards, in any workweek in which he or she is employed on such work to work in excess of forty hours in such workweek unless such laborer or mechanic receives compensation at a rate not less than one and one-half times the basic rate of pay for all hours worked in excess of forty hours in such workweek.

2. **Violation; Liability for Unpaid Wages; Liquidated Damages**

In the event of any violation of the clause set forth in paragraph (1) above, the Consultant and any sub-consultant responsible therefor shall be liable for the unpaid wages. In addition, such Consultant and sub-consultant shall be liable to the United States (in the case of work done under contract for the District of Columbia or a territory, to such District or to such territory), for liquidated damages. Such liquidated damages shall be computed with respect to each individual laborer or mechanic, including watchmen and guards, employed in violation of the clause set forth in paragraph 1 above, in the sum of \$10 for each calendar day on which such individual was required or permitted to work in excess of the standard workweek of forty hours without payment of the overtime wages required by the clause set forth in paragraph 1 above.

3. **Withholding for Unpaid Wages and Liquidated Damages**

The Federal Aviation Administration or the Sponsor shall upon its own action or upon written request of an authorized representative of the Department of Labor withhold or cause to be withheld, from any monies payable on account of work performed by the Consultant or sub-consultant under any such contract or any other Federal contract with the same prime Consultant, or any other Federally-assisted contract subject to the

Contract Work Hours and Safety Standards Act, which is held by the same prime Consultant, such sums as may be determined to be necessary to satisfy any liabilities of such Consultant or sub-consultant for unpaid wages and liquidated damages as provided in the clause set forth in paragraph 2 above.

4. Sub-consultants

The Consultant or sub-consultant shall insert in any subcontracts the clauses set forth in paragraphs 1 through 4 and also a clause requiring the sub-consultant to include these clauses in any lower tier subcontracts. The prime Consultant shall be responsible for compliance by any sub-consultant or lower tier sub-consultant with the clauses set forth in paragraphs 1 through 4 of this section.

- 9.14 CLEAN AIR AND WATER POLLUTION CONTROL: Consultant and sub-consultant agree to comply with all applicable standards, orders, and regulations issued pursuant to the Clean Air Act (42 U.S.C. § 740-7671q) and the Federal Water Pollution Control Act as amended (33 U.S.C. § 1251-1387). The Consultant and sub-consultant agree to report any violation to the Owner immediately upon discovery. The Owner assumes responsibility for notifying the Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) and the Federal Aviation Administration. Consultant and sub-consultant must include this requirement in all subcontracts that exceeds \$150,000.

Consultant and sub-consultant agree:

1. That any facility to be used in the performance of the contract or subcontract or to benefit from the contract is not listed on the Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) List of Violating Facilities;
2. To comply with all the requirements of Section 114 of the Clean Air Act, as amended, 42 U.S.C. 1857 et seq. and Section 308 of the Federal Water Pollution Control Act, as amended, 33 U.S.C. 1251 et seq. relating to inspection, monitoring, entry, reports, and information, as well as all other requirements specified in Section 114 and Section 308 of the Acts, respectively, and all other regulations and guidelines issued thereunder;
3. That, as a condition for the award of this contract, the contractor or subcontractor will notify the awarding official of the receipt of any communication from the EPA indicating that a facility to be used for the performance of or benefit from the contract is under consideration to be listed on the EPA List of Violating Facilities;
4. To include or cause to be included in any construction contract or subcontract which exceeds \$150,000 the aforementioned criteria and requirements.

9.15 CIVIL RIGHTS TITLE VI ASSURANCES:

Compliance with Nondiscrimination Requirements

During the performance of this contract, the Consultant, for itself, its assignees, and successors in interest (hereinafter referred to as the "Consultant") agrees as follows:

1. Compliance with Regulations: The Consultant will comply with the Title VI List of Pertinent Nondiscrimination Acts And Authorities, as they may be amended from time to time, which are herein incorporated by reference and made a part of this contract.
2. Non-discrimination: The Consultant, with regard to the work performed by it during the contract, will not discriminate on the grounds of race, color, or national origin in the selection and retention of subcontractors, including procurements of materials and leases of equipment. The Consultant will not participate directly or indirectly in the discrimination prohibited by the Nondiscrimination Acts and Authorities, including employment practices when the contract covers any activity, project, or program set forth in Appendix B of 49 CFR part 21.
3. Solicitations for Subcontracts/Sub consultants, Including Procurements of Materials and Equipment: In all solicitations, either by competitive bidding, or negotiation made by the contractor for work to be performed under a subcontract, including procurements of materials, or leases of equipment, each potential subcontractor or supplier will be notified by the Consultant of the Consultant's obligations under this contract and the Nondiscrimination Acts And Authorities on the grounds of race, color, or national origin.
4. Information and Reports: The Consultant will provide all information and reports required by the Acts, the Regulations, and directives issued pursuant thereto and will permit access to its books, records, accounts, other sources of information, and its facilities as may be determined by the sponsor or the Federal Aviation Administration to be pertinent to ascertain compliance with such Nondiscrimination Acts And Authorities and instructions. Where any information required of a Consultant is in the exclusive possession of another who fails or refuses to furnish the information, the Consultant will so certify to the sponsor or the Federal Aviation Administration, as appropriate, and will set forth what efforts it has made to obtain the information.
5. Sanctions for Noncompliance: In the event of a Consultant's noncompliance with the Non-discrimination provisions of this contract, the sponsor will impose such contract sanctions as it or the Federal Aviation Administration may determine to be appropriate, including, but not limited to:
 - a. Withholding payments to the contractor under the contract until the contractor complies; and/or
 - b. Cancelling, terminating, or suspending a contract, in whole or in part.
6. Incorporation of Provisions: The contractor will include the provisions of paragraphs one through six in every subcontract, including procurements of materials and leases of equipment unless, exempt by the Acts, the Regulations and directives issued pursuant thereto. The Consultant will take action with respect to any subcontract/sub consultant or procurement as the sponsor or the Federal Aviation Administration may direct as a means of enforcing such provisions including sanctions for noncompliance. Provided, that if the Consultant becomes involved in, or is threatened with litigation by

a subcontractor, or supplier because of such direction, the Consultant may request the sponsor to enter into any litigation to protect the interests of the sponsor. In addition, the Consultant may request the United States to enter into the litigation to protect the interests of the United States.

9.16 TITLE VI - LIST OF PERTINENT NON-DISCRIMINATION ACTS AND AUTHORITIES: During the performance of this contract, the contractor, for itself, its assignees, and successors in interest (hereinafter referred to as the “contractor”) agrees to comply with the following non-discrimination statutes and authorities; including but not limited to:

- a) Title VI of the Civil Rights Act of 1964 (42 U.S.C. § 2000d *et seq.*, 78 stat. 252), (prohibits discrimination on the basis of race, color, national origin);
- b) 49 CFR part 21 (Non-discrimination In Federally-Assisted Programs of The Department of Transportation—Effectuation of Title VI of The Civil Rights Act of 1964);
- c) The Uniform Relocation Assistance and Real Property Acquisition Policies Act of 1970, (42 U.S.C. § 4601), (prohibits unfair treatment of persons displaced or whose property has been acquired because of Federal or Federal-aid programs and projects);
- d) Section 504 of the Rehabilitation Act of 1973, (29 U.S.C. § 794 *et seq.*), as amended, (prohibits discrimination on the basis of disability); and 49 CFR part 27;
- e) The Age Discrimination Act of 1975, as amended, (42 U.S.C. § 6101 *et seq.*), (prohibits discrimination on the basis of age);
- f) Airport and Airway Improvement Act of 1982, (49 USC § 471, Section 47123), as amended, (prohibits discrimination based on race, creed, color, national origin, or sex);
- g) The Civil Rights Restoration Act of 1987, (PL 100-209), (Broadened the scope, coverage and applicability of Title VI of the Civil Rights Act of 1964, The Age Discrimination Act of 1975 and Section 504 of the Rehabilitation Act of 1973, by expanding the definition of the terms “programs or activities” to include all of the programs or activities of the Federal-aid recipients, sub-recipients and contractors, whether such programs or activities are Federally funded or not);
- h) Titles II and III of the Americans with Disabilities Act of 1990, which prohibit discrimination on the basis of disability in the operation of public entities, public and private transportation systems, places of public accommodation, and certain testing entities (42 U.S.C. §§ 12131 – 12189) as implemented by Department of Transportation regulations at 49 CFR parts 37 and 38;
- i) The Federal Aviation Administration’s Non-Discrimination Statute (49 U.S.C. § 47123) (prohibits discrimination on the basis of race, color, national origin, and sex);
- j) Executive Order 12898, Federal Actions to Address Environmental Justice in Minority Populations and Low-Income Populations, which ensures non-

discrimination against minority populations by discouraging programs, policies, and activities with disproportionately high and adverse human health or environmental effects on minority and low-income populations;

- k) Executive Order 13166, Improving Access to Services for Persons with Limited English Proficiency, and resulting agency guidance, national origin discrimination includes discrimination because of limited English proficiency (LEP). To ensure compliance with Title VI, you must take reasonable steps to ensure that LEP persons have meaningful access to your programs (70 Fed. Reg. at 74087 to 74100);
- l) Title IX of the Education Amendments of 1972, as amended, which prohibits you from discriminating because of sex in education programs or activities (20 U.S.C. 1681 *et seq.*).

9.17 FEDERAL FAIR LABOR STANDARDS ACT: All contracts and subcontracts that result from this solicitation incorporate by reference the provisions of 29 CFR Part 201, the Federal Fair Labor Standards Act (FLSA), with the same force and effect as if given in full text. The FLSA sets minimum wage, overtime pay, recordkeeping, and child labor standards for full and part-time workers. The Consultant has full responsibility to monitor compliance to the referenced statute or regulation. The Consultant must address any claims or disputes that arise from this requirement directly with the U.S. Department of Labor – Wage and Hour Division.

9.18 ENERGY CONSERVATION REQUIREMENTS: Consultant and Sub-consultant agree to comply with mandatory standards and policies relating to energy efficiency as contained in the state energy conservation plan issued in compliance with the Energy Policy and Conservation Act (42 U.S.C. 6201 *et seq.*).

9.19 TRADE RESTRICTION CERTIFICATION: By submission of an offer, the Consultant certifies that with respect to this solicitation and any resultant contract, the Consultant:

- a. is not owned or controlled by one or more citizens of a foreign country included in the list of countries that discriminate against U.S. firms as published by the Office of the United States Trade Representative (U.S.T.R.);
- b. has not knowingly entered into any contract or subcontract for this project with a person that is a citizen or national of a foreign country included on the list of countries that discriminate against U.S. firms as published by the U.S.T.R; and
- c. has not entered into any subcontract for any product to be used on the Federal on the project that is produced in a foreign country included on the list of countries that discriminate against U.S. firms published by the U.S.T.R.

This certification concerns a matter within the jurisdiction of an agency of the United States of America and the making of a false, fictitious, or fraudulent certification may render the maker subject to prosecution under Title 18, United States Code, Section 1001.

The Consultant must provide immediate written notice to the Owner if the Consultant learns that its certification or that of a subcontractor was erroneous when submitted or has

become erroneous by reason of changed circumstances. The Consultant must require subcontractors provide immediate written notice to the Consultant if at any time it learns that its certification was erroneous by reason of changed circumstances.

Unless the restrictions of this clause are waived by the Secretary of Transportation in accordance with 49 CFR 30.17, no contract shall be awarded to a Consultant or subcontractor:

(1) who is owned or controlled by one or more citizens or nationals of a foreign country included on the list of countries that discriminate against U.S. firms published by the U.S.T.R. or;

(2) whose subcontractors are owned or controlled by one or more citizens or nationals of a foreign country on such U.S.T.R. list or;

(3) who incorporates in the public works project any product of a foreign country on such U.S.T.R. list.

Nothing contained in the foregoing shall be construed to require establishment of a system of records in order to render, in good faith, the certification required by this provision. The knowledge and information of a contractor is not required to exceed that which is normally possessed by a prudent person in the ordinary course of business dealings.

The Consultant agrees that, if awarded a contract resulting from this solicitation, it will incorporate this provision for certification without modification in all lower tier subcontracts. The contractor may rely on the certification of a prospective subcontractor that it is not a firm from a foreign country included on the list of countries that discriminate against U.S. firms as published by U.S.T.R., unless the Consultant has knowledge that the certification is erroneous.

This certification is a material representation of fact upon which reliance was placed when making an award. If it is later determined that the Contractor or subcontractor knowingly rendered an erroneous certification, the Federal Aviation Administration may direct through the Owner cancellation of the contract or subcontract for default at no cost to the Owner or the Federal Aviation Administration.

9.20 CERTIFICATION OF OFFERER/BIDDER REGARDING DEBARMENT: By submitting a bid/proposal under this solicitation, the bidder or Consultant certifies that neither it nor its principals are presently debarred or suspended by any Federal department or agency from participation in this transaction.

9.21 CERTIFICATION OF LOWER TIER CONTRACTORS REGARDING DEBARMENT: The successful bidder, by administering each lower tier subcontract that exceeds \$25,000 as a "covered transaction", must verify each lower tier participant of a "covered transaction" under the project is not presently debarred or otherwise disqualified from participation in this federally assisted project. The successful bidder will accomplish this by:

1. Checking the System for Award Management at website: <http://www.sam.gov>

2. Collecting a certification statement similar to the Certificate Regarding Debarment and Suspension Consultant, above.

3. Inserting a clause or condition in the covered transaction with the lower tier contract

If the FAA later determines that a lower tier participant failed to disclose to a higher tier participant that it was excluded or disqualified at the time it entered the covered transaction, the FAA may pursue any available remedies, including suspension and debarment of the non-compliant participant.

9.22 VETERAN'S PREFERENCE: In the employment of labor (excluding executive, administrative, and supervisory positions), the contractor and all sub-tier contractors must give preference to covered veterans as defined within Title 49 United States Code Section 47112. Covered veterans include Vietnam-era veterans, Persian Gulf veterans, Afghanistan-Iraq war veterans, disabled veterans, and small business concerns (as defined by 15 U.S.C. 632) owned and controlled by disabled veterans. This preference only applies when there are covered veterans readily available and qualified to perform the work to which the employment relates.

9.23 DISTRACTED DRIVING: In accordance with Executive Order 13513, "Federal Leadership on Reducing Text Messaging While Driving" (10/1/2009) and DOT Order 3902.10 "Text Messaging While Driving" (12/30/2009), the FAA encourages recipients of Federal grant funds to adopt and enforce safety policies that decrease crashes by distracted drivers, including policies to ban text messaging while driving when performing work related to a grant or sub-grant.

In support of this initiative, the Owner encourages the Contractor to promote policies and initiatives for its employees and other work personnel that decrease crashes by distracted drivers, including policies that ban text messaging while driving motor vehicles while performing work activities associated with the project. The Contractor must include the substance of this clause in all sub-tier contracts exceeding \$3,500 and involve driving a motor vehicle in performance of work activities associated with the project.

9.24 OCCUPATIONAL SAFETY AND HEALTH ACT OF 1970: All contracts and subcontracts that result from this solicitation incorporate by reference the requirements of 29 CFR Part 1910 with the same force and effect as if given in full text. Contractor must provide a work environment that is free from recognized hazards that may cause death or serious physical harm to the employee. The Contractor retains full responsibility to monitor its compliance and their subcontractor's compliance with the applicable requirements of the Occupational Safety and Health Act of 1970 (20 CFR Part 1910). Contractor must address any claims or disputes that pertain to a referenced requirement directly with the U.S. Department of Labor – Occupational Safety and Health Administration.

9.25 DISADVANTAGED BUSINESS ENTERPRISES: Contract Assurance - The Consultant or subcontractor shall not discriminate on the basis of race, color, national origin, or sex in

the performance of this contract. The Consultant shall carry out applicable requirements of 49 CFR Part 26 in the award and administration of DOT assisted contracts. Failure by the contractor to carry out these requirements is a material breach of this contract, which may result in the termination of this contract or such other remedy, as the recipient deems appropriate.

Prompt Payment - The Consultant agrees to pay each subcontractor under this prime contract for satisfactory performance of its contract no later than days stated in Sub-article 3.25, Prompt Payment from the receipt of each payment the Consultant receives from Owner. The Consultant agrees further to return retainage payments to each subcontractor within days stated in Sub-article 3.25, after the subcontractor's work is satisfactorily completed. Any delay or postponement of payment from the above referenced time frame may occur only for good cause following written approval of the Owner. This clause applies to both DBE and non-DBE subcontractors.

9.26 CERTIFICATION REGARDING LOBBYING: The Proposer certifies by signing and submitting this bid or proposal, to the best of his or her knowledge and belief, that:

(1) No Federal appropriated funds have been paid or will be paid, by or on behalf of the Proposer, to any person for influencing or attempting to influence an officer or employee of an agency, a Member of Congress, an officer or employee of Congress, or an employee of a Member of Congress in connection with the awarding of any Federal contract, the making of any Federal grant, the making of any Federal loan, the entering into of any cooperative agreement, and the extension, continuation, renewal, amendment, or modification of any Federal contract, grant, loan, or cooperative agreement.

(2) If any funds other than Federal appropriated funds have been paid or will be paid to any person for influencing or attempting to influence an officer or employee of any agency, a Member of Congress, an officer or employee of Congress, or an employee of a Member of Congress in connection with this Federal contract, grant, loan, or cooperative agreement, the undersigned shall complete and submit Standard Form-LLL, "Disclosure Form to Report Lobbying," in accordance with its instructions.

(3) The undersigned shall require that the language of this certification be included in the award documents for all sub-awards at all tiers (including subcontracts, sub-grants, and contracts under grants, loans, and cooperative agreements) and that all sub-recipients shall certify and disclose accordingly.

This certification is a material representation of fact upon which reliance was placed when this transaction was made or entered into. Submission of this certification is a prerequisite for making or entering into this transaction imposed by section 1352, title 31, U.S. Code. Any person who fails to file the required certification shall be subject to a civil penalty of not less than \$10,000 and not more than \$100,000 for each such failure.

9.27 TITLE VI SOLICITATION NOTICE: MDAD, in accordance with the provisions of Title VI of the Civil Rights Act of 1964 (78 Stat. 252, 42 U.S.C. §§ 2000d to 2000d-4) and the Regulations, hereby notifies all bidders that it will affirmatively ensure that any contract

entered into pursuant to this advertisement, disadvantaged business enterprises will be afforded full and fair opportunity to submit bids in response to this invitation and will not be discriminated against on the grounds of race, color, or national origin in consideration for an award.

9.28 COPELAND "ANTI-KICKBACK" ACT: Contractor must comply with the requirements of the Copeland "Anti-Kickback" Act (18 U.S.C. 874 and 40 U.S.C. 3145), as supplemented by Department of Labor regulation 29 CFR part 3. Contractor and subcontractors are prohibited from inducing, by any means, any person employed on the project to give up any part of the compensation to which the employee is entitled. The Contractor and each Subcontractor must submit to the Owner, a weekly statement on the wages paid to each employee performing on covered work during the prior week. Owner must report any violations of the Act to the Federal Aviation Administration.

9.29 EQUAL OPPORTUNITY CLAUSE: During the performance of this contract, the contractor agrees as follows:

- (1) The contractor will not discriminate against any employee or applicant for employment because of race, color, religion, sex, or national origin. The contractor will take affirmative action to ensure that applicants are employed, and that employees are treated during employment without regard to their race, color, religion, sex, sexual orientation, gender identify or national origin. Such action shall include, but not be limited to the following: employment, upgrading, demotion, or transfer; recruitment or recruitment advertising; layoff or termination; rates of pay or other forms of compensation; and selection for training, including apprenticeship. The contractor agrees to post in conspicuous places, available to employees and applicants for employment, notices to be provided setting forth the provisions of this nondiscrimination clause.
- (2) The contractor will, in all solicitations or advertisements for employees placed by or on behalf of the contractor, state that all qualified applicants will receive considerations for employment without regard to race, color, religion, sex, or national origin.
- (3) The contractor will send to each labor union or representative of workers with which he has a collective bargaining agreement or other contract or understanding, a notice to be provided advising the said labor union or workers' representatives of the contractor's commitments under this section, and shall post copies of the notice in conspicuous places available to employees and applicants for employment.
- (4) The contractor will comply with all provisions of Executive Order 11246 of September 24, 1965, and of the rules, regulations, and relevant orders of the Secretary of Labor.
- (5) The contractor will furnish all information and reports required by Executive Order 11246 of September 24, 1965, and by rules, regulations, and orders of the Secretary of Labor, or pursuant thereto, and will permit access to his books, records, and accounts by the administering agency and the Secretary of Labor for purposes of investigation to ascertain compliance with such rules, regulations, and orders.

- (6) In the event of the contractor's noncompliance with the nondiscrimination clauses of this contract or with any of the said rules, regulations, or orders, this contract may be canceled, terminated, or suspended in whole or in part and the contractor may be declared ineligible for further Government contracts or federally assisted construction contracts in accordance with procedures authorized in Executive Order 11246 of September 24, 1965, and such other sanctions may be imposed and remedies invoked as provided in Executive Order 11246 of September 24, 1965, or by rule, regulation, or order of the Secretary of Labor, or as otherwise provided by law.
- (7) The contractor will include the portion of the sentence immediately preceding paragraph (1) and the provisions of paragraphs (1) through (7) in every subcontract or purchase order unless exempted by rules, regulations, or orders of the Secretary of Labor issued pursuant to section 204 of Executive Order 11246 of September 24, 1965, so that such provisions will be binding upon each subcontractor or vendor. The contractor will take such action with respect to any subcontract or purchase order as the Required Contract Provisions Issued on January 29, 2016 Page 45 AIP Grants and Obligated Sponsors Airports (ARP) administering agency may direct as a means of enforcing such provisions, including sanctions for noncompliance: *Provided, however,* That in the event a contractor becomes involved in, or is threatened with, litigation with a subcontractor or vendor as a result of such direction by the administering agency the contractor may request the United States to enter into such litigation to protect the interests of the United States.

- 9.30 The terms of this Agreement are subordinate to the terms of the Airport Agreement submitted by Miami-Dade County to the United States Soccer Federation on February 21, 2018, pursuant to Board of County Commissioners' Resolution No. R-187-18. In carrying out its obligations under this Agreement, A/E shall not take or omit any action which is inconsistent with, or in derogation of, the County's obligations under the Airport Agreement. Where the A/E's rights or obligations under this Agreement are in conflict with the County's obligations under the Airport Agreement, and upon notice by the County to A/E, the terms of this Agreement shall be deemed conformed to the County's obligations under the Airport Agreement. Where such conformance would cause a material change in this Agreement, A/E shall have the right, upon written notice to the County within five (5) days of receipt of notice of such a conflict, to terminate this Agreement for convenience; in such termination, the A/E shall have no cause of action for money damages of any kind, including but not limited to direct damages, unamortized costs or debt, stored or ordered materials, indirect damages, lost profits, loss of opportunity, loss of goodwill, or otherwise. In the event that the A/E does not elect to terminate this Agreement within the time specified herein, this Agreement shall be deemed to have been amended via consent of the parties to conform its terms to the requirements of the Airport Agreement, but only to the extent needed to avoid conflict with same.
- 9.31 Notwithstanding and prevailing over any other provision of this Agreement to the contrary, the County Mayor or County Mayor's designee's may exercise the provisions of Section

2-285.2 (4) (d) and (e) of the Code of Miami-Dade County. Individual change orders or amendments shall not exceed 10 percent of the base contract price in cumulative percentage amount; provided however, that the foregoing limitation shall not apply to any change order or amendment related to emergency actions impacting remediation, public safety, health requirements or recovery from natural disaster and the foregoing change orders and amendments shall require ratification by the Board; provided further that the County Mayor or his designee may reduce in any amount the scope and compensation payable under this Agreement and grant compensable and non-compensable time extensions thereunder. Pursuant to Section 2-285.2 (6), the County Mayor or the County Mayor's designee's award and execution of this Contract is subject to ratification by the Board of County Commissioners. If the County Mayor or the County Mayor's designee's action is not ratified, and such legislative action becomes final, this Agreement shall be deemed terminated without further notice. In such event, the Architect/Engineer shall not be entitled to recovery of any lost profits or other consequential or indirect damages. However, the Architect/Engineer is eligible for payment for any work done prior to failure of the ratification, in accordance with the Article 8 of this Agreement.

- 9.32 OPINIONS OF PROBABLE COST: Notwithstanding anything to the contrary, Architect/Engineer's opinions of probable total project costs, construction costs, and/or estimates of quantities, if any, provided as part of the services under the Agreement are made on the basis of Architect/Engineer's knowledge, experience and qualifications and represent Architect/Engineer's judgment as an experienced professional. Architect/Engineer does not guarantee that proposals, bids, actual total project costs, total construction costs or quantity estimates will not vary from the opinions provided by Architect/Engineer. Cost control and cost estimates shall be performed by others and responsibility for quantities remain solely the responsibility of the Construction Contractor.

IN WITNESS WHEREOF, the parties hereto have caused this Agreement to be executed by their appropriate officials as of the date first above written.

ARCHITECT/ENGINEER (CORPORATION)

EXP U.S. Services Inc.

Legal Name of Corporation

ATTEST:

Secretary: _____

Signature and Seal

Hae-Jin (Priscilla) Ahn
General Counsel & Secretary

Type Name

By: _____

Architect/Engineer - Signature

John Flint, Senior Vice President

Type Name & Title

ARCHITECT/ENGINEER (INDIVIDUAL, PARTNERSHIP OR JOINT VENTURE)

Legal Name

Witness: _____

By: _____

Signature

Witness: _____

By: _____

Signature

FEIN _____

46-0523964

MIAMI-DADE COUNTY BOARD OF COUNTY COMMISSIONERS

By: _____

Mayor

ATTEST: Harvey Ruvin, Clerk

BY: _____

(Miami-Dade County Seal)

Approved for Form and Legal Sufficiency

(Assistant County Attorney)

EXHIBIT 1

NOT USED

EXHIBIT 2

PRINCIPALS OF THE ARCHITECT/ENGINEER

(Refer to Sub-article 8.3.3.1)

Marc Dvorak	Chief Operating Officer
John Flint	Senior Vice President

Contract No. A19-MDAD-02D

EXHIBIT 3

CRITICAL PERSONNEL

(Refer to Sub-article 3.20)

Marcos Souza
Kyle Henry
David Castaneda
Giovanni Cortes
Gino Valderrama

EXHIBIT 4

DISADVANTAGED BUSINESS ENTERPRISE PARTICIPATION PROVISIONS, WORKSHEET, EXECUTED DBE UTILIZATION FORM/LETTER OF INTENT, EXECUTED CONTRACT PARTICIPATION FORM, AND BIDDER AND SUBCONTRACTOR INFORMATION



EXHIBIT A

DBE Utilization Form
Forms 1 & 2 Demonstration of Good Faith Efforts

FORM 1: DISADVANTAGED BUSINESS ENTERPRISE (DBE) UTILIZATION

The undersigned bidder/offoror has satisfied the requirements of the bid specifications in the following manner (please check the appropriate space).

- The bidder/offoror is committed to a minimum of 28% DBE utilization in this Contract.
- The bidder/offoror (if unable to meet the DBE goal of % is committed to minimum of % DBE utilization on this contract and submits documentation demonstrating good faith efforts. Actions constituting evidence of good faith efforts are described in Appendix A to 49 CFR Part 26, Section 26.53 and request for waiver Exhibit D.

Name of bidder/offoror's firm: EXP U.S. Services, Inc.
Address: 201 Alhambra Circle, Suite 800 State: FL Zip: 33134
City: Coral Gables

Telephone: 305.631.2208

State Registration No.

By:  Title: Director of Aviation
(Signature)
Marcos J. Souza Date: 8/20/2020
(Print Name)

FORM 2: LETTER OF INTENT

Name of DBE firm: 300 Engineering Group, P.A.
Address: 3850 Bird Road, Suite 601 State: FL Zip: 33146
City: Miami
Telephone: 305-602-4602
Description of work to be performed by DBE firm: Civil Engineering Design Services

The bidder/offoror is committed to utilizing the above named DBE firm for the work described above.
The estimated dollar value for this work is \$100,000.00.

AFFIRMATION:

The above named DBE firm affirms that it will perform the portion of the contract for the estimated dollar value as stated above.

By:  Rodolfo Remon / Vice President - Principal
(Signature) (Title)

If the bidder/offoror does not receive award of the contract, any and all representations in this Letter of Intent and Affirmation shall be null and void. This form may be copied for more subs.





EXHIBIT A

DBE Utilization Form
Forms 1 & 2 Demonstration of Good Faith Efforts

FORM 1: DISADVANTAGED BUSINESS ENTERPRISE (DBE) UTILIZATION

The undersigned bidder/offeree has satisfied the requirements of the bid specifications in the following manner (please check the appropriate space).

- The bidder/offeree is committed to a minimum of 28% DBE utilization in this Contract.
- The bidder/offeree (if unable to meet the DBE goal of ___ % is committed to minimum of ___ % DBE utilization on this contract and submits documentation demonstrating good faith efforts. Actions constituting evidence of good faith efforts are described in Appendix A to 49 CFR Part 26, Section 26.53 and request for waiver Exhibit D.

Name of bidder/offeree's firm: EXP U.S. Services, Inc.
Address: 201 Alhambra Circle, Suite 800
City: Coral Gables State: FL Zip: 33134
Telephone: 305.631.2208
State Registration No. _____
By: [Signature] Title: Director of Aviation
Marcos J Souza Date: 8/20/2020
(Print Name)

FORM 2: LETTER OF INTENT

Name of DBE firm: Digital Building Services, LLC
Address: 11290 SW 30th Street
City: Miami State: FL Zip: 33165
Telephone: 305-202-1208
Description of work to be performed by DBE firm: 3D Scanning, Modeling Services

The bidder/offeree is committed to utilizing the above named DBE firm for the work described above.
The estimated dollar value for this work is \$25,000.00.

AFFIRMATION:

The above named DBE firm affirms that it will perform the portion of the contract for the estimated dollar value as stated above.

By: [Signature] Vice President
(Signature) (Title)

If the bidder/offeree does not receive award of the contract, any and all representations in this Letter of Intent and Affirmation shall be null and void. This form may be copied for more subs.



EXHIBIT A

DBE Utilization Form
Forms 1 & 2 Demonstration of Good Faith Efforts

FORM 1: DISADVANTAGED BUSINESS ENTERPRISE (DBE) UTILIZATION

The undersigned bidder/offeree has satisfied the requirements of the bid specifications in the following manner (please check the appropriate space).

- The bidder/offeree is committed to a minimum of 28% DBE utilization in this Contract.
- The bidder/offeree (if unable to meet the DBE goal of ___ % is committed to minimum of ___ % DBE utilization on this contract and submits documentation demonstrating good faith efforts. Actions constituting evidence of good faith efforts are described in Appendix A to 49 CFR Part 26, Section 26.53 and request for waiver Exhibit D.

Name of bidder/offeree's firm: EXP U.S. Services, Inc.
Address: 201 Alhambra Circle, Suite 800
City: Coral Gables State: FL Zip: 33134
Telephone: 305.631.2208
State Registration No. _____
By: [Signature] Title: Director of Aviation
Marcos J Souza Date: 8/20/2020
(Print Name)

FORM 2: LETTER OF INTENT

Name of DBE firm: DISAmerica Consulting Group, Inc.
Address: 8315 SW 150th Drive
City: Palmelto Bay State: FL Zip: 33158
Telephone: 305-218-7691
Description of work to be performed by DBE firm: Civil Engineering Design Services

The bidder/offeree is committed to utilizing the above named DBE firm for the work described above.
The estimated dollar value for this work is \$125,000.00.

AFFIRMATION:

The above named DBE firm affirms that it will perform the portion of the contract for the estimated dollar value as stated above.

By: [Signature] President
(Signature) (Title)

If the bidder/offeree does not receive award of the contract, any and all representations in this Letter of Intent and Affirmation shall be null and void. This form may be copied for more subs.



EXHIBIT A

DBE Utilization Form
Forms 1 & 2 Demonstration of Good Faith Efforts

FORM 1: DISADVANTAGED BUSINESS ENTERPRISE (DBE) UTILIZATION

The undersigned bidder/offeree has satisfied the requirements of the bid specifications in the following manner (please check the appropriate space).

- The bidder/offeree is committed to a minimum of 28% DBE utilization in this Contract.
- The bidder/offeree (if unable to meet the DBE goal of ___% is committed to minimum of ___% DBE utilization on this contract and submits documentation demonstrating good faith efforts. Actions constituting evidence of good faith efforts are described in Appendix A to 49 CFR Part 26, Section 26.53 and request for waiver Exhibit D.

Name of bidder/offeree's firm: EXP U.S. Services, Inc.
Address: 201 Alhambra Circle, Suite 800
City: Coral Gables State: FL Zip: 33134
Telephone: 305.631.2208
State Registration No. _____
By: _____ Title: Director of Aviation
Signature: _____ Date: 8/20/2020
Marcos J Souza
(Print Name)

FORM 2: LETTER OF INTENT

Name of DBE firm: Gartek Engineering Corporation
Address: 7210 SW 39th Terrace State: FL Zip: 33155
City: Miami
Telephone: (305) 266-8897
Description of work to be performed by DBE firm: Mechanical, Electrical, Plumbing Engineering Design Services

The bidder/offeree is committed to utilizing the above named DBE firm for the work described above.
The estimated dollar value for this work is \$500,000.00.

AFFIRMATION:

The above named DBE firm affirms that it will perform the portion of the contract for the estimated dollar value as stated above.

By: _____ Director of Business Development
(Signature) (Title)

If the bidder/offeree does not receive award of the contract, any and all representations in this Letter of Intent and Affirmation shall be null and void. This form may be copied for more subs.



EXHIBIT A

DBE Utilization Form
Forms 1 & 2 Demonstration of Good Faith Efforts

FORM 1: DISADVANTAGED BUSINESS ENTERPRISE (DBE) UTILIZATION

The undersigned bidder/offeree has satisfied the requirements of the bid specifications in the following manner (please check the appropriate space).

- The bidder/offeree is committed to a minimum of 28% DBE utilization in this Contract.
- The bidder/offeree (if unable to meet the DBE goal of ___% is committed to minimum of ___% DBE utilization on this contract and submits documentation demonstrating good faith efforts. Actions constituting evidence of good faith efforts are described in Appendix A to 49 CFR Part 26, Section 26.53 and request for waiver Exhibit D.

Name of bidder/offeree's firm: EXP U.S. Services, Inc.
Address: 201 Alhambra Circle, Suite 800
City: Coral Gables State: FL Zip: 33134
Telephone: 305.631.2208
State Registration No. _____
By: _____ Title: Director of Aviation
Signature: _____ Date: 08/20/2020
Marcos J Souza
(Print Name)

FORM 2: LETTER OF INTENT

Name of DBE firm: S&F Engineers, Inc.
Address: 2925 W Cypress Creek Rd, Suite 200 State: FL Zip: 33309
City: Fort Lauderdale
Telephone: (954) 938-0020
Description of work to be performed by DBE firm: Structural Engineering Design Services

The bidder/offeree is committed to utilizing the above named DBE firm for the work described above.
The estimated dollar value for this work is \$450,000.00.

AFFIRMATION:

The above named DBE firm affirms that it will perform the portion of the contract for the estimated dollar value as stated above.

By: _____ President
(Signature) (Title)

If the bidder/offeree does not receive award of the contract, any and all representations in this Letter of Intent and Affirmation shall be null and void. This form may be copied for more subs.



EXHIBIT A

DBE Utilization Form
Forms 1 & 2 Demonstration of Good Faith Efforts

FORM 1: DISADVANTAGED BUSINESS ENTERPRISE (DBE) UTILIZATION

The undersigned bidder/offeror has satisfied the requirements of the bid specifications in the following manner (please check the appropriate space).

- The bidder/offeror is committed to a minimum of 28% DBE utilization in this Contract.
- The bidder/offeror (if unable to meet the DBE goal of ___% is committed to minimum of ___% DBE utilization on this contract and submits documentation demonstrating good faith efforts. Actions constituting evidence of good faith efforts are described in Appendix A to 49 CFR Part 26, Section 26.53 and request for waiver Exhibit D.

Name of bidder/offeror's firm: EXP U.S. Services, Inc.
Address: 201 Alhambra Circle, Suite 800
City: Coral Gables State: FL Zip: 33134
Telephone: 305.631.2208
State Registration No. _____
By: [Signature] Title: Director of Aviation
Marcos J Souza Date: 08/20/2020
(Print Name)

FORM 2: LETTER OF INTENT

Name of DBE firm: TGA Consulting, LLC
Address: 5840 SW 87th Street
City: Miami State: FL Zip: 33143
Telephone: 305-282-0125
Description of work to be performed by DBE firm: Construction Management, Architectural Design Services

The bidder/offeror is committed to utilizing the above named DBE firm for the work described above.
The estimated dollar value for this work is \$150,000.00.

AFFIRMATION:

The above named DBE firm affirms that it will perform the portion of the contract for the estimated dollar value as stated above.

By: [Signature] Principal
(Signature) (Title)

If the bidder/offeror does not receive award of the contract, any and all representations in this Letter of Intent and Affirmation shall be null and void. This form may be copied for more subs.



EXHIBIT A

DBE Utilization Form
Forms 1 & 2 Demonstration of Good Faith Efforts

FORM 1: DISADVANTAGED BUSINESS ENTERPRISE (DBE) UTILIZATION

The undersigned bidder/offeror has satisfied the requirements of the bid specifications in the following manner (please check the appropriate space).

- The bidder/offeror is committed to a minimum of 28% DBE utilization in this Contract.
- The bidder/offeror (if unable to meet the DBE goal of ___% is committed to minimum of ___% DBE utilization on this contract and submits documentation demonstrating good faith efforts. Actions constituting evidence of good faith efforts are described in Appendix A to 49 CFR Part 26, Section 26.53 and request for waiver Exhibit D.

Name of bidder/offeror's firm: EXP U.S. Services, Inc.
Address: 201 Alhambra Circle, Suite 800
City: Coral Gables State: FL Zip: 33134
Telephone: 305.631.2208
State Registration No. _____
By: [Signature] Title: Director of Aviation
Marcos J Souza Date: 08/20/2020
(Print Name)

FORM 2: LETTER OF INTENT

Name of DBE firm: Vic Thompson Company
Address: 3751 New York Avenue, Suite 140
City: Arlington State: TX Zip: 76014
Telephone: (817) 567-5600
Description of work to be performed by DBE firm: Baggage Handling System Design Services, Simulation Services

The bidder/offeror is committed to utilizing the above named DBE firm for the work described above.
The estimated dollar value for this work is \$75,000.00.

AFFIRMATION:

The above named DBE firm affirms that it will perform the portion of the contract for the estimated dollar value as stated above.

By: [Signature] CEO
(Signature) (Title)

If the bidder/offeror does not receive award of the contract, any and all representations in this Letter of Intent and Affirmation shall be null and void. This form may be copied for more subs.

EXHIBIT B



MIAMI-DADE AVIATION DEPARTMENT (MDAD)
DISADVANTAGED BUSINESS ENTERPRISE (DBE)
CONTRACT PARTICIPATION FORM

Check One: Original ☒ Revised ☐ Date: September 9, 2020 Contract No.: A19-MDAD-02

Name of Offeror: EXP U.S. Services Project Name: Facility Design Services

Original Contracted DBE Participation: \$ 1,425,000.00 Original Percent Contracted DBE Participation: 28.5 %

The Offeror shall submit the Contract Participation Form to the Contracting Officer with offer. Please attached additional sheets if needed.			
LIST ALL FIRST TIER DBE FIRMS PARTICIPATING IN THIS CONTRACT Identify whether firms are S, H, SP, MF	FEDERAL REGISTERED FIRM (see below)	ADDRESS (Number, Street, City, State, Zip)	DESCRIBE TYPE OF WORK (Electrical, Paving, etc. with notation e.g. "Labor Only", "Material Only", "Complete") Item Number if Applicable, Quantity, Unit Price
EX - SAMPLE S&S Sierra Electrical Company	S	42 N.W. 38th Street, Miami, FL 33155	Electrical and install electrical work
1 Gertex Engineering Corporation	S	7210 SW 38th Terrace, Miami, FL 33155	Mechanical, Electrical, Plumbing Engineering
2 S&F Engineers, Inc.	S	2925 W Cypress Creek Rd, Suite 200, Palmisto Bay, FL 33158	Structural Engineering
3 DISAmerica Consulting Group, Inc.	S	8315 SW 150th Drive, Suite 200, Palmisto Bay, FL 33158	Civil Engineering
4 300 Engineering Group, P.A.	S	3850 Bird Road, Suite 601, Miami, FL 33146	Civil Engineering
5 TGS Consulting LLC	S	46-5612615, Suite 200, Miami, FL 33143	Construction Management, Architecture
6 Vic Thompson Company	S	3751 New York Avenue, Suite 140, Arlington, TX 76014	Baggage Handling System Design, Simulation Services
7 Digital Building Services, LLC	S	11290 SW 30th Street, Miami, FL 33165	3D Scanning
8			
9			
10			
TOTAL AGREED PRICE MUST EQUAL			\$1,425,000.00

I, Timothy D. Neumann, AIA, a duly authorized representative of EXP U.S. Services Inc., certify that the above information is true and correct.

(Type or print name)

EXP U.S. Services Inc. (name of firm)

Signature:

Date: 9/9/2020

TYPE OF FIRM:

S = Subcontractor, B = Broker, Agent, Packager, H = Hauler, SP = Stocking Supplier/Distributor, MFG = Manufacturer (for statistical purposes and program analysis.)

Rev. 01/10/2013

159



BIDDER AND SUBCONTRACTOR'S INFORMATION

 Project/Bid No.: A19-MDAD-02 Facility Design Services Date: September 9, 2020

In accordance with 49 CFR Part 26.11 (c) the Prime Bidder shall complete and submit this form with the Bid. The form shall include the information requested for the Prime Bidder and for all subcontractors quoting on the Project.

Name of Bidder or Subcontractor	Address	DBE Status (Check applicable Box)	Age of firm	Type(s) of Work	Annual Gross Receipts (Check applicable Box)
EXP U.S. Services, Inc.	201 Alhambra Circle Suite 800 Coral Gables, FL 33134	☐ DBE ☒ non-DBE	113 years	Aviation Systems, Architectural Construction Management, Aviation Systems, Structural Mechanical and Electrical Civil Engineering, Architecture, Engineering Construction Management	☐ Less than \$500,000 ☐ \$500,000 - \$1 Million ☐ \$1 Million - \$2 Million ☐ \$2 Million - \$5 Million ☐ \$5 Million - and above
TGA Consulting, LLC	5940 SW 87th Street Miami, FL 33143	☒ DBE ☐ non-DBE	6 years	Architectural Services	☒ Less than \$500,000 ☐ \$500,000 - \$1 Million ☐ \$1 Million - \$2 Million ☐ \$2 Million - \$5 Million ☐ \$5 Million - and above
Gartek Engineering Corporation	7210 SW 39th Terrace Miami, FL 33155	☒ DBE ☐ non-DBE	40 years	Mechanical, electrical, plumbing, and Fire Protection Design and consulting engineering	☐ Less than \$500,000 ☐ \$500,000 - \$1 Million ☐ \$1 Million - \$2 Million ☒ \$2 Million - \$5 Million ☐ \$5 Million - and above
S & F Engineers, Inc.	2925 W Cypress Creek Rd, Suite 200 Fort Lauderdale, FL 33309	☒ DBE ☐ non-DBE	19 years	Structural Engineering Services	☐ Less than \$500,000 ☒ \$500,000 - \$1 Million ☐ \$1 Million - \$2 Million ☐ \$2 Million - \$5 Million ☐ \$5 Million - and above
DISAmerica Consulting Group	8315 SW 150th Drive Palmetto Bay, FL 33158	☒ DBE ☐ non-DBE	6 years	Professional Engineering Services: Aviation Engineering Design, General Civil Engineering, Roadway Design, Stormwater Management, Water Distribution/Sewer Collection Systems, Engineering Construction Inspection and Management.	☒ Less than \$500,000 ☐ \$500,000 - \$1 Million ☐ \$1 Million - \$2 Million ☐ \$2 Million - \$5 Million ☐ \$5 Million - and above



BIDDER AND SUBCONTRACTOR'S INFORMATION

Project/Bid No.: A19-MDAD-02 Facility Design Services Date: September 9, 2020

In accordance with 49 CFR Part 26.11 (c) the Prime Bidder shall complete and submit this form with the Bid. The form shall include the information requested for the Prime Bidder and for all subcontractors quoting on the Project.

Name of Bidder or Subcontractor	Address	DBE Status (Check applicable Box)	Age of Firm	Type(s) of Work	Annual Gross Receipts (Check applicable Box)
300 Engineering Group, P.A.	3850 Bird Road Suite 601 Miami, FL 33146	☒ DBE ☐ non-DBE	14 years	Civil Engineering, Utilities, Permitting, MEP Engineering, Construction Management	☐ Less than \$500,000 ☐ \$500,000 - \$1 Million ☐ \$1 Million - \$2 Million ☒ \$2 Million - \$5 Million ☐ \$5 Million - and above
Vic Thompson Company	3751 New York Avenue Suite 140, Arlington, TX 76014	☒ DBE ☐ non-DBE	23 Years	4.07 Aviation Systems Engineering Design 12.0 General Mechanical Engineering 13.0 General Electrical Engineering 17.0 Engineering Construction Management	☐ Less than \$500,000 ☐ \$500,000 - \$1 Million ☐ \$1 Million - \$2 Million ☐ \$2 Million - \$5 Million ☒ \$5 Million - and above
Digital Building Services, LLC	11290 SW 30th Street Miami, FL 33165	☒ DBE ☐ non-DBE	4 years	30 Laser Scanning of existing conditions, Revit and CAD modeling, BIM Management and coordination.	☐ Less than \$500,000 ☐ \$500,000 - \$1 Million ☐ \$1 Million - \$2 Million ☐ \$2 Million - \$5 Million ☐ \$5 Million - and above
Atkins North America, Inc.	800 Waterford Way, Suite 700, 33126 bld-305.592.7272 fax: 305.599.3609	☐ DBE ☒ non-DBE	60 years	4.01 Aviation Systems Engineering 4.02 Aviation System Architectural 16.00 General Civil Engineering 18.00 Architectural Construction Management	☐ Less than \$500,000 ☐ \$500,000 - \$1 Million ☐ \$1 Million - \$2 Million ☐ \$2 Million - \$5 Million ☒ \$5 Million - and above
Gresham Smith	Two Alhambra Plaza Suite 1200 Coral Gables, FL 33104	☐ DBE ☒ non-DBE	53 years	Architecture, Engineering, Interior Design, Construction Management, Construction Systems Engineering, Environmental Engineering	☐ Less than \$500,000 ☐ \$500,000 - \$1 Million ☐ \$1 Million - \$2 Million ☐ \$2 Million - \$5 Million ☒ \$5 Million - and above



BIDDER AND SUBCONTRACTOR'S INFORMATION

Project/Bid No.: A19-MDAD-02 Facility Design Services Date: September 9, 2020

In accordance with 49 CFR Part 26.11 (c) the Prime Bidder shall complete and submit this form with the Bid. The form shall include the information requested for the Prime Bidder and for all subcontractors quoting on the Project.

Name of Bidder or Subcontractor	Address	DBE Status (Check applicable Box)	Age of Firm	Type(s) of Work	Annual Gross Receipts (Check applicable Box)
LVA Luis Vidal USA Inc.	117 NE 1st Ave Office 15-107 Miami, Florida, 33132	☐ DBE ☒ non-DBE	5 Years	Architectural Services Interior Design Services	☐ Less than \$500,000 ☐ \$500,000 - \$1 Million ☐ \$1 Million - \$2 Million ☐ \$2 Million - \$5 Million ☒ \$5 Million - and above
IA Interior Architects	150 Alhambra Circle Suite 800 Coral Gables, Florida 33134	☐ DBE ☒ non-DBE	35 Years	Airline Lounges Corporate Office Retail Commercial and Retail Healthcare	☐ Less than \$500,000 ☐ \$500,000 - \$1 Million ☐ \$1 Million - \$2 Million ☐ \$2 Million - \$5 Million ☒ \$5 Million - and above
Moye LT Consulting, LLC (dba Moye Consulting)	1255 Corporate Drive, Suite 100 Irving, Texas 75038	☐ DBE ☒ non-DBE	18 Years	Low-voltage IT, Security, Fire Protection and GIS Systems design and construction support services	☐ Less than \$500,000 ☐ \$500,000 - \$1 Million ☐ \$1 Million - \$2 Million ☐ \$2 Million - \$5 Million ☒ \$5 Million - and above
APEX Companies, LLC	8175 NW 12th Street, Suite 100 Doral, Florida 33126	☐ DBE ☒ non-DBE	32 Years	Environmental, Health and Safety and Sustainability Management Systems	☐ Less than \$500,000 ☐ \$500,000 - \$1 Million ☐ \$1 Million - \$2 Million ☐ \$2 Million - \$5 Million ☒ \$5 Million - and above
		☐ DBE ☐ non-DBE			☐ Less than \$500,000 ☐ \$500,000 - \$1 Million ☐ \$1 Million - \$2 Million ☐ \$2 Million - \$5 Million ☐ \$5 Million - and above

AFFIDAVITS

Contract No. A19-MDAD-02D

MIAMI-DADE COUNTY
MIAMI-DADE AVIATION DEPARTMENT SINGLE EXECUTION AFFIDAVITS

This sworn statement is submitted for:

PROJECT TITLE: Facilities Design Services

PROJECT NUMBER: A19-MDAD-02D

COUNTY OF Miami-Dade

STATE OF Florida

Before me the undersigned authority appeared Marcos J Souza (Print Name), who is personally known to me or who has provided as identification and who (did or did not) take an oath, and who stated:

That he/she is the duly authorized representative of

EXP U.S. Services Inc.
(Name of Entity)

201 Alhambra Circle, Suite 800, Coral Gables, Florida 33134
(Address of Entity)

4 / 6 - 0 / 5 / 2 / 3 / 9 / 6 / 4
Federal Employment Identification Number

hereinafter referred to as the Entity being its

Director of Aviation
(Sole Proprietor)(Partner)(President or Other Authorized Officer)

and as such has full authority to make these affidavits and say as follows.

Contract No. A19-MDAD-02D

**PUBLIC ENTITY CRIMES
SWORN STATEMENT UNDER SECTION 287.133(3)(a),
FLORIDA STATUTES**

1. I understand that a "public entity crime" as defined in Paragraph 287.133(1) (g), **Florida Statutes**, means "a violation of any state or federal law by a person with respect to and directly related to the transaction of business with any public entity or with an agency or political subdivision of any other state or with the United States, including, but not limited to any bid, proposal, reply, or contract for goods or services, any lease for real property, or any contract for the construction or repair of a public building or public work, involving antitrust, fraud, theft, bribery, collusion, racketeering, conspiracy, or material misrepresentation."
2. I understand that "convicted" or "conviction" as defined in Paragraph 287.133 (1)(b), **Florida Statutes**, means "a finding of guilt or a conviction of a public entity crime, with or without an adjudication of guilt, in any federal or state trial court of record relating to charges brought by indictment or information after July 1, 1989, as a result of a jury verdict, nonjury trial, or entry of a plea of guilty or nolo contendere."
3. I understand that an "affiliate" as defined in Paragraph 287.133(1)(a), **Florida Statutes**, means:
 - "1 A predecessor or successor of a person convicted of a public entity crime; or
 2. An entity under the control of any natural person who is active in the management of the entity and who has been convicted of a public entity crime. The term "affiliate" includes those officers, directors, executives, partners, shareholders, employees, members, and agents who are active in the management of an affiliate. The ownership by one person of shares constituting a controlling interest in another person, or a pooling of equipment or income among persons when not for fair market value under an arm's length agreement, shall be a prima facie case that one person controls another person. A person who knowingly enters into a joint venture with a person who has been convicted of a public entity crime in Florida during the preceding 36 months shall be considered an affiliate."
4. I understand that a "person" as defined in Paragraph 287.133(1)(e), **Florida Statutes**, means "any natural person or entity organized under the laws of any state or of the United States with the legal power to enter into a binding contract and which bids or applies to bid on contracts let by a public entity, or which otherwise transacts or applies to transact business with a public entity. The term "person" includes those officers, directors, executives, partners, shareholders, employees, members and agents who are active in management of an entity."
5. The statement which is marked below is true in relation to the Entity submitting this sworn statement. **[Please indicate which statement applies.]**

AFF-2

**PUBLIC ENTITY CRIMES
SWORN STATEMENT UNDER SECTION 287.133(3)(a),
FLORIDA STATUTES (Cont'd)**

 X Neither the Entity submitting this sworn statement, nor any officers, directors, executives, partners, shareholders, employees, members, or agents who are active in management of the Entity, nor any affiliate of the Entity have been charged with and convicted of a public entity crime subsequent to July 1, 1989.

 The Entity submitting this sworn statement, or one or more of the officers, directors, executives, partners, shareholders, employees, members, or agents who are active in management of the Entity, or an affiliate of the Entity has been charged with and convicted of a public entity crime subsequent to July 1, 1989. **[Please indicate which additional statement applies.]**

 There has been a proceeding concerning the conviction before a hearing officer of the State of Florida, Division of Administrative Hearings. The final order entered by the hearing officer did not place the person or affiliate on the convicted vendor list. **[Please attach a copy of the final order.]**

 The person or affiliate was placed on the convicted vendor list. There has been a subsequent proceeding before a hearing officer of the State of Florida, Division of Administrative Hearings. The final order entered by the hearing officer determined that it was in the public interest to remove the person or affiliate from the convicted vendor list. **[Please attach a copy of the final order.]**

 The person or affiliate has been placed on the convicted vendor list. **[Please describe any action taken by or pending with the Florida Department of Management Services.]**

**CRIMINAL RECORD AFFIDAVIT
PURSUANT TO SECTION 2-8.6 OF THE
MIAMI-DADE COUNTY CODE**

Pursuant to Section 2-8.6 of the Code, the Entity must disclose, at the time the submission, if the Entity or any of its officers, directors, or executives have been convicted of a felony during the past (10) years. Failure to disclose such conviction may result in the debarment of the Entity who knowingly fails to make the required disclosure or to falsify information.

Indicate below if the above named Entity, as of the date of submission:

 X has not been convicted of a felony during the past ten (10) years, nor does it, as of the date of submission, have an officer, director or executive who has been convicted of a felony during the past ten (10) years.

 has been convicted of a felony during the past ten (10) years, or as of the date of submission, have an officer, director or executive who has been convicted of a felony during the past ten (10) years.

Contract No. A19-MDAD-02D

AFF-3

Affirmation of Vendor Affidavits

In accordance with Ordinance 07-143 amending Section 2-8.1 of the Code of Miami-Dade County, effective June 1, 2008, vendors are required to complete a *new* Vendor Registration Package, including a Uniform Affidavit Packet (Vendor Affidavits Form), before being awarded a new contract. The undersigned affirms that the Vendor Affidavits Form submitted with the Vendor Registration Package is current, complete and accurate for each affidavit listed below.

Contract No. A19-MDAD-02D **Federal Employer Identification No. (FEIN):** 46-0523964

Contract Title: Facility Design Services

Affidavits and Legislation/Governing Body

1.	<i>Miami-Dade County Ownership Disclosure</i> Sec. 2-8.1 of the County Code	6.	<i>Miami-Dade County Vendor Obligation to County</i> Sec. 2-8.1 of the County Code
2.	<i>Miami-Dade County Employment Disclosure</i> County Ordinance No. 90-133, amending Section 2-8-1(d)(2) of the County Code	7.	<i>Miami-Dade County Code of Business Ethics</i> Article 1, Section 2-8.1(i) and 2-11(b)(1) of the County Code through (6) and (9) of the County Code and County Ordinance No. 00-1 amending Section 2-11.1 (c) of the County Code
3.	<i>Miami-Dade County Employment Drug-free Workplace Certification</i> Sec. 2-8.1.2(b) of the County Code	8.	<i>Miami-Dade County Family Leave</i> Article V of Chapter 11 of the County Code
4.	<i>Miami-Dade County Disability Non-Discrimination</i> Article 1, Section 2.8.1.5 Resolution R182-00 amending R-385-95	9.	<i>Miami-Dade County Living Wage</i> Sec. 2-8.9 of the County Code (If applicable)
5.	<i>Miami-Dade County Debarment Disclosure</i> Section 10-38 of the County Code	10.	<i>Miami-Dade County Domestic Leave and Reporting</i> Article 8, Section 11A-60, 11A-67 of the County Code
11.	<i>Miami-Dade County E-Verify Affidavit</i> Obligation for State Funded Contracts	12.	<i>Pay Parity Affidavit</i> Resolution R-1072-17
13.	<i>Miami-Dade County Suspected Workers' Compensation Fraud Affidavit</i> Resolution No. R-919-18		

**AFFIDAVIT - SCRUTINIZED COMPANIES WITH ACTIVITIES IN SUDAN OR IRAN
PETROLEUM ENERGY SECTOR LISTS FLORIDA STATUTES 215.473**

Pursuant to 215.473, F.S., the { EXP U.S. Services Inc. } ("Entity") must disclose, if the Entity or any of its officers, directors, or executives are doing certain types of business in or with Sudan and Iran.

Indicate below if the above named Entity, as of the date of submission:


Contract No. A19-MDAD-02D

AFF-4

X has not engaged in commerce in any form in Sudan or Iran, including, but not limited to, acquiring, developing, maintaining, owning, selling, possessing, leasing, or operating equipment, facilities, personnel, products, services, personal property, real property, or any other apparatus of business or commerce.

_____ has engaged in commerce with Sudan or Iran, including, but not limited to, acquiring, developing, maintaining, owning, selling, possessing, leasing, or operating equipment, facilities, personnel, products, services, personal property, real property, or any other apparatus of business or commerce.

This single execution shall have the same force and effect as if each of the above affidavits had been individually executed.


(Signature of Authorized Representative)

Marcos J Souza
(Print Name of Authorized Representative)

Title Director of Aviation

Date April 22, 2021

Notary Public Information

Notary Public – State of Florida County of Miami-Dade

Subscribed and sworn to (or affirmed) before me this 6 day of, August 20 21

by Marcos J Souza He or she is personally known to me ☒ or has produced I.D. ☐

Type of identification produced _____


Signature of Notary Public

HH150113
Serial Number



Print or Stamp of Notary Public

7/7/2025
Expiration Date

Notary Public Seal

Contract No. A19-MDAD-02D

**SUBCONTRACTING POLICIES STATEMENT
PURSUANT TO SECTION 2-8.8(4) OF THE CODE**

(Insert Here)



August 3, 2021

Re: A19-MDAD-02D Facility Design Services

Subcontracting Policies Statement

To whom it may concern:

In accordance with Section 2.8.8 – Fair Subcontracting Practices of the Miami-Dade County Code of Ordinances, it is the policy of EXP U.S. Services Inc to promote diversity in the subcontracting of consultants and to allow opportunities for subcontracting to as many qualified subconsultants as needed.

Sincerely,

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read "MS", is written over the printed name of Marcos J Souza.

Marcos J Souza, PE, PMP
Director of Aviation
EXP U.S. Services, Inc.

201 Alhambra Circle, Suite 800
Coral Gables, Florida 33134
t: 305.631.2208 | exp.com

Contract No. A19-MDAD-02D

PROOF OF AUTHORIZATION TO DO BUSINESS

(Attach a copy of the Certificate of Status or Authorization per 607.0128 F.S., and certificate evidencing compliance with the Florida Fictitious Name Statute per 865.09 F.S., if applicable.)

(Insert Here)

State of Florida

Department of State

I certify from the records of this office that EXP U.S. SERVICES INC. is a Delaware corporation authorized to transact business in the State of Florida, qualified on April 4, 2011.

The document number of this corporation is F11000001440.

I further certify that said corporation has paid all fees due this office through December 31, 2021, that its most recent annual report/uniform business report was filed on February 2, 2021, and that its status is active.

I further certify that said corporation has not filed a Certificate of Withdrawal.

*Given under my hand and the
Great Seal of the State of Florida
at Tallahassee, the Capital, this
the Second day of February, 2021*



Randy Be
Secretary of State

Tracking Number: 9054925384CC

To authenticate this certificate, visit the following site, enter this number, and then follow the instructions displayed.

<https://services.sunbiz.org/Filings/CertificateOfStatus/CertificateAuthentication>

CERTIFICATE OF INSURANCE

Contract No. A19-MDAD-02D



CERTIFICATE OF LIABILITY INSURANCE

Page 1 of 1

DATE (MM/DD/YYYY)
08/04/2021

THIS CERTIFICATE IS ISSUED AS A MATTER OF INFORMATION ONLY AND CONFERS NO RIGHTS UPON THE CERTIFICATE HOLDER. THIS CERTIFICATE DOES NOT AFFIRMATIVELY OR NEGATIVELY AMEND, EXTEND OR ALTER THE COVERAGE AFFORDED BY THE POLICIES BELOW. THIS CERTIFICATE OF INSURANCE DOES NOT CONSTITUTE A CONTRACT BETWEEN THE ISSUING INSURER(S), AUTHORIZED REPRESENTATIVE OR PRODUCER, AND THE CERTIFICATE HOLDER.

IMPORTANT: If the certificate holder is an ADDITIONAL INSURED, the policy(ies) must have ADDITIONAL INSURED provisions or be endorsed. If SUBROGATION IS WAIVED, subject to the terms and conditions of the policy, certain policies may require an endorsement. A statement on this certificate does not confer rights to the certificate holder in lieu of such endorsement(s).

PRODUCER Willis Towers Watson Midwest, Inc. c/o 26 Century Blvd P.O. Box 305191 Nashville, TN 372305191 USA		CONTACT NAME: Willis Towers Watson Certificate Center PHONE (A/C, No, Ext): 1-877-945-7378 FAX (A/C, No): 1-888-467-2378 E-MAIL ADDRESS: certificates@willis.com	
		INSURER(S) AFFORDING COVERAGE	NAIC #
		INSURER A: XL Insurance America Inc	24554
		INSURER B: Continental Insurance Company	35289
		INSURER C: Continental Casualty Company	20443
		INSURER D: AIG Insurance Company of Canada	B1206
		INSURER E:	
		INSURER F:	

COVERAGES **CERTIFICATE NUMBER: W21780543** **REVISION NUMBER:**

THIS IS TO CERTIFY THAT THE POLICIES OF INSURANCE LISTED BELOW HAVE BEEN ISSUED TO THE INSURED NAMED ABOVE FOR THE POLICY PERIOD INDICATED. NOTWITHSTANDING ANY REQUIREMENT, TERM OR CONDITION OF ANY CONTRACT OR OTHER DOCUMENT WITH RESPECT TO WHICH THIS CERTIFICATE MAY BE ISSUED OR MAY PERTAIN, THE INSURANCE AFFORDED BY THE POLICIES DESCRIBED HEREIN IS SUBJECT TO ALL THE TERMS, EXCLUSIONS AND CONDITIONS OF SUCH POLICIES. LIMITS SHOWN MAY HAVE BEEN REDUCED BY PAID CLAIMS.

INSR LTR	TYPE OF INSURANCE	ADDL INSD	SUBR WVD	POLICY NUMBER	POLICY EFF (MM/DD/YYYY)	POLICY EXP (MM/DD/YYYY)	LIMITS
A	☒ COMMERCIAL GENERAL LIABILITY			US00057823LI21A	03/31/2021	03/31/2022	EACH OCCURRENCE \$ 2,000,000
	☐ CLAIMS-MADE ☒ OCCUR						DAMAGE TO RENTED PREMISES (Ea occurrence) \$ 2,000,000
	☒ Contractual Liability						MED EXP (Any one person) \$ 25,000
	GEN'L AGGREGATE LIMIT APPLIES PER:						PERSONAL & ADV INJURY \$ 2,000,000
	☐ POLICY ☒ PRO-JECT ☐ LOC						GENERAL AGGREGATE \$ 2,000,000
	OTHER:						PRODUCTS - COMP/OP AGG \$ 2,000,000
B	AUTOMOBILE LIABILITY			6076413496	03/31/2021	03/31/2022	COMBINED SINGLE LIMIT (Ea accident) \$ 1,000,000
	☒ ANY AUTO						BODILY INJURY (Per person) \$
	☐ OWNED AUTOS ONLY ☐ SCHEDULED AUTOS						BODILY INJURY (Per accident) \$
	☐ HIRED AUTOS ONLY ☐ NON-OWNED AUTOS ONLY						PROPERTY DAMAGE (Per accident) \$
	UMBRELLA LIAB						EACH OCCURRENCE \$
	☐ EXCESS LIAB						AGGREGATE \$
	DED ☐ RETENTION \$						\$
C	WORKERS COMPENSATION AND EMPLOYERS' LIABILITY			6072004033	03/31/2021	03/31/2022	☒ PER STATUTE ☐ OTH-ER
	ANY PROPRIETOR/PARTNER/EXECUTIVE OFFICER/MEMBER EXCLUDED? (Mandatory in NH)	Y/N	N/A				E.L. EACH ACCIDENT \$ 1,000,000
	If yes, describe under DESCRIPTION OF OPERATIONS below						E.L. DISEASE - EA EMPLOYEE \$ 1,000,000
							E.L. DISEASE - POLICY LIMIT \$ 1,000,000
D	Professional Liability			061127095	03/31/2021	03/31/2022	Per Claim \$3,000,000
							Aggregate \$3,000,000

DESCRIPTION OF OPERATIONS / LOCATIONS / VEHICLES (ACORD 101, Additional Remarks Schedule, may be attached if more space is required)

Contract Number A19-MDAD-02D

Miami-Dade County is included as an Additional Insured as respects to General Liability.

CERTIFICATE HOLDER

MDAD Risk Management
P.O. Box 025504
Miami, FL 33102-5504

CANCELLATION

SHOULD ANY OF THE ABOVE DESCRIBED POLICIES BE CANCELLED BEFORE THE EXPIRATION DATE THEREOF, NOTICE WILL BE DELIVERED IN ACCORDANCE WITH THE POLICY PROVISIONS.

AUTHORIZED REPRESENTATIVE

[Signature]

© 1988-2016 ACORD CORPORATION. All rights reserved.

THIS ENDORSEMENT CHANGES THE POLICY. PLEASE READ IT CAREFULLY.

ADDITIONAL INSURED – DESIGNATED PERSON OR ORGANIZATION

This endorsement modifies insurance provided under the following:

COMMERCIAL GENERAL LIABILITY COVERAGE PART

SCHEDULE

Name Of Additional Insured Person(s) Or Organization(s):

Any person or organization that you are required in a written contract or written agreement to include as an additional insured provided the "Bodily Injury" or "Property Damage" occurs subsequent to the execution of the written contract or written agreement.

Information required to complete this Schedule, if not shown above, will be shown in the Declarations.

A. Section II – Who Is An Insured is amended to include as an additional insured the person(s) or organization(s) shown in the Schedule, but only with respect to liability for "bodily injury", "property damage" or "personal and advertising injury" caused, in whole or in part, by your acts or omissions or the acts or omissions of those acting on your behalf:

1. In the performance of your ongoing operations; or
2. In connection with your premises owned by or rented to you.

However:

1. The insurance afforded to such additional insured only applies to the extent permitted by law; and
2. If coverage provided to the additional insured is required by a contract or agreement, the insurance afforded to such additional insured will not be broader than that which you are required by the contract or agreement to provide for such additional insured.

B. With respect to the insurance afforded to these additional insureds, the following is added to Section III – Limits Of Insurance:

If coverage provided to the additional insured is required by a contract or agreement, the most we will pay on behalf of the additional insured is the amount of insurance:

1. Required by the contract or agreement; or
2. Available under the applicable limits of insurance;

whichever is less.

This endorsement shall not increase the applicable limits of insurance.

THIS ENDORSEMENT CHANGES THE POLICY. PLEASE READ IT CAREFULLY.

ADDITIONAL INSURED – OWNERS, LESSEES OR CONTRACTORS – SCHEDULED PERSON OR ORGANIZATION

This endorsement modifies insurance provided under the following:

COMMERCIAL GENERAL LIABILITY COVERAGE PART

SCHEDULE

Name Of Additional Insured Person(s) Or Organization(s)	Location(s) Of Covered Operations
Any person or organization that you are required in a written contract or written agreement to include as an additional insured provided the "Bodily Injury" or "Property Damage" occurs subsequent to the execution of the written contract or written agreement.	As required per written contract
Information required to complete this Schedule, if not shown above, will be shown in the Declarations.	

A. Section II – Who Is An Insured is amended to include as an additional insured the person(s) or organization(s) shown in the Schedule, but only with respect to liability for "bodily injury", "property damage" or "personal and advertising injury" caused, in whole or in part, by:

1. Your acts or omissions; or
2. The acts or omissions of those acting on your behalf;

in the performance of your ongoing operations for the additional insured(s) at the location(s) designated above.

However:

1. The insurance afforded to such additional insured only applies to the extent permitted by law; and
2. If coverage provided to the additional insured is required by a contract or agreement, the insurance afforded to such additional insured will not be broader than that which you are required by the contract or agreement to provide for such additional insured.

B. With respect to the insurance afforded to these additional insureds, the following additional exclusions apply:

This insurance does not apply to "bodily injury" or "property damage" occurring after:

1. All work, including materials, parts or equipment furnished in connection with such work, on the project (other than service, maintenance or repairs) to be performed by or on behalf of the additional insured(s) at the location of the covered operations has been completed; or
2. That portion of "your work" out of which the injury or damage arises has been put to its intended use by any person or organization other than another contractor or subcontractor engaged in performing operations for a principal as a part of the same project.

THIS ENDORSEMENT CHANGES THE POLICY. PLEASE READ IT CAREFULLY.

ADDITIONAL INSURED – OWNERS, LESSEES OR CONTRACTORS – COMPLETED OPERATIONS

This endorsement modifies insurance provided under the following:

COMMERCIAL GENERAL LIABILITY COVERAGE PART
PRODUCTS/COMPLETED OPERATIONS LIABILITY COVERAGE PART

SCHEDULE

Name Of Additional Insured Person(s) Or Organization(s)	Location And Description Of Completed Operations
Any person or organization that you are required written contract or written agreement to include as an additional insured provided the "Bodily Injury" or "Property Damage" occurs subsequent to the execution of the written contract or written agreement.	As required per written contract
Information required to complete this Schedule, if not shown above, will be shown in the Declarations.	

A. Section II – Who Is An Insured is amended to include as an additional insured the person(s) or organization(s) shown in the Schedule, but only with respect to liability for "bodily injury" or "property damage" caused, in whole or in part, by "your work" at the location designated and described in the Schedule of this endorsement performed for that additional insured and included in the "products-completed operations hazard".

However:

1. The insurance afforded to such additional insured only applies to the extent permitted by law; and

2. If coverage provided to the additional insured is required by a contract or agreement, the insurance afforded to such additional insured will not be broader than that which you are required by the contract or agreement to provide for such additional insured.

- B. With respect to the insurance afforded to these additional insureds, the following is added to **Section III – Limits Of Insurance:**

If coverage provided to the additional insured is required by a contract or agreement, the most we will pay on behalf of the additional insured is the amount of insurance:

1. Required by the contract or agreement; or
2. Available under the applicable limits of insurance;

whichever is less.

This endorsement shall not increase the applicable limits of insurance.