

Date: February 4, 2025

Agenda Item No. 8(P)(6)

To: Honorable Chairman Anthony Rodriguez
and Members, Board of County Commissioners

From: Daniella Levine Cava
Mayor



Resolution No. R-91-25

Subject: Recommendation to Award a Contract for Tire Purchases and Related Services

Summary

This item is for the purchase of tires and related services including, but not limited to, new tires, flat tire repairs, and tire recapping/retreading services, as well as solid filling of tires for multiple County departments. This contract will provide tires and related services for fleet vehicles such as sedans, SUVs, vans, pick-up trucks, County buses, refuse trucks, construction equipment, agricultural equipment, and first response vehicles such as ambulances, ladders, pumpers, tankers, and fire trucks. This contract will allow departments to keep their fleet inventory in good operational condition, which is critical for the delivery of services to County residents.

Recommendation

It is recommended that the Board of County Commissioners (Board) approve a competitive contract award, *Contract No. EVN0004711, Tire Purchases and Related Services*, to Balado National Tire, Inc., Central Tire Corp., Dan Callaghan Enterprises, Inc., Earl W. Colvard, Inc., Fedan Corp. dba Fedan Tire Co., KM Pedersen Enterprises, Pelar Trading Corp., and Tiresoles of Broward, Inc. in the cumulative amount of \$35,062,395 for a five-year term for multiple County departments. This contract will replace *Contract No. FB-01140 Tire Purchases and Related Services* approved by the Board via Resolution No. R-742-19.

The contract will provide for the purchase of tires and related services under the following groups: Group 1 – New Tire Purchases; Group 2 – Mobile Flat Tire Repairs and/or Replacement; Group 3 – Tire Recapping and/or Retreading; and Group 4 – Solid Fill. The method of award in the solicitation was to the three lowest-priced responsive, responsible vendors per line item or subgroup listed under each group. The vendor offering the lowest price (Rank 1) will be given the first opportunity to deliver under the contract, followed by the second (Rank 2) and third (Rank 3) vendors, respectively, based on factors such as delivery timeframe, availability of item, or vendor capacity/timeframe to complete services. The solicitation includes approximately 40-line items under Group 1, and 20 subgroups for services which include multiple line items under Groups 2, 3, and 4. Ten-line items under Group 1 and four subgroups under Group 3 are not being awarded as no bids were received or the bid received was deemed non-responsive. The line items and subgroups not being awarded may be resolicited at a later date.

Background

An Invitation to Bid was issued under full and open competition on June 28, 2024. On the closing date of September 5, 2024, the County received nine bids, all from local firms, including two certified Small Business Enterprise (SBE) firms. Upon review of the bids received, the County identified potential responsiveness issues and requested a legal review on October 11, 2024, to ascertain whether bids for multiple line items and subgroups were responsive for multiple bidders. On October 23, 2024, the County Attorney's Office (CAO) opined that 11 offers received across ten-line items under Group 1, six offers received across six subgroups under Group 3, and four offers received across two subgroups under Group 4, were non-responsive (opinion attached). Following receipt of the responsiveness opinion, the County conducted a Best and Final Offer for 20 line items under Group 1, followed by a tie-breaker process for 14 line items.

Scope

The scope of this item is countywide in nature.

Fiscal Impact/Funding Source

The fiscal impact for the five-year term is \$35,062,395. The current contract is valued at \$34,376,012 for a five-year and six-month term and expires on January 31, 2025. The annualized allocation under the proposed contract is slightly higher than the current contract and is based on anticipated needs. Until the proposed contract is awarded, short-term contracts are being established to ensure continuity of County operations.

Department	Allocation	Funding Source	Contract Manager
Aviation	\$2,500,000	Proprietary Funds	Sylvia Novela
Fire Rescue	\$2,325,000	Fire District	Marianela Betancourt
Internal Services	\$23,800,000	Internal Service Funds	Fernando Robreno
Parks, Recreation, and Open Spaces	\$550,000	Proprietary Funds	Bernie Rodriguez
Transportation and Public Works	\$440,400	DTPW Operating	Alonzo Joseph
Water and Sewer	\$5,446,995	Proprietary Funds	Frederick Taylor
Total	\$35,062,395		

Track Record/Monitor

Vanessa Stroman of the Strategic Procurement Department (SPD) is the Procurement Contracting Manager.

Delegated Authority

If this item is approved, the County Mayor or County Mayor's designee will have the authority to give notice of this award to the recommended vendors, issue the appropriate purchase orders to give effect to same and exercise all provisions of the contract, including any cancellation or extensions, pursuant to Section 2-8.1 of the County Code and Implementing Order 3-38.

Vendors Recommended for Award

Awards are being recommended to three lowest-priced, responsive and responsible bidders per line item or subgroups as detailed in the solicitation. Awards per group, line item, and subgroup are shown in Attachment 1.

Vendor	Principal Address	Local Address	Number of Employee Residents	Principal
			1) Miami-Dade 2) Percentage*	
Balado National Tires, Inc.	1633 NW 27 Avenue Miami, FL	Same	28	Orlando L. Hidalgo
			100%	
Central Tire Corp.	8275 NW 74 Street Miami, FL	Same	21	Sergio Leyva
			84%	
Dan Callaghan Enterprises, Inc.	4421 12 Street Court East Bradenton, FL	3600 Northwest 54 Street Miami, FL	5	Todd Severson
			3%	
Earl W. Colvard, Inc.	816 S Woodland Boulevard Deland FL	3850 NW 30 Avenue Miami, FL	40	Earl W. Colvard
			6.4%	
Fedan Corp. dba Fedan Tire Co.	2280 West 1 Avenue Hialeah, FL	Same	7	Jose Felix Sanchez
			100%	

Vendor	Principal Address	Local Address	Number of Employee Residents	Principal
			1) Miami-Dade 2) Percentage*	
KM Pedersen Enterprises, Inc. (SBE)	406 Washington Avenue Homestead, FL	Same	12	Michele Pedersen
			100%	
Pelar Trading Corp. (SBE)	581 East 33 Street Hialeah, FL	Same	3	Danitza Miranda
			100%	
Tiresoles of Broward, Inc.	1865 South Powerline Road Suite C Deerfield Beach, FL	7800 NW 103 Street Hialeah, FL	14	Stephen H. Stafford
			19%	

*Provided pursuant to Resolution No. R-1011-15. Percentage of employee residents is the percentage of vendor's employees who reside in Miami-Dade County as compared to the vendor's total workforce.

Vendor Not Recommended for Award

Vendor	Local Address	Reason for Not Recommending
Goodyear Tire & Rubber Co.	Yes	Bid withdrawn.

Due Diligence

Pursuant to Resolution No. R-187-12, due diligence was conducted in accordance with SPD's Procurement Guidelines to determine vendor responsibility, including verifying corporate status and that there are no performance and compliance issues through various vendor responsibility lists and a keyword internet search. The lists that were referenced included convicted vendors, debarred vendors, delinquent contractors, suspended vendors, and federal excluded parties. There were no adverse findings relating to vendor responsibility.

Pursuant to Resolution No. R-140-15, prior to re-procurement, a full review of the scope of services was conducted to ensure the replacement contract reflects the County's current needs. The review included conducting market research, posting a draft solicitation for industry comment, and holding meetings and drafting sessions with the user departments.

Applicability of Ordinances and Contract Measures

- The two percent User Access Program applies where permitted by funding source.
- The SBE Bid Preference and Local Preference applied where permitted by funding source.
- The Living Wage Ordinance does not apply.

Attachments



Carladenise Edwards
Chief Administrative Officer

Memorandum



Date: October 23, 2024

To: Robert Mendoza
Procurement Contracting Officer
Strategic Procurement Department

From: Eduardo Gonzalez
Assistant County Attorney
County Attorney's Office

Subject: Request for Responsiveness Determination on EVN0004711 Tire Purchases and Related Services

This memorandum is prepared in response to your October 11, 2024, memorandum (the "Memorandum") to me requesting a responsiveness opinion on various vendors who submitted bids in response to solicitation No. EVN0004711 (the "Solicitation"). Such Solicitation sought bids for the purchase of tires and tire-related services for various County Departments. This opinion is based on my review of the Memorandum, a copy of which is attached, the Solicitation, the bids at issue and multiple meetings we have had. The Memorandum sought opinions on the bids submitted by the following vendors: (1) The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company (Goodyear); (2) Balado National Tires, Inc. (Balado); (3) Central Tire Corp. (Central); (4) Dan Callaghan Enterprises Inc. dba Callaghan's Best One Tire & Service (Callaghan); (5) Earl W. Colvard, Inc. dba Boulevard Tire Center (Boulevard Tire); and (6) Tiresoles of Broward, Inc dba Miami Tiresoles (Tiresoles). Each of these six vendors shall be analyzed separately below.

Goodyear

Goodyear included a letter as part of their submittal which included the following statement "Goodyear CT&SC **proposes** certain changes to the terms & conditions to reflect our self-insurance and clearly define our responsibility on attachment 1 on the following pages" (Page 10 of the Submittal Packet) (emphasis added). Following the letter a four-page document with a header titled "Attachment #1 – Request for Deviation, Change or Modification of Bid Terms and Conditions" Goodyear included additions, revisions and strikethroughs on several bid terms such as insurance, warranty, acceptance of product and termination provisions. Beyond Attachment 1, Goodyear's bid submittal included pages modifying, revising and striking language of the bid terms and conditions consistent with the proposed revisions set forth in Attachment 1.

In addition to the proposed revisions of the bid terms and conditions, Goodyear also included as part of their submittal a document which provides the following statement "Miami Dade County – FL Effective 08/01/2024, Expires 07/31/2025." and lists various tire types with a "% Off-Factor" which mirrors the percentage discounts offered as part of their price submittal form for Group 1 of the bid. It should be noted that as per Section 2.5.1 Prices, Group 1, "Discounts shall remain fixed and firm for the entirety of the Contract term..." Following the document in question, the Goodyear submittal included their MSRP list. The MSRP list contained the same effective date language stating the pricing expired on 7/31/2025 and contained a header that generally provided prices are subject to change. Goodyear also included a 15-page document titled "Limited Warranty, Tire Care

and Maintenance Guide” which provides warranty terms different from the bid terms and conditions. Goodyear, however, did submit a separate completed price submittal document that did **not** reference the MSRP list or any restrictions contrary to the bid specifications as to when the prices bid would expire. Nowhere in the bid did Goodyear condition its bid to the MSRP List or the document providing that pricing would expire on July 31, 2025.

The issues identified above do not render Goodyear’s bid as non-responsive. First, as to the proposed revisions and strikethroughs of the bid’s terms and conditions, Goodyear plainly identifies such revisions and changes as *proposed requests* to the County. Nowhere in the bid submittal does Goodyear expressly condition its bid on the proposed changes. As such, and consistent with prior opinions of the County Attorney’s Office, a vendor’s mere requests to change or negotiate bid terms do not render a bid non-responsive. However, because this solicitation is a strictly price-based procurement that does not permit negotiations, the County cannot entertain any material changes to the terms and conditions of the bid.

The inclusion of an MSRP list, a document that only keeps a portion of the prices open until July 2025 and written warranty terms that differ from the bid requirements present a different issue. If the extra pricing documents were the only pricing provided to the County, portions of Goodyear’s bid would be non-responsive. However, Goodyear did submit a price submittal page separate and apart from these documents that did not contain any of the documents’ restrictions. Furthermore, Goodyear did not condition or reference these pricing documents in its pricing proposal. Such documents are not labeled as Goodyear’s bid sheet. Such documents can be considered extraneous documents that do not expressly alter Goodyear’s bid. When analyzing whether Goodyear submitted responsive pricing the County should limit itself to the price submittal document that it provided to the County – not the extraneous price lists. As to the written warranty terms, the same analysis applies. Nothing in the bid provides that Goodyear’s bid is conditioned on the County’s acceptance of the warranty terms. Moreover, the written warranty terms are consistent with Goodyear’s requests to alter the bid’s general terms and conditions. Requesting changes to the bid does not render an otherwise responsive bid non-responsive, however, as stated previously the County cannot materially alter the bid’s terms and conditions.¹

Under Group 3 of the solicitation, the County listed 10 different sub-groups for services, with multiple line items. To be considered for award, bidders were to respond to each item within the sub-group. Goodyear did not provide pricing for all line items within the following sub-groups: Service Type B, Service Type D1, Service Type D2, Service Type F, Service Type G, Service Type H. Failure to bid on all items in a group or sub-group when the solicitation requires it, renders the vendor’s bids on such groups or sub-groups non-responsive. Accordingly, Goodyear’s bids for the following sub-groups in Group 3 are non-responsive: Service Type B, Service Type D1, Service Type D2, Service Type F, Service Type G, Service Type H.

¹ Goodyear’s extensive requests to change the County’s general terms and conditions may raise the issue of bidder responsibility, that is, whether Goodyear can perform per the terms and conditions of the solicitation.

Balado

Under Group 1 of the solicitation, the County listed various line items for the purchase of new tires with award being provided to up to three bidders who provide the highest discount off MSRP. The line items were broken down by manufacturer brand and then tire type, or by brand to be inclusive of all tire types. The County also included an all-inclusive line where bidders could enter any additional brands they would like to offer the County. Balado, as part of their submittal provided two separate pricing forms. The first pricing form was found within an 11-page document titled Section 4. Within Section 4, there was a price sheet for Group 1, New Tire Purchases. Balado modified the pricing form provided and changed the brand “Continental” to read “Continental & General”, and “Goodyear” to read “Goodyear and Cooper” and proceeded to provide pricing for only 6 out of the 10 line items within the two brands. While the bidder was free to bid on all or some of the line items within the Continental and Goodyear brand of tires, the County requested an all-inclusive discount for the line item “Cooper, All Tire Types”. In the column titled Enter “Percentage Discount Off (MSRP) for “Cooper, All Tire Types” Balado entered “See Goodyear”. A review of the Goodyear lines show no discount provided for “Medium/Heavy Truck” tires and “All Other Tire Types”. Balado also submitted a second price sheet as part of their bid submittal. The second price sheet did contain an all-inclusive 20% for “Cooper, All Tire Types.” Because Balado submitted two inconsistent and irreconcilable bids for “Cooper. All Tire Types” Balado’s bid for such is nonresponsive.

Additionally, Balado, as part of their submittal also wrote in the Brand “General” in the Additional Brand Line. The Additional Brand Line requested one discount for “All Tire Types”. Balado as part of their submittal entered “See Continental” in the “Percentage Discount Off (MSRP) Column. A review of Balado’s bids on the Continental lines show no discount provided for “Medium/Heavy Truck” tires and “All Other Tire Types”. Balado’s bid on “General” for the Additional Brand Line is also non-responsive.

Central

Under Group 1, the County requested one MSRP discount for line “Maxam, All Tire Types”, and Central provided the following response, “Additional Brand”. Central, as part of their submittal provided an additional document which provided five-line items for Maxam tires, of which the following tire types, Passenger, Pursuit/Performance, and Light Duty Truck included an N/A next to them. Additionally, the Medium/Heavy Truck and All Other Tire Type lines had two different discounts differing from the all-inclusive single discount line the County requested. Central did not provide a responsive bid to “Maxam, All Tire Types.” Central did not provide an all-inclusive discount as provided for in the bid.

Central also included five-line items each for the additional brands Yokohama and Falken, which differed from the County’s request of one all-inclusive discount for “All Tire Types”. For the Yokohama lines, Central provided three different discounts across the five lines. For the Falken lines, Central provided only one discount for Medium/Heavy Truck and the other tire types had

“N/A” next to them. Central’s bids for the Yokohama and Falken brands are non-responsive for its failure to bid one all-inclusive single MSRP discount.

Callaghan

Under Group 1, Callaghan as part of their submittal provided multiple discounts based on whether a tire was “Bus Commercial”, “Off Road”, “Ag & Farm”, “Industrial” and “Specialty” for the following line items: (1) “Bridgestone, All Other Tire Types”; and (2) Firestone, All Other Tire Types”. Additionally, Callaghan did not provide what brand they were offering in the “Additional Brand: All Tire Types” line. While the supporting documentation leads the County to believe the Additional Brand Offered is for Toyo Tires (based on Toyo Documentation provided in Callaghan’s bid), Callaghan also included two discount rates, “20% P/PLT” and “25% Medium/Heavy Truck” which differs from the County’s request of one discount for “All Tire Types” for any additional brands offered. Accordingly, Callaghan’s bids on the above-referenced line items are non-responsive.

Under Group 4 of the solicitation, the County listed 3 different sub-groups for service with multiple line items. To be considered for award bidders were to respond to each item within the sub-group. Callaghan did not provide pricing for all line items within Service Type A, and Service Type B. Accordingly, Callaghan’s bids for such sub-groups are non-responsive.

Boulevard Tire

Under Group 1, Boulevard Tire entered a percentage discount under the “Additional Brand, All Tire Types” line but did not specify the additional brand being offered to the County. However, in supporting documentation Boulevard Tire submitted Yokohama Tires is identified as the additional brand. Accordingly, the County can consider Boulevard Tire’s “Additional Brand, All Tire Types” bid and use Yokohama Tires as the additional brand.

Like Callaghan, in Group 4 Boulevard Tire did not provide pricing for all line items within Service Type A, and Service Type B. Accordingly, Boulevard Tire’s bids for such sub-groups are non-responsive.

Tiresoles

Under Group 1, the County requested one MSRP discount for “Additional Brand, All Tire Types”, and Tiresoles entered “See Addit’l Sheets”. Upon review of the Additional Brand Sheet, Tiresoles provided various discounts for several “General”, “Alliance”, and “Galaxy” tire types but did not match the County’s request for one all-inclusive MSRP discount for “All Tire Types”. Accordingly, Tiresoles bids for such additional brands are non-responsive.

/s/ Eduardo W. Gonzalez

Attachment 1 - Awarded Vendors

Group 1 - New Tire Purchases			
Vendor	Rank 1	Rank 2	Rank 3
Balado National Tires, Inc	BF Goodrich - Passenger	Goodyear - Passenger	
	BF Goodrich - Pursuit / Performance	Goodyear - Pursuit / Performance	
	BF Goodrich - Light Duty Truck	Goodyear - Light Duty Truck	
	Bridgestone - Pursuit / Performance		
	Continental - Passenger		
	Continental - Pursuit / Performance		
	Continental - Light Duty Truck		
	Firestone - Pursuit / Performance		
	Michelin - Passenger		
	Michelin - Pursuit / Performance		
	Michelin - Light Duty Truck		
	Hankook - All Tire Types		
	Pirelli - All Tire Types		
Central Tire Corp	BF Goodrich - Medium / Heavy Truck	BF Goodrich - Passenger	
	BF Goodrich - All Other Tire Types	BF Goodrich - Pursuit / Performance	
	Michelin - Medium / Heavy Truck	BF Goodrich - Light Duty Truck	
	Michelin - All Other Tire Types	Michelin - Passenger	
		Michelin - Pursuit / Performance	
		Michelin - Light Duty Truck	
Dan Callaghan Enterprises, Inc		Bridgestone - Medium / Heavy Truck	Bridgestone - Passenger
		Firestone - Medium / Heavy Truck	Bridgestone - Pursuit / Performance
			Bridgestone - Light Duty Truck
			Firestone - Passenger
			Firestone - Light Duty Truck

Attachment 1 - Awarded Vendors

Group 1 - New Tire Purchases			
Vendor	Rank 1	Rank 2	Rank 3
Earl W Colvard, Inc	Bridgestone - Passenger	BF Goodrich - Medium / Heavy Truck	BF Goodrich - Passenger
	Bridgestone - Light Duty Truck	Continental - Medium / Heavy Truck	BF Goodrich - Pursuit / Performance
	Bridgestone - Medium / Heavy Truck	Firestone - Pursuit / Performance	BF Goodrich - Light Duty Truck
	Firestone - Passenger	Michelin - Medium/ Heavy Truck	Michelin - Passenger
	Firestone - Light Duty Truck		Michelin - Pursuit / Performance
	Firestone - Medium/ Heavy Truck		Michelin - Light Duty Truck
	Yokohama - All Tire Types		
KM Pedersen Enterprises, Inc	Goodyear - Passenger		
	Goodyear - Pursuit / Performance		
	Goodyear - Light Duty Truck		
	Goodyear - Medium / Heavy Truck		
Tiresoles of Broward, Inc	Continental - Medium / Heavy Truck	Bridgestone - Passenger	BF Goodrich - Medium / Heavy Truck
	Continental - All Other Tire Types	Bridgestone - Pursuit / Performance	Firestone - Pursuit / Performance
	Goodyear - All Other Tire Types	Bridgestone - Light Duty Truck	Goodyear - Passenger
		Firestone - Passenger	Goodyear - Pursuit / Performance
		Firestone - Light Duty Truck	Goodyear - Light Duty Truck
		Continental - Passenger	Michelin - Medium / Heavy Truck
		Continental - Light Duty	
		Goodyear - Medium / Heavy Truck	

Attachment 1 - Awarded Vendors

Group 2 - Mobile Flat Tire Repairs and/or Replacement			
Vendor	Rank 1	Rank 2	Rank 3
Central Tire Corp			Sub Group: Aviation
			Sub Group: Fire Rescue (MDFR)
			Sub Group: Transit (DTPW)
			Sub Group: Internal Services
			Sub Group: Water and Sewer (WASD)
			Sub Group: Parks (PROS)
			Sub Group: Parks Seaport
			Sub Group: All Other County Dept's
Dan Callaghan Enterprises, Inc		Sub Group: Aviation	
		Sub Group: Fire Rescue (MDFR)	
		Sub Group: Transit (DTPW)	
		Sub Group: Internal Services	
		Sub Group: Water and Sewer (WASD)	
		Sub Group: Parks (PROS)	
		Sub Group: Parks Seaport	
		Sub Group: All Other County Dept's	
Pelar Trading Corp	Sub Group: Aviation		
	Sub Group: Fire Rescue (MDFR)		
	Sub Group: Transit (DTPW)		
	Sub Group: Internal Services		
	Sub Group: Water and Sewer (WASD)		
	Sub Group: Parks (PROS)		
	Sub Group: Parks Seaport		
	Sub Group: All Other County Dept's		

Attachment 1 - Awarded Vendors

Group 3 - Tire Recapping and /or Retreading			
Vendor	Rank 1	Rank 2	Rank 3
Central Tire Corp	Sub Group E: Recapping Of Bidder Supplied New Virgin Casing With Pre-Cured Top Cap	Sub Group A: Recapping of County Supplied Casing with Pre-Cured Top Cap	
	Sub Group G: Recapping Of County Supplied Solid Filled OTR Bias Ply Casing With Re Pressurizing (As Needed)	Sub Group C1: Recapping Of Bidder Supplied Used Grade A Virgin Casing With A Pre-Cured Top Cap	
	Sub Group H: Recap of Bidder Supplied (OTR) Bias Ply Tire Casings to include dismount and disposal of existing tire, foam fill, remounting of vendor supplied casing	Sub Group C2: Recapping Of Bidder Supplied Used Grade B Virgin Casing With A Pre-Cured Top Cap	
Dan Callaghan Enterprises, Inc		Sub Group E: Recapping Of Bidder Supplied New Virgin Casing With Pre-Cured Top Cap	Sub Group C1: Recapping Of Bidder Supplied Used Grade A Virgin Casing With A Pre-Cured Top Cap
Earl W Colvard, Inc			Sub Group A: Recapping of County Supplied Casing with Pre-Cured Top Cap
			Sub Group C2: Recapping Of Bidder Supplied Used Grade B Virgin Casing With A Pre-Cured Top Cap
Fedan Corp	Sub Group A: Recapping of County Supplied Casing with Pre-Cured Top Cap		
	Sub Group C1: Recapping Of Bidder Supplied Used Grade A Virgin Casing With A Pre-Cured Top Cap		
	Sub Group C2: Recapping Of Bidder Supplied Used Grade B Virgin Casing With A Pre-Cured Top Cap		

Attachment 1 - Awarded Vendors

Group 4 - Solid Fill			
Vendor	Rank 1	Rank 2	Rank 3
Central Tire Corp	Sub Group: Service Type A: Existing Solid Foam Filled		
	Sub Group: Service Type B: New Solid Foam Filled		
	Sub Group: Service Type C: New or Existing Solid Press-On		



MEMORANDUM

(Revised)

TO: Honorable Chairman Anthony Rodriguez
and Members, Board of County Commissioners

DATE: February 4, 2025

FROM: 
Gen Bonzon-Keenan
County Attorney

SUBJECT: Agenda Item No. 8(P)(6)

Please note any items checked.

- ☐ "3-Day Rule" for committees applicable if raised
- ☐ 6 weeks required between first reading and public hearing
- ☐ 4 weeks notification to municipal officials required prior to public hearing
- ☐ Decreases revenues or increases expenditures without balancing budget
- ☐ Budget required
- ☐ Statement of fiscal impact required
- ☐ Statement of social equity required
- ☐ Ordinance creating a new board requires detailed County Mayor's report for public hearing
- ☐ No committee review
- ☒ Applicable legislation requires more than a majority vote (i.e., 2/3's present ____, 2/3 membership ____, 3/5's ____, unanimous ____, majority plus one ____, CDMP 7 vote requirement per 2-116.1(3)(h) or (4)(c) ____, CDMP 2/3 vote requirement per 2-116.1(3) (h) or (4)(c) ____, CDMP 9 vote requirement per 2-116.1(4)(c) (2) ____) to approve
- ☐ Current information regarding funding source, index code and available balance, and available capacity (if debt is contemplated) required

Approved *Daniella Leme Cava* Mayor
Veto _____
Override _____

Agenda Item No. 8(P)(6)
2-4-25

RESOLUTION NO. R-91-25

RESOLUTION APPROVING AWARD OF CONTRACT NO. EVN0004711 TO BALADO NATIONAL TIRE, INC., CENTRAL TIRE CORP., DAN CALLAGHAN ENTERPRISES, INC., EARL W. COLVARD, INC., FEDAN CORP. DBA FEDAN TIRE CO., KM PEDERSEN ENTERPRISES, PELAR TRADING CORP., AND TIRESOLES OF BROWARD, INC. FOR TIRE PURCHASES AND RELATED SERVICES IN A CUMULATIVE AMOUNT NOT TO EXCEED \$35,062,395.00 FOR A FIVE-YEAR TERM FOR MULTIPLE COUNTY DEPARTMENTS; AND AUTHORIZING THE COUNTY MAYOR OR COUNTY MAYOR'S DESIGNEE TO GIVE NOTICE OF THIS AWARD TO THE RECOMMENDED VENDORS, ISSUE THE APPROPRIATE PURCHASE ORDERS TO GIVE EFFECT TO SAME AND EXERCISE ALL PROVISIONS OF THE CONTRACT, INCLUDING ANY CANCELLATION OR EXTENSION PROVISIONS, PURSUANT TO SECTION 2-8.1 OF THE CODE OF MIAMI-DADE COUNTY, FLORIDA AND IMPLEMENTING ORDER 3-38

WHEREAS, this Board desires to accomplish the purposes outlined in the accompanying memorandum, a copy of which is incorporated herein by reference,

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED BY THE BOARD OF COUNTY COMMISSIONERS OF MIAMI-DADE COUNTY, FLORIDA, that:

Section 1. This Board approves award of Contract No. EVN0004711 to Balado National Tire, Inc., Central Tire Corp., Dan Callaghan Enterprises, Inc., Earl W. Colvard, Inc., Fedan Corp. dba Fedan Tire Co, KM Pedersen Enterprises, Pelar Trading Corp., and Tiresoles of Broward, Inc. for Tire Purchases and Related Services in a cumulative amount not to exceed \$35,062,395.00 for a five-year term for multiple County departments.

Section 2. This Board authorizes the County Mayor or County Mayor’s designee to give notice of this award to the recommended vendors, issue the appropriate purchase orders to give effect to same and exercise all provisions of the contracts, including cancellation or extension provisions, pursuant to section 2-8.1 of the Code of Miami-Dade County, Florida and Implementing Order 3-38. A copy of the contract document is on file with and available upon request from the Strategic Procurement Department

The foregoing resolution was offered by Commissioner **Eileen Higgins** , who moved its adoption. The motion was seconded by Commissioner **Raquel A. Regalado** and upon being put to a vote, the vote was as follows:

Anthony Rodriguez, Chairman	aye		
Kionne L. McGhee, Vice Chairman	aye		
Marleine Bastien	aye	Juan Carlos Bermudez	aye
Kevin Marino Cabrera	aye	Sen. René García	aye
Oliver G. Gilbert, III	aye	Roberto J. Gonzalez	aye
Keon Hardemon	aye	Danielle Cohen Higgins	aye
Eileen Higgins	aye	Raquel A. Regalado	aye
Micky Steinberg	aye		

The Chairperson thereupon declared this resolution duly passed and adopted this 4th day of February, 2025. This resolution shall become effective upon the earlier of (1) 10 days after the date of its adoption unless vetoed by the County Mayor, and if vetoed, shall become effective only upon an override by this Board, or (2) approval by the County Mayor of this resolution and the filing of this approval with the Clerk of the Board.



MIAMI-DADE COUNTY, FLORIDA
BY ITS BOARD OF
COUNTY COMMISSIONERS

JUAN FERNANDEZ-BARQUIN, CLERK

By: Basia Pruna
Deputy Clerk

Approved by County Attorney as
to form and legal sufficiency.

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to be "E. W. Gonzalez", written over a horizontal line.

Eduardo W. Gonzalez