

MEMORANDUM

Agenda Item No. 8(J)(2)

TO: Honorable Chairman Anthony Rodriguez
and Members, Board of County Commissioners

DATE: July 1, 2025

FROM: Geri Bonzon-Keenan
County Attorney

SUBJECT: Resolution approving award of professional services agreement to Moffatt & Nichol, Inc. for planning consultant services, Seaport Project No.: 2022-021 (A), SPD Contract No.: E24SP01, in an amount not to exceed \$3,750,000.00, inclusive of contingency allowance of \$375,000.00; authorizing the County Mayor to execute the professional services agreement and to exercise all its provisions, including any cancellation, renewal, and extension provisions

Resolution No. R-664-25

The accompanying resolution was prepared by the Port of Miami and placed on the agenda at the request of Prime Sponsor Commissioner Micky Steinberg.



Geri Bonzon-Keenan
County Attorney

GBK/uw

Memorandum



Date: July 1, 2025

To: Honorable Chairman Anthony Rodriguez
and Members, Board of County Commissioners

From: Daniella Levine Cava *Daniella Levine Cava*
Mayor

Subject: Contract Award Recommendation for Approval to Award a Professional Services Agreement for Planning Consultant Services; Seaport Project No.: 2022-021 (A); SPD Contract No.: E24SP01 to Moffatt & Nichol, Inc.

SUMMARY

This item is recommending that Miami-Dade County (County) enter into a Professional Services Agreement (PSA) for Planning Consultant Services with Moffatt & Nichol, Inc. (Firm) for Planning Consultant Services. The Seaport received a Better Utilizing Investment to Leverage Development Program Grant (BUILD), formerly known as Rebuilding American Infrastructure with Sustainability and Equity (RAISE) grant in the amount of \$5M to plan its Cargo Supply Chain Master Plan (Project), and these planning services are essential to complete the grant requirements. This is one of two award recommendations for Planning Consultant Services. The other award recommendation, with identical contract terms, Seaport Project No.: 2022-021 (B); SPD Contract No.: E24SP01, is also on today's agenda to Jacobs Engineering Group, Inc. as a companion item. The total funding for the award of the two PSA's stipulates the County will match the \$5M RAISE grant with its local contribution of \$2.5M, totaling \$7.5M

RECOMMENDATION

It is recommended that the Board of County Commissioners (Board) approve the competitive award of a PSA to Moffatt & Nichol Inc. under Seaport Project No.: 2022-021 (A); SPD Contract No.: E24SP01 for the Seaport Department (PortMiami) in the combined not-to-exceed amount of \$3,750,000.00, including a contingency amount of \$375,000.00 with a term of five (5) years with no renewal options.

SCOPE

PortMiami is located within District 5, represented by Commissioner Eileen Higgins. The impact of this item is countywide as PortMiami is a regional asset and generates employment for residents throughout Miami-Dade County.

The Consultant shall provide non-exclusive professional services, which consist of the development of various Seaport planning activities. The PSA will be a five-year contract with a maximum compensation of \$3,750,000.00 and includes a ten percent (10%) contingency. No minimum amount of work or compensation will be guaranteed.

PortMiami received a Better Utilizing Investment to Leverage Development Program Grant (BUILD) in the amount of \$5M to plan its Cargo Supply Chain Master Plan. This effort requires on-port and off-port planning work for up to two inland port locations and on-port studies.

All planning services related to the BUILD Grant may include, but not limited to, Project Management, Innovation and Technology, Environmental, Land Use Planning, Commercial Real Estate Services, Off-Port Land Acquisition, Cargo Analysis and Economic Impact, Financial Modeling and Business

Development, Cost Estimating, Energy Studies, Emissions Studies, Utility Analysis, All traffic Analysis, Master Planning, Conceptual Planning, Architecture, Conceptual Design, Off-Port Initial Site Planning for the Inland Port, Initial Site Selection for the Inland Port, Off-Port Strategies, Site Refinement and Development Program, Stakeholder Engagement and Public Community Outreach, Off-Port Site Selection Due Diligence, Off-Port Sustainable Program, Financial Models, On and Off-Port Implementation Plan, Detailed Site Planning, Cost Estimating, and On-Port Components of the Sustainable Program.

All planning studies shall comply with the County's resiliency and climate action plans. Use innovative techniques when planning to include sustainable design (i.e., site development options, water efficiency and reuse, material use, energy, and atmosphere, etc.). This also includes urban land-use planning by consolidating buildings into urban areas to maximize limited land on the island and off-port as necessary. All planning services will be based on and align with the Miami-Dade County approved Climate Action Strategy, which includes its plan, the Extreme Heat Plan, and the Resilience 305 Initiative. The off-port inland port planning is geared towards the evaluation and selection of sites, and includes an Executive Summary, Goals And Objectives, Cargo Movement Strategies, Cargo Impact, Future Market Demands, Land Uses, Concept Plan, Environmental Impact and Minimization Strategies, Transportation Strategy, On-Port Studies, Emission Study, Energy and Electrification, Stormwater and Drainage, Budgets, Execution Strategies, Economic Impact, Labor Strategies, Energy Strategies, Schedules and Phasing. The actual services will be divided between the two PSAs through work orders.

The on-port study will include, amongst other things, the energy and electric distribution plan. The energy and electric distribution plan effort includes preparing the detailed planning and design to achieve sustainable on-port functions which include power supply, distribution and delivery to ships, equipment, parking, and other systems now operating with fossil fuels. The plan will look at the overall energy targets for the Port and establish sustainable energy targets. The energy sources plan will also be prepared to introduce additional sustainable energy sources within the Port, such as solar and wind generation. The emissions studies will be prepared to reflect a comprehensive emission study of all port functions to establish reduction targets and prioritize investments. The stormwater master resiliency plan will be prepared to reflect a master plan for stormwater management within the port to address sustainable redevelopment. The Climate action plan will frame a complete detailed plan and studies for on-port projects to provide for resiliency of port functions. This may include new strategies for setting new flood elevations, and protection of critical infrastructure.

Ancillary planning services include preparing written reports, applications, and oral presentations of planning project-related materials; and provides related planning services as needed; coordinate planning efforts to ensure that port operations and capital improvement program project schedules are maintained; assists with a smooth transition from the planning to the design development of projects and their efficiency with port operations; coordinates with Master Plan and Transportation Master Plan to minimize overlap and unify near- and long-range direction; assists with all county and association required planning submittals, including, but not limited to, updating the Miami-Dade County Comprehensive Development Master Plan (CDMP), Transportation Improvements Plan (TIP) updates, and the Florida Department of Transportation (FDOT) plans and all other pertinent regional plans; incorporates input from stakeholders, customers, and various County and State agencies.

DELEGATED AUTHORITY

The County Mayor or County Mayor's designee is authorized to execute and implement this contract and to exercise all provisions contained therein, including any cancellation, renewal, and extension provisions.

BACKGROUND

A Notice to Professional Services (NTPC) was issued under full and open competition on September 16, 2024 to award two (2) Professional Services Agreement (PSAs) for planning and consulting services under SPD Contract No. E24SP01. On the closing date of November 7, 2024, the County received eight (8) proposals. As such, the Competitive Selection Committee (CSC) appointed by the County Mayor conducted a First-Tier Meeting on January 28, 2025. The firms were evaluated in accordance with Section 2-10.4 of the Miami-Dade County Code, Implementing Order 3-34 and Administrative Order 3-39.

At the conclusion of the First Tier Meeting, the two top shortlisted firms ranked as follows: Firm No. 1, Moffatt & Nichol Inc. received a Total Adjusted Ordinal Score of 6 and a Total Adjusted Qualitative Points of 355; Firm No. 2, Jacobs Engineering Group received a Total Adjusted Ordinal Score of 7 and a Total Adjusted Qualitative Points of 356. Both firms were recommended for negotiations by the CSC. The County Mayor's designee, Director of the Strategic Procurement Department concurred with the CSC recommendation (Refer to Attachment 1 – Report of Competitive Selection Committee).

Negotiations were conducted individually with both firms on March 14, 2025. At the conclusion of negotiations, the CSC arrived at a not-to-exceed price of \$3,375,000.00, that is fair and reasonable to complete all tasks associated with the Scope of Services. Based on the above, it is recommended that this Agreement be awarded not to exceed the amount of \$3,750,000.00, (inclusive of the 10% contingency allowance amount of \$375,000.00) to Moffat & Nichol Inc.

All firms were evaluated in accordance with Section 2-10.4 of the Miami-Dade County Code, Implementing Order 3-34 and Administrative Order 3-39.

FISCAL IMPACT/FUNDING SOURCE

This PSA is valued at \$3,750,000.00 for a term of five (5) years. See the table below for a breakdown and further details.

Base Contract Amount	Contingency Amount (Code Sec. 2-8.1)
\$3,375,000.00	\$375,000.00

The Adopted Budget and Multi-Year Capital Plan indicates the item has been budgeted. See table below for further details.

Revenue Name	Program No. & Description	Project No.	Fund Code	Funding Amount
Seaport Future Financing	2000000572 - Inland Development – Phase I; Page: 256 Adopted Budget and Multi-Year Capital Plan FY 2024-25,	3001211	C9999	\$750,000.00

	FY 2024-25 Funds			
US DOT	2000000572 - Inland Development – Phase I; Page: 256 Adopted Budget and Multi-Year Capital Plan FY 2024-25, FY 2024-25 Funds	3001211	TBD	\$833,500.00
Seaport Future Financing	2000000572 - Inland Development – Phase I; Page: 256 Adopted Budget and Multi-Year Capital Plan FY 2024-25, FY 2025-26 Funds	3001211	C9999	\$250,000.00
US DOT	2000000572 - Inland Development – Phase I; Page: 256 Adopted Budget and Multi-Year Capital Plan FY 2024-25, FY 2025-26 Funds	3001211	TBD	\$833,500.00
Seaport Future Financing	2000000572 - Inland Development – Phase I; Page: 256 Adopted Budget and Multi-Year Capital Plan FY 2024-25, FY 2026-27 Funds	3001211	C9999	\$250,000.00
US DOT	2000000572 - Inland Development – Phase I; Page: 256 Adopted Budget and Multi-Year Capital Plan FY 2024-25, FY 2026-27 Funds	3001211	TBD	\$833,000.00

See table below for additional funding types reviewed, and whether they are applicable.

Funding Type	Legislation	Applicable (Yes or No)	Notations
People's Transportation Plan (PTP)	County Code Section 29-124	No	
General Obligation Bond (GOB)	Resolutions R-912-04, R-913- 04, R-914-04, R-915-04, R-916- 04, R-917-04, R-918-04, R-919- 04	No	
American Recovery and Reinvestment Act (ARRA- Economic Stimulus)	County Code Section 2-8.2.7	No	

TRACK RECORD/MONITOR

Becky Hope, Assistant Port Director, is responsible for the tracking and monitoring of this PSA, a copy of which is attached herein.

VENDOR(S) RECOMMENDED FOR AWARD

The table below depicts a summary of the recommended prime consultant(s).

Vendor Name	Principal Address	Local Address	Number of Employee Residents*	Principal
			1) Miami-Dade County 2) Percentage (%)	
Moffatt & Nichol, Inc.	3150 SW 38 TH Avenue, Suite 1050, Miami, FL 33146	3150 SW 38 TH Avenue, Suite 1050, Miami, FL 33146	1) 10	Chris Cornell, P.E., S.E.
			2) 0.8%	

*Pursuant to R-1011-15, the percentage of employee residents is the percentage of the vendor's employees who reside in Miami-Dade County as compared to the vendor's total workforce.

The sub-consultants/subcontractors for this project are BCC Engineering, LLC, Berenblum Busch Architecture, Inc., EAC Consulting, Inc., GCES Engineering Services, LLC, Manuel G. Vera and Associates, Inc., RES Florida Consulting LLC, TLC Engineering Solutions, Inc., Glass Land Acquisition Service Specialist Inc., and Media Relations Group LLC.

A review of the County's Capital Improvements Information System showed the recommended vendor has received six (6) evaluations with an average score of 3.9 out of a possible maximum score of 4.0.

According to the Firm History Report, as provided by the Small Business Development Division of the Internal Services Department, Moffat & Nichols, Inc. received one contract with a total value of \$2,953,478.00 within the last three and five years.


DUE DILIGENCE

Pursuant to R-187-12, the Strategic Procurement Department (SPD) conducted due diligence in accordance with SPD's Procurement Guidelines to determine vendor responsibility including verifying corporate status and that no performance or compliance issues exist. The lists referenced included: Capital Improvements Information System, Office of Small Business Development database, Sunbiz, Tax Collector's Office, convicted vendors, debarred vendors, delinquent contractors, suspended vendors, and federal excluded parties list. Also examined as part of this due diligence: Florida Division of Business and Professional Regulation. There were no adverse findings relating to Firm responsibility.

APPLICABILITY OF ORDINANCES AND MEASURES

The table below depicts various legislative policies, and whether they are applicable to this item.

Title	Legislation	Applicable (Yes or No)	Notations
Small Business Enterprise - Architecture and Engineering	County Code Section 2-10.4.01	No	No Measures - Grant Funded (Refer to Attachment 2 – SBD Project Worksheet)
Small Business Enterprise -EFER TO	County Code Section 2-8.1.1.1.1	No	
Small Business Enterprise -Goods	County Code Section 2-8.1.1.1.2	No	
In-house Capabilities	Resolution R-1204-05	No	
Responsible Wages	County Code Section 2-11.16	No	
Sea Level Rise	Ordinance 14-79	Yes	Sea Level Rise will be considered where applicable.
Sustainable Buildings Measure	Implementing Order 8-8	No	
Local Preference	County Code Section 2-8.5	No	
Local Certified Veteran Business Enterprise Preference	Code County Section 2-8.5.1	No	
Consultants' Competitive Negotiation Act	FL Stat. 287.055	Yes	
Office of Inspector General Fee	County Code Section 2-1076	No	

BUDGET APPROVAL FUNDS AVAILABLE:	 OMB DIRECTOR	05/06/2025 DATE
APPROVED AS TO LEGAL SUFFICIENCY:	 COUNTY ATTORNEY	04/23/2025 DATE
	 COUNTY MAYOR DESIGNEE	05/19/25 DATE
CLERK DATE:	DATE	

Memorandum



Date: March 10, 2025

To: Namita Uppal, C.P.M.
Director and Chief Procurement Officer
Strategic Procurement Department

Through: Jessica Tyrrell, MBA, CPPO, CPPB, NIGP-CPP *Jt*
Deputy Director
Strategic Procurement Department

From: Julie Whiteside, CPPB *JW*
Competitive Selection Committee Coordinator

Subject: Report of Competitive Selection Committee
PortMiami
Planning Consultant Services
SPD Project No. E24SP01

The Competitive Selection Committee (CSC) has completed evaluation of the proposals submitted in response to the referenced Strategic Procurement Department (SPD) solicitation and consistent with the guidelines published in the Notice to Professional Consultants (NTPC).

Scope of Services Summary: Master planning services to develop the strategy to bring the PortMiami Net Zero program to fruition. PortMiami was awarded the Rebuilding American Infrastructure with Sustainability and Equity (RAISE) discretionary grant for the path to Net Zero master plan. This effort requires both on-port and off-port planning services for up to two inland port locations, on-port studies, preliminary designs and all ancillary planning services as required.

Preferred Experience and Qualifications: Please refer to the attached Experience and Qualifications.

Contract Terms: The County intends to retain two (2) qualified consultants/teams for two (2) non-exclusive Professional Services Agreements (PSAs), each with an effective term of five (5) years.

Disadvantaged Business Enterprise Goal: On August 14, 2024, the Office of the Small Business Development established no measures are applicable to this solicitation.

Advertisement Date: September 16, 2024

Number of Proposals Received: Eight (8) proposals were received by the submittal deadline of November 7, 2024.

Name of Proposers: Please refer to the attached List of Respondents.

Strategic Procurement Department Compliance Review: On December 9, 2024, the proposal from BEA Architects, Inc. was forwarded to the County Attorney's Office (CAO) for review. The CAO responded on December 31, 2024, and deemed the proposal compliant. Consequently, BEA Architects, Inc's proposal was evaluated by the CSC.

The proposals submitted by Cutait Group, LLC and RE Fasteners, LLC did not meet the prequalification/technical certification requirements pursuant to the NTPC and were deemed non-compliant. Consequently, the proposals from Cutait Group, LLC and RE Fasteners, LLC were not evaluated by the CSC.

Office of the Commission Auditor (OCA) Background Checks: SPD submitted Committee members' completed Neutrality Affidavits and resumes to OCA on December 6, 2024. A response was received on December 13, 2024. OCA submitted the results of the background checks to the Commission on Ethics and Public Trust (COE). Only one research finding was noted which was for an Alternate CSC member, and SPD proceeded with the procurement process. A response regarding findings for the non-voting CSC member was received from COE on January 27, 2025.

Office of the Inspector General (OIG) / Office of the Commission on Ethics and Public Trust (COE) Reports, Findings and/or Enforcement Documentation for Proposer and Subcontractor(s):

SPD submitted a request to OIG on November 26, 2024. A response was received on December 4, 2024. The information was disseminated to the CSC on January 6, 2025.

SPD submitted a request to COE on November 26, 2024. A response was received on December 13, 2024. The information was disseminated to the CSC on January 6, 2025.

Selection Process: Pursuant to Implementing Order (I.O.) 3-39, based on the dollar value of this solicitation for work to be assigned through individual work orders, the Architectural and Engineering professional services solicitation process is a single-tier selection process. The First Tier is the evaluation of the firms' current statements of experience, qualifications, and performance data.

First Tier Results: The First Tier meeting was held on January 28, 2025. The CSC was tasked with evaluating the experience and qualifications of the Proposers, and scoring and ranking the proposals in accordance with the evaluation criteria outlined in the solicitation. The CSC scored all responsive proposals. Please refer to the attached First Tier Tabulation Sheet.

Special Circumstances:

The First Tier meeting was initially held on January 16, 2025; however, not every Committee member was prepared to complete their evaluation at that time. As a result, the meeting was rescheduled for January 28, 2025, to allow additional review time.

Administrative Leave Eligibility: The following County employees served as scoring members of the Committee and timely completed all committee-related duties, including submittal of the Neutrality Affidavit within three business days from Selection Committee Coordinator's notification dated November 26, 2024; initial scoring within 30 days of Selection Committee Coordinator's completion of required reviews and are hereby entitled to one (1) day of paid administrative leave pursuant to I.O. 3-34:

Employee's Name	Employee's Department
Becky Hope	PortMiami
Victor Gutierrez	PortMiami
Krystal Sheppard	Public Housing and Community Development
Shiham Colegial Lorenzo	Miami-Dade Public Library System

Request for Selection of Negotiation Team: Pursuant to Section 2-10.4 (6) of the Code of Miami-Dade County and I.O. 3-39, the Strategic Procurement Department hereby requests the County Mayor or County Mayor's designee approve the following Negotiation Team:

Julie Whiteside, Procurement Contracting Officer, SPD, will be the Negotiation Team Coordinator.

Negotiation Team:

Becky Hope, Assistant Director, PortMiami

Frank Ramirez, Seaport Contracts, Procurement & Materials Management, PortMiami

Request for Authorization to Enter Negotiations: Pursuant to Section 2-10.4 (6) of the Code of Miami-Dade County, it is hereby requested that the County Mayor or County Mayor's designee approve the selection of the following firms for the purpose of negotiating two (2) non-exclusive PSAs for this solicitation, in accordance with the CSC's recommendation:

RANKING OF SHORTLISTED FIRMS

Moffett & Nichol Inc

Final Ranking – 1

Total Adjusted Ordinal Score – 6

Total Adjusted Qualitative Points – 355

Jacobs Engineering Group Inc

Final Ranking – 2

Total Adjusted Ordinal Score – 7

Total Adjusted Qualitative Points – 356

The following firms will serve as alternates:

HDR Engineering Inc

Final Ranking – 3

Total Adjusted Ordinal Score – 8

Total Adjusted Qualitative Points – 354

Kimley-Horn & Associates Inc

Final Ranking – 4

Total Adjusted Ordinal Score – 11

Total Adjusted Qualitative Points – 338

Bermello Ajamil & Partners LLC

Final Ranking – 5

Total Adjusted Ordinal Score – 14

Total Adjusted Qualitative Points – 337

BEA Architects Inc

Final Ranking – 6

Total Adjusted Ordinal Score – 23

Total Adjusted Qualitative Points – 300

Pursuant to the Code of Silence legislation included in the Conflict of Interest and Code of Ethics Ordinance, and Section 2-11.1 of the County Code, the County Mayor or County Mayor's designee will report to the Board of County Commissioners any of the following instances:

- When negotiations do not commence, or other affirmative action is not taken, within 30 days of the Competitive Selection Committee's recommendation.

- When the County Mayor's or County Mayor's designee's recommendation to award or reject is not made within 90 days from the date of the Competitive Selection Committee's recommendation.

If approved, the Negotiation Team will proceed with contract negotiations in accordance with Section 2-10.4(6) of the Code of Miami-Dade County, and submit the signed contracts to be presented to the Board of County Commissioners for final approval, no later than 60 days from the date of this memorandum.

If satisfactory agreements cannot be reached within the 60day period, a report is required to be prepared explaining all issues resulting from the negotiations. If negotiations are proceeding within a reasonable timeframe, then negotiations will continue and the report will be submitted upon completion. The final contracts and report should be sent to the Strategic Procurement Department, Architectural and Engineering Unit.

Approved:

Namita Uppal

Digitally signed by Namita
Uppal
DN: cn=Namita Uppal,
o=Miami Dade County,
ou=Chief Procurement
Officer,
email=uppaln@miamidade.g
ov, c=US
Date: 2025.03.13 00:44:26
04'00'

Namita Uppal
Director and Chief Procurement Officer

Date

Attachments:

1. Preferred Experience and Qualifications
 2. List of Respondents
 3. CAO Opinion
 4. First Tier Tabulation Sheet
- c: Competitive Selection Committee
Clerk of the Board of County Commissioners

Preferred Experience and Qualifications:

The consultant(s) proposing on this solicitation are preferred to have extensive relevant deep-water seaport experience, with three (3) comparable deepwater seaport master planning projects, inclusive of the planning and design of cruise, cargo, and other maritime programs in ports as impacted by the current and future market demands being served. Experience in one inland port planning and/or development is preferred. The above expertise must be met by qualified individuals of the prime consultant's and/or sub-consultant's firm. The experience must be demonstrated by direct or substantial involvement of the individual(s) in a supervisory capacity at the project manager level or above in these projects.

In addition, interested firms are preferred to have the experience and qualifications listed below. This expertise must be met by qualified individual(s) of the prime and/or sub-consultant's team.

- Five (5) years of experience providing planning and design, including cargo and cruise-related projects, for deep-water seaports.
- Five (5) years of relevant experience providing economic and transportation consulting services for deepwater seaports.
- Five (5) years of experience providing economic real estate advisory services, including financial structuring, real estate analysis, implementation, and economic development.

The expertise must be met by a qualified individual(s) of the prime and/or sub-consultant firm(s), as applicable. The experience must be demonstrated by direct or substantial involvement of the individual(s) in a supervisory capacity at the Project Manager level or above. The determination of the individual's qualifications and compliance with the experience and qualifications and preference stipulations shall be at the sole discretion of the County. The Competitive Selection Committee (CSC) may negatively evaluate proposals from firms they determine have failed to meet the above referenced experience and qualification(s). Information regarding the experience and qualification(s) and preference stipulations, for the Prime consultant, A/E sub-consultants, and non A/E sub-consultants, must be included in SPD Form No. 11, this form may be submitted more than once per individual Team member firm as applicable.



MIAMI DADE COUNTY
STRATEGIC PROCUREMENT DEPARTMENT

LIST OF RESPONDENTS

Project Name: Planning Consultant Services

Project No.: E24SP01

Measures: No Measures

No. of Agreements: 2

Contract Type: PROFESSIONAL SERVICES AGREEMENT

Submittal Date: 11/07/2024

Team No.: 1

Prime Name: RE FASTENERS LLC

FEIN No.: 341991701

Team No.: 2

Prime Name: CUTAIT GROUP LLC

Prime Local Preference: No

Trade Name:

FEIN No.: 993159486

Team No.: 3

Prime Name: JACOBS ENGINEERING GROUP INC

Trade Name:

FEIN No.: 954081636

Sub-Consultants Name	Trade Name	Subs FEIN No.
a. HBC ENGINEERING COMPANY		223936061
b. TLC ENGINEERING SOLUTIONS INC		591228645
c. ZYSCOVICH LLC	ZYSCOVICH ARCHITECTS	592754852

Team No.: 4

Prime Name: HDR ENGINEERING INC

FEIN No.: 470680568

Trade Name:

Sub-Consultants Name	Trade Name	Subs FEIN No.
a. HDR Construction Control Corporation		470741232
b. ABSOLUTE CIVIL ENGINEERING SOLUTIONS LLC		451989489
c. M C HARRY & ASSOCIATES INC		592281430
d. PLUS URBIA LLC	PLUSURBIA DESIGN	272163647
e. RIBBECK ENGINEERING INC		260460404
f. Bowman Consulting Group LTD INC		541762351
g. HALL ENGINEERING GROUP INC		260241775
h. FLORIDA TRANSATLANTIC HOLDINGS LLC		454432244
i. JOHN C MARTIN ASSOCIATES LLC	MARTIN ASSOCIATES	521473137



MIAMI DADE COUNTY
STRATEGIC PROCUREMENT DEPARTMENT

LIST OF RESPONDENTS

Project Name: Planning Consultant Services

Project No.: E24SP01

Measures: No Measures

No. of Agreements: 2

Contract Type: PROFESSIONAL SERVICES AGREEMENT

Submittal Date: 11/07/2024

Team No.: 5

Prime Name: BERMELLO AJAMIL & PARTNERS LLC

FEIN No.: 591722486

Trade Name:

Sub-Consultants Name	Trade Name	Subs FEIN No.
a. GHD SERVICES INC		161229774
b. NOVA CONSULTING INC		650577672
c. HAMMOND & ASSOCIATES INC		650083957
d. TLC ENGINEERING SOLUTIONS INC		591228645
e. HATCH ASSOCIATES CONSULTANTS, INC.		136094431
f. MARLIN ENGINEERING INC		650279601
g. KO2 CONSULTING LLC		812062741
h. LAMBERT ADVISORY LLC		650952060
i. JOHN C MARTIN ASSOCIATES LLC		521473137
j. THE BOSCH GROUP INC		650965283

Team No.: 6

Prime Name: MOFFATT & NICHOL INC

FEIN No.: 951951343

Trade Name:

Sub-Consultants Name	Trade Name	Subs FEIN No.
a. BCC ENGINEERING LLC		650540100
b. BERENBLUM BUSCH ARCHITECTURE INC		271738659
c. EAC CONSULTING INC		650519739
d. GCES ENGINEERING SERVICES LLC		461012695
e. MANUEL G VERA AND ASSOC INC		591741639
f. RES FLORIDA CONSULTING LLC		593667002
g. TLC ENGINEERING SOLUTIONS INC		591228645
h. GLASS LAND ACQUISTION SERVICE SPECIALIST INC		650577417
i. MEDIA RELATIONS GROUP LLC		200118620



MIAMI DADE COUNTY
STRATEGIC PROCUREMENT DEPARTMENT

LIST OF RESPONDENTS

Project Name: Planning Consultant Services

Project No.: E24SP01

Measures: No Measures

No. of Agreements: 2

Contract Type: PROFESSIONAL SERVICES AGREEMENT

Submittal Date: 11/07/2024

Team No.: 7

Prime Name: BEA ARCHITECTS INC

FEIN No.: 651020158

Trade Name:

Sub-Consultants Name	Trade Name	Subs FEIN No.
a. PREMIERE DESIGN SOLUTIONS INC		260571068
b. GARTEK ENGINEERING CORPORATION		592032388
c. TERRACON CONSULTANTS INC		421249917
d. DAVID PLUMMER & ASSOCIATES INC		591810619
e. EC FENNELL PA		300084893
f. CUSHMAN & WAKEFIELD, INC.		132625361
g. GARDINER & THEOBALD, INC.		582055197

Team No.: 8

Prime Name: KIMLEY-HORN & ASSOCIATES INC

FEIN No.: 560885615

Trade Name:

Sub-Consultants Name	Trade Name	Subs FEIN No.
a. ATKINSREALIS USA INC		590896138
b. BURNS ENGINEERING INCORPORATED		231602491
c. DB E.C.O. NORTH AMERICA INC.		273167608
d. EBP US INC		043506899
e. HIGH PEAK STRATEGY LLC		853674064
f. JONES LANG LASALLE AMERICAS INC		364150422
g. THE KEMMSIES GROUP LLC		854080801
h. MEDIA RELATIONS GROUP LLC		200118620
i. TBA CONSULTANCY BV		981709204

Memorandum



Date: December 31, 2024

To: Julie Whiteside
Procurement Contracting Officer
Strategic Procurement Department

From: Christian J. Fernandez-Andes
Assistant County Attorney

Subject: Legal Determination regarding SPD Project No. E24SP01

We received your request for a legal determination as to a submittal of certain Technical Certifications ("TCs") by a Proposer in response to Strategic Procurement Department ("SPD") Project No. E24SP01.

You have advised the County Attorney's Office that on November 7, 2024, proposals were received for the subject solicitation. The Notice to Professional Consultant ("NTPC"), Section 1.4 – A/E Technical Certification Requirements, demands, *inter alia*, submission of Technical Certification 19.05A, "Value Analysis and Life-Cycle Costing – Port and Waterways Systems-Life Cycle Costing Services." The Solicitation clarifies that to "satisfy the technical certification requirements for the requested services, valid technical certification in all . . . specified area(s) of work must be held by a firm responding as sole respondent, or a team of firms." Section 1.7 of the NTPC - Pre-Qualification and Technical Certification Requirements, further explains that:

"In accordance with Chapter 2, Section 2-10.4, of the County Code, and I.O. 3-39 of Miami-Dade County, all firms and/or individual consultants properly licensed to provide architectural/engineering ("A/E"), landscape architectural, land surveying and mapping services, must be Pre-Qualified and Technically Certified. . . . Furthermore, if an individual is providing services that require technical certification by Miami-Dade County, then said individual is required to have the relevant certification(s). Individuals who are not technically certified will not be allowed to perform work for those scopes of work requiring technical certification. . . .

Proposers (prime and/or sub-consultants) failure to be technically certified at the time of proposal submittal, as applicable, shall cause the proposal to be deemed non-compliant.

One of the proposers, BEA Architects, Inc. ("BEA"), did not furnish TC 19.05A. Instead, BEA submitted **TC 19.05**, "Value Analysis and Life-Cycle Costing – Port and Waterways Systems." You correctly note that TC 19.05 is "broader [and] encompasses TC 19.05A", as TC 19.05 includes all the information specified by 19.05A, in addition to further information furnished in order to be certified for the 19.05 master category.

In this instance, BEA is compliant with the Solicitation's requirements. By submitting TC 19.05, the Proposer provided all the material information requested in TC 19.05A in substantially the same format. A Proposer is not non-compliant for providing a master category TC instead of a sub-category TC when that master category includes all the relevant information and qualifications that are present in the sub-category. The County's TC category definitions clarify as much:

19.05 PORT AND WATERWAY SYSTEMS

Certification on this technical sub-category includes Value Analysis Services and Life-Costing for Florida Licensed firms.

19.05A PORT AND WATERWAY SYSTEMS-LIFE CYCLE COSTING SERVICES

Certification on this technical sub-category does not include Value Analysis Services. Services under this sub-category are related to cost estimating only.

Therefore, because the information requested in TC 19.05A was provided by the Proposer in substantially the form requested, the Proposer is compliant with the Solicitation. Please feel free to contact me if you have any questions or concerns about this legal determination.

/s/ Christian J. Fernandez-Andes
Assistant County Attorney

FIRST TIER MEETING January 28, 2025 PortMiami Planning Consultant Services		COMPETITIVE SELECTION COMMITTEE					SUB-TOTAL	TOTAL & ADJ. QUALITATIVE SCORE	TOTAL ADJ. ORDINAL SCORES	ORDINAL RANKING	FINAL RANK
TABULATION SHEET SPD PROJECT NO. E24SP01		Becky Hope, PortMiami	Victor Gutierrez, PortMiami	Krystal Sheppard, PHCD	Shiham Colegial Lorenzo, MDPLS	Jess Linn, PHCD					
NAME OF FIRM(S)											
1	RE Fasteners LLC (ELIMINATED)										
	CRITERIA EVALUATED BY CSC										
	1A - Qualification of the Team Members Assigned to the Project (Min. 1 / Max. 40 points)						0				
	2A - Past Experience of Similar Projects (Min. 1 / Max. 30 points)						0				
	3A - Past Performance for the Firms (Min. 1 / Max. 15 points)						0				
2	4A - Firm's Project Staffing Availability, and Ability to Meet Time and Budget (Min. 1 / Max. 5 points)						0				
	5A - Amount of Work Awarded and Paid by The County (Min. 1 / Max. 5 points)						0				
	CRITERIA ASSIGNED BY SPD STAFF										
	Ordinal Scores	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A		0			
	Dropped Highest Ordinal Score								N/A	N/A	N/A
3	Dropped Lowest Qualitative Score							0			
	Tie-Breaker (Total Ord. Score)-Total Adjusted Qual. Points, then Total Qual. Points for 1A,2A,3A,4A										
	CRITERIA EVALUATED BY CSC										
	1A - Qualification of the Team Members Assigned to the Project (Min. 1 / Max. 40 points)						0				
	2A - Past Experience of Similar Projects (Min. 1 / Max. 30 points)						0				
4	3A - Past Performance for the Firms (Min. 1 / Max. 15 points)						0				
	4A - Firm's Project Staffing Availability, and Ability to Meet Time and Budget (Min. 1 / Max. 5 points)						0				
	5A - Amount of Work Awarded and Paid by The County (Min. 1 / Max. 5 points)						0				
	CRITERIA ASSIGNED BY SPD STAFF										
	Ordinal Scores	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A		0			
5	Dropped Highest Ordinal Score								N/A	N/A	N/A
	Dropped Lowest Qualitative Score							0			
	Tie-Breaker (Total Ord. Score)-Total Adjusted Qual. Points, then Total Qual. Points for 1A,2A,3A,4A										
	CRITERIA EVALUATED BY CSC										
	1A - Qualification of the Team Members Assigned to the Project (Min. 1 / Max. 40 points)						0				
6	2A - Past Experience of Similar Projects (Min. 1 / Max. 30 points)						0				
	3A - Past Performance for the Firms (Min. 1 / Max. 15 points)						0				
	4A - Firm's Project Staffing Availability, and Ability to Meet Time and Budget (Min. 1 / Max. 5 points)						0				
	5A - Amount of Work Awarded and Paid by The County (Min. 1 / Max. 5 points)						0				
	CRITERIA ASSIGNED BY SPD STAFF										
7	Ordinal Scores	37	35	40	36	40	188				
	Dropped Highest Ordinal Score	27	27	30	20	30	134				
	Dropped Lowest Qualitative Score	12	13	15	10	15	65				
	Tie-Breaker (Total Ord. Score)-Total Adjusted Qual. Points, then Total Qual. Points for 1A,2A,3A,4A	5	4	5	3	5	22				
	CRITERIA ASSIGNED BY SPD STAFF										
8	5A - Amount of Work Awarded and Paid by The County (Min. 1 / Max. 5 points)	4	4	4	4	4	20				
	Ordinal Scores	85	83	94	73	94	429	429			
	Dropped Highest Ordinal Score	2	3	1	4	1			7	2	2
	Dropped Lowest Qualitative Score				73			356			
	Tie-Breaker (Total Ord. Score)-Total Adjusted Qual. Points, then Total Qual. Points for 1A,2A,3A,4A										
9	CRITERIA EVALUATED BY CSC										
	1A - Qualification of the Team Members Assigned to the Project (Min. 1 / Max. 40 points)						188				
	2A - Past Experience of Similar Projects (Min. 1 / Max. 30 points)						142				
	3A - Past Performance for the Firms (Min. 1 / Max. 15 points)						68				
	4A - Firm's Project Staffing Availability, and Ability to Meet Time and Budget (Min. 1 / Max. 5 points)						23				
10	CRITERIA ASSIGNED BY SPD STAFF										
	5A - Amount of Work Awarded and Paid by The County (Min. 1 / Max. 5 points)	3	3	3	3	3	15				
	Ordinal Scores	82	83	91	87	93	436	436			
	Dropped Highest Ordinal Score	3	3	2	1	2			8	3	3
	Dropped Lowest Qualitative Score	82						354			
11	Tie-Breaker (Total Ord. Score)-Total Adjusted Qual. Points, then Total Qual. Points for 1A,2A,3A,4A										
	CRITERIA EVALUATED BY CSC										
	1A - Qualification of the Team Members Assigned to the Project (Min. 1 / Max. 40 points)						188				
	2A - Past Experience of Similar Projects (Min. 1 / Max. 30 points)						142				
	3A - Past Performance for the Firms (Min. 1 / Max. 15 points)						68				
12	4A - Firm's Project Staffing Availability, and Ability to Meet Time and Budget (Min. 1 / Max. 5 points)						23				
	CRITERIA ASSIGNED BY SPD STAFF										
	5A - Amount of Work Awarded and Paid by The County (Min. 1 / Max. 5 points)	3	3	3	3	3	15				
	Ordinal Scores	82	83	91	87	93	436	436			
	Dropped Highest Ordinal Score	3	3	2	1	2			8	3	3
13	Dropped Lowest Qualitative Score	82						354			
	Tie-Breaker (Total Ord. Score)-Total Adjusted Qual. Points, then Total Qual. Points for 1A,2A,3A,4A										
	CRITERIA EVALUATED BY CSC										
	1A - Qualification of the Team Members Assigned to the Project (Min. 1 / Max. 40 points)						188				
	2A - Past Experience of Similar Projects (Min. 1 / Max. 30 points)						142				
14	3A - Past Performance for the Firms (Min. 1 / Max. 15 points)						68				
	4A - Firm's Project Staffing Availability, and Ability to Meet Time and Budget (Min. 1 / Max. 5 points)						23				
	CRITERIA ASSIGNED BY SPD STAFF										
	5A - Amount of Work Awarded and Paid by The County (Min. 1 / Max. 5 points)	3	3	3	3	3	15				
	Ordinal Scores	82	83	91	87	93	436	436			
15	Dropped Highest Ordinal Score	3	3	2	1	2			8	3	3
	Dropped Lowest Qualitative Score	82						354			
	Tie-Breaker (Total Ord. Score)-Total Adjusted Qual. Points, then Total Qual. Points for 1A,2A,3A,4A										
	CRITERIA EVALUATED BY CSC										
	1A - Qualification of the Team Members Assigned to the Project (Min. 1 / Max. 40 points)						188				
16	2A - Past Experience of Similar Projects (Min. 1 / Max. 30 points)						142				
	3A - Past Performance for the Firms (Min. 1 / Max. 15 points)						68				
	4A - Firm's Project Staffing Availability, and Ability to Meet Time and Budget (Min. 1 / Max. 5 points)						23				
	CRITERIA ASSIGNED BY SPD STAFF										
	5A - Amount of Work Awarded and Paid by The County (Min. 1 / Max. 5 points)	3	3	3	3	3	15				
17	Ordinal Scores	82	83	91	87	93	436	436			
	Dropped Highest Ordinal Score	3	3	2	1	2			8	3	3
	Dropped Lowest Qualitative Score	82						354			
	Tie-Breaker (Total Ord. Score)-Total Adjusted Qual. Points, then Total Qual. Points for 1A,2A,3A,4A										
	CRITERIA EVALUATED BY CSC										
18	1A - Qualification of the Team Members Assigned to the Project (Min. 1 / Max. 40 points)						188				
	2A - Past Experience of Similar Projects (Min. 1 / Max. 30 points)						142				
	3A - Past Performance for the Firms (Min. 1 / Max. 15 points)						68				
	4A - Firm's Project Staffing Availability, and Ability to Meet Time and Budget (Min. 1 / Max. 5 points)						23				
	CRITERIA ASSIGNED BY SPD STAFF										
19	5A - Amount of Work Awarded and Paid by The County (Min. 1 / Max. 5 points)	3	3	3	3	3	15				
	Ordinal Scores	82	83	91	87	93	436	436			
	Dropped Highest Ordinal Score	3	3	2	1	2			8	3	3
	Dropped Lowest Qualitative Score	82						354			
	Tie-Breaker (Total Ord. Score)-Total Adjusted Qual. Points, then Total Qual. Points for 1A,2A,3A,4A										

FIRST TIER MEETING		COMPETITIVE SELECTION COMMITTEE					SUB-TOTAL	TOTAL & ADJ. QUALITATIVE SCORE	TOTAL ADJ. ORDINAL SCORES	ORDINAL RANKING	FINAL RANK
January 28, 2025		Becky Hope, PortMiami	Victor Gutierrez, PortMiami	Krystal Sheppard, PHCD	Shiham Colegial Lorenzo, MDPLS	Jess Linn, PHCD					
PortMiami											
Planning Consultant Services											
TABULATION SHEET SPD PROJECT NO. E24SP01											
5	Bermello Ajamil & Partners LLC	CRITERIA EVALUATED BY CSC									
	1A - Qualification of the Team Members Assigned to the Project (Min. 1 / Max. 40 points)	30	35	40	30	40	175				
	2A - Past Experience of Similar Projects (Min. 1 / Max. 30 points)	20	30	30	25	30	135				
	3A - Past Performance for the Firms (Min. 1 / Max. 15 points)	12	13	15	12	15	67				
	4A - Firm's Project Staffing Availability, and Ability to Meet Time and Budget (Min. 1 / Max. 5 points)	5	4	4	5	5	23				
	CRITERIA ASSIGNED BY SPD STAFF										
	5A - Amount of Work Awarded and Paid by The County (Min. 1 / Max. 5 points)	1	1	1	1	1	5				
		68	83	90	73	91	405	405			
	Ordinal Scores	6	3	3	4	4					
	Dropped Highest Ordinal Score	6							14	5	5
	Dropped Lowest Qualitative Score	68						337			
	Tie-Breaker (Total Ord. Score)-Total Adjusted Qual. Points, then Total Qual. Points for 1A,2A,3A,4A										
6	Moffatt & Nichol Inc	CRITERIA EVALUATED BY CSC									
	1A - Qualification of the Team Members Assigned to the Project (Min. 1 / Max. 40 points)	35	38	35	37	40	185				
	2A - Past Experience of Similar Projects (Min. 1 / Max. 30 points)	30	30	25	29	30	144				
	3A - Past Performance for the Firms (Min. 1 / Max. 15 points)	14	13	10	14	15	66				
	4A - Firm's Project Staffing Availability, and Ability to Meet Time and Budget (Min. 1 / Max. 5 points)	5	4	3	4	5	21				
	CRITERIA ASSIGNED BY SPD STAFF										
	5A - Amount of Work Awarded and Paid by The County (Min. 1 / Max. 5 points)	3	3	3	3	3	15				
		87	88	76	87	93	431	431			
	Ordinal Scores	1	2	4	1	2					
	Dropped Highest Ordinal Score			4					6	1	1
	Dropped Lowest Qualitative Score			76				355			
	Tie-Breaker (Total Ord. Score)-Total Adjusted Qual. Points, then Total Qual. Points for 1A,2A,3A,4A										
7	BEA Architects Inc	CRITERIA EVALUATED BY CSC									
	1A - Qualification of the Team Members Assigned to the Project (Min. 1 / Max. 40 points)	30	35	30	25	40	160				
	2A - Past Experience of Similar Projects (Min. 1 / Max. 30 points)	20	25	15	20	20	100				
	3A - Past Performance for the Firms (Min. 1 / Max. 15 points)	14	13	8	13	15	63				
	4A - Firm's Project Staffing Availability, and Ability to Meet Time and Budget (Min. 1 / Max. 5 points)	3	4	3	2	5	17				
	CRITERIA ASSIGNED BY SPD STAFF										
	5A - Amount of Work Awarded and Paid by The County (Min. 1 / Max. 5 points)	4	4	4	4	4	20				
		71	81	60	64	84	360	360			
	Ordinal Scores	5	6	6	6	6					
	Dropped Highest Ordinal Score		6						23	6	6
	Dropped Lowest Qualitative Score			60				300			
	Tie-Breaker (Total Ord. Score)-Total Adjusted Qual. Points, then Total Qual. Points for 1A,2A,3A,4A										
8	Kimley-Horn & Associates Inc	CRITERIA EVALUATED BY CSC									
	1A - Qualification of the Team Members Assigned to the Project (Min. 1 / Max. 40 points)	36	39	35	32	40	182				
	2A - Past Experience of Similar Projects (Min. 1 / Max. 30 points)	28	30	25	25	30	138				
	3A - Past Performance for the Firms (Min. 1 / Max. 15 points)	12	14	8	14	15	63				
	4A - Firm's Project Staffing Availability, and Ability to Meet Time and Budget (Min. 1 / Max. 5 points)	5	5	3	4	5	22				
	CRITERIA ASSIGNED BY SPD STAFF										
	5A - Amount of Work Awarded and Paid by The County (Min. 1 / Max. 5 points)	1	1	1	1	1	5				
		82	89	72	76	91	410	410			
	Ordinal Scores	3	1	5	3	4					
	Dropped Highest Ordinal Score			5					11	4	4
	Dropped Lowest Qualitative Score			72				338			
	Tie-Breaker (Total Ord. Score)-Total Adjusted Qual. Points, then Total Qual. Points for 1A,2A,3A,4A										

Julie Whiteside, Chairperson



Office of Small Business Development

Project Worksheet

Project/Contract Title: Planning Consulting Services

Received Date: 8/6/2024

Project/Contract No: E24SP01 (2022-021)

Funding Source: Other

Department: Seaport

Estimated Cost of Project/Bid: \$7,500,000.00

Description of Project/Bid: PortMiami intends to award two (2) Professional Services Agreements (PSAs) for planning consulting services. The Consultants shall provide non-exclusive professional services, which consist of the development of various Seaport planning activities. Each PSA will be a five-year contract with a maximum compensation of \$3,750,000.00 and includes a ten percent (10%) contingency. No minimum amount of work or compensation will be guaranteed.

Contract Measures Recommendation		
Measure	Program	Goal Percent
No Measure	SBE-A&E	
No Measure	SBE-Services	

Reasons for Recommendation

SMALL BUSINESS ENTERPRISE - ARCHITECTURE AND ENGINEERING (SBE-A&E)
 SBD reviewed this project pursuant to Implementing Order 3-32 for SBE-A&E measure. Project information analyzed included the project's scope of services, estimated project cost, minimum requirements/qualifications and funding source. These indicate that a SBE-A&E No Measure is appropriate for this contract due to the funding source (Federally Funded).

SMALL BUSINESS ENTERPRISE - SERVICES (SBE-S).
 An analysis of Implementing Order 3-41 & Ordinance 16-109, as well as the project package indicates a No Measure is appropriate due to the funding source (Federally Funded).

**** This contract is for two (2) Professional Services Agreements (PSAs) for \$3,750,000.00 each****

Living Wages: YES ☐ NO ☒

Highway: YES ☐ NO ☒

Heavy Construction: YES ☐ NO ☒

Responsible Wages: YES ☐ NO ☒

Building: YES ☐ NO ☒

SBD Director

Date



MEMORANDUM

(Revised)

TO: Honorable Chairman Anthony Rodriguez
and Members, Board of County Commissioners

DATE: July 1, 2025

FROM: 
Glen Bonzon-Keenan
County Attorney

SUBJECT: Agenda Item No. 8(J)(2)

Please note any items checked.

- _____ **"3-Day Rule" for committees applicable if raised**
- _____ **6 weeks required between first reading and public hearing**
- _____ **4 weeks notification to municipal officials required prior to public hearing**
- _____ **Decreases revenues or increases expenditures without balancing budget**
- _____ **Budget required**
- _____ **Statement of fiscal impact required**
- _____ **Statement of social equity required**
- _____ **Ordinance creating a new board requires detailed County Mayor's report for public hearing**
- _____ **No committee review**
- _____ **Applicable legislation requires more than a majority vote (i.e., 2/3's present ____, 2/3 membership ____, 3/5's ____, unanimous ____, majority plus one ____, CDMP 7 vote requirement per 2-116.1(3)(h) or (4)(c) ____, CDMP 2/3 vote requirement per 2-116.1(3) (h) or (4)(c) ____, CDMP 9 vote requirement per 2-116.1(4)(c) (2) ____) to approve**
- _____ **Current information regarding funding source, index code and available balance, and available capacity (if debt is contemplated) required**

Approved *Danielle Leme Cava* Mayor
Veto _____
Override _____

Agenda Item No. 8(J)(2)
7-1-25

RESOLUTION NO. R-664-25

RESOLUTION APPROVING AWARD OF PROFESSIONAL SERVICES AGREEMENT TO MOFFATT & NICHOL, INC. FOR PLANNING CONSULTANT SERVICES, SEAPORT PROJECT NO.: 2022-021 (A), SPD CONTRACT NO.: E24SP01, IN AN AMOUNT NOT TO EXCEED \$3,750,000.00, INCLUSIVE OF CONTINGENCY ALLOWANCE OF \$375,000.00; AUTHORIZING THE COUNTY MAYOR OR COUNTY MAYOR'S DESIGNEE TO EXECUTE THE PROFESSIONAL SERVICES AGREEMENT AND TO EXERCISE ALL ITS PROVISIONS, INCLUDING ANY CANCELLATION, RENEWAL, AND EXTENSION PROVISIONS

WHEREAS, this Board desires to accomplish the purposes outlined in the accompanying memorandum, a copy of which is incorporated herein by reference,

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED BY THE BOARD OF COUNTY COMMISSIONERS OF MIAMI-DADE COUNTY, FLORIDA, that this Board approves the award of a professional services agreement to Moffatt & Nichol, Inc. for Seaport Project No.: 2022-021 (A); SPD Contract No.: E24SP01 in the total amount not to exceed \$3,750,000.00, inclusive of a contingency allowance of \$375,000.00, and authorizes the County Mayor or County Mayor's designee to execute the professional services agreement and to exercise all of its provisions, including any cancellation, renewal, and extension provisions. A copy of the entire professional services agreement is on file with the Seaport Department and available upon request.

The foregoing resolution was offered by Commissioner **Raquel A. Regalado**, who moved its adoption. The motion was seconded by Commissioner **Marleine Bastien** and upon being put to a vote, the vote was as follows:

Anthony Rodriguez, Chairman	aye		
Kionne L. McGhee, Vice Chairman	aye		
Marleine Bastien	aye	Juan Carlos Bermudez	aye
Sen. René García	aye	Oliver G. Gilbert, III	aye
Roberto J. Gonzalez	aye	Keon Hardemon	aye
Danielle Cohen Higgins	aye	Eileen Higgins	aye
Natalie Milian Orbis	aye	Raquel A. Regalado	aye
Micky Steinberg	aye		

The Chairperson thereupon declared this resolution duly passed and adopted this 1st day of July, 2025. This resolution shall become effective upon the earlier of (1) 10 days after the date of its adoption unless vetoed by the County Mayor, and if vetoed, shall become effective only upon an override by this Board, or (2) approval by the County Mayor of this resolution and the filing of this approval with the Clerk of the Board.



MIAMI-DADE COUNTY, FLORIDA
BY ITS BOARD OF
COUNTY COMMISSIONERS

JUAN FERNANDEZ-BARQUIN, CLERK

By: Basia Pruna
Deputy Clerk

Approved by County Attorney as
to form and legal sufficiency.

MAG

Miguel A. Gonzalez