

**Date:** August 8, 2019  
**To:** All Proposers  
**From:** Crystal Coleman, Director, S-8 Housing Choice Voucher Division  
Public Housing and Community Development (PHCD)  
**Subject:** Addendum 1 – Request for Proposals for Project Based Voucher Units

---

## Addendum 1

This addendum is and does become a part of the above-mentioned RFP. Please note the following:

1. Regarding the RFP for Project Based Voucher Units (which has a response due date of August 23), we are seeking clarification about a provision in Section 2.4 of the RFP, where it requires that 75% of families approved for tenancy must have annual incomes that do not exceed 30% of AMI. Does this requirement apply to every project, individually, or to the PBV program as a whole?

**During the term of the HAP contract, the owner must lease contract units only to eligible families selected and referred by PHCD. The requirement for 75% of all admissions to be extremely low-income is for the entire HCV program.**

2. Can a successful bidder in fact have a project where less than 75% of households have incomes below 30% of AMI?

**Yes**

3. On the bottom of page 13, there are also 10 points for a site being located from (a) NW 28th Street to NW 39th Street, from NW 10th Avenue to NW 22nd Avenue and from NW 40th Street to NW 50th Street, from NW 7th Avenue to NW 17th Avenue. Those areas include two public housing projects that have been awarded, Dante Fascell and Three Round Towers. Three Round Towers has a SAIL award for adjacent land, so it does appear from the application that perhaps those sites are also being prioritized.

**No question was asked. Unable to respond.**

4. Additionally, 5 points are being provided for projects who have had environmental reviews completed in the past 12 months. By environmental reviews, do you all mean an environmental report, or do you mean HUD approval of an environmental review.

**HUD approval of an environmental review.**

5. Dade has done an amazing job transforming its public housing sites, but given the priorities in the scoring that will favor the above census tracts, projects such as Liberty Square, Lincoln Gardens, I wanted to know how many vouchers were being awarded in this cycle, as I could not seem to find that information in the application?

**Please refer to Section 1.1 of the RFP.**

6. Page 14 gives priority to existing county public housing projects, it appears? I.e., the highlighted items appear to be for Liberty Square/Lincoln Gardens redevelopment?

**Page 14 gives provides preference points for projects that meet the preference criteria.**