



**FY 2015**

---

**CONSOLIDATED ANNUAL PERFORMANCE AND  
EVALUATION REPORT**

---

Prepared by: Miami-Dade Public Housing and Community Development  
701 N.W. 1<sup>st</sup> Ct, 14<sup>th</sup> Floor  
Miami, FL 33136



Miami-Dade County, Florida

**MARCH 2016**

CAPER

1

## CR-05 - Goals and Outcomes

### Progress the jurisdiction has made in carrying out its strategic plan and its action plan. 91.520(a)

This could be an overview that includes major initiatives and highlights that were proposed and executed throughout the program year.

The goals for the use of FY 2015 funds were to (1) initiate significant and sustainable redevelopment efforts in low-moderate income communities and (2) support economic development activities, housing needs and supportive services in the Neighborhood Revitalization Strategic Areas (NRSAs) and eligible block groups. A portion of the housing needs were met utilizing Tenant Based Rental Assistance (TBRA) assist families of either extremely low or low-income. Services were funded for employment training, substance abuse treatment and activities for, seniors, and youth. It is important to note that Miami-Dade County's 2015 CDBG allocation was not received until January 14, 2016. This late receipt of funding has served to hinder timely results from being achieved.

\*Note: The table below indicates the expected strategic and actual strategic plan numbers based on the 2014-2017 Consolidated Plan, therefore the numbers are not solely for 2015. In addition certain programs such as Code Enforcement and Juvenile Diversion were not funded activities in 2015.

### Comparison of the proposed versus actual outcomes for each outcome measure submitted with the consolidated plan and explain, if applicable, why progress was not made toward meeting goals and objectives. 91.520(g)

Categories, priority levels, funding sources and amounts, outcomes/objectives, goal outcome indicators, units of measure, targets, actual outcomes/outputs, and percentage completed for each of the grantee's program year goals.

Goal	Category	Source / Amount	Indicator	Unit of Measure	Expected – Strategic Plan	Actual – Strategic Plan	Percent Complete	Expected – Program Year	Actual – Program Year	Percent Complete
Code Enforcement	Non-Housing Community Development	CDBG: \$	Other	Other	6130	0	0.00%			

Commission District Fund (CDF) Public Services	Public Services	CDBG: \$	Public service activities other than Low/Moderate Income Housing Benefit	Persons Assisted	1392	2261	162.43%			
Commission District Fund/CDF Economic Development	Non-Housing Community Development Economic Development	CDBG: \$	Jobs created/retained	Jobs	100	0	0.00%			
Commission District Fund/CDF Public Facilities	Non-Housing Community Development	CDBG: \$	Public Facility or Infrastructure Activities other than Low/Moderate Income Housing Benefit	Persons Assisted	9389	96861	1,031.64%	0	96861	
Community Action Public Service Programs	Non-Housing Community Development	CDBG: \$	Public service activities other than Low/Moderate Income Housing Benefit	Persons Assisted	1065	0	0.00%			
Emergency Overnight Shelter	Homeless	ESG: \$	Homeless Person Overnight Shelter	Persons Assisted	1750	0	0.00%	200	0	0.00%
Homebuyer Counseling & Education	Affordable Housing	Miami-Dade County Surtax Program: \$	Other	Other	5000	0	0.00%			
Housing Code Enforcement and Graffiti Abatement	Affordable Housing	CDBG: \$	Housing Code Enforcement/Foreclosed Property Care	Household Housing Unit	80	0	0.00%			

Juvenile Diversion Program	Non-Housing Community Development	CDBG: \$	Public service activities other than Low/Moderate Income Housing Benefit	Persons Assisted	320	0	0.00%			
Microenterprise Lending & Technical Assistance	Non-Housing Community Development Economic Development	CDBG: \$	Jobs created/retained	Jobs	210	1	0.48%	50	1	2.00%
Microenterprise Lending & Technical Assistance	Non-Housing Community Development Economic Development	CDBG: \$	Businesses assisted	Businesses Assisted	0	30		200	30	15.00%
New Construction/Rehab of Rental Housing Units	Affordable Housing	CDBG: \$1196598 / HOME: \$ / Miami-Dade County Surtax Program: \$	Rental units constructed	Household Housing Unit	1389	0	0.00%	4	15	375.00%

New Construction/Rehab of Rental Housing Units	Affordable Housing	CDBG: \$1196598 / HOME: \$ / Miami-Dade County Surtax Program: \$	Rental units rehabilitated	Household Housing Unit	1389	0	0.00%	65	0	0.00%
Program Administration	Affordable Housing Homeless Non-Homeless Special Needs Non-Housing Community Development Economic Development	CDBG: \$ / HOME: \$ / ESG: \$	Other	Other	0	0		1	0	0.00%
Public Infrastructure Improvements	Non-Housing Community Development	CDBG: \$	Public Facility or Infrastructure Activities other than Low/Moderate Income Housing Benefit	Persons Assisted	10355	0	0.00%			
Rapid Re-housing Assistance	Homeless	ESG: \$	Homelessness Prevention	Persons Assisted	650	0	0.00%	173	642	371.10%

Single-Family Owner-Occupied Rehabilitation	Affordable Housing	HOME: \$	Homeowner Housing Rehabilitated	Household Housing Unit	50	0	0.00%	32	0	0.00%
Special Economic Development	Non-Housing Community Development Economic Development	CDBG: \$	Jobs created/retained	Jobs	75	0	0.00%			
Tenant-based Rental Assistance Program (TBRA)	Affordable Housing	CDBG: \$ / HOME: \$	Tenant-based rental assistance / Rapid Rehousing	Households Assisted	70	65	92.86%	70	65	92.86%
Tenant-based Rental Assistance Program (TBRA)	Affordable Housing	CDBG: \$ / HOME: \$	Homelessness Prevention	Persons Assisted	0	0		73	0	0.00%
Upgrades to Public Facilities/Capital Improvements	Non-Housing Community Development	CDBG: \$	Public Facility or Infrastructure Activities other than Low/Moderate Income Housing Benefit	Persons Assisted	0	0		120	0	0.00%
Upgrades to Public Facilities/Capital Improvements	Non-Housing Community Development	CDBG: \$	Other	Other	15	0	0.00%	80	0	0.00%
Upgrades to Public Parks	Non-Housing Community Development	CDBG: \$	Public Facility or Infrastructure Activities other than Low/Moderate Income Housing Benefit	Persons Assisted	15000	48100	320.67%			

Table 1 - Accomplishments – Program Year & Strategic Plan to Date

**Assess how the jurisdiction's use of funds, particularly CDBG, addresses the priorities and specific objectives identified in the plan, giving special attention to the highest priority activities identified.**

Miami-Dade has chosen to utilize over 50% of its CDBG funding for Economic Development activities since jobs and the expansion of businesses in the NRSAs and other income eligible areas are a priority in Miami-Dade communities. In addition, nearly one million dollars of CDBG funds have been committed to Public Service activities that supply a hosts of social service programs for youth and seniors who are, many times at the bottom rung of the economic ladder. Also CDBG funds are being utilized to upgrade roads in NRSAs and other eligible areas to prepare these low-income neighborhoods for future housing and commercial endeavors.

DRAFT

## CR-10 - Racial and Ethnic composition of families assisted

Describe the families assisted (including the racial and ethnic status of families assisted). 91.520(a)

	CDBG	HOME	ESG
White	145	1	208
Black or African American	79	34	403
Asian	0	3	0
American Indian or American Native	0	0	1
Native Hawaiian or Other Pacific Islander	0	0	0
<b>Total</b>	<b>224</b>	<b>38</b>	<b>612</b>
Hispanic	146	1	260
Not Hispanic	78	37	613

Table 2 – Table of assistance to racial and ethnic populations by source of funds

### Narrative

A total of 407 people were served with Emergency Solutions Grant funds in the Homeless Prevention category. The breakdown by race/ethnicity is as follows: 106 - White; 174 - Black or African American; 126 - Hispanic/Latino; 1 - Data not Collected.

A total of 466 people were served with Emergency Solutions Grant funds in the Rapid Re-Housing category. The breakdown by race/ethnicity is as follows: 102 - White; 229 - Black or African American; 134 - Hispanic/Latino; 1 - American Indian.

## CR-15 - Resources and Investments 91.520(a)

### Identify the resources made available

Source of Funds	Source	Resources Made Available	Amount Expended During Program Year
CDBG		21,893,486	9,515,172
HOME		6,331,312	3,449,769
ESG		1,921,990	345,108
Other	Miami-Dade County Surtax Program		

Table 3 - Resources Made Available

### Narrative

The County utilized a variety of resources such as SHIP, Surtax, HOME, CDBG and General Obligation Bonds. SHIP, HOME, Surtax, CDBG and ESG were allocated through a competitive Request for Applications (RFA) process. In 2015 86 agencies, both for profit and not for profit, submitted applications for a wide variety of purposes including public services, Tenant Based Rental Assistance (TBRA), Public Facilities and Capital Improvements and Economic Development. Funds were targeted for Neighborhood Strategy Areas (NRSA) and eligible block groups and census tracks. As noted earlier, because CDBG, HOME and ESG funding was not available as of January 1, 2015 many of the funded activities were not completed by December 31, 2015. Funds were not drawn down until the beginning of 2016 except for Public Service activities. The County continues to target first time homebuyers and seniors in need of home rehabilitation as a means to increase wealth in impoverished communities and assist the most vulnerable in these low-moderate income neighborhoods. TBRA continues to be a means to provide housing for another segment of the population who are unable to obtain decent, affordable housing through the market.

\*The above Resource Table represents cumulative funds and these funds have already been obligated.

### Identify the geographic distribution and location of investments

Target Area	Planned Percentage of Allocation	Actual Percentage of Allocation	Narrative Description
Biscayne North	7	4	NRSA CAC Board has not been created
CITY OF OPA-LOCKA	23	37	
CITY OF SOUTH MIAMI	10	9	
Cutler Ridge	6	0	NRSA CAC Board has not been created
Eligible Block Group (EBG)	15	13	Low-Mod Census Block Group

GOULDS	7	2	
LEISURE CITY	6	1	
MODEL CITY	19	17	
PERRINE	6	9	
WEST LITTLE RIVER	9	8	

Table 4 – Identify the geographic distribution and location of investments

### Narrative

To meet the needs of the community; Miami-Dade County has identified nine areas as Neighborhood Revitalization Strategy Areas (NRSAs). Due to decreased funding, the County found it challenging to meet all the needs in the NRSAs. In general, in 2015, Miami-Dade implemented a strategy that concentrated funds on the NRSA which was the most impoverished of the nine (Model City). Our funding, particularly for Economic Development, is geared toward NRSAs.

DRAFT

## Leveraging

**Explain how federal funds leveraged additional resources (private, state and local funds), including a description of how matching requirements were satisfied, as well as how any publicly owned land or property located within the jurisdiction that were used to address the needs identified in the plan.**

The County pursued all resources indicated in the Consolidated Plan, including SHIP, Surtax, General Obligation Bonds and local funds. During FY 2015 the County continued its ongoing strategy of allocating federal, state, and local funding under a consolidated Request for Application (RFA) process. Under the County's 2014 RFA process approximately 175 agencies representing nonprofit, for profit, and local governmental jurisdictions applied for funding to support a wide array of activities intended to expand options for the County's low- and moderate-income residents primarily in unincorporated Miami-Dade County's Neighborhood Revitalization strategy Areas (NRSAs) and eligible block groups. Miami-Dade County continued to fund activities that meet underserved needs of the community, with a particular focus on supportive housing, improvements to public facilities, and economic development initiatives in the County's nine (9) Neighborhood Revitalization Strategy Areas (NRSAs) and eligible low income census block groups. The County continues to work with one of the most vulnerable segments of the population by funding home rehabilitation initiatives for seniors. The NRSAs and eligible block groups are representative of the poorest, most underserved areas of the County. However, there is a dearth of competent Community Development Corporations (CDCs) and Community Development Housing Development Corporations (CHDOs) to implement viable projects in many of these communities. Focus continues to be placed on seasoned developers that could create low-income housing opportunities for all segments of the community, including the homeless. The County continued to implement an affordable housing program even as the housing market continues to present challenges to prospective homeowners. While the local banking community continues to work with PHCD, obtaining credit for first-time homeowners continues to be challenging. Miami-Dade County continued its commitment to providing targeted training opportunities and workshops, promoting public/private partnerships that leverage the capacity and resources of local non-profit developers, and exploring ways of promoting the expansion of CHDOs by targeting both administrative and project related support for CHDO efforts. The County continues to fund Tenant-Based Rental Assistance (TBRA) programs. This program assists tenants that would otherwise be placed on a waiting list of 52,000 for Section 8. The program includes a component that solely funds security deposits. It has been observed, that due to the current economic crisis, many people do not have the required security deposit. The continued funding of the TBRA program has allowed the County to reach those who have the greatest rent burden.

\*The amounts indicated in the HOME Match-Fiscal Year Summary represents the funds that were used for match and are already obligated. The amounts listed are not amounts that are available to fund new projects. \* The numbers in the WBE and MBE reports are for the time frame

October 1, 2014 through September 30, 2015 which is the County's fiscal year.

<b>Fiscal Year Summary – HOME Match</b>	
1. Excess match from prior Federal fiscal year	26,457,975
2. Match contributed during current Federal fiscal year	3,665,150
3. Total match available for current Federal fiscal year (Line 1 plus Line 2)	30,123,125
4. Match liability for current Federal fiscal year	755,608
5. Excess match carried over to next Federal fiscal year (Line 3 minus Line 4)	29,367,517

**Table 5 – Fiscal Year Summary - HOME Match Report**

DRAFT

Match Contribution for the Federal Fiscal Year								
Project No. or Other ID	Date of Contribution	Cash (non-Federal sources)	Foregone Taxes, Fees, Charges	Appraised Land/Real Property	Required Infrastructure	Site Preparation, Construction Materials, Donated labor	Bond Financing	Total Match

Table 6 – Match Contribution for the Federal Fiscal Year

HOME MBE/WBE report

Program Income – Enter the program amounts for the reporting period				
Balance on hand at beginning of reporting period \$	Amount received during reporting period \$	Total amount expended during reporting period \$	Amount expended for TBRA \$	Balance on hand at end of reporting period \$
3,557,243	0	117,304	0	3,439,939

Table 7 – Program Income

DRAFT

<b>Minority Business Enterprises and Women Business Enterprises – Indicate the number and dollar value of contracts for HOME projects completed during the reporting period</b>						
	Total	Minority Business Enterprises				White Non-Hispanic
		Alaskan Native or American Indian	Asian or Pacific Islander	Black Non-Hispanic	Hispanic	
<b>Contracts</b>						
Dollar Amount	0	0	0	0	0	0
Number	1	0	0	0	0	1
<b>Sub-Contracts</b>						
Number	0	0	0	0	0	0
Dollar Amount	25,168,447	0	0	4,621,291	4,992,856	15,554,300
	Total	Women Business Enterprises	Male			
<b>Contracts</b>						
Dollar Amount	16,947,309	16,947,309	0			
Number	18	3	15			
<b>Sub-Contracts</b>						
Number	0	0	0			
Dollar Amount	25,177,913	16,947,309	8,230,604			

**Table 8 – Minority Business and Women Business Enterprises**

<b>Minority Owners of Rental Property – Indicate the number of HOME assisted rental property owners and the total amount of HOME funds in these rental properties assisted</b>						
	Total	Minority Property Owners				White Non-Hispanic
		Alaskan Native or American Indian	Asian or Pacific Islander	Black Non-Hispanic	Hispanic	
Number	0	0	0	0	0	0
Dollar Amount	0	0	0	0	0	0

**Table 9 – Minority Owners of Rental Property**

<b>Relocation and Real Property Acquisition</b> – Indicate the number of persons displaced, the cost of relocation payments, the number of parcels acquired, and the cost of acquisition						
Parcels Acquired		0		0		
Businesses Displaced		0		0		
Nonprofit Organizations Displaced		0		0		
Households Temporarily Relocated, not Displaced		0		0		
Households Displaced	Total	Minority Property Enterprises				White Non-Hispanic
		Alaskan Native or American Indian	Asian or Pacific Islander	Black Non-Hispanic	Hispanic	
Number	0	0	0	0	0	0
Cost	0	0	0	0	0	0

Table 10 – Relocation and Real Property Acquisition

DRAFT

## CR-20 - Affordable Housing 91.520(b)

Evaluation of the jurisdiction's progress in providing affordable housing, including the number and types of families served, the number of extremely low-income, low-income, moderate-income, and middle-income persons served.

	One-Year Goal	Actual
Number of Homeless households to be provided affordable housing units	38	642
Number of Non-Homeless households to be provided affordable housing units	69	0
Number of Special-Needs households to be provided affordable housing units	67	297
<b>Total</b>	<b>174</b>	<b>939</b>

Table 11 – Number of Households

	One-Year Goal	Actual
Number of households supported through Rental Assistance	67	65
Number of households supported through The Production of New Units	1,550	40
Number of households supported through Rehab of Existing Units	69	155
Number of households supported through Acquisition of Existing Units	0	5
<b>Total</b>	<b>1,686</b>	<b>265</b>

Table 12 – Number of Households Supported

**Discuss the difference between goals and outcomes and problems encountered in meeting these goals.**

Miami-Dade County PHCD was unable to access HOME funds during 2015. In addition, most development units take two years to complete and few units came on line during 2015.

\*Miami-Dade did not fund new construction in 2015 with CDBG or HOME funds; other sources such as Surtax were used. One year goal is overstated.

**Discuss how these outcomes will impact future annual action plans.**

In the future, Miami-Dade County will fund those projects that are shovel ready and can be completed within a reasonable timeframe.

Include the number of extremely low-income, low-income, and moderate-income persons served by each activity where information on income by family size is required to determine the eligibility of the activity.

Number of Persons Served	CDBG Actual	HOME Actual
Extremely Low-income	84	62
Low-income	151	63
Moderate-income	2	14
<b>Total</b>	<b>237</b>	<b>139</b>

Table 13 – Number of Persons Served

Narrative Information

DRAFT

## **CR-25 - Homeless and Other Special Needs 91.220(d, e); 91.320(d, e); 91.520(c)**

**Evaluate the jurisdiction's progress in meeting its specific objectives for reducing and ending homelessness through:**

### **Reaching out to homeless persons (especially unsheltered persons) and assessing their individual needs**

Since 1994, the County's CoC has a centralized outreach, assessment, and intake process. Mobile outreach teams engage individuals and families through on-street contact or in response to requests for service, which are primarily received through the CoC's toll-free Homeless Helpline. Outreach Team Assessments including the Vulnerability Index-Service Prioritization Decision Assistance Tool (VI-SPDAT) are entered into the Homeless Managed Information System (HMIS), facilitating referrals and prioritization. The CoC, through the Food and Beverage Tax, funds coordinated outreach services, providing outreach teams with mobile clinical and psychiatric services, training, housing placements and long term follow-up for the chronic homeless persons placed, with a contract goal requiring a reduction in the population of chronic homeless. This Coordinated Outreach program provides access to Housing First beds and centralized prioritization of all Permanent Supportive Housing (PSH) placements under new CoC strategies. Mobile outreach teams cover the entire county, and a trilingual Helpline diverts callers to the program closest to them. Homeless Helpline cards are distributed at police stations, social service agencies, and locations frequented by the homeless.

The CoC conducts bi-annual homeless counts and an annual Point in Time Survey of homeless households that are sheltered and unsheltered in order to identify needs and gaps in the homeless system, and shape our funding priorities. The sheltered count includes households that reside in emergency shelter, hotel, transitional housing or safe havens. In order to identify the unique needs of the homeless youth population, the County has conducted three unaccompanied homeless youth surveys to assess the extent of youth homeless and identify service needs. The County's youth-focused count, iCount, included an enumeration study involving comprehensive outreach, and utilized social media to advertise a web-based survey. Participation from Miami-Dade County Public Schools System, Miami Homes for All, University of Miami and other partners made the youth count a success. As part of the strategic plan to end homelessness amongst Veterans, the County has worked hand-in-glove with the United States Department of Veteran Affairs (VA) to collect accurate data on the numbers and demographics of veterans and families with veterans experiencing homelessness in Miami-Dade County. We have submitted our local claim that we have ended veteran homelessness per the criteria set forth by the federal partners, to an interagency review team which includes USHUD, USICH and the VA. Lastly, the Homeless Trust participated in national initiatives such as the 100,000 Homes Campaign, 25 Cities and Zero: 2016, which allowed us to receive technical assistance from national experts on how to address chronic homelessness. As part of these initiatives, the Trust adopted a vulnerability index, and hired a Housing Coordinator to match our most vulnerable referrals into vacant apartments subsidized by the Permanent Supportive Housing program. These steps are part of our attempt to register every

unsheltered person in the community, completing a vulnerability assessment to assist the CoC in assessing needs of the unsheltered homeless, and prioritizing placement of the most vulnerable.

### **Addressing the emergency shelter and transitional housing needs of homeless persons**

Upon analyzing the results of the vulnerability index, we now believe that roughly 70% of our homeless population is not seriously disabled and need Low-Income or Rapid Re-Housing (short to medium-term rental assistance) to end their homeless episode. In an effort to maximize resources for rapid rehousing projects serving households with and without children, rapid rehousing programming supported by McKinley-Vento funds within the CoC are matched with the following resources: local child welfare funds for young adults aging out of foster care; FEMA Emergency Food and Shelter funds; VA SSVF; State general revenue, and CoC funds generated through a local Food and Beverage Tax. These funds are not limited to families only. The CoC has addressed the length of stay of individuals and families that experience homelessness by: 1) reallocating existing HUD funded resources for Rapid Re-Housing (RRH) and Permanent Supportive Housing using a Housing First model; 2) increased our emergency shelter inventory through Food and Beverage funding; and 3) identified additional financial resources or homeless set-asides to increase our permanent housing and RRH inventory. The Trust is requesting Technical Assistance on pay for performance investor financing options to develop additional housing resources for vulnerable, homeless persons. The Veterans Administration, Health Care for Homeless Veteran's Program staff, Support Services for Veteran Families (SSVF) providers and the Homeless Trust have served over 1500 veterans since 2014 with County or VA funds, depending on the eligibility status of the veteran. PHCD is a member of the CoC's Housing Committee and all housing agencies are invited as members of the CoC Sub-Committee. Two local PHAs participated in technical assistance organized by 100k Homes, USICH, and Community Solutions, and are working with the CoC and VA on established goals to end veteran homelessness.

Consistent with USHUD guidance, the PHCD and CoC are partnering to set aside a number of public housing units that became vacant through attrition for the placement of individuals currently in CoC-funded Permanent Supportive Housing (PSH) units that are capable of transitioning out. This allows the County to prioritize these vacated PSH units for the most vulnerable chronic homeless individuals, in a Housing First model.

**Helping low-income individuals and families avoid becoming homeless, especially extremely low-income individuals and families and those who are: likely to become homeless after being discharged from publicly funded institutions and systems of care (such as health care facilities, mental health facilities, foster care and other youth facilities, and corrections programs and institutions); and, receiving assistance from public or private agencies that address housing, health, social services, employment, education, or youth needs**

The Miami-Dade County CoC's plan includes homeless prevention programs, funded by ESG and matched by the Homeless Trust. They are based on best practices models (Minneapolis) and include a centralized

process to request assistance (toll-free Homeless Helpline) and legal services for landlord mediation and client education funded by the local Food and Beverage Tax. The CoC locally funds RRH/Permanent Housing (PH) programs and through CoC coordination efforts with ESG recipients, all three local entitlement jurisdictions prioritize RRH/PH. All ESG subrecipients participate in the CoC's HMIS, facilitating trend analysis, planning and resource allocation by the CoC. Coordination with the local FEMA Emergency Food and Shelter Program further allows leveraging of ESG and CoC Homeless Prevention funding. The CoC's Housing Committee is working on affordable housing development opportunities to address the housing needs of at-risk families, including partnerships with tax credit projects. We continue to shift local funds to accommodate additional families as needed, including in motels when emergency housing capacity is full. RRH/FP participants select their housing.

The CoC's Ten Year Plan strategies included the development of a Memorandum of Agreement (MOA) establishing discharge policies for agencies serving persons at risk of homelessness. Following a lengthy planning process focused on special populations, including individuals in crisis units, hospitals, jails or aging out of foster care, the CoC Lead Agency's Board and the County Commission approved an MOA on February 5, 2008 (R-117-08) that was executed by more than a dozen organizations, including the Miami-Dade Homeless Trust; Department of Corrections and Rehabilitation; Florida Department of Children and Families; State of Florida 11th Judicial Circuit; Jackson Memorial Hospital/Public Health Trust; Our Kids, Inc. foster care; State Attorney's Office; and six mental health agencies, including receiving facilities. 12.7 FTEs, including an MOA coordinator, outreach workers and housing specialists are funded by the Homeless Trust to enhance coordination, ensure collaboration among the agencies and assist in identifying resources for individuals who are about to be discharged. Two of the positions are located at the Criminal Courthouse; they work closely with the State Attorney's Office, Police and Corrections Departments to coordinate alternatives to incarceration for the mentally ill and pursue other discharge options. Mental Health Crisis units contact the CoC-funded MOA coordinator prior to patient discharge when placement options are not readily available. Referrals are coordinated into crisis outplacement beds funded by the State through the CoC.

**Helping homeless persons (especially chronically homeless individuals and families, families with children, veterans and their families, and unaccompanied youth) make the transition to permanent housing and independent living, including shortening the period of time that individuals and families experience homelessness, facilitating access for homeless individuals and families to affordable housing units, and preventing individuals and families who were recently homeless from becoming homeless again**

The ultimate goal of the CoC is to transition all homeless persons into permanent housing, and the subsequent retention of that housing. Organizations representing veterans, HIV/AIDS (including HOPWA), ESG, the State Department of Children and Families and Homeless Prevention and Rapid Rehousing programs are invited to participate in the CoC's Board, Committees, Sub-Committee and working groups. The CoC coordinated a Rapid Results Boot Camp to work with the VA, Supportive Services for Veteran Families (SSFV) and VASH providers and local Housing Authorities to coordinate services for

homeless veterans. CoC staff sits on the Emergency Food and Shelter Program Board, resulting in EFSP funding leverage for RRH and HP. An MOU is being executed with the Early Childhood Coalition to ensure priority placement for homeless children. Head Start programs operate at our two Homeless Assistance Centers; families are able to continue accessing the services post discharge. Our private sector partner engages the donor community, securing funding, volunteers and support for the CoC. The CoC is an active partner with the state's mental health managed care organization, coordinating services for the severely mentally ill and substance abusers. Most SSVF and grant per diem providers currently participate in HMIS, with increased participation expected this year. The VA Outreach Program conducts monthly staff meetings with outreach teams and planning staff. Referrals of chronically homeless veterans are prioritized. CoC local funds provide set aside Emergency and Transitional Housing beds for veterans; prioritized PSH for veterans in the CoC HUD applications; and are expecting new tax credit housing to become available in 2017 for veterans.

The CoC runs recidivism reports for most CoC-funded programs, which are reviewed by the CoC's Services Development Committee to ascertain the effectiveness in permanent housing retention by families and individuals served in the Continuum. While PSH programs perform well, if agencies experience significant recidivism, the trust would monitor, including interviews of program participants, to assess whether sufficient and appropriate support services are being provided to assist with housing retention, with underperforming agencies replaced, if needed.

DRAFT

## **CR-30 - Public Housing 91.220(h); 91.320(j)**

### **Actions taken to address the needs of public housing**

Miami-Dade County Public Housing and Community Development Department (PHCD) continued to utilize Low Income Housing Tax Credits (LIHTC) and State Surtax funding to rehabilitate and redevelop public housing sites. In 2015 PHCD's Senior Campus, which is physically the largest site in the portfolio, was awarded State and federal funds to redevelop the 22 acre site. LIHTC continue to be the most expeditious way to redevelop public housing because the LIHTC requires that the housing be developed within a site timeframe. The capital budget for Public Housing is inadequate to meet the tremendous rehabilitation needs of the 8,400 units in our public housing stock. In addition, PHCD continues to implement Section 3 to encourage developers to utilize residents of public housing as potential employees. PHCD has also employed service providers to provide services for public housing residents such as tutoring, free meals, afterschool program and technology initiatives. PHCD is continually working with law enforcement to ensure the safety of public housing residents. PHCD has been able to reduce vacancies by over 400 units.

In addition to the actions mentioned above, the County has initiated the Liberty Square redevelopment. A developer was chosen through a competitive Request for Applications process and will redevelop the Liberty Square project which has experienced physical deterioration and on going crime and public safety issues.

### **Actions taken to encourage public housing residents to become more involved in management and participate in homeownership**

The staff of PHCD continues to work closely with the Overall Tenant Advisory County (OTAC) and the Resident Councils in public housing. The members of these tenant-operated entities are elected by their peers with PHCD staff providing training on leadership development and technical assistance regarding budgeting and other operational matters. Both OTAC and the Resident Councils participate in procurement decisions and are given budgets to manage each year. In addition, PHCD service providers have provided training to public housing residents on homeownership and other related matters.

### **Actions taken to provide assistance to troubled PHAs**

The County has not received its 2015 Public Housing Assessment System (PHARS) report to date. It has not been designated a troubled agency but the 2014 PHARS report designated it as substandard. The department's 2014 PHARS Recovery Plan is being implemented to improve its PHARS score and ensure long-term sustainability and performance.

## **CR-35 - Other Actions 91.220(j)-(k); 91.320(i)-(j)**

**Actions taken to remove or ameliorate the negative effects of public policies that serve as barriers to affordable housing such as land use controls, tax policies affecting land, zoning ordinances, building codes, fees and charges, growth limitations, and policies affecting the return on residential investment. 91.220 (j); 91.320 (i)**

Miami-Dade County continuously addresses barriers to affordable housing using strategies identified in the County's 2013-2017 Consolidated Plan. It is through the implementation of public policy and programs designed to educate and improve the availability of affordable housing, that the County serves the needs of its residents. During this past year, the Board of County Commissioners (BCC) passed resolutions establishing annual set aside funding for elderly housing development; set maximum development costs for County funded affordable housing; set a cap on Surtax funding; limited the percentage of Surtax funding that can be allocated for any single project and reviewed and updated the County's affordable housing guidelines.

### **Actions taken to address obstacles to meeting underserved needs. 91.220(k); 91.320(j)**

As part of the County's strategy to address obstacles to meet the needs of underserved residents, Miami-Dade through Public Housing and Community Development (PHCD) channels resources to community programs in targeted NRSA's. Each year PHCD equips community organizations with resources to carry out objectives listed in the Consolidated Plan. Last year, the County's allocation of CDBG, HOME and ESG funding provided more 10 million dollars for various public facilities, housing, economic development and public service projects, including after school programs, elderly meals, job training, peer counseling, housing rehabilitation and tenant based rental assistance, in addition to many others.

### **Actions taken to reduce lead-based paint hazards. 91.220(k); 91.320(j)**

The County's effort to reduce lead-based paint exposure includes screening, education, case management, environmental investigations, policy development, community outreach education and training. Miami-Dade enforces policies prohibiting the use of lead-based paints for all affordable housing projects. All CDBG, HOME and Surtax/SHIP awarded contracts contain language which addresses lead-based paint hazards, including remediation requirements. Developers, agencies and/or individuals receiving financial assistance are provided lead-based disclosure documentation before federal funds are awarded. Using the environmental review process, the department routinely checks for potential harm and/or exposure to lead-based paint.

### **Actions taken to reduce the number of poverty-level families. 91.220(k); 91.320(j)**

Miami-Dade County through comprehensive means addresses poverty throughout the county utilizing its resources to provide childcare, housing, education and training, for low income families. Recognizing the multifaceted barriers to self-sufficiency, PHCD partners with Community Action Human Services Department, the Homeless Trust, South Florida Workforce Investment Board and other agencies to provide services that offer a holistic approach to addressing the concerns of low income families. Collaborative efforts are used to provide vocational or job training services, job placement, childcare, counseling and affordable housing, which are essential to strengthening the family unit. In the FY 2015 Action Plan, PHCD awarded six agencies with special economic development funding to create 33 jobs for low income persons. Five agencies were awarded funding to provide both youth and adults with employment and job readiness training, including an e-commerce internship program for homeless women. Additionally during this past year, PHCD allocated more than 2.8 million dollars in funding to Liberty City, one of the highest areas of poverty in the County. Funding was earmarked to provide for a catalyst for redevelopment and enhance the possibility of measurable change..

#### **Actions taken to develop institutional structure. 91.220(k); 91.320(j)**

Miami-Dade County's development of institutional structure is evidenced by the adoption of various legislation designed to provide oversight of relevant stakeholders in the housing arena. The County addresses the fair and equitable use of available land in the County and in the past year made several in-fill properties available to non-profits for the purpose of building affordable housing. Miami-Dade's Code of Ordinances and Land Development legislation address provisions for developing reasonable housing accommodations for low income families, the elderly and disabled persons. Reforms are being made to the Affordable Housing Trust Fund to ensure the direction of resources are to families that are extremely low income and establishment of a governing Board of Trustees.

#### **Actions taken to enhance coordination between public and private housing and social service agencies. 91.220(k); 91.320(j)**

Public Housing and Community Development coordinates its efforts between public housing and social service agencies in an attempt to get the highest and best outcome for public housing residents. During the past year, PHCD assisted 22 Resident Councils on public housing sites, who served as advocates for residents when needed. Additionally, there were 26 on-site service providers for residents to readily access when needed. Service providers include but were not limited to, Miami-Dade County Community Action and Human Services Department, non- profits organizations, and local police departments. Services are provided in 29 services centers for public housing residents, which are inclusive of meals for seniors, childcare, parenting classes, tutoring, youth intervention, crisis counseling, job training and community resources.

**Identify actions taken to overcome the effects of any impediments identified in the jurisdictions analysis of impediments to fair housing choice. 91.520(a)**

During 2015, PHCD effectively partnered with Housing Opportunity Project for Excellence (HOPE), in an effort to identify and address impediments to fair housing choice. An Analysis of Impediments (AI) to Fair Housing (FH) was completed December 2015. Improving fair housing practices among such a diverse population as Miami-Dade requires the enlistment of a number of stakeholders to effectively address impediments to fair housing choice. County legislators, county departments, housing agencies, non-profits, and others are needed for continued long term changes. The Miami-Dade County Commission on Human Rights Board serves as a quasi- jurisdictional board, charged with enforcing the County's civil and human rights ordinance providing services to all County residents, including those related to fair housing. Additionally, HOPE, Inc. is a full service fair housing organization providing comprehensive education, outreach and enforcement services in the fair housing arena for residents. Effective partnering with agencies providing fair housing education and outreach, addressing barriers to homeownership, aiding persons with disabilities and the homeless, supporting FH legislation and the prosecution of offenders of federal state and local housing laws is how Miami-Dade County addresses the effects of impediments to fair housing.

DRAFT

## **CR-40 - Monitoring 91.220 and 91.230**

**Describe the standards and procedures used to monitor activities carried out in furtherance of the plan and used to ensure long-term compliance with requirements of the programs involved, including minority business outreach and the comprehensive planning requirements**

PHCD routinely monitors open projects through a combination of site visits and quarterly progress reports. Project management staff communicates with sub-recipients providing guidance and technical assistance ensuring successful project outcomes. Failure to comply with goals and objectives as outlined in contracts can result in contract termination. Sub-recipients who do not comply with the provisions of their agreements may be barred from future participation in the County's Request for Application process. FY 2014 CDBG funding enabled the award of 19 Economic Development projects. Of these, 15 were to provide technical assistance to small and minority businesses, while the remaining 4 were awarded funds for business incubator programs.

## **Citizen Participation Plan 91.105(d); 91.115(d)**

**Describe the efforts to provide citizens with reasonable notice and an opportunity to comment on performance reports.**

The Miami-Dade County Citizen Participation Plan was approved by the Board of County Commissioners on September 4, 2012 through Resolution #R-692-12. Accordingly, Public Housing and Community Development makes available the Consolidated Annual Performance and Evaluation Report (CAPER) for public comment. The published CAPER is advertised on the County's portal and departmental website at [www.miamidade.gov/housing/](http://www.miamidade.gov/housing/), while hard copies were made available at 701 NW 1st Court, 14th floor, Miami, Florida 33136. The 15-day comment period for the FY 2014 CAPER began on March 13, 2015. The public was encouraged to submit their comments in writing by close of business on March 28, 2015. Where applicable, comments were incorporated in this document.

**CR-45 - CDBG 91.520(c)**

**Specify the nature of, and reasons for, any changes in the jurisdiction’s program objectives and indications of how the jurisdiction would change its programs as a result of its experiences.**

While economic development and housing continue to be two of the main focuses of the jurisdiction, Miami-Dade is beginning to target a substantial amount of its resources, including non-federal funds in one of the most impoverished areas of Miami-Dade County, Liberty City. The goal is to create significant impact over the next four years that will create more opportunity for residents to experience economic growth. Miami-Dade's commitment of 8 million dollars will be used to redevelop its public housing development, rehabilitate small multi-family projects and create homeownership opportunities. It continues to make economic development a priority and through public service activities, youth programs, educational and senior programs including feeding programs continue to be priority.

<b>Does this Jurisdiction have any open Brownfields Economic Development Initiative (BEDI) grants?</b>	No
--	----

**[BEDI grantees] Describe accomplishments and program outcomes during the last year.**

DRAFT

## **CR-50 - HOME 91.520(d)**

**Include the results of on-site inspections of affordable rental housing assisted under the program to determine compliance with housing codes and other applicable regulations**

Please list those projects that should have been inspected on-site this program year based upon the schedule in §92.504(d). Indicate which of these were inspected and a summary of issues that were detected during the inspection. For those that were not inspected, please indicate the reason and how you will remedy the situation.

See Attachment A 1 in the CROO Section

**Provide an assessment of the jurisdiction's affirmative marketing actions for HOME units.  
92.351(b)**

Miami-Dade County will continue to affirmatively market projects that contain HOME assisted units. This will be done by posting the information on the County's Housing portal, a one-stop internet website designed to assist residents find information on affordable housing opportunities. Miami-Dade County will also include such information as part of the Fair Housing outreach efforts. The County continued to work with all its contractors to ensure that available housing units are marketed in compliance with Fair Housing requirements. As part of its minority outreach efforts, the County requires contractors to take affirmative steps to procure supplies, equipment, construction or services from businesses owned by minorities and women and to provide these entities the maximum feasible opportunity to compete for subcontracts. Contractors must also ensure that all subcontracts or third party agreements contain provisions with stated goals for providing training and employment opportunities to low income residents. In 2015, the County continued to ensure that the Fair Housing outreach efforts also include information that promotes the hiring of low-income residents.

**Miami-Dade PHCD was unable to access HOME funds in 2015.**

**Refer to IDIS reports to describe the amount and use of program income for projects, including the number of projects and owner and tenant characteristics**

In FY 2015, Miami-Dade County received \$5,677,507.02 in program income for CDBG and committed \$5,106,351.56 to ongoing activities. The County also received \$3,557,243.81 in program income for HOME, however Miami-Dade County did not commit these funds since it was unable to access HOME funds in 2015.

**Describe other actions taken to foster and maintain affordable housing. 91.220(k) (STATES ONLY: Including the coordination of LIHTC with the development of affordable housing). 91.320(j)**

In FY 2015, Miami-Dade County sought to achieve the following housing objectives identified in the Consolidated Plan:

- Provide assistance to rental households by increasing both the supply of standard affordable housing and the availability of rental assistance and support services. (Especially for those with incomes at or below 30 percent of the median income.)
- Reduce lead-based paint hazards.
- Reduction of energy use or energy costs as a result of housing rehabilitation using LEED or equivalent building standards.
- Provide residential housing, support services, and increase the supply of units for non-homeless persons with special needs.
- Assist low-income families in public housing by the continuation of homeownership opportunities.
- Enhance Countywide understanding of fair housing laws through outreach and education.
- Maintenance of a housing information clearinghouse for prospective homeowners.
- Continue to improve the living conditions of residents of public housing through rehabilitation of existing units.
- Continue to assist existing homeowners to maintain their properties by providing financial assistance for the rehabilitation of substandard housing.

Through the above objectives, the County will serve the needs of populations with the highest rate of poverty identified in the FY 2013-2017 Consolidated Plan and focus on identified neighborhood revitalization priorities. High priority will be given to housing for extremely-low income renter households. Due to the condition of the current housing market and the limited funding sources available for investment, the County did not fund any new construction projects for homeownership that had not received prior funding through County HOME funds for the same project.

CR-60 - ESG 91.520(g) (ESG Recipients only)

ESG Supplement to the CAPER in *e-snaps*

For Paperwork Reduction Act

1. Recipient Information—All Recipients Complete

Basic Grant Information

Recipient Name	MIAMI DADE COUNTY
Organizational DUNS Number	029297483
EIN/TIN Number	596000573
Identify the Field Office	SOUTH FLORIDA
Identify CoC(s) in which the recipient or subrecipient(s) will provide ESG assistance	Miami/Dade County CoC

ESG Contact Name

Prefix  
First Name  
Middle Name  
Last Name  
Suffix  
Title

ESG Contact Address

Street Address 1  
Street Address 2  
City  
State  
ZIP Code 33136-  
Phone Number  
Extension  
Fax Number  
Email Address

ESG Secondary Contact

Prefix  
First Name  
Last Name  
Suffix  
Title  
Phone Number  
Extension  
Email Address

2. Reporting Period—All Recipients Complete

Program Year Start Date 01/01/2015

CAPER

30

Program Year End Date

12/31/2015

3a. Subrecipient Form – Complete one form for each subrecipient

Subrecipient or Contractor Name

City

State

Zip Code

DUNS Number

Is subrecipient a victim services provider

Subrecipient Organization Type

ESG Subgrant or Contract Award Amount

DRAFT

## CR-65 - Persons Assisted

### 4. Persons Served

#### 4a. Complete for Homelessness Prevention Activities

Number of Persons in Households	Total
Adults	215
Children	256
Don't Know/Refused/Other	0
Missing Information	0
<b>Total</b>	<b>471</b>

Table 14 – Household Information for Homeless Prevention Activities

#### 4b. Complete for Rapid Re-Housing Activities

Number of Persons in Households	Total
Adults	337
Children	305
Don't Know/Refused/Other	0
Missing Information	0
<b>Total</b>	<b>642</b>

Table 15 – Household Information for Rapid Re-Housing Activities

#### 4c. Complete for Shelter

Number of Persons in Households	Total
Adults	191
Children	0
Don't Know/Refused/Other	0
Missing Information	0
<b>Total</b>	<b>191</b>

Table 16 – Shelter Information

#### 4d. Street Outreach

Number of Persons in Households	Total
Adults	3,735
Children	1,396
Don't Know/Refused/Other	0
Missing Information	1
<b>Total</b>	<b>5,132</b>

Table 17 – Household Information for Street Outreach

#### 4e. Totals for all Persons Served with ESG

Number of Persons in Households	Total
Adults	743
Children	561
Don't Know/Refused/Other	0
Missing Information	0
<b>Total</b>	<b>1,304</b>

Table 18 – Household Information for Persons Served with ESG

#### 5. Gender—Complete for All Activities

	Total
Male	619
Female	685
Transgender	0
Don't Know/Refused/Other	0
Missing Information	0
<b>Total</b>	<b>1,304</b>

Table 19 – Gender Information

6. Age—Complete for All Activities

	Total
Under 18	561
18-24	96
25 and over	647
Don't Know/Refused/Other	0
Missing Information	0
<b>Total</b>	<b>1,304</b>

Table 20 – Age Information

7. Special Populations Served—Complete for All Activities

Number of Persons in Households

Subpopulation	Total	Total Persons Served – Prevention	Total Persons Served – RRH	Total Persons Served in Emergency Shelters
Veterans	17	1	8	8
Victims of Domestic Violence	37	5	22	10
Elderly	34	8	21	5
HIV/AIDS	11	4	5	2
Chronically Homeless	57	0	8	49
<b>Persons with Disabilities:</b>				
Severely Mentally Ill	162	22	92	48
Chronic Substance Abuse	88	1	26	61
Other Disability	287	61	179	47
Total (Unduplicated if possible)	496	84	297	115

Table 21 – Special Population Served

## CR-70 – ESG 91.520(g) - Assistance Provided and Outcomes

### 10. Shelter Utilization

Number of New Units - Rehabbed	0
Number of New Units - Conversion	0
Total Number of bed-nights available	17,520
Total Number of bed-nights provided	13,612
Capacity Utilization	77.69%

Table 22 – Shelter Capacity

### 11. Project Outcomes Data measured under the performance standards developed in consultation with the CoC(s)

During the period between January 1, 2015 and December 31, 2015, 471 persons/141 households sought homeless prevention services. In 2015, 60 persons exited prevention into permanent destinations; 2 exited to other destinations; and no exit data was collected for 366 people.

During the period between January 1, 2015 and December 31, 2015, 642 persons/292 households sought rapid rehousing assistance. In 2015, 194 persons exited rapid rehousing into permanent destinations; 4 exited to temporary destinations; 3 persons declined to report their exit destinations; and no exit data was collected for 399 people.

During the period between January 1, 2015 and December 31, 2015, emergency housing served 191 unaccompanied households. In 2015, 75 of the 174 clients who exited the program did so into permanent destinations.

## CR-75 – Expenditures

### 11. Expenditures

#### 11a. ESG Expenditures for Homelessness Prevention

	Dollar Amount of Expenditures in Program Year		
	2013	2014	2015
Expenditures for Rental Assistance	593,177	0	0
Expenditures for Housing Relocation and Stabilization Services - Financial Assistance	0	0	0
Expenditures for Housing Relocation & Stabilization Services - Services	73,314	0	0
Expenditures for Homeless Prevention under Emergency Shelter Grants Program	0	995,295	960,995
<b>Subtotal Homelessness Prevention</b>	<b>666,491</b>	<b>995,295</b>	<b>960,995</b>

Table 23 – ESG Expenditures for Homelessness Prevention

#### 11b. ESG Expenditures for Rapid Re-Housing

	Dollar Amount of Expenditures in Program Year		
	2013	2014	2015
Expenditures for Rental Assistance	550,000	0	0
Expenditures for Housing Relocation and Stabilization Services - Financial Assistance	0	0	0
Expenditures for Housing Relocation & Stabilization Services - Services	0	0	0
Expenditures for Homeless Assistance under Emergency Shelter Grants Program	0	0	0
<b>Subtotal Rapid Re-Housing</b>	<b>550,000</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>

Table 24 – ESG Expenditures for Rapid Re-Housing

#### 11c. ESG Expenditures for Emergency Shelter

	Dollar Amount of Expenditures in Program Year		
	2013	2014	2015
Essential Services	0	0	0
Operations	750,000	0	331,146
Renovation	0	0	0
Major Rehab	0	0	0
Conversion	0	0	0
<b>Subtotal</b>	<b>750,000</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>331,146</b>

Table 25 – ESG Expenditures for Emergency Shelter

11d. Other Grant Expenditures

	Dollar Amount of Expenditures in Program Year		
	2013	2014	2015
HMIS	0	0	0
Administration	0	0	0
Street Outreach	0	0	0

Table 26 - Other Grant Expenditures

11e. Total ESG Grant Funds

Total ESG Funds Expended	2013	2014	2015
4,253,927	1,966,491	995,295	1,292,141

Table 27 - Total ESG Funds Expended

11f. Match Source

	2013	2014	2015
Other Non-ESG HUD Funds	0	0	0
Other Federal Funds	0	0	0
State Government	0	0	0
Local Government	1,275,000	1,275,000	1,275,000
Private Funds	0	0	0
Other	0	0	0
Fees	0	0	0
Program Income	0	0	0
<b>Total Match Amount</b>	<b>1,275,000</b>	<b>1,275,000</b>	<b>1,275,000</b>

Table 28 - Other Funds Expended on Eligible ESG Activities

11g. Total

Total Amount of Funds Expended on ESG Activities	2013	2014	2015
8,078,927	3,241,491	2,270,295	2,567,141

Table 29 - Total Amount of Funds Expended on ESG Activities

# Housing Inspections 2015

Development	HQS Inspection Date	Results
530 Michigan Apartments	8/6/2015	HQS inspections performed on 3 units, all (3) units received a pass rating. HQS close out letter dated 10/5/15.
532 Michigan Apartments	7/8/2015	HQS inspections performed on 3 units, all (3) units received a pass rating. HQS close out letter dated 10/5/15.
1040 Spring Garden Road Apts.	11/9/2015	HQS inspections performed on 3 units, all (3) units received a pass rating. HQS close out letter dated 1/19/16.
Allapattah Gardens	7/16/2015	HQS inspections performed on 13 units, all (13) units received a pass rating. HQS close out letter dated 11/2/15.
Anchorage Apartments	7/6/2015	HQS inspections performed on 3 units, all (3) units received a pass rating. HQS close out letter dated 10/5/15.
Barbella Gardens	7/9/2015	HQS inspections performed on 3 units, all (3) units received a pass rating. HQS close out letter dated 10/5/15.
Betterway West Apartments	11/10/2015	HQS inspections performed on 6 units, all (6) units received a pass rating. HQS close out letter dated 1/19/16.
Biscayne Villas Apartments	8/6/2015	HQS inspections performed on 3 units, 1 unit passed and (2) units received a failed rating. Some failed items were defective smoke alarm, broken window glass, defective window operator, missing towel bar, water damage sink cabinet wall, missing smoke alarm, leaking bathtub faucet. HQS letter of non-compliance pending closeout.
Calusa Cove Apartments	2/20/2015	HQS inspections performed on 14 units, all (14) units received a pass rating. HQS close out letter dated 3/26/15.
Camacol Tower	12/7/2015	HQS inspections performed on 10 units, all (10) units received a pass rating. HQS close out letter dated 3/26/15.

Camillus House/New Treatment Center	6/15/2015	HQS inspections performed on 4 units, all (4) units received a pass rating. HQS close out letter dated 10/5/15.
Caribbean West Apartments	3/26/2015	HQS inspections performed on 16 units, (12) units received a pass rating and (4) units received a fail rating. Some of the failed items were: non-conforming bathroom tub reglazing. All building has scaling painting. HQS letter of non-compliance pending closeout.
Casa Matias	8/26/2015	HQS inspections performed on 8 units, all (8) units received a pass rating. HQS close out letter dated 10/5/15.
Center Court Apartments	9/8/2015	HQS inspections performed on 15 units, (4) units received a pass rating and (11) units received a fail rating. Some of the failed items were: missing ceiling panels, defective carpet threshold, door has scaling paint, replace door threshold, defective ceiling fan, missing light globe, defective ventilator, defective wall tiles, missing smoke alarm, replace bathtub defective burner, damage door, deteriorated tub, and deteriorated cabinetry. HQS letter of non-compliance pending closeout.
Central City Apartments	3/2/2015	HQS inspections performed on 6 units, (4) units received a pass rating and (2) units received a fail rating. Some of the failed items were: ceiling needs painting, defective outlets, defective garbage disposal and defective sliding glass door. HQS letter of non-compliance pending closeout.
City View Apartments a/k/a Little River Bend	2/23/2015	HQS inspections performed on 11 units, all (11) units received a pass rating. HQS close out letter dated 12/10/15.
Cutler Manor Apartments	6/15/2015	HQS inspections performed on 4 units, all (4) units received a pass rating. HQS close out letter dated 10/5/15.

Del Prado Garden Townhouses	6/15/2015	HQS inspections performed on 4 units, all (4) units received a pass rating. HQS close out letter dated 10/5/15.
Edison Gardens Apartments Hurricane Hardening	3/16/2015	HQS inspections performed on 3 units, all (3) units received a pass rating. HQS close out letter dated 3/27/15.
Edison Towers Apartments	10/22/2015	HQS inspections performed on 14 units, all (14) units received a pass rating. HQS close out letter dated 10/5/15.
Everett Stewart, Sr. Village	12/7/2015	HQS inspections performed on 10 units, all (10) units received a pass rating. HQS close out letter dated 1/19/16.
Fernwood Apartments	8/7/2015	HQS inspections performed on 3 units, all (3) units received a pass rating. HQS close out letter dated 10/5/15.
Georgia Ayers Apartments	8/3/2015	HQS inspections performed on 8 units, all (8) units received a pass rating. HQS close out letter dated 10/5/15.
Golden Lakes Apartments	8/6/2015	HQS inspections performed on 3 units, all (3) units received a pass rating. HQS close out letter dated 10/5/15.
Green Vista Apartments	6/17/2015	HQS inspections performed on 15 units, 11 unit passed; (4) units received a failed rating. Some of the failed items were: defective smoke alarm, broken window glass, defective window operator, missing towel bar, water damaged sink cabinet wall, missing smoke alarm leaking bathtub faucet. HQS letter of non-compliance pending closeout.
Hidden Cove/Golden Lakes II	8/6/2015	HQS inspections performed on 5 units, all (5) units received a pass rating. HQS close out letter dated 10/5/15.
Hidden Grove Apartments	7/10/2015	HQS inspections performed on 23 units, all (23) units received a pass rating. HQS close out letter dated 10/5/15.
King Terrace	7/13/2015	HQS inspections performed on 15 units, all (15) units received a pass rating. HQS close out letter dated 10/5/15.

Knightsbridge Apartments	8/7/2015	HQS inspections performed on 3 units, all (3) units received a pass rating. HQS close out letter dated 10/5/15.
Lafayette Plaza	11/17/2015	HQS inspections performed on 14 units, all (14) units received a pass rating. HQS close out letter dated 1/16/16.
Lafayette Square Apartments	11/23/2015	HQS inspections performed on 16 units, all (16) units received a pass rating. HQS close out letter dated 1/19/16.
La Joya Apartments	8/25/2015	HQS inspections performed on 23 units, all (23) units received a pass rating. HQS close out letter dated 10/5/15.
La Palma Apartments	1/14/2015	HQS inspections performed on 14 units, all (14) units received a pass rating. HQS close out letter dated 2/24/15.
Lil Abner	7/8/2015	HQS inspections performed on 5 units, all (5) units received a pass rating. HQS close out letter dated 10/5/15.
Little Haiti Gateway Housing	10/15/2015	HQS inspections performed on 12 units, all (12) units received a pass rating. HQS close out letter dated 11/2/15.
Magnolia Landing	7/13/2015	HQS inspections performed on 15 units, all (15) units received a pass rating. HQS close out letter dated 10/5/15.
Magnolia North	8/25/2015	HQS inspections performed on 5 units, all (5) units received a pass rating. HQS close out letter dated 10/5/15.
Marbrisa Apartments	5/11/2015	HQS inspection performed on 3 units, all (3) units received a pass rating. HQS close out letter dated 11/2/15.
Marilyn Hope's Place f/k/a Cutler Bay Center Senior Apts.	8/25/2015	HQS inspection performed on 11 units, all (11) units received a pass rating. HQS close out letter dated 10/5/15.
Mayfair Cafe	1/12/2015	HQS inspections performed on 6 units, all (6) units received a pass rating. HQS close out letter dated 3/12/15.

Miami Sunset Bay Apartments	6/18/2015	HQS inspections performed on 15 units, all (15) units received a pass rating. HQS close out letter dated 10/5/15.
Mirabella Apartments	9/14/2015	HQS inspections performed on 23 units, all (23) units received a pass rating. HQS close out letter dated 11/2/15.
Naranja Villas Apartments	7/14/2015	HQS inspections performed on 14 units, all (14) units received a pass rating. HQS close out letter dated 10/5/15.
New Arena Apartments	12/7/2015	HQS inspections performed on 17 units, all (17) units received a pass rating. HQS close out letter dated 1/19/16.
New Life Family Center	5/5/2015	HQS inspections performed on 3 units, all (3) units received a pass rating. HQS close out letter dated 10/5/15.
North Shore Apartments	6/24/2015	HQS inspections performed on 7 units, all (7) units received a pass rating. HQS close out letter dated 10/5/15.
Northside Transit Village I	8/26/2015	HQS inspections performed on 10 units, all (10) units received a pass rating. HQS close out letter dated 10/5/15.
Old Cutler Village Apts.	8/11/2015	HQS inspections performed on 15 units, all (15) units received a pass rating. HQS close out letter dated 10/5/15.
Palermo Lakes	8/18/2015	HQS inspections performed on 11 units, all (11) units received a pass rating. HQS close out letter dated 10/5/15.
Palm Villas	11/10/2015	HQS inspections performed on 10 units, all (10) units received a pass rating. HQS close out letter dated 1/19/16.
Park City Apartments	11/16/2015	HQS inspections performed on 13 units, all (13) units received a pass rating. HQS close out letter dated 1/19/16.
Park Place	12/7/2015	HQS inspections performed on 4 units, all (4) units received a pass rating. HQS close out letter dated 1/19/16.
Pinnacle Park	5/18/2015	HQS inspections performed on 13 units, all (13) units received a pass rating. HQS close out letter dated 10/5/15.

Pinnacle Place	8/11/2015	HQS inspections performed on 14 units, all (14) units received a pass rating. HQS close out letter dated 10/5/15.
Pinnacle Plaza	5/18/2015	HQS inspections performed on 14 units, all (14) units received a pass rating. HQS close out letter dated 10/5/15.
Poinciana Grove	6/22/2015	HQS inspections performed on 8 units, all (8) units received a pass rating. HQS close out letter dated 10/5/15.
Princeton Manor Apartments	7/21/2015	HQS inspections performed on 14 units, all (14) units received a pass rating. HQS close out letter dated 10/5/15.
River Oaks Apartments	11/16/2015	HQS inspections performed on 16 units, all (16) units received a pass rating. HQS close out letter dated 1/19/16.
Royal Palm Apartments	8/13/2015	HQS inspections performed on 15 units, all (15) units received a pass rating. HQS close out letter 10/5/15.
Royalton	10/15/2015	HQS inspections performed on 15 units, 9 passed (6) units received a failed rating. Some of the failed items were: leaking a/c, defective smoke alarm, ceiling shows signs of water seepage, and deteriorated ceiling/carpet. HQS letter of non-compliance pending closeout.
Running Brook Apartments	5/19/2015	HQS inspections performed on 19 units, all (19) units received a pass rating. HQS close out letter 10/5/15.
Santa Clara Apartments	10/19/2015	HQS inspections performed on 22 units, 17 passed, (5) units received a failed rating. Some of the failed items were: repair closet door, replace refrigerator door, disposal not working, stove not leveled, shower head broken. HQS letter of non-compliance pending closeout.
Santa Clara Apartments II	10/22/2015	HQS inspections performed on 21 units, all (21) units received a pass rating. HQS close out letter dated 11/2/15.
Shepherd House	9/9/2015	HQS inspections performed on 3 units, all (3) units received a pass rating. HQS close out letter dated 11/2/15.

Siesta Pointe Apartments	11/24/2015	HQS inspections performed on 20 units, all (20) units received a pass rating. HQS close out letter dated 1/19/16.
South Wind Apartments	10/7/2015	HQS inspections performed on 11 units, all (11) units received a pass rating. HQS close out letter dated 11/2/15.
Spinnaker Cove	6/22/2015	HQS inspections performed on 15 units, all (15) units received a pass rating. HQS close out letter dated 10/5/15.
St. John Apartments Rehab	10/7/2015	HQS inspections performed on 6 units, 3 passed (3) units received a failed rating. Some of the failed items were: defective door lock, missing light globe, defective smoke alarm, c/c needs service, defective bathtub water, toilet, ceiling needs painting. HQS letter of non-compliance pending closeout.
The Atrium at Spring Garden Condominium	7/24/2015	HQS inspections performed on 8 units, all (8) units received a pass rating. HQS close out letter dated 10/5/15.
The Beacon/Metro Apartments	3/3/2015	HQS inspections performed on 8 units, all (8) units received a pass rating. HQS close out letter dated 3/3/15.
The Emerald Apartments	6/22/2015	HQS inspections performed on 13 units, all (13) units received a pass rating. HQS close out letter dated 10/5/15.
Town Center	8/25/2015	HQS inspections performed on 13 units, all (13) units received a pass rating. HQS close out letter dated 10/5/15.
Tuscan Place Apartments	6/23/2015	HQS inspections performed on 20 units, all (20) units received a pass rating. HQS close out letter dated 10/5/15.
Tuscan View Apartments	6/23/2015	HQS inspections performed on 18 units, all (18) units received a pass rating. HQS close out letter dated 10/5/15.
Valencia Pointe	11/17/2015	HQS inspections performed on 18 units, all (18) units received a pass rating. HQS close out letter dated 1/19/16.

Veranda Senior Apartments	5/27/2015	HQS inspections performed on 10 units, all (10) units received a pass rating. HQS close out letter dated 10/5/15.
Verde Gardens Apartments	7/21/2015	HQS inspections performed on 22 units, all (22) units received a pass rating. HQS close out letter dated 10/5/15.
Villa Aurora	10/7/2015	HQS inspections performed on 12 units, 11 passed, (1) unit received a failed rating. Some of the failed items were: wall shows signs of water seepage, deteriorated wall, defective sliding door not properly operable, bathroom wall shows signs of water seepage, and paint repaired surfaces. HQS letter of non-compliance pending closeout.
Villa Esperanza Apartments	5/19/2015	HQS inspections performed on 20 units, all (20) units received a pass rating. HQS close out letter dated 10/5/15.
Villa Hermosa	8/13/2015	HQS inspections performed on 10 units, all (10) units received a pass rating. HQS close out letter dated 10/5/15.
Villa Maria Apartments	8/6/2015	HQS inspections performed on 6 units, all (6) units received a pass rating. HQS close out letter dated 10/5/15.
Villas Del Lago	10/27/2015	HQS inspections performed on 16 units, all (16) units received a pass rating. HQS close out letter dated 11/2/15.
Viscaya Villas Apartments	8/13/2015	HQS inspections performed on 5 units, all (5) units received a pass rating. HQS close out letter 10/5/15.
Vista Mar	10/30/2015	HQS inspections performed on 11 units, all (11) units received a pass rating. HQS close out letter dated 1/19/16.
West Brickell Apartments	6/23/2015	HQS inspections performed on 6 units, (6) units received a pass rating. HQS close out letter dated 10/5/15.
West Brickell Towers	8/25/2015	HQS inspections performed on 4 units, (4) units received a pass rating. HQS close out letter dated 10/5/15.
Westchester Apartments	8/18/2015	HQS inspections performed on 3 units, (3) units received a pass rating. HQS close out letter dated 10/5/15.

Westview Garden Apartments	9/16/2015	HQS inspections performed on 3 units, all (3) units received a pass rating. HQS close out letter dated 1/19/16.
Winchester Gardens	8/18/2015	HQS inspections performed on 8 units, all (8) units received a pass rating. HQS close out letter dated 1/19/16.
Wynwood/Pinnacle View	11/17/2015	HQS inspections performed on 18 units, all (18) units received a pass rating. HQS close out letter dated 1/19/16.

DRAFT

# ESG E-Cart

## Q5. HMIS DQ & Participation

### Filters

[Change filters on Data tab](#)

### 5a. HMIS or Comparable Database Data Quality

Q5a

Organization(s)

Data Element	Client Doesn't Know or Client Refused	Data not collected
First name	2	280
Last name	2	280
SSN	40	54
Date of Birth	0	0
Race	0	4
Ethnicity	0	0
Gender	0	0
Veteran Status	0	2
Disabling condition	0	0
Residence Prior to Entry	0	0
Relationship to Head of Household	0	28
Destination	0	4
Client location for project entry	0	2
Length of Time on Street, in ES or SH	2	20

All organizations
Project type(s) All project types
Project name(s) All project names

## Q6. Persons Served

### 6a. Report Validations Table

Q6a

a. Total number of persons served	2592
b. Number of adults (age 18 or over)	1482
c. Number of children (under age 18)	1110
d. Number of persons with unknown age	0

e. Total number of leavers	1708
f. Total number of adult leavers	1018
g. Total number of stayers	884
h. Total number of adult stayers	464
i. Number of veterans	34
j. Number of chronically homeless persons	52
k. Number of adult heads of household	1156
l. Number of child heads of household	10
m. Number of unaccompanied youth under age 25	58
n. Number of parenting youth under age 25 with children	42

**6b. Number of Persons Served**

Q6b

	Total	a. Without children	b. With children and adults	c. With only children	d. Unknown household type
a. Adults	1482	822	660	0	0
b. Children	1110	0	1086	24	0
c. Don't know / refused	0	0	0	0	0
d. Information missing	0	0	0	0	0
<b>e. Total</b>	2592	822	1746	24	0

**Q7a. Households Served**

**7a. Number of Households Served**

Q7a

	Total	a. Without children	b. With children and adults	c. With only children	d. Unknown household type
Total Households	1164	728	428	8	0

7b. Point-in-Time  
Count of Households  
on the Last Wednesday

Q7b

	Total	a. Without children	b. With children and adults	c. With only children	d. Unknown household type
January	208	158	48	2	0
April	210	144	66	0	0
July	202	130	72	0	0
October	228	134	94	0	0

Q9. Contacts and Engagements

9a. Number of Persons  
Contacted

Q9a

	Total	a. First contact was at a place not meant for human habitation	b. First contact was at a non-residential service setting	c. First contact was at a residential service setting	d. First contact place was missing
a1. Contacted once?	0	0	0	0	0
a2. Contacted 2-5 times?	0	0	0	0	0
a3. Contacted 6-9 times?	0	0	0	0	0
a4. Contacted 10 or more times?	0	0	0	0	0
az. Total persons contacted	0	0	0	0	0

9b. Number of Persons  
Engaged

Q9b

	Total	a. First contact was at a place not meant for human habitation	b. First contact was at a non-residential service setting	c. First contact was at a residential service setting	d. First contact place was missing
b1. Engaged after 1 contact?	0	0	0	0	0
b2. Engaged after 2-5 contacts?	0	0	0	0	0

b3. Engaged after 6-9 contacts?	0	0	0	0	0
b4. Engaged after 10 or more contacts?	0	0	0	0	0
<b>bz. Total persons engaged</b>	0	0	0	0	0
<b>c. Rate of engagement (%)</b>	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A

**Q10. Gender**

**10a. Gender of Adults** Q10a

	Total	a. Without children	b. With children and adults	c. Unknown household type
a. Male	662	492	170	0
b. Female	820	330	490	0
c. Transgender male to female	0	0	0	0
d. Transgender female to male	0	0	0	0
e. Other	0	0	0	0
f. Don't know / refused	0	0	0	0
g. Information missing	0	0	0	0
<b>h. Subtotal</b>	1482	822	660	0

**10b. Gender of Children** Q10b

	Total	a. With children and adults	b. With only children	c. Unknown household type
a. Male	572	558	14	0
b. Female	538	528	10	0
c. Transgender male to female	0	0	0	0
d. Transgender female to male	0	0	0	0
e. Other	0	0	0	0
f. Don't know / refused	0	0	0	0
g. Information missing	0	0	0	0

<b>h. Subtotal</b>	1110	1086	24	0
--------------------	------	------	----	---

**10c. Gender of Persons**

**Missing Age**

**Information**

Q10c

	Total	a. Without children	b. With children and adults	c. With only children	d. Unknown household type
a. Male	0	0	0	0	0
b. Female	0	0	0	0	0
c. Transgender male to female	0	0	0	0	0
d. Transgender female to male	0	0	0	0	0
e. Other	0	0	0	0	0
f. Don't know / refused	0	0	0	0	0
g. Information missing	0	0	0	0	0
<b>h. Subtotal</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>

**10d. Gender by Age**

**Ranges**

Q10d

	Total	a. Under age 18	b. Age 18-24	c. Age 25-61	d. Age 62 and over	e. Client Doesn't Know/Client Refused	f. Data not collected
a. Male	1234	572	76	550	36	0	0
b. Female	1358	538	116	672	32	0	0
c. Transgender male to female	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
d. Transgender female to male	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
e. Other	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
f. Don't know / refused	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
g. Information missing	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
<b>h. Total</b>	<b>2592</b>	<b>1110</b>	<b>192</b>	<b>1222</b>	<b>68</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>

**Q11. Age**

Q11

	Total	a. Without children	b. With children and adults	c. With only children	d. Unknown household type
a. Under 5	378	0	376	2	0
b. 5 - 12	530	0	512	18	0
c. 13 - 17	202	0	198	4	0
d. 18 - 24	192	66	126	0	0
e. 25 - 34	430	174	256	0	0
f. 35 - 44	314	136	178	0	0
g. 45 - 54	328	244	84	0	0
h. 55 - 61	150	138	12	0	0
i. 62+	68	64	4	0	0
j. Don't know / refused	0	0	0	0	0
k. Information missing	0	0	0	0	0
<b>l. Total</b>	<b>2592</b>	<b>822</b>	<b>1746</b>	<b>24</b>	<b>0</b>

**Q12. Race & Ethnicity**

**12a. Race**

Q12a

	Total	a. Without children	b. With children and adults	c. With only children	d. Unknown household type
a. White	660	244	416	0	0
b. Black or African-American	1914	564	1326	24	0
c. Asian	2	2	0	0	0
d. American Indian or Alaska Native	2	0	2	0	0
e. Native Hawaiian or Other Pacific Islander	0	0	0	0	0
f. Multiple races	10	10	0	0	0
g. Don't know / refused	0	0	0	0	0
h. Information missing	4	2	2	0	0
<b>i. Total</b>	<b>2592</b>	<b>822</b>	<b>1746</b>	<b>24</b>	<b>0</b>

**12b. Ethnicity**

Q12b

	Total	a. Without children	b. With children and adults	c. With only children	d. Unknown household type
a. Non-Hispanic/non-Latino	1864	614	1226	24	0
b. Hispanic/Latino	728	208	520	0	0
c. Don't know / refused	0	0	0	0	0
d. Information missing	0	0	0	0	0
<b>e. Total</b>	<b>2592</b>	<b>822</b>	<b>1746</b>	<b>24</b>	<b>0</b>

**Q13. Physical and Mental Health Conditions**

**13a1. Physical and Mental Health**

**Conditions at Entry**

Q13a1

	Total persons	a. Without children	b. With children and adults	c. With only children	d. Unknown household type
a. Mental illness	328	228	100	0	0
b. Alcohol abuse	20	20	0	0	0
c. Drug abuse	82	72	10	0	0
d. Both alcohol and drug abuse	76	72	4	0	0
e. Chronic health condition	112	92	20	0	0
f. HIV/AIDS and related diseases	20	16	4	0	0
g. Developmental disability	70	12	58	0	0
h. Physical disability	388	222	166	0	0

**13b1. Physical and Mental Health**

**Conditions of Leavers**

Q13b1

	Total persons	a. Without children	b. With children and adults	c. With only children	d. Unknown household type
a. Mental illness	238	166	72	0	0
b. Alcohol abuse	12	12	0	0	0
c. Drug abuse	72	62	10	0	0

d. Both alcohol and drug abuse	56	52	4	0	0
e. Chronic health condition	74	58	16	0	0
f. HIV/AIDS and related diseases	14	12	2	0	0
g. Developmental disability	50	12	38	0	0
h. Physical disability	278	164	114	0	0

**13c1. Physical and Mental Health Conditions of Stayers**

Q13c1

	Total persons	a. Without children	b. With children and adults	c. With only children	d. Unknown household type
a. Mental illness	90	62	28	0	0
b. Alcohol abuse	8	8	0	0	0
c. Drug abuse	10	10	0	0	0
d. Both alcohol and drug abuse	20	20	0	0	0
e. Chronic health condition	0	0	0	0	0
f. HIV/AIDS and related diseases	6	4	2	0	0
g. Developmental disability	20	0	20	0	0
h. Physical disability	110	58	52	0	0

**Q14. Domestic Violence**

**14a. Persons with Domestic Violence History**

Q14a

	Total	a. Without children	b. With children and adults	c. With only children	d. Unknown household type
a. Yes	72	40	32	0	0
b. No	1406	776	630	0	0
c. Don't know / refused	0	0	0	0	0
d. Information missing	14	6	8	0	0
<b>e. Total</b>	<b>1492</b>	<b>822</b>	<b>670</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>

**14b. Persons Fleeing Domestic Violence**

Q14b

	Total	a. Without children	b. With children and adults	c. With only children	d. Unknown household type
a. Yes	0	0	0	0	0
b. No	20	8	12	0	0
c. Don't know / refused	0	0	0	0	0
d. Information missing	52	32	20	0	0
<b>e. Total</b>	<b>72</b>	<b>40</b>	<b>32</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>

**Q15. Residence Prior to Project Entry**

Q15

	Total	a. Without children	b. With children and adults	c. With only children	d. Unknown household type
<b>a. Homeless situations</b>					
a1. Emergency shelter	546	312	234	0	0
a2. Transitional housing for homeless persons	18	8	10	0	0
a3. Place not meant for human habitation	400	316	84	0	0
a4. Safe haven	0	0	0	0	0
az. Total	964	636	328	0	0
<b>b. Institutional settings</b>					
b1. Psychiatric facility	2	2	0	0	0
b2. Substance abuse or detox center	22	18	4	0	0
b3. Hospital (non-psychiatric)	2	2	0	0	0
b4. Jail, prison or juvenile detention	8	8	0	0	0
b5. Foster care home or foster care group home	0	0	0	0	0
b6. Long-term care facility or nursing home	0	0	0	0	0

b7. Residential project or halfway house with no homeless criteria	0	0	0	0	0
bz. Total	34	30	4	0	0
<b>c. Other locations</b>					
c01. PH for homeless persons	0	0	0	0	0
c02. Owned by client, no subsidy	0	0	0	0	0
c03. Owned by client, with subsidy	0	0	0	0	0
c04. Rental by client, no subsidy	364	124	240	0	0
c05. Rental by client, with VASH subsidy	2	2	0	0	0
c06. Rental by client, with GPD TIP subsidy	0	0	0	0	0
c07. Rental by client, with other subsidy	0	0	0	0	0
c08. Hotel or motel paid by client	34	2	32	0	0
c09. Staying or living with friend(s)	68	18	50	0	0
c10. Staying or living with family	26	10	16	0	0
c11. Other	0	0	0	0	0
c12. Don't know / refused	0	0	0	0	0
c13. Information missing	0	0	0	0	0
cz. Total	494	156	338	0	0
<b>d. Total</b>	<b>1492</b>	<b>822</b>	<b>670</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>

**Q20. Non-Cash Benefits**

**20a. Type of Non-Cash Benefit Sources**

Q20a

	At entry	At Latest Annual Assessment for Stayers	At Exit for Leavers
a. Supplemental Nutritional Assistance Program	1026	0	744
b. WIC	24	0	24

c. TANF Child Care services	22	0	20
d. TANF transportation services	10	0	8
e. Other TANF-funded services	18	0	14
f. Other source	14	0	14

**Q21. Health Insurance** Q21

	At entry	At Latest Annual Assessment for Stayers	At Exit for Leavers
a. MEDICAID health insurance	1638	0	1048
b. MEDICARE health insurance	142	0	100
c. State Children's Health Insurance	88	0	66
d. VA Medical Services	34	0	28
e. Employer-provided health insurance	70	0	60
f. Health insurance through COBRA	26	0	20
g. Private pay health insurance	62	0	44
h. State Health Insurance for Adults	130	0	120
i. No health insurance	2	0	4
j. Client doesn't know/Client refused	0	0	0
k. Data not collected	30	112	30
l. Number of adult stayers not yet required to have an annual assessment	0	812	0
m. 1 source of health insurance	1644	0	1078
n. More than 1 source of health insurance	188	0	136

**Q22. Length of Participation**

**Q22a2. Length of Participation—ESG projects**

Q22a2

	Total	Leavers	Stayers
a. 0 to 7 days	38	36	2
b. 8 to 14 days	90	26	64
c. 15 to 21 days	78	18	60
d. 22 to 30 days	178	100	78
e. 31 to 60 days	698	560	138
f. 61 to 90 days	406	278	128
g. 91 to 180 days	630	476	154
h. 181 to 365 days	332	182	150
i. 366 to 730 days (1-2 yrs.)	104	6	98
j. 731 to 1095 days (2-3 yrs.)	36	24	12
k. 1096 to 1460 days (3-4 yrs.)	2	2	0
l. 1461 to 1825 days (4-5 yrs.)	0	0	0
m. More than 1825 days (>5 yrs.)	0	0	0
n. Information missing	0	0	0
<b>o. Total</b>	<b>2592</b>	<b>1708</b>	<b>884</b>

**Q22c. RRH Length of Time between Project Entry Date and Residential Move-in Date**

Q22c

	Total	a. Without children	b. With children and adults	c. With only children	d. Unknown household type
a. 0-7 days	2	0	2	0	0
b. 8-14 days	40	4	36	0	0
c. 15-21 days	10	0	10	0	0
d. 22 to 30 days	30	12	18	0	0
e. 31 to 60 days	294	96	190	8	0
f. 61 to 180 days	610	150	444	16	0
g. 181 to 365 days	216	44	172	0	0

h. 366 to 730 days (1-2 yrs.)	74	14	60	0	0
i. Data Not Collected	0	0	0	0	0
<b>j. Total</b>	<b>1276</b>	<b>320</b>	<b>932</b>	<b>24</b>	<b>0</b>

**Q22d. Length of Participation by Household type**

Q22d

	Total	a. Without children	b. With children and adults	c. With only children	d. Unknown household type
a. 0 to 7 days	38	30	8	0	0
b. 8 to 14 days	90	34	56	0	0
c. 15 to 21 days	78	18	60	0	0
d. 22 to 30 days	178	68	110	0	0
e. 31 to 60 days	698	212	478	8	0
f. 61 to 90 days	406	138	268	0	0
g. 91 to 180 days	630	202	412	16	0
h. 181 to 365 days	332	88	244	0	0
i. 366 to 730 days (1-2 yrs.)	104	26	78	0	0
j. 731 to 1095 days (2-3 yrs.)	36	4	32	0	0
k. 1096 to 1460 days (3-4 yrs.)	2	2	0	0	0
l. 1461 to 1825 days (4-5 yrs.)	0	0	0	0	0
m. More than 1825 days (>5 yrs.)	0	0	0	0	0
n. Information missing	0	0	0	0	0
<b>o. Total</b>	<b>2592</b>	<b>822</b>	<b>1746</b>	<b>24</b>	<b>0</b>

**Q23. Exit Destination – More than 90 Days**

Q23

	Total	a. Without children	b. With children and adults	c. With only children	d. Unknown household type
<b>a. Permanent destinations</b>					
a01. Moved from one HOPWA funded project to HOPWA PH	0	0	0	0	0

a02. Owned by client, no ongoing subsidy	0	0	0	0	0
a03. Owned by client, with ongoing subsidy	0	0	0	0	0
a04. Rental by client, no ongoing subsidy	450	82	366	2	0
a05. Rental by client, VASH subsidy	0	0	0	0	0
a06. Rental by client, with GPD TIP housing subsidy	0	0	0	0	0
a07. Rental by client, other ongoing subsidy	0	0	0	0	0
a08. Permanent housing for homeless persons	0	0	0	0	0
a09. Staying or living with family, permanent tenure	0	0	0	0	0
a10. Staying or living with friends, permanent tenure	0	0	0	0	0
az. Total	450	82	366	2	0
<b>b. Temporary destinations</b>					
b1. Emergency shelter	0	0	0	0	0
b2. Moved from one HOPWA funded project to HOPWA TH	0	0	0	0	0
b3. Transitional housing for homeless persons	0	0	0	0	0
b4. Staying with family, temporary tenure	2	2	0	0	0
b5. Staying with friends, temporary tenure	2	2	0	0	0
b6. Place not meant for human habitation	0	0	0	0	0
b7. Safe Haven	0	0	0	0	0
b8. Hotel or motel paid by client	0	0	0	0	0
bz. Total	4	4	0	0	0
<b>c. Institutional settings</b>					

c1. Foster care home or group foster care home	0	0	0	0	0
c2. Psychiatric hospital or other psychiatric facility	0	0	0	0	0
c3. Substance abuse treatment facility or detox center	0	0	0	0	0
c4. Hospital or other residential non-psychiatric medical facility	0	0	0	0	0
c5. Jail, prison or juvenile detention facility	0	0	0	0	0
c6. Long term care facility or nursing home	0	0	0	0	0
cz. Total	0	0	0	0	0
<b>d. Other destinations</b>					
d1. Residential project or halfway house with no homeless criteria	0	0	0	0	0
d2. Deceased	0	0	0	0	0
d3. Other	10	0	10	0	0
d4. Don't know / refused	0	0	0	0	0
d5. Information missing	0	0	0	0	0
dz. Total	10	0	10	0	0
<b>e. Total</b>	464	86	376	2	0

**Q23a. Exit Destination—All persons**

Q23a

	Total	a. Without children	b. With children and adults	c. With only children	d. Unknown household type
<b>a. Permanent destinations</b>					
a01. Moved from one HOPWA funded project to HOPWA PH	0	0	0	0	0

a02. Owned by client, no ongoing subsidy	0	0	0	0	0
a03. Owned by client, with ongoing subsidy	2	2	0	0	0
a04. Rental by client, no ongoing subsidy	574	158	416	0	0
a05. Rental by client, VASH subsidy	44	6	38	0	0
a06. Rental by client, with GPD TIP housing subsidy	0	0	0	0	0
a07. Rental by client, other ongoing subsidy	10	2	8	0	0
a08. Permanent housing for homeless persons	8	8	0	0	0
a09. Staying or living with family, permanent tenure	60	54	6	0	0
a10. Staying or living with friends, permanent tenure	18	18	0	0	0
az. Total	716	248	468	0	0
<b>b. Temporary destinations</b>					
b1. Emergency shelter	68	68	0	0	0
b2. Moved from one HOPWA funded project to HOPWA TH	0	0	0	0	0
b3. Transitional housing for homeless persons	6	6	0	0	0
b4. Staying with family, temporary tenure	20	20	0	0	0
b5. Staying with friends, temporary tenure	8	8	0	0	0
b6. Place not meant for human habitation	42	32	10	0	0
b7. Safe Haven	0	0	0	0	0
b8. Hotel or motel paid by client	0	0	0	0	0
bz. Total	144	134	10	0	0
<b>c. Institutional settings</b>					

c1. Foster care home or group foster care home	0	0	0	0	0
c2. Psychiatric hospital or other psychiatric facility	0	0	0	0	0
c3. Substance abuse treatment facility or detox center	40	40	0	0	0
c4. Hospital or other residential non-psychiatric medical facility	0	0	0	0	0
c5. Jail, prison or juvenile detention facility	2	2	0	0	0
c6. Long term care facility or nursing home	0	0	0	0	0
<b>cz. Total</b>	<b>42</b>	<b>42</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>
<b>d. Other destinations</b>					
d1. Residential project or halfway house with no homeless criteria	0	0	0	0	0
d2. Deceased	0	0	0	0	0
d3. Other	2	2	0	0	0
d4. Don't know / refused	0	0	0	0	0
d5. Information missing	6	0	6	0	0
<b>dz. Total</b>	<b>8</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>6</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>
<b>e. Total</b>	<b>910</b>	<b>426</b>	<b>484</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>

**Q23b. Homeless Prevention Housing Assessment at Exit**

Q23b

	Total	a. Without children	b. With children and adults	c. With only children	d. Unknown household type
a. Able to maintain the housing they had at project entry--Without a subsidy	0	0	0	0	0

b. Able to maintain the housing they had at project entry--With the subsidy they had at project entry	0	0	0	0	0
c. Able to maintain the housing they had at project entry--With an on-going subsidy acquired since project entry	0	0	0	0	0
d. Able to maintain the housing they had at project entry--Only with financial assistance other than a subsidy	0	0	0	0	0
e. Moved to new housing unit--With on-going subsidy	0	0	0	0	0
f. Moved to new housing unit--Without an on-going subsidy	0	0	0	0	0
g. Moved in with family/friends on a temporary basis	0	0	0	0	0
h. Moved in with family/friends on a permanent basis	0	0	0	0	0
i. Moved to a transitional or temporary housing facility or program	0	0	0	0	0
j. Client became homeless-moving to a shelter or other place unfit for human habitation	0	0	0	0	0
k. Client went to jail/prison	0	0	0	0	0
l. Client died	0	0	0	0	0
m. Client doesn't know/Client refused	0	0	0	0	0
n. Data not collected (no exit interview completed)	582	98	484	0	0
<b>o. Total</b>	582	98	484	0	0

**Q24. Exit Destination –  
90 Days or Less**

Q24

	Total	a. Without children	b. With children and adults	c. With only children	d. Unknown household type
<b>a. Permanent destinations</b>					
a01. Moved from one HOPWA funded project to HOPWA PH	0	0	0	0	0
a02. Owned by client, no ongoing subsidy	0	0	0	0	0
a03. Owned by client, with ongoing subsidy	2	2	0	0	0
a04. Rental by client, no ongoing subsidy	738	180	558	0	0
a05. Rental by client, VASH subsidy	56	18	38	0	0
a06. Rental by client, with GPD TIP housing subsidy	0	0	0	0	0
a07. Rental by client, other ongoing subsidy	12	4	8	0	0
a08. Permanent housing for homeless persons	22	4	18	0	0
a09. Staying or living with family, permanent tenure	36	30	6	0	0
a10. Staying or living with friends, permanent tenure	12	12	0	0	0
az. Total	878	250	628	0	0
<b>b. Temporary destinations</b>					
b1. Emergency shelter	44	44	0	0	0
b2. Moved from one HOPWA funded project to HOPWA TH	0	0	0	0	0
b3. Transitional housing for homeless persons	4	4	0	0	0
b4. Staying with family, temporary tenure	18	18	0	0	0

b5. Staying with friends, temporary tenure	4	4	0	0	0
b6. Place not meant for human habitation	24	24	0	0	0
b7. Safe Haven	0	0	0	0	0
b8. Hotel or motel paid by client	0	0	0	0	0
bz. Total	94	94	0	0	0
<b>c. Institutional settings</b>					
c1. Foster care home or group foster care home	0	0	0	0	0
c2. Psychiatric hospital or other psychiatric facility	0	0	0	0	0
c3. Substance abuse treatment facility or detox center	34	34	0	0	0
c4. Hospital or other residential non-psychiatric medical facility	0	0	0	0	0
c5. Jail, prison or juvenile detention facility	2	2	0	0	0
c6. Long term care facility or nursing home	0	0	0	0	0
cz. Total	36	36	0	0	0
<b>d. Other destinations</b>					
d1. Residential project or halfway house with no homeless criteria	0	0	0	0	0
d2. Deceased	0	0	0	0	0
d3. Other	2	2	0	0	0
d4. Don't know / refused	0	0	0	0	0
d5. Information missing	8	0	8	0	0
dz. Total	10	2	8	0	0
<b>e. Total</b>	1018	382	636	0	0

**25a. Number of Veterans**

Q25a

	Total	a. Without children	b. With children and adults	c. Unknown household type
a. Chronically homeless veteran	2	2	0	0
b. Non-chronically homeless veteran	32	22	10	0
c. Not a veteran	1444	794	650	0
d. Client Doesn't Know/Client Refused	2	2	0	0
e. Data Not Collected	2	2	0	0
<b>f. Total</b>	<b>1482</b>	<b>822</b>	<b>660</b>	<b>0</b>

**Q26b. Number of Chronically Homeless Persons by Household**

Q26b

	Total	a. Without children	b. With children and adults	c. With only children	d. Unknown household type
a. Chronically homeless	52	44	8	0	0
b. Not chronically homeless	2540	778	1738	24	0
c. Client Doesn't Know/Client Refused	0	0	0	0	0
d. Data Not Collected	0	0	0	0	0
<b>e. Total</b>	<b>2592</b>	<b>822</b>	<b>1746</b>	<b>24</b>	<b>0</b>