Nepotism Policy

of

Miami-Dade County



County Nepotism Policy - 7/30/2007

Table of Contents

Definition of Nepotism	3
Nepotism Example	3
Authority	3
Definition of Relatives	3
County Policy	4
Highlights	4
County Manager's memorandum of June 11, 2007	5
Florida's anti-nepotism statute (F.S. §112.3135)	7

Definition of Nepotism

Nepotism is the showing of favoritism toward relatives, based upon that relationship, rather than an objective evaluation of ability or suitability.

Nepotism Example

Offering employment to a relative, despite the fact that there are others who are better qualified and willing to perform the job, would be considered a form of nepotism.

Authority

The County's personnel system

- All appointments and promotions are to be made solely on merit and fitness
- Should be conducted in a non-discriminatory manner without regard to other factors, such as familial status.

Florida's anti-nepotism statute (F.S. §112.3135)

- Prohibits appointment, employment, promotion or advancement, of specified relatives by any public official who is vested with or delegated the authority to appoint, employ, promote or advance, or is in a position to recommend an individual for appointment, employment, promotion or advancement.
- Although it prohibits public officials from employing or promoting their relatives, it does not prevent relatives from working together in the same department.

Definition of Relatives

Relatives include:

Father, mother Son, daughter Brother, sister Uncle, aunt First cousin, nephew, niece Husband, wife Father-in-law, mother-in-law Son-in-law, daughter-in-law Brother-in-law, sister-in-law Stepfather, stepmother Stepson, stepdaughter Stepbrother, stepsister Half brother, half sister

County Policy

Stated in County Manager's memorandum of June 11, 2007

- Relatives of the following cannot be appointed, employed nor promoted within their departments:
 - a. Department Directors, regardless of who else is delegated employment authority;
 - b. Assistant Directors, Division Directors and all Managers who, by department policy or practice, are delegated the authority to make employment or promotion decisions;
 - c. Managers, Supervisors and others who, because of their position or customary involvement in personnel decisions, would normally be expected to make an employment or promotion recommendation to others within their departments, regarding a particular appointment or promotion.

Highlights

- Applies to any County employee who has the authority, or is delegated the authority, to appoint, employ or promote. Also includes employees who would normally be expected to recommend such actions.
- Because they always have the authority, Department Directors may not appoint, employ nor promote their relatives within their departments.
- By policy or practice, subordinate managers may fall under this prohibition if they are delegated such authority or in a position to recommend such actions.
- This prohibition includes serving on interview panels, as they have authority to recommend.
- This prohibition includes all in chain of command who routinely approve personnel actions.
- Authority appoint, employ or promote cannot be temporarily delegated to someone else to avoid law.
- Department directors are strongly encouraged to refrain from placing relatives within another relative's chain of command or placing or maintaining relatives in close proximity in a departmental subunit.

County Nepotism Policy - 7/30/2007



DATE: June 11, 2007

TO: Department Directors FROM: George M. Burgess County Manage

SUBJECT: Nepotism Policy

Miami-Dade County's personnel system is based on merit principles; all appointments and promotions in the County's merit system are to be made solely on the basis of merit and fitness. Department directors are responsible for ensuring that their departments' appointment and promotion processes are conducted in a non-discriminatory manner without regard to among other factors, familial status. Miami-Dade County's nepotism policy is based upon Section 112,3135 of the Florida Statutes (copy attached) which prohibits the appointment, employment, promotion or advancement of specified relatives by any public official who is vested with or delegated the authority to appoint, employ, promote or advance, or who is in a position to recommend an individual for appointment, employment, promotion or advancement.

Relatives listed in the statute include father, mother, son, daughter, brother, sister, uncle, aunt, first cousin, nephew, niece, husband, wife, father-in-law, mother-in-law, son-in-law, daughter-in-law, brother-in-law, sister-in-law, stepfather, stepmother, stepson, stepdaughter, stepbrother, stepsister, half brother and half sister.

Officials with the authority to appoint or promote or who are in a position to recommend appointment or promotion cannot avoid the statute's prohibitions by temporarily delegating their authority to others in particular cases. Accordingly, it is important to clarify who, under County policy or practice, has been vested or delegated the authority to appoint and promote employees or to recommend appointment or promotion of employees.

The Personnel Rules for the Classified Service provide that department directors are vested with the authority to appoint or promote employees within their departments. Department directors may, and usually do, delegate hiring decisions to subordinate assistant directors, division directors and managers. The delegation of this authority does not negate the department director as the vested authority. Thus, the nepotism prohibitions will always apply to the appointment or promotions of relatives by department directors, regardless of any administrative delegation of the appointment authority to others. Any delegation of authority has the effect of expanding the prohibitions to those with the delegated authority, but it does not absolve the department director of his or continuing obligation to abide by the statute's prohibitions.

If, by policy or practice, a department director has delegated employment and promotion authority to a lower level executive or manager, the law's prohibitions apply to them as well. They likewise cannot avoid the law's prohibitions by temporarily delegating that authority to others for particular decisions in which a relative is involved. For example, if an assistant director has been delegated the authority to make departmental hiring decisions, the department cannot hire the official's wife. son or daughter, even if the official is temporarily removed from participating in the selection process for the position for which the relative applied. Only if the assistant director's authority to hire or promote is removed permanently and entirely would he or she no longer be subject to the same limitations.

Nepotism Policy Page 2

In addition to department directors who are vested with such authority, and subordinate executives and managers who may be delegated such authority, the statute also applies to those who are *in a position to recommend* individuals for employment or promotion. Managers, supervisors and others who, because of the positions they hold or because of their customary involvement in personnel decisions, make recommendations on employment or promotions to a higher authority are subject to the law's prohibitions. As an example, County employees are precluded from serving on any interview panel involving a specified relative.

Thus, relatives of the following cannot be appointed, employed nor promoted within their departments:

- a. Department Directors, regardless of who else is delegated employment authority;
- b. Assistant Directors, Division Directors and all Managers who, by department policy or practice, are delegated the authority to make employment or promotion decisions;
- c. Managers, Supervisors and others who, because of their position or customary involvement in personnel decisions, would normally be expected to make an employment or promotion recommendation to others within their departments, regarding a particular appointment or promotion.

While Florida law prohibits public officials from employing or promoting their relatives, it does not prevent relatives from working together in the same department. The statute also does not prohibit one relative from supervising another. However, to avoid all appearance of favoritism and any potential for violation of State law, as well as operational challenges that may arise; department directors are strongly encouraged to refrain from placing relatives within another relative's chain of command or placing or maintaining relatives in close proximity in a departmental subunit.

I expect departments to take whatever steps are required to comply with Florida law and County policy. Questions regarding this policy clarification should be directed to Donald S. Allen, Director, Employee Relations Department at 305-375-1589. I appreciate your cooperation in addressing this issue.

Attachment

Cc: Honorable Carlos Alvarez, Mayor Honorable Chairman Bruno A. Barreiro and Members,

Board of County Commissioners Murray Greenberg, County Attorney Denis Morales, Chief of Staff, Office of the Mayor Assistant County Managers

112.3135 Restriction on employment of relatives.--

(1) In this section, unless the context otherwise requires:

(a) "Agency" means:

1. A state agency, except an institution under the jurisdiction of the Division of Universities of the Department of Education;

2. An office, agency, or other establishment in the legislative branch;

3. An office, agency, or other establishment in the judicial branch;

4. A county;

5. A city; and

- 6. Any other political subdivision of the state, except a district school board or community college district.
- (b) "Collegial body" means a governmental entity marked by power or authority vested equally in each of a number of colleagues.

(c) "Public official" means an officer, including a member of the Legislature, the Governor, and a member of the Cabinet, or an employee of an agency in whom is vested the authority by law, rule, or regulation, or to whom the authority has been delegated, to appoint, employ, promote, or advance individuals or to recommend individuals for appointment, employment, promotion, or advancement in connection with employment in an agency, including the authority as a member of a collegial body to vote on the appointment, employment, promotion, or advancement of individuals.

(d) "Relative," for purposes of this section only, with respect to a public official, means an individual who is related to the public official as father, mother, son, daughter, brother, sister, uncle, aunt, first cousin, nephew, niece, husband, wife, father-in-law, mother-in-law, son-in-law, daughter-inlaw, brother-in-law, sister-in-law, stepfather, stepmother, stepson, stepdaughter, stepbrother, stepsister, half brother, or half sister.

(2)(a) A public official may not appoint, employ, promote, or advance, or advocate for appointment, employment, promotion, or advancement, in or to a position in the agency in which the official is serving or over which the official exercises jurisdiction or control any individual who is a relative of the public official. An individual may not be appointed, employed, promoted, or advanced in or to a position in an agency if such appointment, employment, promotion, or advancement has been advocated by a public official, serving in or exercising jurisdiction or control over the agency, who is a relative of the individual or if such appointment, employment, promotion, or advancement is

made by a collegial body of which a relative of the individual is a member. However, this subsection shall not apply to appointments to boards other than those with land-planning or zoning responsibilities in those municipalities with less than 35,000 population. This subsection does not apply to persons serving in a volunteer capacity who provide emergency medical, firefighting, or police

services. Such persons may receive, without losing their volunteer status, reimbursements for the costs of any training they get relating to the provision of volunteer emergency medical, firefighting, or police services and payment for any incidental expenses relating to those services that they provide.

(b) Mere approval of budgets shall not be sufficient to constitute "jurisdiction or control" for the purposes of this section.

(3) An agency may prescribe regulations authorizing the temporary employment, in the event of an emergency as defined in s. 252.34(3), of individuals whose employment would be otherwise prohibited by this section.

(4) Legislators' relatives may be employed as pages or messengers during legislative sessions.

History.--ss. 1, 2, 3, ch. 69-341; ss. 15, 35, ch. 69-106; s. 70, ch. 72-221; s. 3, ch. 83-334; s. 1, ch. 89-67; s. 4, ch. 90-502; s. 2, ch. 94-277; s. 1407, ch. 95-147; s. 1, ch. 98-160; s. 42, ch. 99-2.

Note.--Former s. 116.111.