Entity, Contact Information, and Geographic Information:	Overview of Fleet (Inventory & Sites) How many conventional and alternative fueling sites do you manage? How many charging sites do you manage for electric vehicles?			Does your organization have specific fuel efficiency goals? If so, please describe	What fuel efficiency/green initiatives have you implemented in recent years?
City of Denver (DEN) Airport Contact Name: Jeffrey Booton Email: Jeffrey.Booton@flydenver.com Phone: 303-342-2022 (may be cell) Geographic Area: Colorado Plains	DEN currently has a total of 1,702 vehicles. Light Medium Heavy			DEN follows Executive Order 123, which in Chapter 3 establishes a Green Fleet Program designed to ensure that to the extent the City needs to use vehicles for its operations, the City procures and operates a fleet of vehicles that minimizes environmental impact, contributes to enhancing domestic energy security, enhances regional energy resilience, and maximizes fuel efficiency and diversification. All City light-duty vehicles in need of replacement will be replaced with hybrids, alternative fuel vehicles, or the most fuel-efficient and least-polluting vehicles available for specific functions whenever cost and reliability are similar to traditional vehicles.	No radically new initiatives. The airport annually looks for right sizing and alternative fuel opportunities when working on the replacement program. It did move its telematics program from Verizon to Samsara this year as the data provided was better (but more expensive). Utilization and idling reports are beneficial in helping identify "green" initiatives and savings.
City of Fort Lauderdale (FTL) Contact Name: Sandy Leonard (Fleet Manager) Email: SLeonard@FortLauderdale.gov Phone: 954-828-5781 Mobile: 334-744-6364 Geographic Area: Fort Lauderdale		Medium 98	Heavy 377 are owned by the s and City	Reduce fuel consumption by 20% by 2035.	Purchased four (4) electric vehicles (Nissan Leafs), One solar powered EV charge. Light duty vehicle.
Palm Beach County (PBC) Contact Name: Sara Burnam (Fleet Director) Email: SBurnam@pbcgov.org Phone: 561-233-4568 Geographic Area: Palm Beach County	Type Electric Gasoline Diesel Hybrid Natural Gas Other PBC maintains heavy vehicles equipment, sp pumps, trailers PBC has 14 fue unleaded, bler Ultra Low Sulfe	, buses / mobile ecialized equipm s el sites that they nded with 10% en ur Red Dye fuel. ng station for the	manage. PBC uses thanol and Diesel -	PBC has a Fleet Management Sustainability Plan. Within this plan the following items are listed. Purchase Hybrid electric vehicles when replacing existing midsize, compact, SUV vehicles. Select the most efficient vehicle for the application, to reduce unnecessary fuel consumption and emissions. To ensure best fuel efficiency and most current exhaust reduction strategies, replace class 1 and 2 vehicles every 6 years, and class 3 - 7 every 7 years. Class one vehicles under 6,000 lbs Class two vehicles under 10,0001-14,000 lbs Mandate a no-idle policy to ensure fuel is not wasted. A no idle reminder sticker is placed in every vehicle. Enforce an aggressive maintenance schedule to ensure vehicles are running in the most fuel effective manner Promote best driving practices that promote energy conservation Encourage use of pool vehicles or car sharing whenever possible. Purchasing nitrogen inflated tires on all vehicles to keep air pressure constant and at the optimum rolling resistance Maintain Conditionally Exempt Small Quantity Facility Status Ensure all waste materials are reused or recycled, including oil filters, antifreeze, used oil, wastewater, batteries, scrap metal, cardboard and aerosol cans. Discontinue the use of lead weights and use recyclable steel weights. Implement 4x10 work schedules to reduce fuel consumption for Fleet Management employees traveling to work. Polish an average of 60,000 gallons a year of old generator fuel, so that it can be reused	None in recent years that isn't already listed on the Fleet Management Sustainability Plan. PBC is currently reviewing the possibility of implementing a small pool of electric vehicles for administrative use at a central location as a pilot.

Entity, Contact Information, and Geographic Information:	Overview of Fleet (How many conven do you manage for	tional and alternative	ve fueling sites do you n	manage? How many charging sites	Does your organization have specific fuel efficiency goals? If so, please describe	What fuel efficiency/green initiatives have you implemented in recent years?	
Port of New York/New Jersey (PANY/NJ) Contact Name: Jeffrey Booton	vehicles maintained by vendor contract. City maintained Vendor maintained				Yes. The PANY/NJ is the first government fleet to align to the Paris climate agreement. Its goal is to convert 50% of its fleet to plug-in hybrid or fully	• Electric vehicles: Installation of charging ports and purchase of hybrid and fully electric vehicles in all vehicle classes. Fleet anticipates more alternatives coming onto the	
Email: Jeffrey.Booton@flydenver.com Phone: 303-342-2022 (may be cell) Geographic Area: Colorado Plains	Light 1,600				electric vehicles by 2023. (For police vehicles only, traditional non plug-in hybrids will also count toward this goal.)	market in 2021, especially for larger vehicles. By the end of this year the PANY/NJ will have 220 battery electric vehicles in the fleet.	
	PANY/NJ has Seve maintenance sites	•	s with approximately 16	60 employees who support 25		Alternative fuels: Uses ethanol and biodiesel. Currently pursuing isobutanol, a renewable source of unleaded fuel; A signature form NV and NV is required (Nets) Phil	
	partner agreemen made by partner a	ts with other depar	tments/agencies. (Note les are being phased ou	s that are operated through e: due to operation changes ut by the PANY/NJ. Currently		legislative approval from NY and NJ is required. (Note: Phil Sanders in Seattle has experience with isobutanol.) Fleet is also currently testing renewable biodiesel. (Note: There are supply issues with some renewable biodiesel such as Neste as much of the product is currently going to California.)	
The PANY/NJ counts the number of electric charging ports, not locations. Each port can charge one vehicle at a time. There are currently 174 ports, of which: • 104 are Level 2 ports, which can charge a light vehicle in about 4 to 6 hours. Forty of these Level 2 ports are located in customer parking areas (e.g. cell phone lots). Level 2 ports have been the most cost efficient for the Port Authority. • Four are solar ports, which have a slightly slower charging time and are used for Chevy Volts. These ports are supported by an "off the grid" solar canopy built in partnership with the company Envision Solar. • Twenty are DC Fast (Level 3) chargers which can charge a light duty vehicle in approximately					Solar: The PANY/NJ recently announced that they will be overseeing one of the largest airport solar projects in the world at Kennedy Airport, a carport canopy with 5.4 kilo watts battery storage.		
				time and are used for Chevy anopy built in partnership with ight duty vehicle in approximately		• Idle mitigation technology: The PANY/NJ has implemented idle management software that connects to vehicle computers and reduces engine RPM while the vehicle is in park or neutral. Fleet has partnered with the company Derive for police vehicles.	
	30 minutes, and can also charge larger vehicles such as shuttle buses. One issue with DC chargers is that they bypass built-in vehicle AC/DC inverters which help regulate charging speed, resulting in higher risk of battery damage. The PANY/NJ is currently conducting a pilot project regarding employee use of charging ports. (Many employees have purchased electric vehicles for their personal use with the expectation that they would be able to charge their vehicles at work.)					Other: Fleet has incorporated the use of soy tires and is testing bio-friendly shop products such as motor oil and reusable filters. The use of reusable filters has reduced turnaround time for maintenance jobs since there is no need	
						to wait for parts. Additionally, less toxic shop products are safer for employees.	
City of Miami Contact Name: Jennifer Ramirez	The City of Miami r	naintains 2,301 light Light	vehicles and 442 heavy Heavy	vehicles.	The City of Miami is trying to purchase more fuelefficient vehicles and in the past few years the City has focused on purchasing hybrid vehicles in order to	For the Light Fleet Division, the City has focused on purchasing hybrid vehicles in order to reduce gasoline consumption.	
(Assistant Director) Email: JRamirez@miamigov.com Phone:	Electric Gasoline	0 2,250	2 10		accomplish this goal. The City of Miami does not have any intentions of changing its heavy vehicle	In past years, the City of Miami experimented with hybrid	
Geographic Area: City of Miami	Diesel Hybrid Natural Gas	51 251 0	396 0 0		fleet. The City of Miami stated that their diesel trucks are very efficient and run clean emissions.	trucks. They had 6 hybrid trucks, but it did not work out. The trucks were too expensive to repair/maintain and suffered frequent breakdowns.	
	Other 0 3 (propane) The City of Miami manages one fueling site and only dispenses gasoline and diesel fuel. No other			_			
City of Riverside Contact Name: Garret Reynolds Email: Greynolds@riversideca.gov Geographic Area: Southern California	alternative fuels are used, and they do not have any electric charging sites/ports. The City of Riverside maintains about 2,000 vehicles. The City of Riverside mostly manages CNG, diesel and gasoline vehicles. The city of Riverside manages three CNG site and approximately 20 charging units for electric vehicles.				The City of Riverside is in the beginning stages of developing efficient goals. Nothing can be shared at this point.	Over the coming years, the City of Riverside is looking to expand its electric vehicle purchases. The City of Riverside is also looking at alternative fuel sources such as hydrogen, CNG, E-85, and LPG.	

Geographic Information:	Overview of Fleet (Inventory & Sites) How many conventional and alternative fueling sites do you manage? How many charging site do you manage for electric vehicles?				Does your organization have specific fuel efficiency goals? If so, please describe	What fuel efficiency/green initiatives have you implemented in recent years?	
City of New York Police Department (NYPD) Contact Name: Robert Martinez (Deputy Commissioner)	Department of Tra Police Unit light/m	ansportation Police B	ureau and Departm . The chart below su	This includes all NYPD vehicles, and ent of Environmental Protection ummarizes the fleet by fuel type, ckhoes.	Yes, NYPD only purchases the most fuel efficient and environmentally friendly vehicles that fit end user needs.	NYPD had the first police hybrid vehicle in 2009 (Altima Hybrid). This year it is only buying hybrid or electric light duty vehicles. NYPD also started using Bio and renewable diesel.	
Email: robert.martinez@nypd.org Phone: 646-610-5763 Geographic Area: New York City	Type Electric	Light 139	Heavy 0				
	Gasoline	6,665	1				
	Diesel	0	447				
	Hybrid	2,482	4				
	Natural Gas Other	0	0				
		that are installed and		all, the NYPD has about 700 electric ork City DCAS (Department of			
County of San Joaquin (CA) Contact Name: Kevin Myose	·	ty maintains 886 veh	icles.		N/A	N/A	
ontact Name: Kevin Myose	San Joaquin Count	ty maintains 886 veh			N/A	N/A	
ontact Name: Kevin Myose mail: <u>tbaptiste@sjgov.org</u> hone: 209-468-2068	San Joaquin Count	ty maintains 886 veh	Heavy		N/A	N/A	
ontact Name: Kevin Myose mail: tbaptiste@sjgov.org none: 209-468-2068	San Joaquin Count Type Electric	ty maintains 886 veh Light 26	Heavy 0		N/A	N/A	
ontact Name: Kevin Myose nail: tbaptiste@sjgov.org none: 209-468-2068	San Joaquin Count Type Electric Gasoline*	ty maintains 886 veh	Heavy		N/A	N/A	
ontact Name: Kevin Myose mail: tbaptiste@sjgov.org none: 209-468-2068	San Joaquin Count Type Electric Gasoline* Diesel*	Light 26 640	Heavy 0 131		N/A	N/A	
Contact Name: Kevin Myose mail: tbaptiste@sjgov.org Phone: 209-468-2068	San Joaquin Count Type Electric Gasoline* Diesel* Hybrid	Light 26 640	Heavy 0 131		N/A	N/A	
County of San Joaquin (CA) Contact Name: Kevin Myose Email: tbaptiste@sigov.org Phone: 209-468-2068 Geographic Area: San Joaquin county	San Joaquin Count Type Electric Gasoline* Diesel*	Light 26 640	Heavy 0 131		N/A	N/A	

Entity, Contact Information, and Geographic Information:	Overview of Fleet (Inventory & Sites) How many conventional and alternative fueling sites do you manage? How many charging sites do you manage for electric vehicles?			Does your organization have specific fuel efficiency goals? If so, please describe		What fuel efficiency/green initiatives have you implemented in recent years?				
City of Seattle	The City of Seattle	maintains 3,879 vehic	cles.		•	Please see Page 3 of the 2019 Green Fleet Action	In 2015, the Washington State Legislature adopted			
Contact Name: Calvin W. Goings				Plan:	Revised Code of Washington 43.19.648, which mandate					
Email: calvin.goings@seattle.gov					l l	http://www.seattle.gov/Documents/Department	that 100 percent biofuel (renewable) and electric fuel			
Phone: 206-684-5200	Туре	Light	Heavy		l l	s/FAS/FleetManagement/2019-Green-Fleet-	use (to the extent practicable) for all publicly owned			
	Electric 264 3			Action-Plan.pdf	vehicles.					
Geographic Area: This is includes all of				Seattle is focused on reducing Greenhouse Gas	In 2016, the City launched Drive Clean Seattle as a broad to a seattle as a broad language of the seattle as a broa					
Seattle and some services areas beyond city	Diesel*	609	880		l l	(GHG) emissions. The City has two defined goals that include:	transportation electrification initiative and a key climate			
limits into their watershed and hydroelectric	Hybrid	435	7			1. Reduce GHG emissions by 50 percent by	strategy using the municipal fleet to lead by example. Electrification is a primary focus in Seattle.			
dam operations in the central and eastern	Natural Gas	65	17			2025.	 Lastly, Seattle has made a commitment to the Paris 			
parts of Washington state.	Other	0	0			 Use only fossil-fuel-free (F3) fuel by 2030. 	Climate Accord and continues to demonstrate to the			
Marion County	equipment use passenger veh maintenance of The list of action monthly. http Overall, the Cistations and o	ed by these customers icles, utility work truckequipment. ve fleet vehicles is pubses://data.seattle.gov/C	s ranging from off-road ks, fire apparatus, amb olished on data.seattle city-Business/Active-Fl el sites. Seattle also ha ent Fast Charging (DCF	e.gov and it is updated eet-Complement/enxu-fgzb as over 250 Level 2 charging -C) stations.	• Mai	three guiding principles to help shape what actions the City will pursue to have the most effect on reducing GHG emissions: 1. Rapid fleet electrification – build the electric vehicle (EV) charging infrastructure, deploy market ready EVs, and pilot emerging EV technology in medium- and heavy-duty vehicles. 2. Reduce fuel use – implement opportunities to increase service delivery efficiency, turn off engines when not in use and eliminate unnecessary vehicle miles traveled. 3. Use Fossil Free Fuels (F3) – substitute sustainable bio-based fuels as a direct replacement for fossil-based fuels. The goals in the Green Fleet Action Plan require significant financial investment. The estimated cost to implement all action items and meet all current City climate goals is \$28 million over seven years of which\$4.7 million is currently funded in the 2019 adopted budget. ion County does not have any fuel efficiency	climate change. Moving forward, Seattle is exploring numerous initiatives to reach it 2025 50% GHG reduction goals such as the use renewable/bio diesel, expanding its Electrical Vehicles Charing Stations, using fleet telematics technology; as well as, implementing Alternative Fuel Vehicle Conversions, Retrofits, and Repowers to meet the goals of the Green Fleet Action Plan. Marion County purchased approximately 70 light and heavy			
					goa	S.	duty CNG vehicles.			
Contact Name: Mark Williams	•	_	•	to all county departments.						
Email: Mark.williams@marionfl.org		assets that are service								
Phone: 352-671-8570		ces, tractors, grapple trs, and vacuum trucks.		oad maintenance						
Geographic Area: Marion County	Marion County do one fueling site.	es not manage any alt	ernative fuel sites. Ov	erall, Marion County only has						

Entity, Contact Information, and Geographic Information:

Miami-Dade County

Geographic Area: Miami-Dade County covers a service area of 2,431 mi².

Miami-Dade services a population of approximately 2.7M residents through 25 departments that provide various municipal services with a budgeted staff of approximately 27,593 positions.

Overview of Fleet (Inventory & Sites)

How many conventional and alternative fueling sites do you manage? How many charging sites do you manage for electric vehicles?

Miami-Dade County's total fleet size is 11,434 vehicles. This includes, 7,109 light duty vehicles, 958 medium duty vehicles (between 10,000 and 19,500 lbs.), and 2,100 heavy duty vehicles, as well as heavy equipment such as large construction equipment, all-terrain vehicles, yard tractors, forklifts, skid steer loaders, cranes, boom lift, telescopic lifts, large light towers, generators, and large air compressors.

Light	Medium	Heavy
7,109	958	2, 100

The Internal Services Department (ISD) is responsible for maintenance of most of the County's Fleet; exceptions include Miami-Dade Transit, a division of the Department of Transportation and Public Works (DTPW) and Miami-Dade Fire Rescue (MDFR).

ISD has a total of 29 unleaded and diesel fuel sites though out Miami-Dade County. ISD also manages four electric vehicle charging stations located at the Downtown Motor Pool garage. These newly installed charging stations are utilized for County owned vehicles that are part of the motor pool fleet.

DTPW currently maintains three traditional and two Compressed Natural Gas (CNG) fueling sites that support its passenger bus fleet and light fleet. An additional CNG site has been set up on a temporary basis; a new fueling system that will replace the temporary site and provide CNG, diesel and unleaded gasoline is expected to be constructed within the next few years. Two of the traditional fueling stations are scheduled to be demolished later this year, since the CNG sites also provide diesel and unleaded.

MDFR manages 4 electric vehicle charging stations located at its headquarters building. It has 27 conventional fueling sites and no alternative fueling sites.

Does your organization have specific fuel efficiency goals? If so, please describe

The Board of County Commission resolution No. R-1034-18 established goals for the reduction of fuel consumption throughout County operations. The resolution called for a) increasing the purchase of electric buses, with a goal of 50% electric bus fleet by 2035 and b) the reduction of gasoline consumption by 30% and diesel fuel consumption by 70% by the year 2028. These goals are compared against a baseline established in 2016.

In 2016 the ISD Fleet Management Division, in concert with the Office of Management and Budget (OMB) and the County's Finance Department, developed a Multi-Year Vehicle Replacement Plan to meet the operational needs of the various county departments. All vehicle requests are reviewed on an annual basis. Such requests must be operationally necessary and economically sustainable and must consider environmentally conscious technologies where available when setting out to consider the various department's future purchase requests. This program requires departments to better analyze their vehicle needs and requires approval of vehicle type by both the department and Fleet Management. Closer scrutiny of vehicle requests is expected to reduce the number of larger, less efficient vehicles. Since then, departments have continued to attend one-on-one fleet purchase plan workshops with both the ISD Fleet Management Division and their respective OMB Business Analyst. At these meetings, a comprehensive review of each department's fleet is conducted, to include projections on aging, the identification of any underutilized vehicles, and development of a prioritybased replacement plan that is sustainable. During these annual fleet request reviews, vehicle types and the potential to utilize smaller, hybrid, electric or other alternatives are explored.

What fuel efficiency/green initiatives have you implemented in recent years?

Miami-Dade County's Department of Transit and Public Works has fully implemented Compressed Natural Gas facilities, which expended approximately 2.5 million gallons of diesel equivalents in 2019. This provides fuel to its fleet of passenger buses.

In 2016, the County's diesel fuel was converted to low-level biofuel blend consisting of approx. 5% pure biodiesel and 95% percent petroleum diesel fuel (representing approx. 605,133 gallons dispersed).

The County has also deployed over 425 hybrid light vehicles and 63 hybrid transmission refuse trucks (representing approx. 5% of the on-the-road fleet). In Fiscal Year 2020-21, the County is projected to purchase an additional 78 hybrid vehicles for its light duty fleet. The ISD Fleet Management Division also manages four electric Nissan Leafs at the Downtown Motor Pool.

Miami-Dade Transit has contracted with Proterra to purchase 33 electric vehicles. The first pilot bus is expected to arrive and be placed into service by June 2021. The remaining 32 buses are scheduled to arrive at DTPW by February 2023. There is an option to purchase an additional 42 buses with this order and that will bring the total to 75 buses.

MDFR currently has 4 Chevy Bolts (Electric Vehicles), 209 Vehicles capable of E-85 fuel, and 6 Hybrid Vehicles (4 Ford Interceptors 2 Toyota Prius) in its light fleet. In its heavy fleet MDFR has 31 Rosenbauer Engines, which have an APU (Auxiliary Power Unit) to reduce emissions at idle. The rest of the MDFR heavy fleet frontline units are eco-friendly through the use of DEF (Diesel Exhaust Fluid) and DPF (Diesel Particulate Filter) systems.

Alternative Fuel Fleet Benchmarking Survey

Responses | Section 2 | Page 1

Do you have any policies or guidelines regarding good/poor candidates for electric or alternative fuel vehicles? If so, please describe. If available, may we have a copy?	Were there any fuel efficiency ideas that you considered, but ultimately rejected? If so, why?	What, if any, infrastructure changes have been made in order to implement fuel efficiency initiatives?	What have been the costs and benefits of the efficiency initiatives? Have you documented a reduction in fuel use and/or cost savings? Have there been any unintended consequences – for better or for worse?
Outside of the City's overall guidance in Executive Order 123, DEN doesn't have a document of this type. Much of this is driven by the local availability of alternative fuel options, funding opportunities and local governments willingness to try something new.	 The light-duty fleet is predominantly pickup trucks (approx. 250). DEN has been waiting for viable electric options to come available but for now, it cannot get the needed support to build out its electrical infrastructure, so this initiative is on hold. DEN also considered hydrogen fuel cell vehicles. Fleet proposed building a hydrogen generator that would be powered by their solar field. DEN could use some of the fuel for vehicles and create a revenue stream in the process. Ultimately the idea was not pursued by the Sustainability team. 	In addition to the CNG fleet, many of the airlines have adopted CNG for their baggage tugs. It requires a robust infrastructure but that was all coordinated/installed when the airport was built.	DEN documents efficiency /savings on an individual vehicle level, but it's more difficult to see when looking at the entire fleet. The airport is in the snow belt and every snow season brings conditions that are not standard from year to year so sometime the fuel usage is up and sometimes down. It's easier to look at the fleet in terms of Greenhouse Gas (GHG) emissions as opposed to material usage. Also, seeing big efficiencies in the numbers only really happens when you initially make a significant change in your program (e.g. converting to a new type of fuel). After that, just showing that the original reductions are being maintained is the focus until another opportunity is found, but then the changes are small from year to year.
City of Fort Lauderdale (FTL) Not at this time.	Considered(ing) Autogas but at this time space is not available to deploy fuel site and funding isn't available for conversions	Add EV Charges to existing City buildings as funding is available.	The savings are evident with the Nissan Leafs. Most of the recharges occur utilizing the Solar Charger but these are negligible when compared to the overall Fleet. The advantage seen at this time is many people are driving the EV Loaners and are having a positive experience with the EV drivetrain.
Palm Beach County (PBC) PBC does not have any policies.	 Electric vehicles in the past have been reviewed but ultimately rejected due to the cost, as well as the large geographical area that the County serves. PBC reviewed an idle fuel management system, which adjusts engine calibrations during idle. This was ultimately stopped and did not move forward because the estimated savings were 6%. Furthermore, it was not applicable across PBC's entire fleet, and was ultimately changing the calibration settings set by the factory. PBC was one of the first fleets to implement Hybrid Bucket trucks back in 2008. These trucks had many issues, were very expensive to maintain due to the specialized nature, and had excessive downtime. The operators didn't want to use them as a result, and they have since been replaced with conventional trucks. In addition, at the end of their life, the hybrid trucks had comparably lower resale value. 	 The changes illustrated below were implemented by a past administration but still relevant as it relates to alternative fuel considerations. PBC received grant funding to build two CNG filling stations in 1993 & 1994 at a cost of about \$300,000 per station. As part of the grant submittal, PBC had to purchase CNG vehicles. PBC ended up with approximately 50 gas powered vehicles. As CNG did not work as a bi-fuel with diesel, only cars and light trucks were used. The program became unsuccessful because the CNG stations were very unreliable, were out of service for long periods at a time, and became very costly to repair (the nature of dealing with 4500-psi delivery systems of the CNG). It should be noted that CNG stations must be built in close proximity to an existing natural gas line. The vehicles all had to be bi-fuel (IE gasoline & CNG) due to not having enough infrastructure (CNG-only fill stations) available throughout the county and state. General Motors and Ford built CNG vehicles in that time, in addition to some conversions done by aftermarket suppliers. The Original Equipment Manufacture (OEM) went out of the business after a few years due to problems and not selling enough product. The conversion company went out of business and did not support the products after the conversions were done. The cost was between \$5,000 to \$9,000 added to the vehicle for the OEM purchase or conversion. This added another operating system to the vehicle, which required additional maintenance like having to static test the CNG fuel vessel. The CNG fuel vessel took up a good portion of the available space either in the trunk of the car, storage area of an SUV or the bed of pick-ups or storage areas of vans. The two stations were de-commissioned in early 2000's and disposed of. 	PBC does not have this information in terms of dollars; however, a reduction of 407 metric tons of Greenhouse Gas from 2017 to 2019 has been seen.

Do you have any policies or guidelines regarding good/poor candidates for electric or alternative fuel vehicles? If so, please describe. If available, may we have a copy?	Were there any fuel efficiency ideas that you considered, but ultimately rejected? If so, why?	What, if any, infrastructure changes have been made in order to implement fuel efficiency initiatives?	What have been the costs and benefits of the efficiency initiatives? Have you documented a reduction in fuel use and/or cost savings? Have there been any unintended consequences – for better or for worse?
 So far, good candidates for battery electric vehicles have been lower mileage, nonemergency vehicles used for a single shift. In general, when introducing new technology vehicles, a good place to start is with the fleet motor pool. Only one employee (a Port Authority executive) has a take-home electric vehicle. In general, take-home cars are poor candidates due to lengthy commutes / high mileage. Additionally, wider implementation of take-home electric vehicles would require the development of new policies related to home charging, etc. Snow is a major challenge for the PANY/NJ. Fleet is replacing sedans with newer plug-in SUVs coming onto the market for better handling in winter conditions. This includes Ford Police Interceptor Utility hybrids. The PANY/NJ has a robust telematics program, which entails software connected to vehicles to collect information such as mileage, idling time, location, etc., and has dedicated three FTEs to the program. The use of telematics has greatly helped fleet identify which vehicles would be good candidates for alternative technology as well as to identify optimal locations for charging ports. Additionally, telematics data is used to educate customers on what vehicles might meet operational needs. (Fleet staff cannot mandate customer use of any particular type of vehicle.) 	Yes – hydrogen vehicles. Fleet tested Toyota hydrogen vehicles 10-15 years ago and they were functionally very good. However, the PANY/NJ is not permitted to use them in bridges or tunnels due to the possible risk of explosion. The infrastructure is expensive, but one station could charge 400 vehicles with a charging time of 15 minutes. Fleet staff recommends this technology if it is feasible / permissible	 Alternative fuels: Liquid fuels are easy to integrate, requiring only minor upgrades to fuel lines or in some cases none at all. Ethanol requires line upgrades because it is corrosive. Electric charging stations require digging, permitting, and related infrastructure upgrades such as transformers, etc. It is better to invest once in the beginning and project future needs. One facility has had to rip up ground three times every time they wanted to add ports, which resulted in much higher cost. 	Yes. The total cost of ownership is lowest for fully electric vehicles. For hybrid plug-in vehicles, total cost of ownership savings are not as high when compared to fully electric vehicles, but hybrids offer more operational flexibility.
City of Miami The City of Miami did not provide any input for this question.	The City of Miami did not provide any input for this question.	The City of Miami did not provide any input for this question.	This data is still being collected.
City of Riverside The City of Riverside does not have any policies or guidelines at this point.	The City of Riverside does not have any input for this question.	The City of Riverside has not made any infrastructure changes. Alternative fueling sites have been built, but not specifically for fuel efficiency purposes.	CNG has resulted in the greatest savings in that the price of CNG has remained under \$2 a gallon for 15+ years.

Do you have any policies or guidelines regarding good/poor candidates for electric or alternative fuel vehicles? If so, please describe. If available, may we have a copy?	Were there any fuel efficiency ideas that you considered, but ultimately rejected? If so, why?	What, if any, infrastructure changes have been made in order to implement fuel efficiency initiatives?	What have been the costs and benefits of the efficiency initiatives? Have you documented a reduction in fuel use and/or cost savings? Have there been any unintended consequences – for better or for worse?
City of New York Police Department (NYPD) NYPD buys electric/hybrid vehicles that meet operational needs.	NYPD purchased Diesel Hybrid rack trucks, but they didn't perform as expected. The hybrid system failed, and the manufacturer was not able to repair after multiple attempts.	Installation of electric chargers citywide enabled NYPD to expand electric fleet.	 The Initial cost of electric and hybrid vehicles is higher but is offset by reduction of maintenance and fuel cost. (Maintenance cost per mile for Ford Fusion Hybrid is \$0.13, compared to Chevrolet Impala cost per mile of \$0.23). There is reduced downtime with the electric and hybrid vehicles as well as environmental benefits by reduction of emission and carbonfootprint.
• The City of Seattle compares the Total Cost of Ownership (TCO) of potential "green fleet" candidates to the current standard in their fleet. When the green fleet option's TCO is within 10% of the current standard, the City makes efforts to bring that green fleet option into their fleet. Operational considerations regarding manufacture and their ability to provide sufficient maintenance comes into play. • For the most part, The City of Seattle tries to purchase from manufacturers that have regional representation in the private sector to ensure that they have a back up to their in-house maintenance program. Other considerations that may sideline a candidate is Seattle's ability to provide fueling resources (e.g. CNG is not readily available in their area).	The City of Seattle considered a "wet-hosing" service for their unleaded vehicles. Permitting obstacles, cost, and an overall operational mismatch ended this notion. For example, the service cannot be performed in parking garages. Seattle's biggest unleaded users are police vehicles, which are used constantly on various shifts and don't stay in one place long enough to benefit from wet-hosing. *Note: Wet hosing, also known as mobile fueling, fleet fueling, or on-site fueling, is the process of filling the tanks of large commercial vehicles from tank trucks. These tank trucks are driven to locations where trucks that require fuel and are not in-use can be stationed.	 The City of Seattle has over 200 Level 2 charging stations in their downtown parking garages for City fleet vehicles. Additional Level 2 chargers have been installed in many City facilities where EVs park overnight, including fire stations, police precincts, and other department administrative buildings and parking lots. None of these chargers are open to the public. Seattle is also expanding it Level 3 DCFC charging stations over the next 12-24 months. 	 Fuel: The costs of bio and renewable fuels is high up front. Biofuels have attained enough market share and popularity to be close to, and sometimes better than, the price point of standard fuel. Biofuels provide a moderate but noticeable greenhouse gas reduction. Renewable fuels are a much newer technology and are still quite expensive. Seattle has had tankers of renewable fuel sent from thousands of miles away, so pricing may be better if you have a more local source. The greenhouse gas reduction from renewable fuel is profound and should be considered since it will continue to gain popularity and, eventually, its production will increase and drive prices down. Seattle has used less fuel, but that has more to do with the electrification of its light fleet and an overall vehicle reduction over recent years. However, the fuel being used now is cleaner. Bottom line, the sticker shock is the only negative thing about renewable fuel. Operationally these fuels perform identically to standard fuel and the greenhouse gas reduction is well worth the cost. EV/PHEV/Hybrid fleet purchases: These vehicles are more expensive and have increased Seattle's capital costs. There is a marked reduction in fuel consumption after having these types of vehicles in their fleet (e.g. Hybrid Patrol cars, Hybrid Medic Units, EV and PHEV light-duty fleet). The tradeoff between higher capital costs and lower fuel costs/GHG emissions is a known issue, and it continues to be highlighted as Seattle works with budget and policy makers to increase its capital budget.
Marion County Marion County does not have any policies or guidelines in relation to alternative fuel vehicles.	Marion County only considered CNG vehicles.	Marion count has not undertaken any infrastructure changes. Instead, the focus for Marion County has been in training and employee education.	Marion County does not consider CNG a viable option at this point. As gasoline and diesel prices decrease and remain low in today's environment, the use of alternative fuels sources becomes less appealing.

Do you have any policies or guidelines regarding good/poor candidates for electric or alternative fuel vehicles? If so, please describe. If available, may we have a copy?	Were there any fuel efficiency ideas that you considered, but ultimately rejected? If so, why?	What, if any, infrastructure changes have been made in order to implement fuel efficiency initiatives?	you documer		n in fuel use a	nd/or cost sav	initiatives? Have vings? Have there vorse?
Miami-Dade County Miami-Dade County is currently exploring policies and guidelines for the use of alternative fuel vehicles.	ISD had a discussion with a Flex Fuel vendor to integrate a gasoline methanol and/or ethanol mix alternative fuel into the County's fleet operations. This fuel type burns cleaner and produces fewer greenhouse gases in comparison to traditional unleaded fuel. This option was not adopted since it requires vehicles that are manufactured specifically to utilize both conventional and Flex Fuel grades. Additionally, a vehicle's mileage economy is reduced by 20 to 30 percent lower miles per gallon than the same car would get running on regular gasoline. As such, this option would require more fuel consumption that would negate any potential cost savings in switching to this fuel option. There were also concerns reported by fleet industry periodicals regarding the potential damage to a vehicle's engine since ethanol absorbs dirt easily, which can potentially corrode the vehicles engine.		Diesel Fuel Expers FY 16/17 17,042,348 CNG Fuel Expers FY 16/17 MDFR's initial accurately despone hybrid	ng from \$17 milenditures FY 17/18 17,480,582 Inditures FY 17/18 Attives are still etermine the betwehicles (Toyota)	FY 18/19 12,672,962 FY 18/19 2,286,894 in the inception of the inception	FY 19/20 5,715,559 FY 19/20 4,532,430 ion stage and nintended conto be retired expression.	In fuel expenses for in just over 3 years: If it is too early to a sequence was that early due to the cost value of the hybrid
	The vendor required special fueling dispensers that would be installed at one location and expressed interest in exclusive rights to sell fuel to the county. After a review, it was determined that this option was not in the County's best interest.						

Alternative Fuel Fleet Benchmarking Survey

Responses | Section 3 | Page 1

Has the cost, frequency and timeliness of vehicle maintenance and repair been impacted by the fuel efficiency initiatives? If so, how?	Have fleet customers' operations been impacted by the fuel efficiency initiatives – for better or for worse? If so, how?	What challenges have you encountered in implementing fuel efficiency initiatives? What would you do differently in the future?	What has worked well? What advice would you offer to other fleet organizations?
Not in the big scheme of things. There was a time when CNG vehicle maintenance ran a little high but in the last 5-6 years, technological advances have caught up and the maintenance costs have leveled out with the rest of the fleet.	 There are currently some negative issues for their customers utilizing CNG vehicles. The CNG fueling station is only capable of providing a half-fill of vehicle fuel tanks due and needs a significant upgrade. DEN has incorporated these upgrades into the new CNG contract, but they are not scheduled until 2023. DEN is currently negotiating to get these upgrades completed sooner. For new initiatives, DEN recruits customers who are excited to try new technology or equipment and lets them be the test case. This definitely helps with buy-in as they now become the "sales force" for the rest of the customers. 	The two biggest challenges are customer buy-in and infrastructure. DEN does well with the customer buy-in, but infrastructure is never cheap or easy. As fleet staff talks with various stakeholders about their various initiatives, they try to find ways to make the initiative important in their world.	Continue to find ways to earn credibility. That may be in the form of solid decision making over time or through recognition programs such as "100 Best Fleet/Green Fleet Program", "Leading Fleets Awards" or even through recognition form the local "Clean Cities Coalition". It's more difficult for the local government to look past new ideas when the team has current national industry recognition.
City of Fort Lauderdale (FTL) The Electric Vehicles have received almost no maintenance. They are checked over and sent out. Hybrid vehicles seem to cost about the same in maintenance, but there is savings in fuel cost.	Yes. EVs so far cost less to operate.	Challenges include deploying new technology in Public Safety vehicles and additional costs	Educate all parties involved and make sure the technology works.
Palm Beach County (PBC) For older hybrid models, the cost to replace the batteries was higher and an added expense. However, the hybrids that are produced today have a more reliable battery system in the long term.	Reduced fuel costs, positive impacts on the environment.	 See CNG example in previous question. In the future, the PBC director stated that she would always do a pilot initiative before investing thousands of dollars into a project of that magnitude. PBC had no major challenges in implementing hybrid vehicles. Only one Department bought an electric vehicle in 2012. It is driven less than 1,400 miles a year due to range anxiety. PBC fuel sites have experienced much corrosion as a result of ethanol blended fuel, but they have been able to manage it. 	Have County Administration buy-in if changing the behavior of the operators. Always consider the application, long-term stability, and all possible costs. Consider doing a pilot or speaking with a similar organization that implemented a similar change, as well as disaster planning/readiness

Has the cost, frequency and timeliness of vehicle maintenance and repair been impacted by the fuel efficiency initiatives? If so, how?	Have fleet customers' operations been impacted by the fuel efficiency initiatives – for better or for worse? If so, how?	What challenges have you encountered in implementing fuel efficiency initiatives? What would you do differently in the future?	What has worked well? What advice would you offer to other fleet organizations?
Port of New York/New Jersey (PANY/NJ) For fully electric vehicles the costs of maintenance and parts are dramatically reduced. Maintenance costs of hybrid plug-in vehicles are roughly equivalent or slightly higher than maintenance costs for traditional vehicles. The use of telematics has also resulted in significant efficiencies and better decision making.	Feedback from police on idle mitigation software has been positive, with drivers reporting improved vehicle performance.	Electric vehicles have required additional training for mechanics; union agreements require all maintenance to be performed in-house Employees often have "range anxiety" when introduced to electric vehicles, but outreach and education can overcome this, especially when informed by telematics data There have been some recalls on batteries – e.g. Chevy Volt, Chrysler Pacifica There is a risk that employees will be tempted to disable safety features to meet operating needs. Effective SOP's will be required.	 User education is critical and should be initiated as early as possible. It is important to provide a forum to get input from all customers as well as mechanics and other fleet staff. Leadership should be transparent about the features and drawbacks of alternative fuel and electric vehicles, including charge time and range, and to share telematics data on actual vehicle use. Fleet should also encourage users and mechanics to test drive new technology vehicles. When the fleet organization wins accolades, share the recognition with fleet employees. Engage executive management as well as budget staff when considering changes. Calculate the total cost of ownership over vehicle useful life. Have a plan for capital spending that projects future needs. Telematics data is extremely helpful. However, the organization must dedicate adequate staffing for the program or data will not be used. Be aware that manufacturer fuel efficiency information is usually overstated by about 20% due to use of a/c, radios, and other battery draining equipment. Use telematics to identify optimal locations for charging stations. If you don't own the property, consider exploring solar canopies. When investing in electric and plug-in hybrid vehicles, consider the manner in which you are charged by the utility. Be aware of potential peak demand charges. For major projects it is essential to engage the utility; consider engaging microgrid companies to help manage power sources at specific times. When using bio/renewable fuels, be aware of potential supply issues. Invest in multiple technologies and vehicle types, especially early on when the organization is still learning what works best. Be wary of companies which offer proprietary technology that does not integrate with other technology or infrastructure. Consider the availability of back-up generators in emergency situations
No, the City continues to maintain the vehicles according to the vehicle manufactures recommended service intervals.	No, the hybrid vehicle operates just like a conventional vehicle with no impact to City departments	The City of Miami has a challenge in purchasing the Ford Police interceptor SUV Hybrid. This particular vehicle has had many start-up issues for a new product. In the future, The City of Miami will not be purchasing the Ford Police Interceptor SUV Hybrid for the Police Department.	For the City of Miami, the Toyota and Kia Hybrid vehicles have worked very well and have proven to be efficient and reliable. These vehicles have been placed into service in all departments across the City of Miami including the Fire and Police Departments.
City of Riverside The maintenance frequencies for the City of Riverside remain unchanged due to the need to mitigate liability.	Some customers do not like CNG due to the reduction in vehicle range, and incremental cost of the vehicles.	Again, emphasis not necessarily on efficiency, but regulatory compliance, South Coast Air Quality Management District (SCAQMD) rules, etc.	Alternative fuels by and large have worked well. Infrastructure is costly and adequate supply for the proposed locations of infrastructure (IE gas/electric supply) needs to be ensured.

Has the cost, frequency and timeliness of vehicle maintenance and repair been impacted by the fuel efficiency initiatives? If so, how?	Have fleet customers' operations been impacted by the fuel efficiency initiatives – for better or for worse? If so, how?	What challenges have you encountered in implementing fuel efficiency initiatives? What would you do differently in the future?	What has worked well? What advice would you offer to other fleet organizations?
City of New York Police Department (NYPD) Yes, there has been a positive impact. PM intervals have increased from 4,000 to 6,000 miles.	Round the clock operations can't use electric vehicles because they need time to charge and they are better suited for single shift operations.	 Infrastructure investment to upgrade the electric grid and install electric chargers. Surveys must be done prior to deciding on electric vehicle purchase and infrastructure must in place first. Training drivers and technicians on new technologies. Budget constraints (initial cost is higher) 	 Hybrid vehicles are better than electric vehicles for round the clock use. Bio and renewable- diesel fuels work well on all diesel vehicles without additional modifications. Choose the right vehicle that fits the operational needs (one shift or round the clock operations).
City of Seattle EV adoption has reduced the amount of maintenance required on light-duty vehicles. Other green fleet initiatives have not had a measurable impact on fleet operations.	 For the most part, customers' operations have not been affected by the switch to biodiesel and renewable diesel. Switching from Internal Combustion Engine Vehicles (ICE) to Electric Vehicles (EV) and Plugin Hybrid Electric Vehicles (PHEV) light duty fleet assets was low impact until the pandemic. Most light duty vehicles park overnight at City facilities, and the City has worked to provide a dedicated Level 2 charger for almost all EVs and PHEVs in the fleet. Some departments responded to COVID-19 by allowing their staff to take their vehicles home daily. This makes it hard for EV drivers to keep their batteries charged. Whether that practice continues post-COVID is TBD, and it will impact how and where direct current fast charge is located in the future. 	 Electric Vehicle Supply Equipment: The biggest challenge for EV infrastructure is securing the funds necessary to build out the infrastructure to support electric vehicle supply equipment. Electrifying the medium and heavy-duty fleet will require expensive electric work in buildings and on the electrical service equipment to those buildings. Educating budget and policy staff about these huge expenses is a challenge, especially during COVID-19. Renewable fuels: Challenges with renewable fuel will primarily have to do with finding a consistent supply at a feasible price point. There should be an expectation that renewables will be significantly more expensive than "dirty" fuels, especially in smaller amounts. If you can secure a long-term bulk supply, those costs can come down. At the height of Seattle's renewable usage, they were paying \$1-2 more per gallon for renewables compared to standard fuel. Seattle has noticed zero issues with renewable performance and the greenhouse gas reduction is quite profound. Access to EVs in a non-ZEV state: Manufacturers prefer to sell their EVs in Zero Emissions States where they get incentives based on the number of EVs and PHEVs they sell. Seattle is eager to purchase the Toyota RAV4 Prime PHEV, but the City cannot buy them until the state's ZEV regulations are finalized (eta 2023). 	If the state of Florida or Miami-Dade County have a broad contract with certain vendors, explore piggy-backing on those contracts to lock in a better price. When using bio/renewable fuels, try to get a contract directly with the supplier of the fuel, rather than a distributor. This will provide a better opportunity for attractive pricing and locking in a set amount.
Marion County Initially the County had a lot of issues with CNG engines but has worked most of them out now. The cost of maintenance is similar to regular fueled vehicles; however, parts are tougher to procure for some of the CNG systems.	Due to only having one refueling site for CNG in the County, some departments have changed the way they plan and operate their CNG vehicles. Also, some departments do not like that the fuel tank takes up room on truck beds or in the back-seat area.	More research on alternative fuels.	Do careful due diligence; sometimes there is information out there that can be misleading; also fleets all operate differently or have different needs; what works great for some fleets elsewhere in the country may not necessarily work to the same level in yours.

Has the cost, frequency and timeliness of vehicle maintenance and repair been impacted by the fuel efficiency initiatives? If so, how?	Have fleet customers' operations been impacted by the fuel efficiency initiatives – for better or for worse? If so, how?	What challenges have you encountered in implementing fuel efficiency initiatives? What would you do differently in the future?	What has worked well? What advice would you offer to other fleet organizations?
ISD expects that cost, frequency and timeliness of vehicle maintenance and repair will improve as the system are fully rolled out and data solutions are identified. At MDFR, the heavy fleet vehicles designed for fuel efficiency (Rosenbauer trucks) have not performed as expected due to their Auxiliary Power Units (APU). All vehicles which use Diesel Exhaust Fluid (DEF) have diesel particulate filter regeneration or APUs and have a higher repair and maintenance cost and more frequent out of service time than traditional vehicles. They have not been able to keep up with the abundance of use nor the demands required by the Fire Service.	heavy fleet responding units. MDFR currently has many units that are 18-23 years of age which are more reliable than emission friendly units with less than 7 years of age. One of the key contributors to the unreliability of these vehicles is the regenerating system.	available with the current platform used. The current telematics vendor does not provide this capability. As a result, ISD Fleet must manually analyze large data sets to reveal patterns and trends that can impact fleet operations. In reviewing possible resolutions, it was determined that either	ISD would recommend that other organizations seek help from internal support departments to gauge the costs/benefits of introducing new initiatives and/or vehicles into their operations. For instance, in 2016, the ISD Fleet Management Division, in collaboration with the Office of Management and Budget and the County's Finance Department, developed a Multi-Year Vehicle Replacement Plan to meet the operational needs of the various County departments. As a result, all vehicle requests are now reviewed on an annual basis. Such requests must now be operationally necessary, economically sustainable, and consider environmentally conscious technologies when viable. This initiative requires departments to better analyze their vehicle needs and requires approval of vehicle type by both the department and Fleet Management. This provides for closer scrutiny of vehicle requests, which is expected to reduce the number of large, fuel-inefficient vehicles that are ordered. MDFR has had a positive experience with the use of hybrid light fleet vehicles. Due to maintenance and repairs, fully electric vehicles have not been proven to be beneficial for the department.