

Green Belt Project Objective:

Reduce the number of days to transport requested materials to customer choice pick-up location

Last Updated: 4-6-18

Team: Library Prime

Leo Gomez (Co-Team Leader), Wil Fowler (Co-Team Leader) Gigi Bolt (OMB), Philip Berry, Jessica Jarra, Jeff Rosenberg, Juan Rodriguez-Galan (IT SME)

Ray Baker (Sponsor and Process Owner)



Lean Six Sigma Problem Solving Process

The team utilized the 5-Step DMAIC problem solving process.

Proce	ess Step	
Number	Name	Description of Key Team Activities
1	DEFINE	 Establish Method to Monitor Team Progress Select Problem and Identify Project Charter and Timeline Display Process Indicator Performance "Gap" Construct related Process Flowchart Identify Stakeholder Process output needs Identify Costs of Poor Quality (for not meeting output needs)
2	MEASURE	 Develop Data Collection Plan Stratify Problem (i.e. "Gap") Develop Problem Statement from remaining data set and finalize target
3	ANALYZE	 Identify Potential Root Causes (s) Verify Root Cause(s) Assess Impact of Root Causes on Problem in Measure Step
4	IMPROVE	 Identify and Select Countermeasure(s) Identify Barriers and Aids Develop and Implement Action Plans Confirm / Document Pilot Improvement Plan Effectiveness
5	CONTROL	 Confirm / Document Improvement Results Standardize Improvements within Operations Implement New Process Control System (PCS) Document Lessons Learned Identify Future Plans for Improvement





Identify Project Charter

The team developed a team Project Charter and secured signed off from sponsor.

	Project Charter					
	Project Name:	Transportation of requested materials between Library branches				
Business Case	Problem/Impact:	The Miami-Dade Library System provides library materials at requested Branch locations, even if the material is located at another branch. Failure to provide timely materials can result in Client dissatisfaction, unnecessary handling of material status inquires, etc.				
	Expected Benefits:	Quicker service, Improved Customer Satisfaction				
	Outcome Indicator(s)					
Objectives	Proposed Target(s)	Target= 50% (32% Increase)				
Objectives		Nov 2017 thru March 2018				
	Strategic Alignment:	RC 3-2 Strengthen and conserve local history, cultural resources and collections				
Saara		Requests involving physical transfers of materials from Branch A to Branch B for materials Readily available				
Scope	Out-of-Scope:	Requests where no transport is need for request or Rqsts in Queue				
	Authorized by:	Ray Baker				
	Sponsor:	Ray Baker				
	Team Leader:	Leo Gomez, Wil Fowler				
Team	Team Members:	Gigi Bolt (OMB),Philip Berry,Jessica Jarra,Jeff Rosenberg				
	Process Owner(s):	Ray Baker				
	Mgmt Review Team:	Ray Baker, Carlos Maxwell, Michael Spring, Lourdes Avalos				
	Completion Date:	3/30/18				
Schedule	Review Dates:	Monthly and Final Review in April 2018				
	Key Milestone Dates:	See Action Plan				





Develop Project Timeline Plan

The team developed a Timeline Plan to complete their Project.

Legend:	
=	Actual
=	Proposed

WHAT: Reduce # Days to Transport Requested Materials to Cust Choice Pick-up Locn WHEN Month 2017-2018 HOW May Nov Dec Feb Mar Apr Jan **1. DEFINE** Completed 12/15/17 2. MEASURE Completed 1/5/18 3. ANALYZE **Completed 1/19/18 4.IMPROVE** 4/13/18 **5.CONTROL** 5/31/18





Project Alignment to MD Public Library Strategy

The Department's efforts align with the following Miami-Dade County Strategic Plan Goal/Objectives:

- GG1-1 Provide easy access to information and services
- GG1-2 Develop a customeroriented organization
- GG1-4 Improve relations between communities and governments





Contro



Define Measure Analyze Improve



Background

- Miami-Dade Public Library System (MDPLS) is the 7th largest Public Library System in the United States
- MDPLS serving Miami-Dade County with a population of approximately 2.7 million
- MDPLS 50 library branches circulating a total of 3,939,170 items annually (With over 620,000 items going through the hold process).
- MDPLS mission is to maintain and improve public library services reflecting the informational, educational, and recreational needs of our diverse community.
- A common challenge among public libraries throughout the Country is how to meet the ever-growing expectation of patrons for availability and delivery in and on demand environment of titles in multiple formats (print, e-book, audiobook, etc.) as well as through multiple software platforms and devices.
- To that end we were charged with the mission of reducing the time it takes for a patron to receive an in stock item to two days to get from the branch housing the item to the branch where the patron had made the request.













Construct Process Flow Chart

The team constructed a Process flow chart describing the Process.



Stakeholder Needs

5.

The team identified stakeholder needs for the process outputs.

	Stakeholders Needs		
Stakeholders	Process Output Needs		
Patrons	Timely delivery of the requested material		
	Material in good condition		
	Available at customer choice Library Branch		
Library Staff	Timely processing of reports Timely delivery of all materials		
Library Administration	Meeting strategic objectives		
/BCC	Increase patron satisfaction with library services		
	Ongoing analysis to continually improve process		





Cost of Poor Quality

6.

The team identified the hidden costs of failing to fulfill timely requests.

Stakeholder	Pain Experienced	Annualized "Costs"
Patron	Patron dissatisfaction; delays with delivery of holds result patrons who do not pick up material	Multiple occurrences of patron's dissatisfaction will result in patrons going elsewhere for their informational needs. Patron dissatisfaction may also lead to an unwillingness to support the library system when it seeks
Library Staff	Not having the ability to reconfigure Polaris routing for optimal selection of delivery site Reshelf requests that do not get picked up	operational funding increases. During the past 12 months, over 47,000 items had to be reshelved because they were not picked up. Based on conservative costs for processing and logistics, these 47,000 items cost the library system over \$118,000. Holds account annually for approximately 620,000+ transactions in material circulation.
Library Admin /BCC	Adverse impact of dissatisfaction with the holds system	This is a valuable library service and the goal is to increase patron participation. For this reason it is critical to identify areas of concern and address them immediately to mitigate any adverse impacts on operations.

Total Cost of Poor Quality Savings = \$118,000 Annually





Identify Data Collection Needs



The team developed a spreadsheet to help analyze the process.

Library Requested Book/Material Delivery Summary (every row is a request)

Line Number	Hold Request ID		Created Branch Name	CreatedBy			Shipped By		Received Branch	from Created to	Shipped to Rec'd	from Created to rec'd	days?
	Average Days %												
	15.1 3.1 18.1 11% P1 P2 Q1 Q2									Q2 <			
1	6066682	8/1/17 8:25 AM	Miami-Dade Pu	OPAC Defau	8/1/17 11:19 AM	Sunset	otanoa	8/1/17 12:57 PM	Pinecrest	0	0	0	Y
2	6066786	8/1/17 10:12 AM			8/1/17 11:28 AM					0	0	0	Ŷ
3	6070272	8/2/17 5:22 PM	Main Library	garciam	8/18/17 9:12 AM	Doral	delgador	8/2/17 5:33 PM	Main Library	0	0	0	-v

Received		PatronStatClass	Bibliogra phicReco	ItemReco	ItemStatistic		Patrons Assigned	ltem's Asgnd
Ву	PatronCode	Code	rdID	rdID	alCodeID	ctionCodeID	Branch	Brnch
	1				1			
pineraa	Resident	Resident	1252931	5075180	Dewey 640	Nonfiction	Pinecrest	Sunset
pineraa cofinon		Resident Branch Account	1252931 1082588			Nonfiction Children's Vide		Sunset Country W



	Define	Measure Analyze	Improve Control	
--	--------	-----------------	-----------------	--



Display Indicator Performance "Gap"

The team collected Q2 indicator data and reviewed performance trends:

Q2 - % of Requested Readily Available Materials Received at Branch within 2 days of Patron Request



Stratify the Problem

The team stratified recent Library Material Requests filled using a histogram and found...



The team looked closer at comparing the Late to the Timely Materials.





Stratify the Problem

The team compared the LATE Materials to the TIMELY Materials and found...

Fulfill Requests for Library Materials



The team looked more closely at the 5,031 Late Requests in the P2 Step.





Stratify Problem

The team stratified the 5,031 Late Requests using a histogram and found...



Stratify Problem

8.

The team stratified the 4,004 Requests many ways and found...







Stratify Problem

The team stratified the 2,664 Requests many ways and found...



Problem Statement: "2,050 Library Requested Material Received LATE (4+ days from Rqst) between Aug 1 and Aug 7, 2017, Missed the Same Day Pick-up at Shipping Branch and took 3+ Days from Material Pulled to Received by Branch"



Define Measure Analyze Improve Control



8., 9., 10.

Identify Potential Root Causes

The team completed the Fishbone Analysis...



12., 13.

Verify Root Causes

13. 🗹

The team collected data to verify the root causes and found....

	Root Cause Verification Matrix							
	Potential Root Cause	How Verified?	Root Cause or Symptom					
A	No Formal Written Policy to Ensure Shipping Branch Pulls material prior to Pick-up	Team reviewed Library procedures and SOP s and interviewed Library Branch staff and found there is no formal written understood policy	Root Cause					
В	Polaris System does not Consider Routes in its selection software	Team checked with Polaris IT experts and found that it is possible to reprogram Polaris to consider delivery routesnot currently done.	Root Cause					
С	Better alignment of drivers routes & work functions with branch operational hours	Team reviewed Routing schedule and found routes established based on resources and even workload. While routing minimizes time between branches, it doesn't consider pick times and material timeliness to patron	Root Cause					

All 3 Potential Root causes were validated as root causes.







Identify and Select Countermeasures

14., 15. 🗹

The team brainstormed many countermeasures and narrowed them down to these for evaluation:

		Countermeasures Matrix				
			Legend	5=Ext 4=Ver	remely	3=Moderately 2=Somewhat 1=Little or None
Problem Statement	Verified Root Causes	Countermeasure	Effective- ness	Feasi- bility	Overall	Take Action? Yes/No
"2050 Library Requested Material	A - No Formal Written Policy to Ensure Shipping Branch Pulls	A1- Establish a Library System Wide policy of Material Pull Times that is coordinated with Route pick up times, currently generate once a day pick up list	4	5	20	(Y)
Received LATE (4+ days from Rqst) between	material prior to Pick-up	A2- Already implemented "Pull Process" to include Polaris PRESORTED alpha-numeric pull list	4	4	16	(Y)
Aug 1 and Aug 7, 2017, Missed the Same Day Pick- up at Shipping Branch and took	B - Polaris System does not Consider Routes in its selection software	B2- Program Polaris with revised Pick-Up algorithms: 1 st Review Requested Branch and find any requested available Material from any Branch within the same Route with an earlier Pick-up time; if no material then 2 nd Select any available Material within the same route; if no material, then 3rdSelect any material available.	4	4	16	F
3+ Days from Material Pulled to Received by Branch"	C- Routing schedule Design is not optimum for timeliness of pick-up	C1- Analyze routes, and revise other logistical processes to optimize both timeliness and costs	4	4	16	F

The team selected 4 Countermeasures for implementation.





Identify Barriers and Aids

The team performed Barriers and Aids analysis on the selected Countermeasures.

Countern	Countermeasure(s): Implement 4 countermeasures to Improve Timeless of filling					
	Barriers	Aids				
Impact (H, M, L)	Forces against Implementation	Forces For Implementation				
М	1) Polaris IT Limitations in availablility, costs,etc (Supported by Aids: A, B,)	A) Benefits -\$ savings improved and Patron Satisfaction; Easier for staff to pull				
		materials				

The team next sought to incorporate this analysis into the team's Action Plan.



Define	Meas	ure Ana	alyze Im	prove Co	ontrol



16.

Develop and Implement Action Plan



The team implemented an Action Plan for the team's Countermeasures.

Legend:					
	= Actual				
	= Prop	osed			

WHAT Implement 4 Countermeasures to improve filling of Material Requests **WHEN** 2018 HOW **WHO** Jan Feb Mar Apr May Jun Jul 1. Develop Countermeasures/ Practical Methods: Philip. Circulation A1-Establish a Library System Wide policy of Material Pull 2/19/18 Times that is coordinated with Route pick up times Sup's, Michele S. A2 Standardize "Pull Process" to include Polaris Pull list to be 2/19/18 Philip, IT **PRESORTED** alpha-numeric **B2-** Program Polaris to consider in its algorithms Follows: Gigi 2/19/18 1st Review Requested Branch and find any Leo, Jessica Will, Jeff, IT, 2/19/18 Analyze routes, and revise other logistical processes C1to optimize both timeliness and costs 2. Secure Management Approval of Countermeasures 4/13/18 Team (share benefits and savings) 3. Communicate/Train Staff in Countermeasures and 5/31/18 Team related policies/procedures (share benefits and Mgmt. Support) 6/30/18 **4.** Implement Countermeasures Team 4/30/18 5. Establish On-going responsibilities and standardize Team **On-Going** countermeasures into operations



Define > Measure > Analyze > Improve



Control

Estimated Return on Investment (ROI)



ROI WORKSHEET for: Reducing Time to Deliver Requested Materials

21.

ITEMIZED COSTS (DESCRIPTION)	\$ VALUE (ANNUALIZED)	ITEMIZED BENEFITS (DESCRIPTION)	\$ VALUE (ANNUALIZED)	
A1- Establish a Library System-Wide policy of Material Pull Times that is coordinated with Route pick up times	No Cost	At the current 2-day delivery rate of 18 percent, over 47,000 items were returned because they were not picked		
B2- Program Polaris with revised Pick-Up algorithms: 1st select Material from same Branch and earlier Pick- up time; if no material then2ndSelect Same route; 3rdSelect any route	No Cost	up by patrons with an estimated cost of \$118,000. With an original goal of 50%, two-day delivers would be increased by 32% and the cost for material not picked up should decrease by approximately \$46,000.		
C1- Analyze routes, and revise other logistical processes to optimize both timeliness and costs	No Cost	\$118,000 annually: Team to improve 82% by 32% to 50% OR 50%(32/82)*\$118,000=	\$46,049	
Total Annualized Costs	\$0	Total Expected Annualized Benefits	\$46,049	
Net Benefits==> \$46,049				



Define	Mea	asure An	alyze Im	prove C	ontrol	
--------	-----	----------	----------	---------	--------	--

Review Results

19., 21.

The team collected indicator data and reviewed performance trends:

Q2 - % of Requested Readily Available Materials Received at Branch within 2 days of Patron Request



The team will continue to monitor the countermeasures.





Standardize Countermeasures







Standardize Countermeasures



The team completed the Process Control System form for the Process.

The team will monitor the process ongoing.

	Process Control System							
	ess Name: Fulfill Request for aterials	,	Process Owner: CSS & Branch Manager					
Proce	ess Customer: Patron (Requ		Critical Customer Requirements: Provide Material as requested timely at requested pick-up branch					
	ess Purpose: Provide Requirials at patron Chosen Branch			Current Sigma L Outcome Indicat		TBD		
				Q1 and Q1				
	Process and Quality Indicators Process Indicators Control Limits		Checking / Indicat		Timeframe	ng Responsibility	Contingency Plans / Misc.	
	Quality Indicators	Specs/ Targets		is Checking Item licator Calculation	When to Collect Data?	Who will Check?	for Exceptions • Procedure	
P1	# of Days from Request to Material Shipped	1 Day	(Date Shipped)- (Date Requested)		Weekly	Branch Mgr, CSS	Polaris; Contact Shipping Branches	
P2	# of Days from Material Shipped to Received by Branch	2 Days	(Date Received)- (Date Shipped)		Weekly	Branch Mgr, CSS	Polaris; Contact transport/ Receiving Branches	
Q1	# of Days from Request to Received by Receiving Branch	2 Days	(Date Received)- (Date Requested)		Weekly	Branch Mgr, CSS	Polaris; Investigate	
Q2	% of Requested Available Materials Received at Branch in 2 days for Patron Pick-up	TBD		received within 3 FReceived)	Weekly	Branch Mgr, CSS	Polaris; Investigate	



Define Measure Analyze Improve Control

 Approved:

 Date: ______
 Rev #: _____
 Rev Date: ______



Identify Lessons Learned



Lessons Learned

- 1. Need to standardize procedures for pulling requested material for all branches is critical
- 2. Polaris software system updates were essential and did not consider good customer service protocols
- **3. Pareto chart was very helpful** in pointing out Tues. and Wed. deliveries were days that late materials were delivered (Direct correlation to items pulled previous week on a Wednesday since there is no delivery on Friday, Sunday, Monday)

Next Steps:

Continue to implement countermeasures and monitor performance results as well as look at reducing requests that enter the queue due to material not being readily available.





