**Performance Scoring Policy**

Applications for the Supplemental Unsheltered Homelessness solicitation will undergo a threshold review to ensure compliance with the HEARTH Act, the Continuum of Care (CoC) Program Notice of Funding Opportunity (NOFO) and the local CoC Request for Applications. Any project not meeting the threshold requirement will not be considered for funding.

The Homeless Trust made this procedure available for public comment on our website and discussed with stakeholders as part of a publicly open meeting. This HUD CoC Project Rating and Ranking Tool, with feedback incorporated through the CoC Subcommittee, is included in the local NOFO solicitation.

The Miami-Dade County Mayor appoints a selection committee of subject matter experts to review, rate and rank project applications. After meeting the local RFA and program requirements, all proposals are subject to the scoring outlined in the local RFA, Attachment 20. The Selection Committee utilizes scoring to inform the selection of conditional sub-recipients. The committee has the discretion to select one or more applications for the amount available. The committee also may give staff direction to negotiate with conditional applicants.

Projects will receive additional points based on the HUD Housing First Assessment Tool and their Annual Progress Report (APR) performance in like projects.

Full Performance Points:

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Description of Rating Factor** | **Applies to** | **Factor/Goal** | **Max Points** |
| **Performance Measure** | | | |
| Average days from project entry to move-in | RRH, PSH | 30 days | 4 |
| Retention in or exits to Permanent Housing (PH) | CES, RRH, PSH, TH | 90% | 12 |
| Returns to Homelessness up to 6 months | CES, RRH, PSH, TH | 15% | 4 |
| Returns to Homelessness between 6-12 months | CES, RRH, PSH, TH | 15% | 4 |
| Returns to Homelessness between 12-24 months | CES, RRH, PSH, TH | 15% | 4 |
| Income data quality | CES, RRH, PSH, TH | 95% | 4 |
| Adults who Gained or Increased Income from Start to Exit, Average Gain | CES, RRH, PSH, TH | 10% | 4 |
| **Project Effectiveness** | | | |
| Project budget is clear, provides the adequate level of support services and is reasonable | CES, RRH, PSH, TH | Yes | 12 |
| Percentage of referrals received through Coordinate Entry | RRH, PSH, TH | 95% | 4 |
| Housing First/Low Barrier Implementation | CES, RRH, PSH, TH | Yes | 8 |
| Percentage of two or more contacts | CES | 50% | 4 |
| **Other and Local Criteria** | | | |
| CoC Monitoring Score | CES, RRH, PSH, TH | Yes | 8 |

**Ranking Policy**

HUD requires Collaborative Applicants to rank all projects. Project components will be organized to best maximize the CoC Consolidated Application Overall Score.

Projects will be ranked as follows:

* CoC Planning
* Support Services Only - Coordinated Entry System projects
* Permanent Housing - Permanent Supportive Housing
* Joint Component Transitional Housing with Rapid Rehousing dedicated to unsheltered persons
* Permanent Housing – Rapid Rehousing

The Planning Project is not ranked.

Determination of any conditions to fund a proposed project will be made at least 30 days ahead of the NOFO due date. A final list of projects to be submitted as part of the collaborative application will be presented to the CoC Board and posted on the Homeless Trust website.