

## Memorandum



Miami-Dade County Office of the Inspector General A State of Florida Commission on Law Enforcement Accredited Agency 601 NW 1st Court ◆ South Tower, 22nd Floor ◆ Miami, Florida 33136 Phone: (305) 375-1946 ◆ Fax: (305) 579-2656 Visit our website at: www.miamidadeig.org

To: The Honorable Carlos A. Gimenez, Mayor, Miami-Dade County

The Honorable Audrey M. Edmonson, Chairwoman

and Members, Board of County Commissioners, Miami-Dade County

From: Mary T. Cagle, Inspector General,

Date: March 1, 2019

Subject: Agenda Item 8(O)1 on March 5, 2019: Proposed Amendment 1 to AECOM

Technical Services, Inc. Professional Services Agreement

On Tuesday, March 5, 2019, you will consider Agenda Item 8(O)1, which recommends a 53.55% increase to the Professional Services Agreement (PSA) that was originally awarded to AECOM Technical Services, Inc., on May 20, 2014. Given the scale of this recommended modification, my office has sought further clarification of the justification methodology.

As a courtesy to the Board, I am sharing these concerns with you in advance of the hearing. I do anticipate the Administration will provide supplemental information to address these concerns prior to your decision. The OIG has met with the professional staff of the Water and Sewer Department (WASD) and discussed the following:

- 1. The legislative item to increase the PSA amount of \$91,149,497 does not report the expenditure activities to date on this contract.
  - ➤ How much of the original contract amount has been paid to date, what payments are pending, and have there been any payments for services that are beyond the scope of the agreement?
- 2. Detailed support incorporated in the AECOM agreement included a document furnished for contract negotiations that breaks down the proposed \$91,149,497 amount. This Attachment C to the agreement<sup>1</sup> illustrates Program Management (PM) costs as \$47,651,052, Construction Management (CM) costs as \$36,091,566, and Other Services as \$7,405,779. The PM figure is shown as

-

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Attachment C, handwritten page 171 of AECOM Agreement. Official File Copy, Clerk of the Board. R-469-14, approved and adopted May 20, 2014.

being 4% of the construction cost and the CM figure is 3% of construction cost.<sup>2</sup> Missing from this analysis, however, is the construction cost value used to derive these calculations. Mathematically, the approximate value of the construction cost, based on these figures, would be \$1.2 billion—not the \$732 million estimate that is the baseline figure being used today.

- Clarification is needed as to how the original \$91,149,497 compensation figure for the program life of 15 years was conceived, for example, as a percentage of construction cost or an estimate of the time and resources needed.
- ➤ Where in the program documents, at the time of AECOM's contract award, is the reference to a \$732 million construction cost estimate, which is the current baseline amount that is now being used to justify the recommended fee increase?
- 3. The Consent Decree program total cost was originally identified in court documents as a \$1.6 billion program. WASD had spreadsheets of the original Consent Decree program that listed 81 projects with a cumulative total value of about \$1.55 billion.
  - ➤ At the time of the AECOM contract award, what percentage of this \$1.55 billion program was considered to be construction cost?
  - ➤ By percentage, how were the remaining costs of the \$1.55 billion program allocated for the 'non-construction' related expenditures (e.g., engineering and design, program management, construction management, and administrative support)?
- 4. The recommended increase to the PSA amount (\$48.81 million) relies on a formula<sup>3</sup> to increase the AECOM fee by 53.55%. Relying on this formula, the fee structure for AECOM's program management and construction management services is now effectively 12.4% of the revised construction cost estimate of \$1.124 billion.
  - ➤ How does this percentage compare against other capital improvement programs?

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> For comparison, the Ocean Outfall Legislation Program PM/CM agreement awarded to CH2MHill (now Jacobs Engineering Group, Inc.), included a similar document illustrating its program and construction management fee estimates. The PM fee is shown as 3.28% of construction cost and the CM fee is shown as 1.42% of construction cost. Including a small percentage for "Planning and Other Requested Services, the PSA amount of \$139,394,748 represents a blended total of 5.24% of construction cost.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> According to the legislative item, the original construction cost estimate was \$732 million and the revised construction cost estimate is \$1.124 billion. This represents a 53.55% increase in the estimated construction cost.

The importance of the work being performed by this contractor is not to be underestimated. Progress toward full compliance with the terms of the Consent Decree benefits the entire community. At a minimum, the OIG does recommend the term of the agreement with AECOM be extended for another five years. However, without additional information, at this time the OIG is not prepared to issue any recommendation on the specific monetary request before you.

## Attachment

c: Abigail Price-Williams, County Attorney
Jack Osterholt, Deputy Mayor
Kevin T. Lynskey, Director, Water and Sewer Department
Yinka Majekodunmi, CPA, Commission Auditor

## ATTACHMENT C



## PROPOSED TOTAL CONTRACT VALUE FOR 15 YEARS

E13-WASD-01R PCM Services Related to the Wastewater System Priority Projects Required Information for Negotiations

			Principal	Multiplier Code	Program Director	Muliplier Code	Program Manager	Multiplier Code	Program Controls Manager	anco randinini	Senior Project Manager	Multiplier Code				2		Multiplier Code		Multiplier Cade	Teohnical Expert	Multiplier Code		SUBTOTAL (\$)
		Cap Rate (1):	\$ 130		\$ 275		\$ 295		\$ 275	1:	80	1	\$ 75	1	\$ 60		\$ 45	1	\$ 25	-	\$ 255	$\vdash$		
	PROGRAM TASK	TASK CLASSIFICATION												-		1		-		$\vdash$		+		
										-		-	1.550		7.092	A	400	A	372	A	660	CP	5	2:029.094
A	Validation	Program Management									1,048	A	1,550	A	1.186	+		A	352	Â	448	ICP		635,879
8	Program Procedures @ AECOM	Program Management								_	1,120	AL		-	1,186	A		合	332	-	440		\$	32,400
	Program Procedures @ Client's Office	Program Management								_		-		-	1,603	+		1		-		+	5	486,187
C	Risk Management	Program Management								_		1	992	Α	1,603	1		-	8.729	A	3,160	100		15,985,310
	Program Management @ AECOM	Program Management	2,500	CP	4,300	CP	25,440	CP		_	20,440		3,497	Α		+-	24,109	10	0,729	1	3,100	101	S	4,139,811
	Program Management @ Client's Office	Program Management		١.				_		1		С			239	-	24,109	14		ì	86	CP		168,179
E	Program Management Plan @ AECOM	Program Management					200	CP			203	A		$\vdash$	239	A	122	c		+-	- 00		\$	13,167
	Program Management Plan @ Client	Program Management								_		1		-	1,544	1	122	10		+-	-	+-	S	484,044
F	Wastewater Facilities Master Planning	Other Services		_						-	965	A		-	8,274			+-+		+	760	CP		1,836,695
G	Modeling @ AECOM	Other Services								-	1,000	A	1.000	1	6,214	14		+-		+-	700		5	216,000
	Modeling @ Client's office	Other Services								-		+++	1,200	С	5,280	<del> </del>	1,400	1	1.400	A	8,800	CE		3,718,020
Н	Cost Estimating '	Program Management								_	1,280	A	11.00=	1	7.875		1,400	-	1,400	+^	0,000	10.	\$	7,051,612
1	Program Controls	Program Management							11,369 10	CP		+-+	14.325	10		A.		+		+	-	+	5	152,942
J	Reporting	Program Management								_		+		-	894	A		+		+-		+-	š	974,804
К	Document Control	Program Management								_		-		<u> </u>	5,701	A		1	1.020	A	320	CF		965,798
L	Public Outreach	Other Services								_	1.057	A		+-	2,511			IM	1,020	+^	320	- 0'	\$	735,519
M	Regulatory Meetings	Other Services								_	1,336	A		+-	2,520			+		+-		+	\$	956,655
N	CD Reporting	Other Services						~		_	608	A	160	A	4,584	A				+-		+	5	3,121,092
0	Value Engineering	Program Management								-		+-1	14,602	A		+	-	+-		+	+	+	5	954,180
P	Permit Assistance	Other Services		1	1					_	4.185	IA		+-	7.000	-		+-		+	+	+-	S	2,416,257
	Constructability/Bid Phase Services	Construction Management		ĺ_								1	4,936	A	7,960			+-	1	+-		+	5	8,208,221
R	Design and Construction Management	Program Management									2,080	A	33,705	F				+-		+	+	+	5	1,112,657
5	CMOM	Other Services					2,106						317	A				<del>-</del>		+-		+		19,524,708
T	CM	Construction Management					320	CF		_	85,195	F	17,933	IF		9 1 5		+-		+	+	+		13,443,021
U	Inspection	Construction Management										1		+	105,69	TIF	1	+	<del> </del>	+	+	+	5	10,440,021
V	Staff Integration	Other Services					1					-		+		+	+	+-		+		+	+*-	
									1	_	100.5	15	93,217		203.1	000	27.7	731	11,87	2	14.2	234	5	89:362.252
		Staff Hours Subtotal:	2,50	0	4,80	00	28,	066	11,369		128,5	10	93,217		203,0	000	21,1	<u> </u>	1 11,07	<u> </u>	1 17/4			

Note
(1): For consistency, cap rates were used in calculating maximum/not-to-exceed total fee

Total Labor: \$ 89,362,252 ODC (2%): \$ 1,787,245

Total: \$ 91,149,497

Multiplier Code Legend	Summary per Task Classification								
A : Personnel Working from AECOM's office : 2.85	Task Classification	Total	Percent of Construction Cost						
C: Personnel Working from MDWASD's office: 2.40	Program Management	\$ 47,651,052	4.0%						
F: Personnel Working in the Field: 2.10	Construction Management	\$ 36,091,666	3.0%						
CP: Capped Burdened Rate: Multiplier of 1.00 used.	Other Services	\$ 7,406,779							
OF . Capped bordened Mate . Midriplier of 1.00 deed.	Total	\$ 91,149,497							