## SMALL BUSINESS ENTERPRISE GOODS AND SERVICES ADVISORY BOARD

November 17, 2022

# Miami-Dade County <br> Small Business Enterprise Goods and Services Advisory Board Meeting 

Thursday, November 17, 2022 @10:30 a.m.
Board Members
Stephen P. Clark Center, 111 N.W. $1^{\text {st }}$ Street, 19th Floor Conference Room

## Public Virtual Meeting Zoom URL:

https://miamidade.live/SBE-GoodsServicesBoard Webinar ID: 91757515924

## AGENDA

I. Welcome/Introductions (15 min)
II. SBD Section Reports ( 40 min )
a. Business Outreach and Education Report - Lawanda Wright-Robinson (SBD Section Chief)
b. Certification Report - Jeanise Cummings-Labossiere (SBD Section Chief)
c. Utilization Report- Rossi Siewnarine (SBD Section Chief)
i. Goods and Services Bimonthly Award Data Report
ii. SBE Invoice Aging Report
III. Old Business ( 10 min )
a. Approve - Goods and Services Advisory Board 2023 meeting dates
b. Approve - Meeting minutes
i. Goods and Services Advisory Board - September 15, 2022, Meeting Minutes
ii. Goods and Services Subcommittee - October 11, 2022, Meeting Minutes
IV. New Business ( 20 min )
a. Bundling of Goods \& Services contracts
b. Discuss new subcommittee
c. Request for future agenda items
V. Reasonable opportunity for the public to be heard before County Boards (Sec. 2-11.39.3) (3 min)
VI. Adjournment (2 min)
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## G\&S BUSINESS OUTREACH AND EDUCATION REPORT

## Internal Services Department

## Small Business Development (SBD)

## Small Business Enterprise-Goods \& Services

Business Outreach and Education Section
September 1, 2022 and October 31, 2022

|  |  | Total for <br> Period | YTD Total |
| :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Activity | Assistance Provided to Small Business Enterprise- <br> Goods \& Services Program |  |  |
| 1 | Certification Assistance | 81 | 749 |
| 2 | Needs Assessment Meetings (NAMS) | 14 | 58 |
| 3 | Technical Assistance | 218 | 1,336 |
| 4 | Payment Issues | 10 | 46 |
| 4.1 | Payment Issues Related Dollars | $\$ 194,509$ | $\$ 893,989$ |
| 5 | Prompt Payment Issues | 1 | 25 |
| 5.1 | Prompt Payment Related Dollars | $\$ 78,164$ | $\$ 345,529$ |
| 6 | Contract Issues (Non-dollar related) | 1 | 13 |
| 7 | Contact with SBE-Goods \& Services Firms (emails <br> highlighting contract opportunities | 2021 | 15,184 |
|  |  |  |  |


|  |  | Total for <br> Period | YTD Total |
| :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Activity | Training, Education and Outreach Activities |  |  |
| 1 | Small Business Development (sponsored) <br> Workshops and Forums | 3 | 19 |
| 1.1 | Number of Attendants | 63 | 820 |
| 2 | Partnership Workshops \& Forums | 5 | 40 |
| 2.1 | Number of Firms (with whom contact was made) | 155 | 1,671 |
| Activity | Technical Training \& Development Workshops |  |  |
| 3 | Access to Capital | 1 | 2 |
| 3.1 | $n / a$ |  |  |

## G\&S CERTIFICATION REPORT

Certification Type: SBE-GS
PERIOD: Sept. 1, 2022 to Oct. 31, 2022


| Female | Male | Total <br> Amount |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 427 | 964 | 1391 |

This is a race and gender neutral program. This report is for informational purpose on

Note: The SBE program is race and gender neutral. This report is for informational purposes only.

## Goods \& Services Advisory Board <br> Sept. 12022 to Octo 312022 Period

SBE Denied \& Graduated Firms

| Business Name | Certification <br> Type | Certification <br> Action | Certification <br> Status | Date | Reason | District |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| RUIZ TRANS DEVELOPMENT CORP | SBE-G\&S | Recertification | Graduated | 10/20/22 | Exceeded <br> AGR | 9 |
| Homesmart Technologies Inc DBA Skylight <br> Technolgies Inc | SBE-G\&S | New | Denied | $10 / 27 / 22$ | AGR | Exceeded |

## Certified Directory - Ethnicity \& Gender Summary

Ethnicity \& Gender Summary

| Ethnicity | Gender | Number Firms | Percent of Total |
| :--- | :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Asian-Pacific American | Female | 3 | $0.22 \%$ |
| Asian-Pacific American | Male | 14 | $1.01 \%$ |
| Black American | Female | 100 | $7.19 \%$ |
| Black American | Male | 162 | $11.65 \%$ |
| Caucasian | Female | 66 | $4.74 \%$ |
| Caucasian | Male | 95 | $6.83 \%$ |
| Hispanic American | Female | 254 | $18.26 \%$ |
| Hispanic American | Male | 679 | $48.81 \%$ |
| Other Minority | Female | 2 | $0.14 \%$ |
| Other Minority | Male | 10 | $0.72 \%$ |
| Subcontinent Asian <br> American | Female | 2 | $0.14 \%$ |
| Subcontinent Asian <br> American | Male | 4 | $0.29 \%$ |
|  | Total Firms | $\mathbf{1 3 9 1}$ |  |
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## Certified Directory - Ethnicity \& Gender Summary

## Ethnicity Summary

| Ethnicity | Number Firms | Percent of Total |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Asian-Pacific American | 17 | $1.22 \%$ |
| Black American | 262 | $18.84 \%$ |
| Caucasian | 161 | $11.57 \%$ |
| Hispanic American | 933 | $67.07 \%$ |
| Other Minority | 12 | $0.86 \%$ |
| Subcontinent Asian American | 6 | $0.43 \%$ |
| Total Firms | $\mathbf{1 3 9 1}$ |  |



## Gender Summary

| Gender |  | Number Firms | Percent of Total |
| :--- | :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Female | 427 | $30.70 \%$ |  |
| Male |  | 964 | $69.30 \%$ |
|  | Total Firms | 1391 |  |
|  |  |  |  |



## Certified Directory - Ethnicity \& Gender Summary




## G\&S UTILIZATION REPORT

# Miami-Dade County Small Business Enterprise (SBE) Goods \& Services Program 



| Contract Type |
| :---: |
| 1. Goods and Services |
| 2. Procurement |
| 3. Invitation to Bid |
| 4. RFP/RFQ |
| 5. Bid Waiver |
| 6. Purchase Order |
| Total |


| CONTRACTS PAID |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Reporting Period: 9/1/22 to 10/31/22 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| SBE GOALS/MEASURES |  |  |  |  |  | NO SBE GOALS/MEASURES |  |  |  |  |  | TOTAL (SBE + NO SBE GOALS/MEASURES) |  |  |  |  |  |
| SBE |  | NoN-SbE |  | total |  | SBE |  | NoN-Sbe |  | total |  | SBE |  | NoN-Sbe |  | Total |  |
| 951,635 | $8 \%$ | 10,792,177 | 92\% | 11,743,812 | 100\% | 12,244,397 | 12\% | 90,875,159 | 88\% | 103,119,556 | 98\% | 13,196,032 | 11\% | 101,667,366 | 89\% | 114,863,368 | 98\% |
|  |  |  |  | - | \% |  |  |  |  | - | 0\% | - |  | - |  | - | \% |
|  |  |  |  | - | \% |  |  |  |  | . | \% | . |  | - |  | - | \% |
|  |  |  |  | - | \% |  |  |  |  | - | \% | - |  | - |  | - | \% |
|  |  |  |  | - | \% | 246,000 | 22\% | 875,801 | 78\% | 1,121,801 | $1 \%$ | 246,000 | 22\% | 875,801 | 78\% | 1,121,801 | $1 \%$ |
|  |  |  |  | - | \% | 149,433 | 11\% | 1,203,973 | 89\% | 1,353,406 | $1 \%$ | 149,433 | 11\% | 1,203,973 | 89\% | 1,353,406 | $1 \%$ |
| 951,635 | 8\% | 10,792,177 | 92\% | 11,743,812 | 100\% | 12,639,830 | 12\% | 92,954,933 | 88\% | 105,594,763 | 100\% | 13,59, 4 ,65 | 12\% | 103,747,110 | 88\% | 117,338,575 | 100\% |


| CONTRACTS AWARDED DEMOGRAPHICS |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Reporting Period: 9/1/22 to 10/31/22 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| SBE GOALS/MEASURES |  |  |  |  | NO SBE GOALS/MEASURES |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | TOTAL (SBE + NO SBE GOALS/MEASURES) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Male | Femal | Unkno | TOTA |  | Male |  | Female |  | Unknow |  | TOTAL |  | Male |  | Femal |  | Unknow |  | TOTAL |  |
|  |  |  | - |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | . | \% | - |  | . |  | - |  | - |  |
|  |  |  | - |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | - | \% | - |  | . |  | - |  | - | 0\% |
|  |  |  | . |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | - | \% | . |  | . |  | - |  | - | \% |
|  |  |  | - |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | - | \% | - |  | - |  | - |  | - | \% |
|  |  |  | - |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | - | \% | - |  | - |  | - |  | - | \% |
|  |  |  | - |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | - | \% | - |  | - |  | - |  | - | \% |
|  |  |  | - |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | - | \% | - |  | . |  | - |  | - | \% |
|  |  |  | . |  |  | 0\% |  | 0\% | 66,287,047 | 100\% | 66,287,047 | 100\% | . | \% | . | \% | 66,287,047 | 100\% | 66,287,047 | 100\% |
| . | . | . | . | \% | . | \% | . | 0\% | 66,287,047 | 100\% | 66,287,047 | 100\% | . | \% | . | \% | 66,287,047 | 100\% | 66,287,047 | 100\% |


| CONTRACTS PAID DEMOGRAPHICS |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Reporting Period: 9/1/22 to 10/31/22 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| SBE GOALS/MEASURES |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | NO SBE GOALS/MEASURES |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | TOTAL (SBE + NO SBE GOALS/MEASURES) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Male |  | Female |  | Unknown |  | Total |  | Male |  | Female |  | Unknown |  | Total |  | Male |  | Female |  | Unknown |  | TOTAL |  |
| 189,765 | 100\% |  | 0\% |  | 0\% | 189,765 | 2\% | 465,727 | 85\% | 83,863 | 15\% |  | 0\% | 549,590 | 1\% | 655,492 | 89\% | 83,863 | 11\% | - | 0\% | 739,355 | $1 \%$ |
| 79,488 | 42\% | 107,511 | 58\% |  | 0\% | 186,959 | $2 \%$ | 2,792,196 | 81\% | 671,517 | 19\% |  | 0\% | 3,463,713 | 3\% | 2,871,644 | 79\% | 779,028 | 21\% | - | 0\% | 3,650,672 | 3\% |
| 4,543,680 | 86\% | 748,460 | 14\% |  | 0\% | 5,292,140 | $45 \%$ | 54,814,817 | 90\% | 5,834,630 | 10\% | 275,437 | 0\% | 60,924,884 | $58 \%$ | 59,35,497 | 90\% | 6,583,090 | 10\% | 275,437 | 0\% | 66,217,024 | 57\% |
| 2,424,777 | 82\% | 524,783 | 18\% |  | \% | 2,99, 560 | 25\% | 16,64, 618 | 78\% | 4,707,918 | 22\% | 31,471 | \% | 21,387,007 | 20\% | 19,072,395 | 78\% | 5,232,701 | 22\% | 31,471 | 0\% | 24,33,567 | $21 \%$ |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | - | \% | 489,647 | 99\% | 5,965 | 1\% |  | \% | 495,612 | 0\% | 489,647 | 99\% | 5,965 | 1\% |  | 0\% | 495,612 | 0\% |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | - | \% | 2,017,574 | 23\% | 163,049 | $2 \%$ | 6,487,332 | 75\% | 8,667,955 | 8\% | 2,017,574 | 23\% | 163,049 | $2 \%$ | 6,487,332 | 75\% | 8,667,955 | 7\% |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | - | 0\% | 1,190,153 | 95\% | 60,322 | 5\% |  | 0\% | 1,250,475 | 1\% | 1,190,153 | 95\% | 60,32 | $5 \%$ |  | 0\% | 1,250,475 | $1 \%$ |
|  | \% | 655,838 | 21\% | 2,469,550 | 79\% | 3,125,388 | 27\% | 208,521 | 3\% | 673,724 | 9\% | 6,973,282 | 89\% | 7,855,527 | $8 \%$ | 208,521 | $2 \%$ | 1,329,562 | 12\% | 9,442,832 | 86\% | 10,88,995 | 9\% |
| 7,237,670 | 62\% | 2,036,592 | 17\% | 2,469,550 | 21\% | 11,74, 712 | 100\% | 78,626,253 | 75\% | 12,20,988 | 12\% | 13,767,522 | 136 | 104,594,763 | 100\% | 85,86,923 | 74\% | 14,23, 780 | 12\% | 16,237,072 | 14\% | 116,38,575 | 100\% |

## NOTES

${ }^{1}$ As a result of two landmark cases (Engineering Contractors Association v. Metropolitan Dade County and Hershell Gill Consulting Engineers, Inc. v. Miami-Dade County) Miami-Dade County's three Small Business Enterprise (SBE) programs in Construction, A\&E, and Goods and Services are race and gender neutral.
${ }^{2}$ The capturing of demographic data is based on voluntary information provided and or entered by prime and sub-contractors.
${ }^{3}$ Payments reported may be from contracts awarded outside the reporting period.
${ }^{4}$ It is important to note that the health of Goods and Services (G\&S) contracts/awards should be identified by Contracts Paid instead of Contracts Awarded. This is due to the way G\&S contracts are created in the County's Financial System. Prior to the County's new ERP system (April 2021) when pool contracts are created, the allocation amount is captured in one lumpsum and does not identify each individual award and to whom it was awarded. However, BMWS does capture who was paid and if they were an SBE or Non-SBE. We are working with the development team to see if $G \& S$ awards and payments can be better aligned and may change in the future.
${ }^{5}$ The exclusion of non-compete contract awards and payments became effective July 2022.


|  | CON | Racts | AID ANNU | REPO | ING: 2019 |  | CON | RACTS | PAID ANNUA | REPO | TING: 2020 |  | CONT | ACTS | Pald ANNUA | REPO | RTING: 2021 |  | CON | Acts | AID ANNU | REPO | ING: 2022 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Contract Tpe |  | dTal (S |  | ALI/ME | URES) |  |  | TAL | SE + No SEE GOC | LLS/ME | SURES) |  | ${ }_{\text {se }}{ }^{\text {², }}$ | TAL | SE + No SSE G | ALS/M | ASURES) |  | ${ }_{\text {Se }}$ | TAL | + No SEE G | L/M | URES) |  |
| 1. Coods and Semices | 91,070,957 | 10\% | 808,698,599 | 90\% | 899,769,486 | 100\% | 124,099,909 | 10\% | 1,178,927,707 | 90\% | 1,302952,116 | $100 \%$ | 130,374,535 | \% | ${ }_{1,331,332,131}$ | $9 \%$ | 1,461,706,666 | 100\% | 102,888,008 | 13\% | 698,417,439 | 87\% | 801, 245, 847 | 9\%\% |
| 2. Procurement |  |  |  |  |  | \% |  |  |  |  |  | \% |  |  |  |  |  | \% | 3,519 | 50\% | 3,587 | $50 \%$ | 7,106 |  |
| 3. Resolution |  |  |  |  |  | \% |  |  |  |  |  | \% |  |  |  |  |  | \% |  | \% | 94.688 | 100\% | 946,687 | \% |
| 4.Accessect Contrats |  |  |  |  |  | \% |  |  |  |  |  | \% |  |  |  |  |  | \% |  | \% | 223,934 | 100\% | 223,34 | \% |
| 5. Invitation to id |  |  |  |  | - | \% |  |  |  |  | - | \% |  |  |  |  |  | $\%$ | 17,896 | ${ }^{19 \%}$ | 74.324 | 818\% | 92,20 | \% |
| 6. Rep/R/Fa |  |  |  |  |  | 0\% |  |  |  |  |  | \% |  |  |  |  |  | \% |  |  |  |  |  | \% |
| 7.M Mintenance |  | \% | ${ }^{1,746,293}$ | 100\% | 1,764,233 | \% | 142,959 | $7 \%$ | 1,768,065 | 93\% | ${ }_{1}^{1.911,024}$ | $\%$ | ${ }_{52} 224$ | 5\% | 934,099 | 95\% | ${ }_{96,33}$ | 0 |  |  |  |  |  | , |
| 8. Bid Wiver |  |  |  |  |  | \% |  |  |  |  |  | \% |  | \% | 131508 | 100\% | 1315,58 | \% | 246,000 | 5\% | 4,988399 | 95\% | 5.244339 |  |
| 9.Purchase order |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 100\% |  |  |  | 7\% |  |  | 2,913,90 |  |
| troal | 91,070,957 | 10\% | 810,044,822 | 90\% | 901,515,79 | 100\% | 124,192,368 | 10\% | 1,180,670,72 | 90\% | 1,300, 63,140 | 100\% | 130,426,79 | $9 \%$ | 1,332,874,084 | ${ }_{918}$ | 1,463,30, 893 | 100\% | 10,3,310,52 | 13\% | 70, 364,021 | 87\% | 810,674,073 | 100\% |


|  | CONTRACTS AWARDED DEMOGRAPHICS ANNUAL REPORTING: 2019 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | CONTRACTS AWARDED DEMOGRAPHICS ANNUAL REPPORTING: 2020 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | CONTRACTS AWARDED DEMOGRAPHICS ANNUAL REPORTING: 2021 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | CONTRACTS AWARDED DEMOGRAPHICS ANNUAL REPORTING: 2022 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Etmm | male |  |  |  | Uninown |  | roral |  | male |  | female | TOTAL (SBE + NO SBE GOALS/MEASURES) |  |  |  |  | Male |  |  |  | Unktown |  | roral |  | Male |  |  |  |  |  | rotal |  |
| 1.ASian Pafific A | 855,654 | 100\% |  | \% |  | \% | 855,64 | $2 \%$ | 2302699 | 93\% | 163.00 | ${ }^{7} 8$ |  | \% | 2465,69 |  | 10.500 | \% | 5.774399 | 100\% |  | \% | 5,784,849 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2. Black American | 5,93,000 | 43\% | 7.810,539 | 57\% |  | \% | 13,73,359 | 3\% | 5,175,201 | 47\% | 5,800,141 | 53\% |  | \% | 10,95,392 | $2 \%$ | 1.2121,67 | 100\% | 529 | \% |  | 08 | $1.212,696$ | ${ }^{0}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 3.Caucasian | 201,880,733 | 96\% | ${ }_{8,951,96}$ | 48 |  | \%\% | 210,832,69 | 408 | 231,92986 | 71\% | 95,45,6,75 | 29\% |  | \% | 327,35,661 | 612 | 128,38, 64 | 100\% | 32,139 | \% |  | \% | 128,58,603 | ${ }^{248}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 4. Hispanic An | 96,30 | 92\% | 4.35,768 | ${ }_{8 \%}$ |  | 0\% | 53,08, 988 | $10 \%$ | 31,413,52 | 87\% | 4,752375 | ${ }^{13 \%}$ |  | \% | 36.16 .127 | 78 | 1.834,692 | 100\% | 1.904 | \% |  | \% | 1.886,596 | 0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 5. Native American | 3,189,000 | 100\% |  | \% |  | \% | 3,89,000 | ${ }_{18}^{18}$ | 205.54 | 100\% |  |  |  | \% 8 | ${ }_{20,564}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 6.0.ther Minotity | 910.008 | 24\% |  | \% | 2,905,065 | $76 \%$ | 3,815,073 | $1 \%$ | 14,07, 105 | 50\% | 14,000,00 | 50\% |  | \% | 28,07, 105 | ${ }_{5}^{5}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \% |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 7. Suborotioent Asisan American | 161.196 | 78\% | 45,644 | 228 |  | \% | 207,640 | $0 \%$ | 16,420,161 | 9\%\% | ${ }^{143,165}$ | , |  | \% | 16.563 .326 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 8. Unkrown |  | \% |  | \% | 230,835,799 | 1008 | $230,835,79$ |  |  | \% |  | 18. |  | 99\% |  | $21 \%$ |  | \%\% |  | \% | 403, 24, 060 | 100\% | 403, 44,0,00 | ${ }^{75 \%}$ |  | 0\% |  |  | 445500, 6.36 | ${ }^{1008}$ | 445.501 .636 |  |
|  | 272,616,911 | $52 \%$ |  | 480 | 233,700, 814 | 4480 | 527,5,51,22] | 100\%8 | 4.58 | 57\% |  |  |  | 2180 | $532,86,139$ |  | 131,29, 823 | 2480 |  | ${ }_{18}{ }^{0}$ |  | 75\% | 50, 538,804 | 1008 |  | \%\% |  |  |  | 100\% |  |  |


|  | CONTRACTS PAID DEMOGRAPHICS ANNUAL REPORTING: 2019 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | CONTRACTS PAID DEMOGRAPHICS ANNUAL REPORTING: 2020 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | CONTRACTS PAID DEMOGRAPHICS ANNUAL REPORTING: 2021 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | CONTRACTS PAID DEMOGRAPHICS ANNUAL REPORTING: 2022 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 为 | TOTAL (SEE + No SEE GOALS/MEASURES) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | TOTAL (SEE + NO SBE SOALS M M A SURES) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | TOTAL (SEE + NO SBE GOALS/MEASURES) |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1.asian Pafificmerican |  | 80\% | ${ }_{1}^{1.688,80}$ | 20\% |  | \% | ${ }_{8,3727.71}^{\text {rotal }}$ |  | ${ }_{6.639319}^{\text {Male }}$ | 818 | ${ }_{1}^{1.5689611}$ | ${ }^{19 \%}$ |  | \% | ${ }_{8,207930}^{\text {ratal }}$ | ${ }^{18}$ | ${ }_{\text {19,288582 }}^{\text {Mase }}$ | 96\% | ${ }_{\text {27e9al4 }}$ | 48 |  | \% | ${ }_{19,958056}$ |  | ${ }_{4.3883817}^{\text {male }}$ | $96 \%$ |  | 48 |  | \% | 530,25 |
| 2. Black American | 35,796,42 | 75\% | 12,093877 | 25\% |  | \% | 47,890,39 | 5\% | 44,926,041 | $77 \%$ | 1,3,32,468 | 23\% | 8.455 | ${ }_{0}$ | 58,30,964 | $4 \%$ | 555502889 | 87\% | 8.624,52 | 13\% |  | \% | 64,127,471 | 48 | 27,03,977 | ${ }_{81 \%}$ | 6.320333 | 19 |  | \% | 33,424,200 |
| 3. Caucasian | 416,021,129 | 86\% | 66,13,005 | 14\% | 467,54 | \% | 482,627,236 | 548 | 594,73,806 | 89\% | 22,170,72 | ${ }^{11 \%}$ | ${ }_{68,388}$ | \% | 666,999,96 | $51 \%$ | 635,868,72 | 94\% | 39,86,431 | \% | 1.072,29 | \% | 676,125,362 | $46 \%$ | 399446,681 | 90\% | 40,454,066 | 9 | 2,752,888 | \% | 437,54,125 |
| 4. H Sapaicameric | 100,927,367 | 79\% | 78,900 | 21\% | 258 | \% | 24.045 .525 | 278. | 260, 575982 | 79\% | 9,715,95 | ${ }^{21 \%}$ | ${ }_{13,44}$ | \% | 330,005,311 | 258. | 250,457350 | $86 \%$ | 3,212, 537 | 1480 | ${ }_{46,87}$ | \% | 289,75,762 | $20 \%$ | 174,649,861 | 82\% | 37,799,682 | ${ }_{188}^{188}$ | 137,6 | \% | 212,587,184 |
| 5. Native Ameician | 11.534229 | 100\% | 35,089 | \% |  | \% | 11,56,298 | ${ }^{1 \%}$ | $22.410,01$ | 99\% | 24,726 | ${ }^{1 \%}$ |  | ${ }_{0}$ | 2265,787 | 0 | 5,985,98 | 00\% | 27,452 | \% |  | \% | 6,013,450 |  | 1.622809 | 99\% | 21.373 |  |  |  | $1.644,182$ |
| 6.0.ther Minoity | 9,722,900 | 65\% | 28825907 | 19\% | 2.205,561 | 16 | 149,97,368 | $2 \%$ | 34,24,495 | 75\% | 543,411 | $1 \%$ | 10,574,421 | 23\% | 45,5923277 | 3\% | 37,56,939 | 11\% | 6.617350 | ${ }^{2 \%}$ | 29,789,064 | 8\%\% | 338,972,333 | 238. | 14,197,361 | 29\% | 4210.56 | ${ }_{80}$ | 100,60 | ${ }^{63 \%}$ | 49598,524 |
| 7. Subcorntientat Asian Ameitian | 1.288,835 | ${ }^{81 \%}$ | 295351 | 10\% |  | ${ }^{\circ}$ | 1.584,186 | , | 7.205 .65 | ${ }^{95 \%}$ | ${ }^{377.109}$ | ${ }_{5 \%}^{5 \%}$ |  | , | 7.582804 | , | 48.819519 |  |  | ${ }^{15 \%}$ |  | \% | 5.5689790 |  | 3.025,609 | ${ }^{22 \%}$ | ${ }^{\text {1,2992,20 }}$ | ${ }^{28 \%}$ |  |  | 4,224,849 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | $87 \%$ | 93,497128 |  | 14,451211 |  |  | \% | $\frac{170.547566}{181212.194}$ | 92\% |  | $\xrightarrow{10 \%}$ |  | \%\% | $\xrightarrow{2.404,641}$ | 4\% | ${ }_{5 \text { S5,50.657 }}^{35156555}$ | ${ }_{\text {82\% }}^{\text {82\% }}$ |  |  | $\xrightarrow{9080,01}$ | $\stackrel{\text { \% }}{7 \%}$ | ${ }_{\text {c, }}^{6,283,995}$ | ${ }_{\text {12\% }}^{\text {92\% }}$ |  |  |  |

## NOTES

TAs resesult of two landmark cases (Engineering Contractors Association v. Metropoitian Dade County and Hershell Gill Consulting Engineers, inc. v. Niami-Dade County) Miami-Dade County's three Small Business Enterprise (SBE) programs in Construction, A\&E, and Goods and Services are race and gender
$\qquad$
ayments reported may be from contracts awarded outside the reporting period.
 created, the aliocation amount is captus
aligned and may change in the future.

## GOODS AND SERVICES BIMONTHLY AWARD DATA

66,287,047.05


| RFP-MDAD-04-09B- | Retail Concession |  |  |  | Miami-Dade County |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1(1) | Program 2009 | Goods \& Services | \$1.00 | 9/14/2022 | Prime No | \$1.00 | Unknown | 0.00\% | 0.00\% |
| RFP-MDAD-04-09C- | Retail Concessions |  |  |  | Miami-Dade County |  |  |  |  |
| 1(1) | Program2009 | Goods \& Services | \$1.00 | 9/14/2022 | Prime No | \$1.00 | Unknown | 0.00\% | 0.00\% |
| RFP-MDAD-04-09E- | Retail Concessions |  |  |  | Miami-Dade County |  |  |  |  |
| 1(1) | Program 09 | Goods \& Services | \$1.00 | 9/14/2022 | Prime No | \$1.00 | Unknown | 0.00\% | 0.00\% |
|  | FINANCIAL |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | CONSULTING |  |  |  | Miami-Dade County |  |  |  |  |
| RFQ-01875 | services | Goods \& Services | \$1,500,000.00 | 10/1/2022 | Prime No | \$1,500,000.00 | Unknown | 0.00\% | 0.00\% |
|  | Refrigerant Gas |  |  |  | Miami-Dade County |  |  |  |  |
| RTQ-02022 | Services | Goods \& Services | \$3,479,000.00 | 9/1/2022 | Prime No | \$3,479,000.00 | Unknown | 0.00\% | 0.00\% |
|  | Collision Damage |  |  |  | Miami-Dade County |  |  |  |  |
| RTQ-02121 | Body Work | Goods \& Services | \$15,891,000.00 | 9/12/2022 | Prime No | \$15,891,000.00 | Unknown | 0.00\% | 0.00\% |
|  | Telecom Services |  |  |  | Miami-Dade County |  |  |  |  |
| RTQ-02124 | and Equipment POSI-SHELL | Goods \& Services | \$1,000,000.00 | 10/1/2022 | Prime No | \$1,000,000.00 | Unknown | 0.00\% | 0.00\% |
|  | alternative |  |  |  | Miami-Dade County |  |  |  |  |
| SS-10117 | COVERS | Goods \& Services | \$2,158,255.00 | 9/1/2022 | Prime No | \$2,158,255.00 | Unknown | 0.00\% | 0.00\% |
|  | carbyne c-live |  |  |  | Miami-Dade County |  |  |  |  |
| SS-10158-1(2) | UNIVERSE PLATFO | Goods \& Services | \$855,600.00 | 10/1/2022 | Prime No | \$855,600.00 | Unknown | 0.00\% | 0.00\% |
|  | Elevator \& |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | ESCALATOR MAINT |  |  |  | Miami-Dade County |  |  |  |  |
| SS1245-3/27-3 | \& R | Goods \& Services | \$34,422,500.00 | 10/1/2022 | Prime No | \$34,422,500.00 | Unknown | 0.00\% | 0.00\% |
| Total |  |  | \$66,287,047.05 |  |  | \$66,287,047.05 |  | 0.00\% | 0.00\% |

Applied filters:
SBE - Goods Goal
SBE - Goods Goal is
not blank
SBE - Services Goa
is not blank
Start Date is on or
after $9 / 1 / 2022$ and
is before $11 / 1 / 2022$
Contract Type is Bid
Waiver, Goods \&
Services, Invitation
to Bid,
Purchase Order,
RFP/RFQ, or
Accessed Contra
Accessed Contract
Start Date is on or
after 11/1/2021
after $11 / 1 / 2021$
and is on or before 12/31/2022

## SBE INVOICE AGING REPORT

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| As of 11/15/2022 <br> Select \# of Days |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Department | 0-14 days \# of Invoices | Amount |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 15-30 days } \\ & \text { \# of Invoices } \end{aligned}$ | Amount |  | 31-40 days \# of Invoices | Amount |  | 41-60 days \# of Invoices | Amount |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 61-90 days } \\ & \text { \# of Invoices } \end{aligned}$ | Amount |  | 91 days \& Over \# of Invoices | Amount |  | Total \# of Invoices | Total Amount |  |
| AD | 5 | \$ | 14,365.80 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 5 | \$ | 14,365.80 |
| CC | 1 | \$ | 91.00 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1 | \$ | 91.00 |
| CH | 56 | \$ | 67,333.63 | 36 | \$ | 46,804.88 | 13 | \$ | 26,870.45 | 4 | \$ | 5,432.00 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 109 | \$ | 146,440.96 |
| CL | 1 | \$ | 54.00 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1 | \$ | 54.00 |
| CR | 77 | \$ | 357,222.68 | 41 | \$ | 69,020.31 | 2 | \$ | 2,935.70 | 2 | \$ | 505.52 | 1 | \$ | 1,275.10 | 1 | \$ | 2,686.90 | 124 | \$ | 433,646.21 |
| CT | 2 | \$ | 3,009.50 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 2 | \$ | 3,009.50 |
| cu | 8 | \$ | 16,435.14 | 3 | \$ | 1,186.42 |  |  |  | 1 | \$ | 658.00 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 12 | \$ | 18,279.56 |
| EC | 1 | \$ | 236.84 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1 | \$ | 236.84 |
| EL | 1 | \$ | 142.03 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1 | \$ | 142.03 |
| FN | 1 | \$ | 400.00 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1 | \$ | 400.00 |
| FR | 64 | \$ | 124,705.74 | 24 | \$ | 12,809.15 |  | \$ | 245.00 | 8 | \$ | 7,116.21 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 97 | \$ | 144,876.10 |
| ID | 91 | \$ | 114,736.71 | 20 | \$ | 45,433.54 | 1 | \$ | 402.03 | 3 | \$ | 471.00 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 115 | \$ | 161,043.28 |
| $1 T$ | 3 | \$ | 48,700.68 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 3 | \$ | 48,700.68 |
| LB | 17 | \$ | 3,562.94 | 1 | \$ | 98.00 | 5 | \$ | 2,699.99 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 23 | \$ | 6,360.93 |
| ME | 1 | \$ | 1,140.00 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1 | \$ | 1,140.00 |
| PD | 28 | \$ | 100,584.41 | 5 | \$ | 85,064.78 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 2 | \$ | 167.42 | 35 | \$ | 185,816.61 |
| PM | 1 | \$ | 753.77 |  |  |  | 1 | \$ | 753.77 | 1 | \$ | 7,547.40 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 3 | \$ | 9,054.94 |
| PR | 76 | \$ | 221,758.70 | 22 | \$ | 201,987.39 | 14 | \$ | 138,493.86 | 16 | \$ | 20,350.77 | 6 | \$ | 1,036.66 |  |  |  | 134 | \$ | 583,627.38 |
| PU |  |  |  | 1 | \$ | 67,085.51 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1 | \$ | 67,085.51 |
| RE | 3 | \$ | 8,586.69 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 3 | \$ | 8,586.69 |
| SP | 13 | \$ | 11,871.92 | 8 | \$ | 3,757.71 | 1 | \$ | 53.04 | 5 | \$ | 7,050.38 | 1 | \$ | 438.96 | 1 | \$ | 307.00 | 29 | \$ | 23,479.01 |
| sw | 12 | \$ | 22,991.95 | 1 | \$ | 80.50 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 13 | \$ | 23,072.45 |
| TC |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1 | \$ | 1,942.58 |  |  |  | 1 | \$ | 1,942.58 |
| TP | 246 | \$ | 385,774.78 | 268 | \$ | 124,128.89 | 13 | \$ | 11,594.44 | 47 | \$ | 35,769.56 | 28 | \$ | 47,738.32 | 13 | \$ | 16,856.94 | 615 | \$ | 621,862.93 |
| TT |  |  |  | 1 | \$ | 154.64 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1 | \$ | 154.64 |
| Grand Total | 708 |  | 1,504,458.91 | 431 | \$ | 657,611.72 | 51 | \$ | 184,048.28 | 87 | \$ | 84,900.84 | 37 | \$ | 52,431.62 | 17 | \$ | 20,018.26 | 1,331 |  | ,503,469.63 |

## GOODS AND SERVICES 2023 MEETING DATES

# 2023 Small Business Enterprise Goods \& Services Advisory Board Meeting Dates 

The Goods \& Services Advisory Board Meeting dates for 2023 are as follows:

When: Thursday, January 19, 2023
Thursday, March 16, 2023
Thursday, May 18, 2023
Thursday, July 20, 2023
Thursday, September 21, 2023
Thursday, November 16, 2023

Time: 10:30am-12:00pm

## Location:

Board Members - In person Small Business Development Stephen P. Clark Center 111 N.W. $1^{\text {st }}, 19^{\text {th }}$ Floor- Main Conference Room Miami, FL 33128

Public - Virtual Meeting- Zoom
Register in advance for this webinar:
https://miamidade.zoom.us/webinar/register/WN 2kweuYcQRQCD4j4MEVj u3g

Note: The Goods \& Services Advisory Board meetings are held Bi-Monthly on the $3^{\text {rd }}$ Thursday of the designated month unless a County observed holiday falls on that Thursday.

SEPTEMBER 15, 2022, MEETING MINUTES

# Miami-Dade County <br> Small Business Enterprise - Goods and Services <br> Advisory Board Meeting <br> September 15, 2022, Meeting Minutes 

Start Time: 10:50 AM
End Time: 12:28 PM
10 - Appointed
6 -Quorum
$(\mathbf{E})=$ Excused $(\mathbf{U})=$ Unexcused

| Members Present (7) | Members Absent (3) |
| :--- | :--- |
| Makeba Gassant - Vice Chair | Darryl Holsendolph - Chairman (U) |
| Carmen Baker | Michaeljohn Anthony Green (U) |
| Miriam Collada-Myers | Adrian Jimenez (U) |
| Maria Molina |  |
| Jeanette Paulino |  |
| John A. Ramirez |  |
| Daniel Ribero |  |

Staff Present: Gary T. Hartfield (SBD Director), Rossi Siewnarine (SBD Section Chief), Lawanda Wright- Robinson (SBD Section Chief), Jeanise Cummings-Labossiere (SBD Section Chief), Michou Jean (SBD Section Manager), Courtney Anderson (SBD), Alecia Anderson (SBD), Marielena Bello (SBD), Roberto Tirado (SBD), Jacob Mills (SBD), Luis D. Martinez (SBD), Robbie Shabat (SBD), Caryn Mautner (SBD), Jocelyn Fulton (Procurement)

## I. Welcome/ Introductions

Michou Jean (SBD) called the Goods and Services Advisory Board meeting to order at 10:50 am, seven (7) members were present. Vice-Chair, Makeba Gassant acted as the Chair for the meeting.

## II. Small Business Update

a. Mid-Tier Program - Gary T. Hartfield (SBD Division Director)
i. Mr. Hartfield explained the new "Mid-Tier" program. He stated that firms graduated based on their 3-year average gross receipt will qualify for the new tier as long as their personal net worth does not exceed 1.5 million dollars.
ii. John A. Ramirez inquired if there will be additional revenue with creating an additional tier? He was advised that there is no additional revenue. They will compete with all tiers, while lower tiers will receive a preference to try to level out the competition. By creating this mid-tier, this means there will be more SBE's available to bid which allow more set asides that will create more opportunities.
iii. Ms. Gassant does not believe an additional tier should be created, but she thinks the current thresholds of tier 1,2 , and 3 should be increased.
iv. Darryl Holsendolph stated that adding a tier 4 allows major corporations to stay in the pools and fight with lower tiers.

## III. SBD Section Reports

a. Business Outreach \& Education - Lawanda Wright-Robinson (SBD Section Chief)
i. Ms. Wright-Robinson presented the report for the period of July 1, 2022, to August 31, 2022.
ii. Ms. Wright-Robinson provided a correction to the report. There were not 10 payment issues for the period but there were 6 payment issues with 6 vendors across 11 departments. Ms. Gassant inquired if this vendor specific or department specific? The vendor has contracts all around the county and the invoices were not being processed in
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a timely manner. She also asked, "out of the 24 year-to-date prompt payment issues, has it been a consistent department?" Ms. Wright-Robinson stated that it is not consistent issue.
iii. Another correction for the report was provided on activity 5, Prompt Payment Issues. There was 1 prompt payment issue for 1 vender with 10 departments
iv. Ms. Gassant questioned where technical assistance is being needed most. Ms. WrightRobinson stated that it is in contracts and bidding.

## b. Certification - Jeanise Cummings-Labossiere (SBD Section Chief)

i. Ms. Cummings-Labossiere presented the report for the period of July 1, 2022, to August 31, 2022.
ii. There were 3 firms that graduated and 1 that was denied.
iii. Mr. Ramirez asked why there were no Native American firms. Ms. Cummings-Labossiere stated that they did not apply. Rossi Siewnarine (SBD Section Chief) clarified that there were no Native Americans who applied in the two-month period, July 1, 2022, through August 31, 2022.
iv. Mr. Holsendolph asked if the total number of new certified firms has decreased. Ms. Cummings- Labossier advised that they have. This is due to different factors such as firms moving, graduating, and going out of business however, recruitment is always ongoing. The board requested for Ms. Cummings-Labossiere to provide a report with the number of firms that have been lost due to these varies reasons. This information should be provided in the next meeting. Mr. Ramirez would also like to know if the commodity code that they belonged to could be provided as well.

## c. Utilization - Rossi Siewnarine (SBD Section Chief)

i. Mr. Siewnarine presented the report for the period of July 1, 2022, to August 31, 2022.
ii. There are contracts that are excluded from this report, these types of contracts exceed 20 million and are excluded due to the nature of the contracts. Mr. Holsendolph would like to know what contracts were excluded and would like to monitor these contracts. Mr. Hartfield stated that they are being tracked internally. If there are Small Business Enterprises (SBES) that can do the work, they are alerted.
iii. Ms. Gassant stated that the county develops contracts, therefore they can stipulate what is within the contract such as requiring the help of small businesses. Mr. Ramirez agrees with Ms. Gassant. Mr. Holsendolph added that it should be a criterion in the contract for legacies to include SBE's. It was stated that Small Business Development does not have the authority to include these stipulations within the Request for Proposal (RFP).
iv. The board requested the Raw data of the Utilization report. They stated that they would like to consistently see the breakdown that the raw data provides. Staff will provide this information in the next meeting. Ms. Gassant inquired whether staff could provide "tracking over time" of awarded monies being reported.
v. Mr. Hartfield recommended doing a Pilot program for the board, meaning identify some contracts and showing the board how contracts are processed from beginning to end. The pilot will show the time a project is accepted, gets approval, then awarded to a firm, and when payment starts. The board agreed to this pilot program.
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## IV. Old Business

a. Approve - Sub Committee Prompt Payment Recommendations

Michou Jean advised that before moving forward with the recommendations, an in-person meeting with Strategic Procurement and Finance should take place. In the meeting they will discuss the recommendations and come to a common ground regarding what is feasible. The Advisory board agreed, and staff will schedule the in-person meeting.
ii. Once concluding the subcommittee, the board must make a motion and vote to create another subcommittee to cover another topic.

## b. Approve - Meeting Minutes

i. Goods and Services Subcommittee - May 10, 2022, Meeting Minutes
ii. Goods and Services Advisory Board - May 19, 2022, Meeting Minutes
iii. Goods and Services Subcommittee - May 24, 2022, Meeting Minutes
iv. Goods and Services Subcommittee - June 7, 2022, Meeting Minutes
v. Goods and Services Advisory Board - June 21, 2022, Meeting Minutes
vi. Goods and Services Subcommittee - July 5, 2022, Meeting Minutes
vii. Goods and Services Subcommittee - July 19, 2022, Meeting Minutes
viii. Goods and Services Subcommittee - August 2, 2022, Meeting Minutes
ix. Goods and Services Subcommittee- August 16, 2022, Meeting Minutes

John Ramirez motioned to approve all minutes; the motion was seconded by Maria Molina. (Approved 7-0)

## V. New Business

a. Request for future agenda item
i. The board requested for Ms. Cummings-Labossiere to provide the number of firms that have been lost.
ii. The board requested the Raw data of the Utilization report again. They stated that they would like to consistently see the breakdown that the raw data provides.
iii. Schedule a meeting including Strategic Procurement and Finance.
VI. Reasonable opportunity for the public to be heard before County Boards (Sec. 2-11.39.3)
i. No Speakers.

## VII. Adjournment

i. The meeting was adjourned at 12:28 PM.

## OCTOBER 11, 2022, MEETING MINUTES

# Miami-Dade County <br> Small Business Enterprise - Goods and Services Advisory Board <br> Advisory Board Meeting <br> October 11, 2022 Meeting Minutes 

Start Time: 10:36 AM
End Time: 12:23 PM
10 - Appointed
6 - Quorum
(E)=Excused (U) = Unexcused

| Members Present (8) | Members Absent (2) |
| :--- | :--- |
| Darryl Holsendolph - Chairman | Michaeljohn Anthony Green (U) |
| Carmen Baker | Adrian Jimenez (U) |
| Miriam Collada-Myers |  |
| Makeba Gassant |  |
| Maria Molina |  |
| Jeanette Paulino |  |
| John A. Ramirez |  |
| Daniel Ribero |  |

Staff Present: Rossi Siewnarine (SBD Section Chief), Michou Jean (SBD Section Manager), Courtney Anderson (SBD), Marielena Bello (SBD), Luis Guardia, Caryn Mautner (SBD), Jocelyn Fulton (Procurement), Barbara Gomez (Deputy Finance Director), Madelin Rizzo (Finance Department), Erica Olsen (Finance Department)

## I. Welcome/Introductions

i. Michou Jean (SBD) started the meeting at 10:36 am. Chairman Darryl Holsendolph welcomed members and allowed everyone to introduce themselves. The purpose of this meeting is to discuss the Goods and Services Subcommittee Prompt Payment Policy Recommendations and the responses received.
II. Sub Committee Prompt Payment Recommendations Discussion
a. Finance Department - Madelin Rizzo
i. Mr. Holsendolph gave an overview of the Prompt Payment Policy Recommendations. He explained that the board is there to help and advocate for small businesses. He would like to understand the responses received from the Finance Department and be able to leave the meeting with an understanding of what can and cannot be done within the recommendations.
ii. Barbara Gomez (Finance) explained that Finance is very supportive of small businesses. She explained that there are processes and procedures that must be followed. The county is large and there are many departments however, Finance is willing to assist in every way possible. Ms. Gomez suggested each Department be brought aboard to understand the challenges that small businesses have been facing. She also advised that vendors must ensure they are submitting proper information and invoices for quick processing. For these recommendations to work, everyone involved must play a part.
iii. Madelin Rizzo (Finance) explained the process from beginning to end of how invoices are processed. When invoice is inputted into the system, it automatically codes it and creates a voucher, which is matched with a receipt. Once the match occurs, it is sent to the department. This could take up to three (3) days to occur. If a match does not occur, then staff must intervene. Once the department validates and returns the invoice, it is picked up for payment. To prevent delays, Automatic
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Clearing House (ACH) Payment is recommended. The board stated that during SBE registration, ACH payment should be required.
iv. Another delay occurs when vendors mail invoices instead of electronically scanning or emailing them. When they send it via mail, it must be retrieved and uploaded into the system. When sending invoices via mail, if it is not addressed to the Finance department, the user departments are relied on to retrieve it, and send it to finance to get it scanned into the system. Construction projects are an exception.
v. Within the recommendations, the board recommended a database for tracking and monitoring the invoices. Ms. Gomez advised that the county already has a database doing this. Ms. Molina asked whether each department has an assigned person in charge of invoices. Ms. Gomez stated yes. Finance recommended department representatives to be included in future meetings to provide clarity. They also advised that Finance sends a report with all SBE's that have invoices sitting in the system for 8 days or more. Ms. Molina asked if this list includes subcontractor payments as well. Finance advised that is does not include subcontractors. Ms. Gassant asked about accountability factor. Are there any repercussions for departments who are not complying with the 14-day prompt payment policy. The board also suggested a scorecard for departments in which they will be evaluated for processing invoices in a timely manner. Finance agreed that a scorecard could be a good way to hold departments accountable.

## b. Strategic Procurement Department - Jocelyn Fulton

i. Mr. Holsendolph expressed his concerns about small businesses being "Punished by the prime". By this he means, a small business not getting paid on time but unable to tell on their prime and be expected to continue to do business. There is no policy within the county to protect small businesses which concerns Mr. Holsendolph. The board would like these things to be built in the solicitation. Ms. Fulton from Strategic Procurement advised the board that this would have to be discussed with the County Attorney. This is because anything placed in the solicitation must meet legal sufficiency.
ii. Ms. Fulton stated that if the board would like Primes to be required to pay their subcontractors within 2 days after they are receiving payment from the county, like Construction, she advised that they should add a note on their recommendation to include it. Ms. Baker suggested adding a note under recommendation 1 to say, "Prompt Payment Policy shall apply anytime where SBE Subcontractors are involved." John Ramirez made the motion to add the language, Makeba Gassant seconded the motion (Approved 7-0).
iii. Ms. Gassant made a motion to remove recommendation 2, including bullet 1-4, and start recommendation 2 at bullet point 6 . They will also Move bullet 5 to recommendation 4, making it bullet 6. John Ramirez seconded the motion to modify the recommendations (Approved 7-0).
iv. The board would like to add an educational factor to the recommendations. Mr. Siewnarine stated that this is something that they are currently working on to create an online training hub. There is a countywide issue where people don't understand some of the processes. Ms. Gassant inquired about an acknowledgment system in place so that vendors would be required to acknowledge that resources
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were reviewed. They would like continuous education to be a requirement of the SBE program.
i. The board requested the SBE Invoice Aging report which shows invoices that are awaiting processing.
v. The board created phase 5 of recommendation 1 to state "Small Business Development and the Finance Department shall create educational opportunities to enhance the Miami-Dade County invoice and payment workflow process. SBE's are required to maintain a proficiency level by completing two courses twice per year. The new Informs process shall adhere to invoices being routed directly to the Finance Department for Goods and Services."

## III. Old Business

## a. Approve - Prompt Payment Policy Recommendations

Makeba Gassant motioned to approve the Prompt Payment Policy recommendations with all amendments, John Ramirez seconded the motion (Approved 7-0)

## IV. New Business

a. Request for future agenda items
i. Send approved recommendations to Mayor Levine Cava.
ii. SBE Invoice Aging report
V. Reasonable opportunity for the public to be heard before County Boards (Sec. 211.39.3)
i. No speakers.

## VI. Adjournment

i. The meeting was adjourned at 12:23 PM.

